

Return Interview Dip Sample Audit March 19

Based on 10 of the most recent completions up to 7th March 19.

Rationale. Missing People County Lines Conference 7th March 19 – ROCU stated working on minimum standards for RHIs.

What's working well	What's worrying	What needs to happen next
<p>Some good practice examples, detail around names/locations/activities typically from Erase, but also from One Point</p> <p>Examples where the child's voice is captured</p>	<p>Different formats of the same form used</p> <p>Form needs typing not handwritten so it is clear to all on the system</p> <p>Shouldn't leave questions blank as not clear if the question's been asked</p> <p>Little information contained about where the child was found and whether there were any concerning factors when they were found e.g appearance, carrying anything, under the influence</p> <p>Where interaction with the child is brief there are risks that the professional elaborates with their own observations thus the child's voice is lost</p> <p>Steps taken to safeguard the child when high risk activity disclosed not captured on the form (action in the next column re professional observations)</p>	<p>There's an issue where the RHI is brief because the child doesn't wish to engage. Darlington's form has " did the child engage?" as a question</p> <p>Darlington's form also gives the professionals the opportunity to categorise risk associated with the incident</p> <p>Timescales need adjusting on the form e.g under 1 hour, over 24 hours. Importantly there needs to be a categorisation of whether the incident was overnight as this is a high risk factor. Where did you sleep? Were there any conditions made?</p> <p>The form misses prompts around some key warning signs of exploitation. Questions that need to be considered, possibly as sub questions – to serve as prompts –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where were you found • How did you get home • Risk of harm (not just "victim of crime"), any threats made • Did sexual activity take place