



Bristol City Council IICSA Submission
Case Details - CS-A32

Child name: **CS-A32**
Inquiry cipher for child: CS-A32
DOB: **DPA** 2002
Relativity reference: BSC000074
Bristol Case reference: **DPA**

1. Case CS-A32 refers to **CS-A32** (**DPA**-2002). **CS-A32** is now aged 17.

Summary of involvement

2. A referral was made to children's social care on 30/11/2017 by police advising that **CS-A32** had informed her school that her step father had hit her on her arm causing a bruise. Concerns were also raised about **CS-A32** being targeted by older males and being at risk of CSE. The case was allocated to a social worker who undertook a children and family assessment. Following a section 47 investigation, an Initial child protection conference was held on 27/03/2018 and **CS-A32** and her brother (**DPA** yrs) were made subjects of a Child Protection Plan under the category of Neglect. **CS-A32** continues to be subject of a child protection plan and is at continued risk of CSE.

Schedule 9 Request 2A-L

3. **CS-A32** has been diagnosed with ADHD and is reviewed regularly by a community paediatrician. The impact on **CS-A32** of ADHD is that she can struggle in her interaction with peers and forming friendships. **CS-A32** has currently been referred for a Care Act assessment and Mental Capacity assessment.
4. A referral was made to Bristol Youth Links which offers intervention for children at risk of child sexual exploitation. **CS-A32** has had some involvement with BASE (Barnardo's against Sexual Exploitation) specialist CSE and BASE has also provided consultancy to the social work team to inform the support offered by them.
5. **CS-A32** has never been a child in care.
6. **CS-A32** has one sibling **sensitive/irrelevant**
sensitive/irrelevant
7. In response to the information that **CS-A32** was grabbed by a man on the street who tried to put his hands in her pockets, on or around 26/11/2018 as recorded in CSE Checklist 06/12/18 - BSC000074, children social care chaired a strategy discussion on 18/12/18 with the police. Police had record of an incident on 26/10/18 reporting an assault on **CS-A32** that they were not able to take further action due to inconsistencies in the report. The plan at the end of the strategy discussion included continued support from Bristol Youth Links, continue with CAMHS assessment to understand **CS-A32**'s ongoing mental health need and Kate Hopkins, allocated social worker, to work with **CS-A32** to identify the location of friends and identify identity of male described as **CS-A32**'s boyfriend.
8. In response to information that **CS-A32** had been hanging around at a local **business** and that men that work there had been offering her money to "go over the fields with them" as recorded in CSE Checklist 06/12/18 - BSC000074 Children's Social Care took the following actions. On 11/5/18 **CS-A32** told a member of staff at her school that a man from the **business** had been touching her. Children's Social Care convened a strategy

discussion and agreed a joint visit to [CS-A32] by a police officer and social worker, Sherilyn Pritchard. During the visit [CS-A32] gave more details about the [business] Police progressed an investigation and spoke to the owner of the [business] On 26/7/18 police informed the social worker they would now be taking no further action. A strategy discussion was held 18/12/18 chaired by Karen McNally. The allocated social worker, Kate Hopkins, BASE, YOT worker and Police attended. Operation Topaz was invited but gave apologies. Information was again shared about the [business] A plan was agreed for [CS-A32] [cs-A32] to receive ongoing support regarding her safety and risk of CSE and all involved professionals to share information that [CS-A32] provided about the [business]

9. CSE Checklist 06/12/18 - BSC000074 records that [CS-A32] had been bullied. [CS-A32] [cs-A32] expressed that sometimes she feels bullied at College. A support plan was in place with close liaison between the Safeguarding Lead at City of [DPA] College, Gemma Buchan and the social worker, Kate Hopkins. [CS-A32] had an EHCP plan and this was reviewed regularly. No police action was undertaken.
10. CSE Checklist 06/12/18 - BSC000074 records that [CS-A32] had been engaging in sexualised behaviour with a person she describes as her boyfriend, who was noted to be aged 17 and that [CS-A32] had met on Facebook. [CS-A32] told the social worker, Kate Hopkins that she had met her boyfriend, [CS-F214] on Facebook and he told her he was 17yrs old. A strategy discussion was held on 18/12/19 and the details of [CS-F214] were shared with Police and Operation Topaz. [CS-F214] [sensitive/irrelevant] [sensitive/irrelevant] lived independently which raised worries that he may be older. [CS-A32] sad she had visited his home. As an action from that strategy discussion, Kate Hopkins, allocated social worker, agreed to work with [CS-A32] around location of friends i.e. drive her around to get [CS-A32] to show her where [cs-F214] lived and other friends. The social worker continued to have conversations with [CS-A32] throughout January 2019 about her worries about him and about healthy relationships.
11. [CS-A32] was assessed as having a score of 12 for vulnerability indicators and 15 for risk indicators of CSE on the CSE Checklist 06/12/18 - BSC000074. In response a strategy discussion was convened on 18/12/19 (see above). Information was shared about the risks and a plan was put in place which included the following: the school nurse to work with [CS-A32] [sensitive/irrelevant] intelligence sharing pathway regarding the [business] with the police; referral to BYL for healthy relationships support; referral for further support in regard to educational courses that [CS-A32] is interested in; speech and language assessment with YOT to be shared with professionals to inform how work is undertaken with her appropriate to her access needs; [CS-A32] to continue with CAMHS assessment; social worker to work with [CS-A32] around location and identity of friends and the male [CS-A32] refers to as her boyfriend. The mental health worker within YOT worked with [CS-A32] on a 1-1 basis regarding sexual relationships. [CS-A32] [cs-A32] remains vulnerable; [sensitive/irrelevant] [sensitive/irrelevant] [sensitive/irrelevant] The social worker remains responsible for coordinating the plan.
12. There are no additional CSE screening checklists to present in evidence beyond that already provided to the Inquiry (BSC000074). A copy of the strategy discussion (AJ/84) and copies of Return Home Interviews from October 2018 (AJ/86) and April 2019 (AJ/85) are provided. Bristol does not hold MACSE meetings and [CS-A32] was not discussed in any complex strategy meetings so minutes of these are not provided in evidence.