

Staff regrading claims
the appointment of waking night staff
friction between residential and teaching staff
lack of confidence
ignorance of child sexual abuse and 'normal' adolescent development
view that earlier education review had 'swept' issues under the carpet
and had not wanted to see the problems
staff did not think they were being heard

1. The meeting concluded that Education would consider staff criticisms.
2. Staff would prepare written records on recent incidents which they considered to be child to child sexual abuse.
3. No further action could be taken in relation to the adult abuser.
4. Staff needed to be involved in ACPC child abuse training.
5. Staff needed advice on handling and managing boys who had been sexually abused.
6. No further action could be taken in relation to the Smith St toilets other than making boys and their parents aware of the risks.

Concentrate on promoting the individual boys self-esteem and offering protection advice.

Reassured staff that their concerns were heard and were being taken seriously.

.3.91 Spoke to Brian Williams. He was concerned that I should be aware of all the agendas and action previously taken by the Education Department.

.3.91 Meeting with Mr. Littlemore and Diane Cavanagh.

Gave outline of concern

Agreed that a strategy meeting be convened to look at all present concerns and to decide on a future plan of action.

.3.91 Meeting held at School Psychological Service.
Attended by:

K. Bentley	Janet Bowyer
M. Simpson	Shelagh McGough
D. Cavanagh	
Brian Williams	Annie Dodds
Mansoor Kazi	Mike Poulton (Acting Head)
Richard Hammer	Jim Henderson
	Kevin Sterndale

Very difficult meeting.

Mr. Bentley and M. Simpson considered that the sexual activity being described was normal behaviour in a boys school.

Police did not want to be involved in any investigation. They could not see

Cont....