1	Tuesday, 24 October 2017	1	Knowl View School. One of these was sexual abuse,
2	(10.30 am)	2	allegedly perpetrated by Cyril Smith. The other six
3	THE CHAIR: Good morning, Mr Altman.	3	were allegations of abuse by staff members, and three of
4	MR ALTMAN: Good morning, chair. This morning we are going	4	those had a sexual connotation.
5	to start with some reading, which Mr Henderson will do.	5	Firstly, he gives details about the sexual assault
6	Then we are going to hear from Mr Farnell. Then this	6	by Cyril Smith.
7	afternoon Eleanor Phillips and retired Peter Marsh,	7	A6 describes how he was asleep in bed at night in
8	former police officer.	8	Ashworth dormitory, an open-plan dormitory for the
9	THE CHAIR: Thank you.	9	youngest boys at Knowl View aged between 7 and 11.
10	MR HENDERSON: Good morning, chair. Chair and panel, as you	10	A member of staff, who he could not identify by name but
11	have noticed, there is some reading to catch up on. Can	11	who he describes as wearing a dark green corduroy
12	I firstly indicate that there are some statements which	12	jacket, pulled back his covers and said that A6 needed
13	will be published in full on the inquiry website. They	13	to come with him. He didn't know where he was being
14	are as follows, and I will try to do this very slowly	14	taken to.
15	for the benefit of the transcript.	15	This man took him from Ashworth dormitory to a room
16	First of all, Detective Superintendent James	16	downstairs. The room was in a flat at the bottom of
17	Henderson no relation, I should add which is	17	the stairs where that member of staff lived.
18	GMP000135; secondly, Chief Superintendent John Houghton,	18	A6 recalls coming out of Ashworth dormitory down the
19	which is GMP000174; thirdly, Chief Superintendent	19	stairs, forwards, and the flat was through a door to the
20	John Cantrell, which is GMP000199; fourthly, Detective	20	right. It was a very small flat. There was a double
21	Inspector Waller, which is GMP001021, and there is also	21	bed and a couch in the room. There was a bathroom
22	a report attached to his statement, GMP000145; fifthly,	22	behind the door as it opened.
23	Ray Colley, which is GMP001024; and, finally, a second	23	A6 was dressed in his pyjamas. He recalls he was
24	statement from Gregor McGill, who you have heard from,	24	taken to the room with another boy, A28.
25	which is CPS002846. Those will all be published on the	25	There were two other adults already in the room.
	Page 1		Page 3
	and the second shair consequence of the second seco	,	
1	website and, chair, you and the panel will have copies	1	One of them A6 now identifies as Cyril Smith, although
2	of those.	2	he did not know his identity at the time. The other man
3	Statement of WITNESS A6 (read)	3	was not a member of staff, and A6 had never seen him
4	MR HENDERSON: The first statement I am going to actually	4	before. So when the assault occurred, A6 recalls three
5	read from is from A6, who sadly couldn't come to give	5	adults and two boys in total in the room.
6	evidence in person as originally intended, but Ms Hoyano and Mr Scorer have provided a very helpful summary of	6	He describes "the fat guy", who he now identifies as
7		7	Cyril Smith, as "wearing very loose, big trackie
8	the evidence he previously gave to police. I am going	8	bottoms, loose bottoms and a T-shirt. That was all he
9	to read some of that.	9	had on".
10	Chair, I'm afraid this one you won't have in your	10	When A6 went in, he was told to sit on the bed.
11	bundles in front of you, nor can I bring it up on the	11	When he was sitting on the bed, the fat man,
12	screen. The rest will have references and I will direct	12	subsequently identified as Cyril Smith, pushed him back
13	you to them.	13	so that he was lying with his feet on the bed. The man
14	I am just going to read some small excerpts from	14	then pulled A6's pyjama bottoms down and was lying at
15	this statement, as follows: A6 was admitted to	15	the side of him. The man then performed oral sex on him
16	Knowl View in 1982, having just turned age 11.	16	by sucking his penis. The man then made A6 suck his
17	He describes his arrival at Knowl View School as	17	penis. At this point, the man was lying on his back.
18	follows: he was taken there by his parents, he was left	18	He pushed A6's head down and the man ejaculated into his
19	with June Proctor, a member of the domestic staff. A6	19	mouth. The man then pushed him off. A6 was then pulled
20	was distraught at being left by his parents and	20	across the room by another man. The other boy present
21	Mrs Proctor locked him in a small storage room because	21	was told to get on the bed and was then sexually
22	he could not stop crying.	22	assaulted in a similar manner.
23	He describes the layout of the buildings and the	23	During the assault on A6, the third adult in the
24	dormitories at Knowl View School and the daily routine.	24	room, that is, neither Smith nor the staff member who
25	He alleges seven incidents of abuse by adults at	25	had brought him into the room, masturbated. A6 was
	Page 2		Page 4
	I uge 2		

asked by police what made him believe that "the fat man" Finally, chair, A6 describes Rodney Hilton -- that 2 2 that he described was Cyril Smith, and A6 replied: should be Roderick Hilton, as we now know -- being 3 3 present in Knowl View School. He says: "I just know it was him, just the size of him, and 4 I'd seen it, you know, I knew -- I knew -- I probably 4 "I knew Andy Found was letting him [that's Hilton] 5 5 into the school at night. I knew for a fact Andy Found, knew when I was in Egerton that it was him, seeing him 6 the caretaker at the school, but I do know 100 per cent 6 in the papers and stuff, and I just knew it was him, and 7 that he was letting him in to the younger side, Bamford then other lads in the school were saying, 'The fat 8 and Ashworth. Rodney Hilton used to be in the school 8 man's been in, in the school. The fat man was here last 9 9 premises and used to always be in the wooded area and night', and deep down I obviously knew why he was there 10 or what he was doing, but I never said anything." 10 there were many occasions when he was in the TV room at the bottom of the dorm, at the bottom of Ashworth and 11 After the incident that I just described, A6 was 11 12 sent back to his dormitory. He walked back there on his 12 Bamford dorms. There was a TV room and he was in there 13 sometimes watching films with kids". 13 own. He was crying and wanted to go home. 14 A6 recalled that people said Cyril Smith had keys to 14 That's the evidence from A6 that we have been given. 15 Knowl View School. He said that Knowl View School was 15 Statement of MRS VALERIE MELLOR (read) MR HENDERSON: The second statement I am going to read some 16 16 not a secure place. But he never heard any staff 17 excerpts from is that of Valerie Mellor, which, chair 17 mention anything about Cyril Smith; only pupils. 18 and panel, you have heard a great deal about already. 18 A6 thinks he was in Ashworth for maybe a year at 19 most after entering Knowl View School. This suggests 19 You can find that in your bundles at volume 4 of the incident he recounts occurred in 1982 or 1983. 20 the week 2 witness bundles at tab 20G. If we can bring 20 21 Because of the incident with Cyril Smith, A6 insisted on 21 it up on the screen, please, it is GMP001110. 22 If we look at the first page at the second main 22 being given his own room. 23 23 paragraph, we see she starts by describing her Many years later, A6 recalls speaking to 24 Martin Digan about what had happened, but when he was 24 background: 25 asked by police in 2013 when he first told Mr Digan 25 "I am a chartered psychologist and associate fellow Page 5 Page 7 about the Smith incident, A6 replied, "Only a few years 1 1 of the British Psychological Society. I was head of 2 2 the department for clinical psychology at Booth Hall 3 A6 describes two other incidents that can be 3 Children's Hospital, Manchester, for 23 years and 4 described as having a sexual connotation and a relevance 4 director of the Jubilee Centre for Child Abuse at the 5 to the inquiry. The first is that he describes a female 5 same hospital for five years until my retirement from 6 staff member, who at the time was around 28 or 29 years 6 the NHS in 1997." 7 7 old, blonde and quite attractive to him, and he She gives some further background but if we could go 8 describes that she would come into his room in the 8 over to page 2 and pick up the story in the second Q 9 morning and stroke his back and tease him and stuff. As paragraph there, she recounts as follows: "Sometime later in 1991, Diana Cavanagh, who was the 10 a result of this attention, he would get an erection, 10 11 and looking back, he feels this was wrong. He said that 11 Director of Education for Rochdale, telephoned me and 12 this staff member came into his room and stroked him on 12 asked if I would go and meet her at her office to 13 10 or perhaps 12 occasions, maybe more. 13 discuss with me her proposal that I compile a report on 14 14 A6 also recalls an incident involving a fellow Knowl View School. 15 pupil. A6 had to collect chairs from the dormitories 15 "I had never met her before, but went to see her. 16 and bring them down into the gym in order that people 16 At the time I had heard about Knowl View School. 17 could watch a play. A teacher we know as F32 told him 17 I lived nearby for two to three years in Norden. This 18 to go and collect the chairs, and then in the dormitory 18 was around 1977. There were rumours circulating locally 19 another pupil, who we have ciphered as A75, pinned him 19 about the school and the pupils being naughty and 20 on the bed, put his hand in his pants and tried to 20 difficult. My secretary at the time was 21 21 sexually assault him. Linda Wilkinson. 22 Another staff member came in, gripped A75 and 22 "I was asked to talk to staff and pupils, if 23 23 battered him and told A6 not to say anything, just to possible, about concerns that had been expressed about 24 forget about it. This incident, according to A6, 24 their behaviour and to talk to the police and health 25 25 happened in Ashworth dormitory as well. authority staff and give expert advice to the Director Page 6 Page 8

of Education, Mrs Cavanagh, on what steps should be taken to ensure the future welfare of children at Knowl View School.

"I wasn't asked to actually investigate any abuse that may have taken place at the school but rather to report on what should be done to protect the children in the future. Obviously I had to look at the past as

"I think Diana Cavanagh gave me a list of the sorts of things that had happened to some of these children. I do not have a copy of that, as I will later explain. I have been shown a copy of my report dated 18 February 1992. The original list given to me, which I attached to my report, had the actual A, B, C, D, et cetera, codes of the boys' names, so it was easy to identify them. It was given to me so that I could work from it, but I can't remember whether Diana Cavanagh gave it to me or if it was handed to me when I got to the school. It was a type of research document. I was asked to append the list and also a paragraph about a caretaker that I had included. The reasons were legal and for sensitivity. There may have been four versions of my report. I can't really remember."

She briefly mentions attending the inquiry with Mr Garnham QC. If we pick it up at the bottom of

to interview any, it must be done in an interview suite

with police officers and social workers present: in the

notes of this meeting it is recorded that

Marilyn Simpson had reservations about the use of video

interviews and although I remember these reservations

6 being raised, I do not recall what they were. However,

7 if I thought that video interview was the best thing to do, then that's what I would have done.

"What I did in preparation for my report was to follow original instructions to make sure things were all right in the future. I did them to the best of my ability. I did talk to some of the children but these were general chats about whether they were okay or felt safe or whether anything was upsetting them. I was happy that by this time nothing untoward was going on at the school.

"I remember that there was a report of an intruder in the school. The intruder is mentioned in my report. This incident happened in September 1990 and by March 1991 the police had decided that they were not getting involved in an investigation. I did not think that the response was adequate. When I went to this meeting I thought that the matters involved the intruder and other incidents that had previously occurred. I believed that they had been dealt with and that

Page 9

the page:

2.1

"I have been shown notes of a meeting held on 4 June 1991 which I attended. This was the first meeting I attended after my meeting with Diana Cavanagh. Steve Bradshaw and Diana Cavanagh were also present. At this meeting, I informed the group that it would be inappropriate for me to interview one child in isolation and that it wasn't feasible to interview them all. I also thought it was too late to interview them. There was also the issue of the children having spoken to each other during this period and how they had obtained information. There was no way I was going to get to the truth by attempting to do these interviews.

"This enquiry was also in the wake of the Langley enquiry, which was fresh in my memory as I gave evidence to the hearing. I was very critical at the way Rochdale had handled this case, although in no way was this going to cloud my judgment in this case and what was best for these children and cause the least harm. I went first of all to the school and spoke to the headmaster, Mr Steve Bradshaw, whom I found to be a first-class headmaster and who was desperate for help. I thought he was totally reliable and I thought I could work with him. I decided there was no way I could single out children to interview them properly and, if I was going

Page 10

Page 11

nothing further could be done. Social services had apparently decided not to take any action. Dr Fraser had spoken to the children concerned. I didn't put it in my report at the time but my feelings were concerned with the practicalities of interviewing the children and I wasn't going to get any help from the police or social services. The children had been spoken to and there was a lot of open discussion about it. I thought that the best I could do was to make sure the children would be safe from then on. In effect, I was problem solving and from that point on I was looking forwards not backwards.

"I never got a satisfactory answer from the police or social services.

"At the time I was working closely with Freema Taylor, a social worker, and I am sure we would have had a frank and lengthy discussion about this, but without my notes from this time I cannot remember what we discussed.

"I have been shown a copy of minutes of a meeting at Knowl View School dated 25 June 1991.

"I was in attendance at this meeting and again Diana Cavanagh and Steve Bradshaw were also present. From the notes I have seen it is obvious to me that by this stage I had decided I was not going to interview

Page 12

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

the children. 1 subsequent meetings the issue of an injunction in 2 2 "During the meeting I said that I had come to some respect of Rodney Hilton was brought up but I cannot 3 3 tentative conclusions and thought that it would neither recall any specific details." 4 be right nor necessary to interview the boys in school 4 If we then go down to the paragraph beginning, "My 5 because it was too long after the event, too many 5 report was completed and published": changes had occurred and it would be dredging up facts 6 "My report was completed and punished on 7 18 February 1992 and it was discussed at a meeting on 7 from which the boys had moved on. I have also stated 8 3 March 1992. 8 that there was no indication that any inappropriate 9 9 "Diana Cavanagh had seen the report and asked me to sexual behaviour was continuing in the school and 10 I thought that interviews with the boys in the school 10 remove the identification of the pupils and the sentence 11 might be harmful. 11 about the caretaker from my original report. I took 12 "I also reported at the meeting that I had spoken to 12 legal advice about the circulation of my report to 13 Detective Inspector Henderson of Greater Manchester 13 prevent having unrestricted circulation. As a result of 14 Police who had confirmed that from a police point of 14 that advice my report begins with the first section 15 view there were no ongoing concerns at the Smith Street 15 below the title 'Knowl View School'. I was concerned 16 16 that my report contained information about these toilets in Rochdale and the boys from Knowl View School. 17 17 "I have been shown a copy of minutes of a meeting children and may be seen by all sorts of committees 18 18 held on 1 August 1991. This was another follow-up without anyone asking my permission. Bill Lawley, the 19 meeting held in the director's office. There is 19 Borough Solicitor, continually reminded others of this 20 a reference in the minutes of the meeting to me visiting 20 legally binding statement. 21 A12 at home. 21 "I have no recollection of events 22 22 "I have no recollection of this visit and do not between September 1991 and February 1992 when 23 23 know who A12 is. I published my report. As I already stated, I have no 24 "Also during the meeting the services of an 24 original notes and I am relying on documents that I have 25 25 been shown relative to the time. educational school psychologist was discussed. It had Page 13 Page 15 1 not been possible to obtain this service due to 1 "I have been asked about the Shepherd Report. He 2 financial cutbacks and the school budget. Mr Edmonds, 2 went to the school to talk to the staff about problems 3 3 the senior educational psychologist who was present at and I think it was after Dr Fraser had been into the 4 the meeting, had said that they couldn't have 4 school but before I was involved. I believe a copy of 5 a dedicated psychologist, but really the school would 5 the report was handed to me to view some time after 6 have benefited had they had this service. 6 I had started my work as a document that would assist me 7 "It wasn't my position to offer this service." produce my report. 8 She goes on to describe an issue regarding F104, but 8 "I was appalled that they had been given all this 9 9 we will go over the page to page 5, please. If we could information and had done nothing about it." 10 zoom in at the paragraph beginning, "I have been shown 10 Then the final section, if we could go down to the 11 exhibit RC/7/I/1". She is then shown the minutes of 11 paragraph beginning, "Once my report had been 12 a meeting held on 12 September 1991 and says this: 12 published": 13 "During the meeting I reported that I had by this 13 "Once my report had been published and circulated, 14 14 time interviewed the caretaker, a male called Mr Found. I said that I would follow it up after a few months, but 15 I would describe him as a rather slippery type of 15 I was simply so busy I didn't revisit the school until person. He denied any knowledge that he knew people had 16 a year later. I probably rang Steve Bradshaw to see how 16 17 been coming into the school. I can't give more 17 things were going on, but I wasn't worried, as I hadn't 18 information without my original notes. I do recall he 18 been given any information that anything was wrong. Had 19 was performing duties beyond his responsibility as 19 any problems been brought to my attention, I would have 20 a caretaker and, as a result of some of the staff not 20 visited earlier. I think I posted my report to 21 21 being where they should be at night, he would often lock Diana Cavanagh and I wasn't present when she presented 22 up. There was a man across the road that he suspected 22 it. I don't think I attended any further meetings but 23 of coming into the school and I got the impression that 23 I just can't remember. 24 this man could only get in if Mr Found admitted him. 24 "When I did revisit, it was like a different school. 25 25 The male was called Rodney Hilton. I do remember at I remember that the atmosphere was lovely. Page 14 Page 16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Steve Bradshaw was relaxed and seemed quite happy with 2 how things were going. I had a tour of the school and 3 I was also happy with what I found. I can't remember if 4 I saw any pupils that I had previously seen at the 5 school during my visit. I did not go back to the school 6 after this visit and I would assume they would have 7 contacted me had any further problems arisen. 8 "I have been asked about Martin Digan. I did talk 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to Mr Digan but without my notes I cannot recall what was discussed.

"He was so wound up and anxious that I got more information from how he felt rather than what he had to say. He was so angry that it was difficult to get the information out of him. I wondered why other staff members hadn't come forward."

That's the excerpts from Ms Mellor's report. There is also a second, such shorter, statement which we will just ask to be published on the website. That's at GMP000111.

Statement of MR VINCENT HILL (read) MR HENDERSON: The next two statements are in volume 5, chair, of your bundles. Tab 27. If we could bring it up on the screen, it is the statement of Vincent Hill, GMP000097. If we could go over to the second page of this, please. Detective Sergeant Hill was involved in

Page 17

one time. I was in charge of one of these teams and DS Louise Evans and DS Tony Griffiths were in charge of the other team. DS Neil Prunell was the HOLMES office manager and he ran the HOLMES system. He also had members of staff indexing and researching.

"Sometime after 20 March 1998, I had a meeting with the head of Greater Manchester CID, Detective Chief Superintendent Tony Keegan, who handed me a bundle of documents abstracted from the original enquiry in 1970 by Lancashire Constabulary investigating allegations about Cyril Smith and sexual assaults against boys. As a result of handing me these documents I was instructed to review these documents and consequently I interviewed A1 at his home address in Rochdale on 21 October 1998. Then on Thursday, 12 November 1998 I interviewed David Bartlett. Mr Bartlett handed me documents, probably copies, of affidavits from alleged victims and documents made as a result of his own enquiries into the activities of Cyril Smith as he had perceived them. As a result of these interviews, I produced my report dated 2 December 1998. I handed my report together with a bundle of papers given to me and documents from Mr Bartlett personally to Detective Chief Superintendent Keegan. I have never seen these documents since but kept a copy of my report which I produce. To my

Page 19

Operation Cleopatra and we will see his account here:

"Operation Cleopatra was set up as a result of complaints made to other police forces of sexual abuse of children who had been in care and who also had been residents in care homes in Manchester where similar complaints had been made. It was decided that under these circumstances ownership of investigating these offences would be undertaken by Greater Manchester Police. The senior vetting officer when Cleopatra was set up was Detective Superintendent Stelfox and I think he also had responsibility for Stockport Division. The team structure at this time was Detective Inspector Ann Hewlett, myself, Detective Constable Naraine Oldham and indexer Carole Walker."

Drop down to the paragraph beginning "By 1997": "By 1997, Detective Superintendent Bill Roberts was appointed senior investigating officer. Mr Roberts was an experienced investigator and had managed protracted and complex cases in the past, including the Strangeways Riot Inquiry. He was also experienced with the HOLMES system and he implemented its use on Operation Cleopatra. DI Ann Hewlett remained as deputy senior investigating officer. There were three detective sergeants with an outside enquiry team consisting of three or four detective constables at any

Page 18

knowledge, none of these documents were registered on HOLMES. I do not know what the outcome of my report was and I was never told

"Early in 1999 I was instructed by Detective Superintendent Roberts to make enquiries as a result of my 1998 report; I do not recall how I was instructed, whether it was by an allocated action or verbal command. In March 1999, I interviewed A4 and A68. As a result of these interviews and further information I had gained I produced and submitted my report entitled 'Allegations re Cyril Smith' dated 18 March 1999. I personally handed the report with my recommendations to Detective Superintendent Roberts.

"My report was in order for Mr Roberts to review the evidence put forward and make actions as he saw fit. He did tell me that he did not want recommendations on the report. However, I felt that I could not submit an accurate and balanced report without my professional recommendations. My report was handwritten and signed by me and had one last additional paragraph consisting of 11 lines detailing my recommendations despite my instructions. Some days later, my report came back to me with a typed copy. This was standard procedure in order that I could proofread the typed copy with my original. Upon examination and subsequent proofreading,

Page 20

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

	IICSA Roche	dale Hea	aring 24 October 201
1	I noticed that my last paragraph had been marked with	1	operation and the force in March 2000."
2	scribbled line and that it was omitted from the typed	2	Over the page, and right down the bottom again:
3	copy. The typed copy was dated 29 March 1999. I signed	3	"Operation Cleopatra was an enquiry looking into
4	it and I kept the original handwritten report. I now	4	historical sexual abuse in care homes throughout
5	produce this as exhibit VH/2. I remained on	5	Greater Manchester. In early 1998 whilst stationed at
6	Operation Cleopatra until the end of 1999 when	6	Bootle Street Police Station, I was appointed as SIO on
7	I returned Stalybridge and then I was posted to	7	Operation Cleopatra. The fact I had spent a substantial
8	Bootle Street Police Station until my retirement from	8	period of time as SIO on Operation Chittering had
9	the force on 10 October 2001. During my enquiries I met	9	significant bearing on the decision to appoint me as the
10	up with Martin Digan. He showed me several documents	10	SIO. I was at that time by far the most experienced
11	including the Val Mellor and Phil Shepherd reports.	11	senior detective in GMP with regard to sexual and
12	I have reported during my meeting with Digan that I have	12	child abuse enquiries."
13	submitted copies of these documents with my report.	13	Turn over the page. At the top:
14	I do not know if Digan handed me these or if we were	14	"Operation Cleopatra was established before I joined
15	already in possession of them. Whilst I made my	15	it and I recall that Detective Superintendent
16	enquiries, I mainly conducted them with DC Mary Hough	16	Peter Stelfox was the senior investigating officer. It
17	who is also now retired."	17	had a very small team and was being conducted from
18	Chair, you have already been taken in evidence to	18	Bredbury Police Station. Peter Stelfox wanted to
19	the final typed version of DS Hill's report, but we also	19	concentrate on other matters and so I replaced him as
20	have a copy of the handwritten version that he refers	20	the senior investigating officer. Already in place as
21	to. Just for completeness, I would ask for it to be	21	the deputy SIO was Detective Inspector Ann Hewlett, an
22	brought up. It is at GMP001134.	22	officer I knew well. One of the enquiry team sergeants
23	Could we please go to page 11 of that. You can see	23	was Vince Hill, who was an officer I had known since we
24	there, chair, at the bottom the two paragraphs which	24	were both cadets, although he was an intake behind me.
25	Mr Hill says were taken out of the typed version.	25	"My initial recollection of Operation Cleopatra was
	Page 21		Page 23
1	I will just read them out:	1	that it was not being run as a major incident but more
2	"My own view is that a further investigation would	2	on an ad hoc basis. It had been an enquiry that had
3	be merited now that the information has been made	3	gained momentum and grown. It now needed to be looked
4	available to Operation Cleopatra.	4	at differently and it had to have some definite focus
5	"I feel that despite current priorities for the	5	put in place as I felt that it hadn't had a formal feel
6	investigation, it would be better carried out sooner	6	to it."
7	rather than later in order that the police be seen to be	7	If you can scroll down to the bottom of the page:
8	acting expeditiously and with due concern for those	8	"I was not given a specific remit when I went to
9	involved.	9	Operation Cleopatra other than I was the senior
10	"Submitted for your attention."	10	investigating officer and I was in charge of that
11	As far as we can work out, that is the paragraph	11	investigation. Although Peter Stelfox would have
12	that was taken out. Mr Hill made a second statement,	12	initially put policies in place, I know that I would
13	but, again, we are just going to publish this, it is	13	have added my own. Quite soon after I arrived I decided
14	GMP000098.	14	to implement a joint operating policy that a police
15	Statement of MR WILLIAM ROBERTS (read)	15	officer would work jointly with a social worker. The
16	MR HENDERSON: Then I think finally this morning, chair,	16	operation was gaining momentum and we were having
17	there is a statement from Detective Superintendent	17	a large number of referrals that required close liaison

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ad a formal feel ttom of the page: when I went to as the senior harge of that fox would have w that I would fter I arrived I decided cy that a police social worker. The and we were having quired close liaison with social workers from across 10 different authorities. It therefore seemed commonsense to me that we should all be together under one roof. I decided to incorporate the social services staff with our enquiry team and I brought them to Grey Mare Lane. They had their own supervisor in Lorraine Wilson. They were conscious about confidentiality of their files and so they were allocated their own secure office, albeit on

Page 24

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

William Roberts. You will find that at the next tab in

your bundles, tab 28, at sub-tab A. If we could bring

Mr Roberts' account in relation to what Mr Hill has, as

you have heard, just said. If we just look down the

bottom, he confirms in the last couple of lines there:

officer for Operation Cleopatra and I retired from that

"In 1998 I was appointed senior investigating

Page 22

it up on the screen, it's GMP001008. This is

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the same corridor as the police officers. I did not have a say on the social service personnel that we received, it was decided by the respective local authorities. As a result of my initiative, GMP became the first force in the country to implement this truly joint working practice between police and social services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

24

25

"This joint operation did not meet with everyone's approval and some police officers were resistant, none more so than Vince Hill. This surprised me as Vince Hill had worked closely with social services whilst on his Divisional Family Support Unit and I would have thought that he was used to working with them. I don't recall Vince Hill coming to see me on that specific subject of working with a social worker but he was certainly against the idea and he made it known at meetings, briefings, et cetera."

If we then turn over the page to page 5 -- in fact, we can go all the way to page 6. In the middle of the page:

"I have also been shown and have read exhibit VH/2."

That's what we have just looked at, chair:

"This is an original handwritten report allegedly submitted by DS Hill titled 'Allegations re Cyril Smith' and dated 18 March 1999. As far as I am concerned,

Page 25

I have not seen this report before and I didn't instruct Vince Hill to submit it. Had I instructed Vince Hill to

submit that report, then I would have raised an action

4 via the HOLMES system and his report would have been 5 submitted in typed form and seen by the HOLMES team

submitted in typed form and seen by the HOLMES team before reaching me.

before reaching me.
 "Operation Cleopatra was conducted using the HOLMES

system, which is a Home Office Large Major Enquiry System. This system works as follows: every person involved in or connected with the enquiry is referred to as a nominal. This ranges from the SIO, all officers

12 and civilian staff working on the enquiry, all

witnesses, suspects and victims. Every nominal is
 allocated a unique nominal number. All subsequent

references to that person will include his or her

nominal number. When an enquiry is required to be made of a person, place, incident, vehicle, et cetera, an

of a person, place, incident, vehicle, et cetera, an

action is raised. The action can only be raised if
 there is an originating document in existence. This is

20 usually in the form of a message submitted on a message

form and allocated its unique message number. The

action will itself be given a unique action number and
 all references to it will include that action number.

all references to it will include that action number.

The action will be allocated to a particular officer for

enquiry. All reports made by that officer in connection

Page 26

with the action will be submitted on an action continuation form. This is different to the general report forms (form 733) used in the police and is

report forms (form 733) used in the police and exclusive to major incident enquiries."

He then goes on to explain how that system worked in Operation Cleopatra, but if we could drop down to the bottom of page 7, you will see he says this:

"Having read the report VH/2, I haven't seen anything in it that would have caused me, as SIO, to instigate an investigation against Cyril Smith within the parameters of Operation Cleopatra. Nor is there anything in it that would assist me to particularly recall it. I saw hundreds, if not thousands, of reports during my time as SIO on Operation Cleopatra.

"I make the point that, having regard to the description of the HOLMES system I have given above, the only way I could have seen the two reports allegedly submitted by Vince Hill is if they had gone through the system and first been read and initialled by five personnel engaged as the HOLMES team. I have been told that there is no trace of these two reports in the HOLMES system. I am confident, therefore, that neither of them ever entered Operation Cleopatra and that I never saw them."

Page 27

If we turn over the page. At the top there:

"I would also stress it would be highly unusual for any report concerning Cyril Smith or any other person associated with Operation Cleopatra to have been submitted through the above system on a form 733 by an officer working on the enquiry. Vince Hill apparently claims that he submitted report VH/2 for typing and that, when the typed copy was returned to him, he noticed that a number of recommendations he had included in his written report had been omitted."

Again, chair, you have seen those:

"All reports submitted for typing within a major incident are typed directly onto the HOLMES system. There is no other way that a typed copy of report VH/2 could have been typed within Operation Cleopatra.

"I am informed that no copy of VH/2 has been found on the HOLMES system. It could not, therefore, have been submitted for typing through Operation Cleopatra in the first place. The allegation that it was is therefore spurious. It is also noteworthy that, once something has been typed into the HOLMES system, the original document, be it a report, action or statement, is then filed away and is never seen again unless, for instance, it is required as an exhibit during a court case. The original copy is never kept by the officer who submitted it."

1 We then drop down to the paragraph beginning 1 returned to his division." 2 2 "I recall the name of Knowl View School": If we just scroll down to the middle of this page, 3 "I recall the name of Knowl View School, but there 3 that paragraph beginning "I cannot recall: 4 has been so much press coverage about that subject 4 "I cannot recall ever having any meetings 5 I cannot be sure whether it is my memory of Cleopatra or 5 specifically about Knowl View School and Cyril Smith. 6 what I have read in the papers. I think I saw reports 6 I can state that no person, either internally within the 7 7 of allegations at Knowl View that involved Cyril Smith police or externally, has ever attempted to exert any 8 but these allegations I recall were that Cyril Smith, 8 pressure on me to either investigate or not to 9 who was looked upon as 'Mr Rochdale' was on a board of 9 investigate anyone, including Cyril Smith. I would have 10 Knowl View or other home in Rochdale and would chastise 10 been appalled had anyone tried to do so and I feel sure 11 the boys there and that indeed parents of boys would 11 that anyone who knows me and who has worked with me 12 actually send them to Cyril Smith to be chastised. The 12 would corroborate the fact that I was not a person who 13 allegations I saw were that he smacked them on the 13 would have acceded to such a request/order. 14 backside and in some cases he pulled their pants down 14 "Had there been evidence to investigate and arrest 15 and smacked them on the backside. Within the context of 15 Cyril Smith, then we would have done that. We were, 16 what we were dealing with on Operation Cleopatra, these 16 however, dealing with allegations of very serious cases 17 were not serious enough to warrant immediate attention 17 of sexual abuse committed by people who had been, and in 18 18 and deviate from our enquiries which involved serious some cases still were, in a position of care and control 19 sexual assaults including buggery and rape." 19 over vulnerable young children. These had to take 20 20 priority over the allegations of comparatively minor If we then turn over the page, and can we go to 21 page 10. Just two other little sections here. If we go 21 offences concerning Cyril Smith." 22 22 down the bottom of the page: Finally, he says, at the bottom of that page: 23 "I have been told that Vince Hill left the enquiry 23 "I have subsequently been informed that, following 24 on 24 November 1999. The decision to remove Vince Hill 24 investigations by officers engaged on Operation Clifton 25 was a really hard decision. I had known Vince since 25 [which we will hear more about today, chair] a typed Page 29 Page 31 1 copy of exhibit VH/2 has been discovered. This, 1 I was 17 and I considered us to be friends, not close, 2 but we had a good working relationship. During my 2 however, has been found not to have been typed onto the 3 3 HOLMES system. Five people are named in the report 30 years' police career, 24 years were spent as 4 4 submitted by Vince Hill which it has now been discovered a supervisor and in all that time I only had to have 5 strong words with four people and two of those were on 5 formed an advice file that was sent to the CPS. A written advice letter from CPS has also been 6 Operation Cleopatra. Vince Hill didn't grasp the system 6 7 7 discovered in response to Vince Hill's submission. The and embrace what I was asking. In fact, quite the 8 contrary. He was probably the officer who was most 8 letter is addressed directly to Vince Hill and, as in 9 9 the case of the original submission, was never processed vocal regarding his disgruntlement in having to work 10 with a social worker. He continued to submit 10 through the Cleopatra system." 11 unnecessarily lengthy reports despite my instructions 11 Chair, you will recall we have seen that advice file 12 12 and the response. That I think is all the reading we not to include superfluous information. Eventually, 13 after he submitted a further statement that had numerous 13 are going to do for the moment. I am going to hand back 14 14 pages with inconsequential details, I decided to remove over to Mr Altman. 15 him from the operation. I remember that we had a long 15 MR ALTMAN: Thank you. Richard Farnell, please. MR RICHARD GEORGE FARNELL (affirmed) 16 meeting and it was conducted in the office of 16 17 Examination by MR ALTMAN 17 the social services supervisor with just Vince Hill and 18 18 MR ALTMAN: Give us your full name, if you would, I present. 19 "I cannot remember the full details of our 19 Mr Farnell? 20 conversation but I told Vince Hill that he would have to 20 THE CHAIR: Excuse me, Mr Altman, could I be directed to the 21 return to division. He looked shocked and I recall him 21 statement, please? 22 saying at the end of our discussion words to the effect 22 MR ALTMAN: It is section 6 of week 3. There is no 23 23 statement, as such, chair. There are interviews and of that [top of the page there] this was ironic as he 24 was just beginning to appreciate that what I was saying 24 some press reports, but there is no statement. 25 25 was right. I told him that it was too late and he THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. Page 30 Page 32

1 MR ALTMAN: Give us your full name, if you would, please? 1 Q. But you weren't there very long, because by 2012 I think 2 2 A. Sorry, before you start, I am having trouble hearing you had become a councillor again at Rochdale? 3 you, so if the volume could be brought up a bit. 3 A. Yes, but I was still -- for a short period, I was still 4 Q. I don't know if the technical people can do that. Just 4 working for Liverpool. 5 a moment 5 Q. But then you retired? 6 Mr Farnell, can you give us your full name, please? 6 A. Yes, took early retirement. 7 7 A. My name is Richard George Farnell. Q. By 2014, you became the leader of the council again? 8 Q. In 1980, were you elected as a councillor for Newbold 8 A. Yes, that's correct. 9 9 Q. Following a gap of, what, 22 years? 10 A. Yes, that's correct. 10 A. Something around that, yes. Q. In 1985, did you become the leader of the Labour group? 11 11 Q. Are you still the leader of Rochdale Council? 12 12 A. I'm still the leader, yes. A. Yes, I did. 13 Q. When you were then in opposition? 13 Q. You will remember the name Mary Moffat, I assume? 14 A. Yes. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. In 1986, am I right in saying that the Labour Party took 15 Q. Am I right to think that she has since passed away? 16 control of the council. Rochdale Council? 16 A. She has, yes. A. It did, yes. 17 17 Q. Was it during all of the time that you were leader that Q. Was it in 1986 or 1987 that you became the leader of 18 18 she was chair of the Education Committee? 19 the council? 19 A. Yes, all the time from '86 to '92, she was the chair of 20 20 the Education Committee. A. In 1986. 21 Q. In 1992, when you were still leader, that was a pretty 21 O. She was a Labour politician? 22 disastrous year for Labour; is that right? 22 A. Labour councillor, yes. 23 A. What year, sorry? 23 Q. Part of your Labour group on the council? 24 Q. 1992. 24 A. Yes. 25 25 Q. I think when you spoke to the police, you called her A. Yes, it was, yes. Page 33 Page 35 Q. When you lost control of the council? 1 1 a key person? 2 2 A. Certainly in education, yes. A. That's correct. 3 3 Q. You lost your seat; is that right? Q. She was presumably a political colleague with whom you 4 4 had had any number of meetings during the course of A. That's correct. 5 5 O. You ceased to be a councillor? the years 1986 to 1992 when you were leader in that 6 A. That's true. 6 period? 7 Q. I am simply taking this from an interview with the 7 A. Yes, we were on a number of committees together. 8 police in 2016. Did you then go to university for three 8 Q. Do you remember that Mary Moffat had an office in the 9 g years? **Education Department?** 10 A. For three years, yes. 10 A. Yes, I was aware of that, yes. 11 Q. Studied journalism? 11 Q. As leader of the council, was it part of your role to 12 A. That's correct, yes. 12 chair the committee that was known as the Policy and 13 Q. I think you were in your mid 30s at the time? 13 Resources Committee? 14 14 A. That's correct. A. Yes, that would be about right, yes. 15 Q. After that, working in newspapers. Then for Tameside 15 Q. Which met how often? A. Meetings took place over a six-weekly cycle and there 16 Council press office. Then on to Liverpool? 16 17 would be around about two meetings per cycle. 17 A. That's correct, yes. 18 Q. Working in their council press office? 18 Q. Who would attend those meetings? 19 A. Mmm-hmm. 19 A. It was mainly predominantly members of the chairs of 20 Q. Then in 2011, did you become the head of the Leader's 20 the committees. There would be one or two other Labour 21 21 councillors on there and there would be opposition office in Liverpool? 22 22 A. Around that, yes. Around that. members as well. 23 23 Q. So it would be a mix of Labour and, what, the main Q. Again, I am simply taking this from what you said to the 24 police in April 2016. 24 parties or all the other parties? 25 25 A. Yes, it would be around there. A. All the other parties -- the Conservatives and Liberals.

Page 34

3

- 1 Q. Was there also something that you called, or was called,
- 2 a chairs' panel?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Was that a committee of all of the chairs of the various
- 5 committees that would meet?
- 6 A. Yes, all the chairs of the main committees of
- 7 the council, yes.
- 8 Q. Including, what, education?
- 9 A. Education, social services, development services, and so 10
- 11 Q. Were those also routine meetings?
- 12 A. They would meet again around about twice during the 13
- 14 O. What kind of things would be discussed at them?
- 15 A. There were really two elements to that. I established
- 16 the chairs' panel because I wanted councillors to be
- 17 able to talk through the big issues together, to come
- 18 together. So it would be dealing with the major policy
- 19 items of the council, particularly our manifesto. We
- 20 had major policies that we wanted to deliver and we'd
- 21 discuss those.
- 22 Q. Purely limited to policy?
- 23 A. No. What I also asked for, both of councillors and
- 24 chief officers, if there was a major contentious issue,
- 25 that that be brought to the chairs' panel as well.

A. That's correct, yes.

- 2 Q. Did those meetings, those informal meetings, the
 - leadership meetings -- again, this is simply something
- 4 I have picked up from your interview with the police in
- 5 2016. Would the head of legal services also attend
- 6 those leadership meetings between you and your deputy?
- 7 A. My recollection, he was at most of those meetings, yes.
- 8 Q. That was David Shipp around that time?
- 9 A. It was, yes.
- 10 Q. Who was your deputy?
- 11 A. I had about three deputies during the six years. The
- 12 first one was Peter Roberts. Mary Moffat was the deputy
- 13 for a short period. But the majority of the time, it
- 14 was Jim Dobbin.
- 15 Q. Who later on became an MP?
- 16 A. Member of Parliament for a constituency within the 17
 - borough, ves.
- 18 Q. Do you remember now when Mary Moffat was your deputy?
- 19 A. It was in the -- certainly in the first half of those
- 20 six years. I think she was only deputy for a year.
- 21 Q. How were serious issues occurring within Rochdale
- 22 brought to your attention?
- 23 A. Probably there were three ways that it could be brought

Page 39

- 24 to my attention. One -- or possibly four. One, they
- 25 could have been raised at the informal Thursday

Page 37

- 1 Q. Presumably individual chairs who attended the chair
- 2 panel meetings could raise issues of interest to them?
- 3 A. They could, as could the chief officers as well.
- 4 Q. Was it the kind of meeting where you would have set
- 5 agendas or could people raise items ad hoc?
- 6 A. There was a set agenda of items to be discussed and
- 7 then -- I don't recall there being many items brought to 8
- the meeting ad hoc, but there was the facility for that 9
- to happen, yes.
- 10 Q. These weren't political meetings?
- 11 A. Sorry?
- 12 Q. They weren't political meetings?
- 13 A. No, no, because they were serviced and attended by 14 council officers.
- 15 Q. Would occasionally the chief executive attend meetings?
- 16 A. The chief executive was at all the meetings.
- 17 Q. At all of them?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, in addition to your chairs' panel meetings which
- 20 you instituted, was there also something known as
- 2.1 a leadership meeting or leadership meetings?
- 22 A. I think you're referring to an informal meeting that the
- 23 leader and the deputy had with the chief executive.
- 24 Q. Yes. So you had those, and the executive at the time
- 25 was John Pierce?

- 1 briefings, but they were mainly catch-ups rather than
- 2

5

- 3 Q. That's the leadership meeting we were discussing, is it?
- 4 A. Yes. It didn't really have a title. It was so informal
 - it didn't have a title.
- The three other ways were, a report could be 6
- 7 presented to the Policy and Resources Committee; the
- 8 matter could have been brought before the chairs' panel;
- g and, thirdly, directors could bring that directly to me,
- 10 ask for a meeting and have a direct meeting with myself.
- 11 Q. So three principal ways by which serious issues could be 12 brought to the leader's attention?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And a fourth, which was informal? 14
- 15

17

- Q. Did they include issues with serious political 16
 - ramifications?
- 18 A. Issues that would have an impact on the reputation of 19
 - the council, I would think, yes.
- 20 Q. Or ones, for example, that might prove scandalous?
- 21 A. Yes, that ...
- 22 Q. Or issues that might embarrass the Labour Party or you
- 23 personally?
- 24 A. In theory, but I don't ever recall that kind of issue
- 25 being raised.

Page 38

- 1 Q. What, in all the time that you were leader of Rochdale, 2 there was never any issue that came to your attention
- 3 which was potentially or actually politically
- 4 embarrassing?
- 5 A. Politically embarrassing to the party, but I don't
- 6 recall anything that was politically embarrassing to
- 7

- 8 Q. So that's the distinction that you are making? So
- 9 nothing politically embarrassing to you, but to the
- 10 party generally -- you don't recall anything personal to
- 11 you, but what about to the party?
- 12 A. I think the two were really looked at together. It was
- 13 a Labour-run council and it was the Labour Party. So
- 14 those would be intertwined, really.
- 15 Q. But do you remember now, looking back to the period when
- 16 you were leader, between '86 and '92, any politically
 - embarrassing issues being brought to your attention?
- 18 A. At those meetings? I'm trying to think. I can't 19 remember directly.
- 20 Q. Middleton. You know what I mean by Middleton, don't
- 21 you? The wardship cases?
- 22 A. The satanic abuse case, yes.
- 23 Q. You were leader when that blew up; is that right?
- 24 A. That's correct, yes.
- 25 Q. We know that the judgment, the High Court judgment, in

- 1 reason to be vigilant about what your officers were
- 2

5

15

17

19

24

10

12

- 3 A. My recollection of the response to satanic abuse was
- 4 perhaps different. What our concerns were, were morale
 - within the department and also to give support to the
- 6 department in recovering from what was a very traumatic period. 7
- 8 Q. But that's focusing on Middleton. Do you think it led
- 9 to you being, or becoming, more vigilant about what else 10 was going on in Rochdale?
- 11 A. That's not my recollection. We were focused on
- 12 social services and to try and put right that
- 13 department.
- 14 Q. Did you think, Mr Farnell, that it would have been
 - politically embarrassing for the council, at the very
- time that the Middleton judgment was handed down making 16
 - headlines about cradle snatching, for you to have
- 18 another scandal on your hands revolving around the
 - sexual activities of Knowl View School pupils in and
- 20 outside the school?
- 21 A. Yes, I think that would be a fair assessment.
- 22 Q. Not only would it be politically embarrassing for
- 23 Labour, but those with whom you worked were sufficiently
 - politically astute to have realised that, weren't they,
- 25 such as Mary Moffat?

Page 41

- 1 the Middleton cases was, we are told, published in
- 2 early March 1991. You will remember it pretty well, I'm
- 3 sure, Mr Farnell?
- 4 A. I do remember it, yes.
- 5 Q. That led to pretty bad publicity for the council, didn't
- 6 it?

8

- 7 A. It did, yes.
 - Q. Mr Davey, who you will remember well, who was acting
- q Director of Social Services on Mr Littlemore's
- 10 resignation and then became director, told us that the 11 council was besieged?
- 12 A. It was, yes. Yes. I think the story was on --
- 13 following the judgment, it was on the front pages of
- 14 national newspapers from memory, yes.
- 15 Q. Almost certainly impacted morale in the council; do you
- 16 agree?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. As well as working practices?
- 19 A. In what respect?
- 20 Q. Well, it affected how social services in particular
- 21 looked at its working practices, didn't it?
- 22 A. Yes, it would have done, yes.
- 23 Q. Did the effect of the Middleton scandal and the fallout

Page 42

- 24 from it within the council in general and
- 25 social services in particular give you, as leader,

- 1 A. Yes, I would think so, yes. 2
 - Q. So what did she tell you about Knowl View?
- 3 A. I have no recollection of Mary Moffat raising Knowl View

Page 43

- 4 with me.
- 5 Q. So Mary Moffat, who was for a short period of time
- 6 during your leadership your deputy, who was throughout
- 7 the whole period of time of your leadership the
- 8 education chair, you say you have got no recollection at
- 9 all of her ever raising Knowl View with you?
 - A. No recollection whatsoever.
- 11 Q. When you say, Mr Farnell, "I, Richard Farnell, have no
 - recollection whatsoever", what does that really mean?
- 13 A. I do not recall Mary Moffat mentioning to me any issues 14 connected with Knowl View.
- 15 Q. Is it something, if she had raised it, you are likely to
- 16 17 A. If she had raised that, I wouldn't have forgotten it,
- 18
- 19 Q. So what you are really telling us is, she never did?
- 20 A. Yes, I'm saying that.
- 21 Q. Is that what you are saying?
- 22 A. Yes.

24

- 23 Q. So Mary Moffat, in all the years that we know there were
 - problems at Knowl View, never once raised -- not a word?
- 25 A. Not a word.

1 Q. Not a word? Q. Are you serious, that I have to remind you, even after 2 2 all of these years, Mr Farnell, about what happened at A. No. 3 3 Q. So she left you, your erstwhile deputy, and your Knowl View School in September 1990? 4 education chair, completely and utterly exposed, as 4 A. I'm not aware of that, no. 5 Labour leader of the council, to scandal at Knowl View? 5 Q. Are you really not aware of what I am talking about when 6 Is that what you are telling us? 6 I mention September 1990? 7 7 A. I'm not aware of what the dates -- specifically the A. What I'm saying is, Mary Moffat's style wasn't to come 8 8 date -running to me every five minutes with problems within 9 9 the department. She was a formidable politician in her Q. Are you aware of the name Roderick Hilton? 10 own right. She got on with the job and she was 10 A. I have become aware of it subsequently. 11 confident in her own abilities to deal with issues that 11 Q. When did you become aware of that? 12 were put before her. 12 A. In the last three years, when Knowl View has been very 13 13 Q. Just think about what you are saying, Mr Farnell. You much in the public domain. 14 were the leader. She was the education chair. However 14 O. Since you learned the name Roderick Hilton and you 15 formidable she was, any astute politician would not 15 learned the detail of what Roderick Hilton did at the leave the leader unsighted by the kind of issues this school on two nights in September 1990, doubtless, 16 16 17 enquiry has heard about going on at Knowl View between 17 Mr Farnell, you thought to yourself that that sounded 18 18 at least 1989 and the time that you lost your seat in like a very serious incident indeed? 19 the council in May 1992. Is that what you are seriously 19 A. I wasn't aware of any event in 1990 --20 telling the enquiry? 20 Q. That's not my question. 21 A. Yes. 2.1 A. Sorry, if you could repeat the question? 22 22 Q. She never brought it to your attention? Q. My question is, when you learned about it, you must have 23 23 A. She never brought it to my attention. said to yourself that that sounded like a very serious 24 Q. And nor did anyone else? 24 incident indeed? 2.5 25 A. Yes, that -- yes. A. No. Page 45 Page 47 1 Q. Not any single chair of any single committee who sat on 1 Q. It was serious, Mr Farnell, because not only had 2 your chairs' panel? No? 2 a paedophile been admitted to a boys' school, but also, 3 3 on the second night of his intrusion into the school, he A. No. 4 Q. Not the chief executive, John Pierce? 4 sexually abused at least one boy. You say you came to 5 5 A. He didn't, no. know that? 6 Q. Not the Borough Solicitor? 6 A. Yes. 7 A. No. 7 Q. But that was only more recently? 8 Q. No-one? A. Yes, in the last few years, yes. I wasn't aware of it 9 Q A. No-one. at the time. 10 Q. So you were completely and utterly oblivious to what was 10 Q. Did Mary Moffat never mention even the few sentences 11 going on at Knowl View for all of those years? 11 I have used to describe that incident to you on any 12 A. All those people you mentioned did not bring that to my 12 occasion in all of the time since September 1990? 13 attention. 13 A. No. 14 14 Q. You see, we know it was serious enough for Mary Moffat Q. Did anybody else, Mr Farnell? 15 A. No. 15 to have been told immediately about it. In fact, 16 Mary Moffat, the education chair, was told about that 16 Q. No-one? 17 17 incident before the Director of Education was told A. No-one. 18 Q. Is that reality, do you think? 18 because she was told it by Brian Williams. You know who 19 19 A. It is what happened in Knowl View. Brian Williams was? 20 Q. Is it the truth, Mr Farnell? 20 A. He was an officer in the Education Department. 2.1 A. It is the truth, certainly the truth, yes. 21 Q. He had telephoned Mary Moffat about the Hilton incident 22 22 Q. When you were interviewed by the police before ringing Diana Cavanagh, who was then Acting Chief 23 about September 1990 in April 2016 -- do you know what 23 Education Officer. Just thinking about it for a moment,

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Mr Farnell, if that had got out, there was a risk

in September 1990, if the press had discovered it and

Page 48

I'm talking about when I mention September 1990?

Page 46

A. You will have to remind me.

24

25

24

25

15

24

5

7

8

12

17

23

24

- 1 had wanted to make something of it, that was pretty
- 2 scandalous, don't you think?
- 3 A. The issue, really, is not so much, "This is a scandal",
- 4 it's the fact that the incident had happened and the
- 5 incident happened at an establishment of the council.
- 6 Q. Yes. But whichever way you skin this particular cat,
- 7 Mr Farnell, this isn't going to make very good news for
- 8 you as political leader, is it?
- 9 A. I think the more important thing is that this incident
- 10 had happened and it needed to -- it needs to be
- 11 investigated and put right. The consequence of it would
- 12 have been very bad publicity.
- 13 Q. Which is the very reason why I am suggesting to you, and
- 14 have done more than once, that the idea that you never
- 15 knew about it and were never told by Mary Moffat is just ridiculous, isn't it? 16
- 17 A. That's your view, but I can only repeat that Mary Moffat
- 18 was the kind of councillor who got on with these things,
- 19 didn't share these things with many councillors, if at
- 20 all. She got on with the job. She didn't come running
- 2.1 to me with, "I need your help with this", or, "Do you
- 22 know about this?". Education was very much her domain
- 23 and she got on with it and that was her style.
- 24 Q. Did you never see anything published locally about the
- 25 Hilton incident, Mr Farnell?

- published article about Roderick Hilton and what he did,
- 2 my question is, did it not come to your attention
- 3 through the paper that Roderick Hilton had offended at
- 4 Knowl View School?
- 5 A. Through the paper, no, I have no recollection of reading
- 6 anything in the paper at that time about
- 7 Roderick Hilton, and if I'd have read this article, then
- 8 there's absolutely no indication that it's connected
- 9 with Knowl View in any way whatsoever.
- 10 Q. I agree. So if Mr Rowen was being accurate with the
- 11 inquiry in telling us that he had picked up about the
- 12 Hilton incident and clearly its link to Knowl View from
- 13 an article in the Rochdale Observer, there had to be
- 14 another article, not this one?
 - A. That's Councillor Rowen's view, yes.
- Q. Nobody brought it to your attention, Mr Farnell? "We 16
- 17 have read in the newspaper, the local newspaper, that
- 18 a chap called Hilton has sexually assaulted a boy at
- 19 Knowl View School, which is our only residential school,
- 20 what are we going to do about this?" 21 A. Again, nobody has got any information that that report
- 22 ever appeared in the Rochdale Observer, so whether
- 23 Councillor Rowen was referring to that or another
 - article, I don't know; whether he's mistaken, I don't
- 25 know.

Page 49

- 1 A. At the time?
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. I don't recall seeing it.
- 4 Q. See, I only ask because we heard from Paul Rowen, who
- 5 I am sure you will know pretty well, who gave evidence
- 6 to the inquiry last week, who told us that he became
- 7 aware of the Hilton incident, as we have come to
- 8 characterise it, via a report in the Rochdale Observer.
- 9 Did you become aware of the Hilton incident because of
 - any writeup in the Rochdale Observer?
- 11 A. Have you got a copy of that writeup?
- 12 Q. The only copy of any report that we have -- I can put it
- 13 up on screen and you can have a look. It is a very
- 14 small one, but I don't know if it is the only one there
- 15 ever was. It is RHC002014. If we can blow up "Sex
- 16 charge remand", please, next to the advertisement.
- 17 Thank you. That doesn't tell us anything at all about
- Knowl View, does it? 18
- 19 A. No.

10

- 20 Q. But Mr Rowen told us that he picked up information about
- 2.1 it because he'd read something about it in the
- 22 Rochdale Observer. We can't say that this is the only
- 23 time the Rochdale Observer published anything about it,
- 24 but that was his evidence to this inquiry. So my
- 25 question is, on the assumption that this wasn't the only

Page 50

- Page 51
- 1 Q. But my question is, if there was such an article, and if
- 2 his recollection is accurate, no-one brought it to your
- 3 attention?
- 4 A. If there was such an article, and I have no recollection
 - of reading such an article, I would have been aware of
- 6 it or somebody would have brought it to my attention, if
 - that was the case.
- Q. But nobody did?
- 9 A. Nobody -- no.
- 10 Q. Let's move on, then, to the following year, March/April
- period 1991. By now, the school and Rochdale Council 11
 - were not only dealing with the aftermath of
- 13 Roderick Hilton, but they were also dealing with sexual
- 14 exploitation of Knowl View and other boys at
- 15 Smith Street toilets. You know about Smith Street
- 16 toilets?
 - A. Yes, I have heard of the --
- 18 Q. They were also dealing with peer-on-peer sexual abuse at
- 19 school. By now, your Director of Education,
- 20 Diana Cavanagh, was involved, having meetings, strategy
- 21 meetings and the like, with social services, police,
- 22 psychologists, child protection, as well as your acting,
 - soon to become director, of social services, Ian Davey,
- 25 you thought proved to be an excellent Director of Social

Page 52

who, if my memory serves me, you said to police in 2016

		,	
1	Services?	1	A. It must have been at the very end of my time on the
2	A. After I'd left the council, that was the feedback I	2	council.
3	Q. That was feedback, was it? That wasn't your personal	3	Q. We have seen, and I think you know about, letters
4	view?	4 5	passing between Councillor Hawton as chair of the health
5	A. I was not on the council at that point.		authority and Ian Davey and Diana Cavanagh on 4 April.
6	Q. Still, Mr Farnell, are you telling us that even in March	6	I think you were told about that, or you were informed
7	or April 1991, you were still completely and utterly	7	of some of the detail of that when you were interviewed
8	ignorant of the serious sexual abuse issues that were	8	by police in 2016.
9	going on at the school?	9	A. Yes.
10	A. I was unaware of those incidents because the Director of	10	Q. Because she wanted, Pam Hawton, in light of what she had
11	Education, the Director of Social Services and the chief	11	learned from the report of a man by the name of
12	executive failed to inform me of those incidents.	12	Phil Shepherd, which is a name I suspect is at least now
13	Q. So the man who came to prove an excellent Director of	13	familiar to you
14	Social Services, he never mentioned a word to you?	14	A. It is, yes.
15	A. He never did, no.	15	Q she wanted a thorough investigation, ideally by
16	Q. Mrs Cavanagh, who this inquiry has seen in evidence for	16	a person of good standing, independent of the council,
17	a day and a half, she said nothing to you?	17	with Social Services Department taking the lead but in
18	A. She said nothing to me and she's told the inquiry she	18	conjunction with the Education Department. Did you not
19	never raised it with me.	19	know about any of that?
20	Q. And Mary Moffat never raised it with you, with whom she	20	A. No.
21	was liaising?	21	Q. It never came to your ears?
22	A. She was sorry?	22	A. No.
23	Q. Mary Moffat, with whom Diana Cavanagh was liaising and	23	Q. On the same date, Diana Cavanagh, Ian Davey and
24	in contact, she never raised it with you?	24	Janet Bowyer, who was the child protection lead, had
25	A. As I have said, she never raised it with me.	25	a meeting and they determined to have a further strategy
	D 52		D 55
	Page 53		Page 55
1	Q. Pamela Hawton?	1	meeting on 11 April 1991. Did you know about that?
2	A. No, definitely not.	2	A. I have learned about it subsequently, yes.
3	Q. She was the Tory education spokesperson, wasn't she?	3	Q. But at the time?
4	She was also chair of the health authority?	4	A. At the time, I wasn't aware of it.
5	A. She was leader of the Conservative group and chair of	5	MR ALTMAN: Diana Cavanagh made a handwritten note of
6	the health authority. I wasn't aware she was	6	the meeting that she had with Ian Davey and with
7	spokesperson on education.	7	Janet Bowyer. I think you may have been shown this
8	Q. We have seen her referred to as that in several meeting	8	before. I am going to show it to you again. I am just
9	minutes. Whatever she was, she never said anything to	9	going to pause for a moment, because I see the time.
10	you?	10	What happens, Mr Farnell, is the chair normally has
11	A. No.	11	a break. I am not going to finish your examination any
12	Q. Your opposite number never said anything to you?	12	time soon, so it may be this is as good a time as any.
13	A. My opposite yes.	13	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. We will return at
14	Q. The leader of the Tory group?	14	12.10 pm.
15	A. No.	15	(11.55 am)
16	Q. Did you know somebody by the name of Rita Sargenson?	16	(A short break)
17	A. I don't think she — I'm aware that she was	17	(12.10 pm)
18	a Liberal Democrat councillor. From memory, I don't	18	MR ALTMAN: Mr Farnell, I was asking you about a note of
19	think she became a councillor until after I left office,	19	a conversation which you were shown I think for the
20	but I could be wrong on that. I was aware of it but	20	first time in 2016 and I want to show it to you now. We
21	I didn't have any dealings with her.	21	only have a hard copy, so I wonder if Mr Farnell could
22	Q. We certainly know that she was a councillor by	22	be do you have a copy there, Mr Farnell, of the note?
23	13 March 1992	23	A. The handwritten note? That one?
24	A. Right. Well, she must have been	24	Q. That's it. I hope everybody else has got it in hard
25	Q and you were still in office then.	25	copy. It is dated 4 April 1991. This is
43	Q. and you were suit in office their.	23	сору. и ю чанса т гарин 1991. 11118 18
	Page 54		Page 56

1	Diana Cavanagh's note, which reads:	1	Councillor Hawton would have been provided with the
2	"Discussion with Ian Davey, Janet Bowyer."	2	minutes. At the very least, they would have received
3	The first point is:	3	actions required to be taken."
4	"Can B Williams produce a note of the meeting at	4	What do you say, Mr Farnell?
5	Field House"	5	A. Do we know who would take responsibility for informing
6	That was her reference to a strategy meeting we know	6	me of the outcome of the meeting?
7	took place on 8 March 1991 with a number of	7	Q. I'm not here, I'm afraid, Mr Farnell, to answer your
8	individuals police, education and social services.	8	questions, but I am sure your question will be noted.
9	A second point was:	9	My question is a fairly simple one: was she right
10	"Meeting to involve"	10	when she told the police in November 2015 that you and
11	This was an arrangement for a further meeting to be	11	Councillor Hawton would have been provided with the
12	held to involve Stephen Bradshaw had you ever heard	12	minutes, at the very least you both would have received
13	of him, Mr Farnell?	13	actions required to be taken as a result of a meeting we
14	A. The name, I don't recollect the name, no.	14	know did take place on 11 April 1991?
15	Q. Cliff Bentley, did you know that name?	15	A. I've not seen any copy of the minutes of that meeting.
16	A. The chief adviser, from memory.	16	I'm not sure, do they exist? It would be interesting to
17	Q. Marilyn Simpson?	17	see if my name was on the distribution list of those
18	A. Education Welfare Officer, I think, yes.	18	minutes.
19	Q. Mansoor Kazi, does that ring a bell?	19	In terms of if I was informed of to be informed
20	A. Sorry, I think he's the Education Welfare Officer.	20	of the outcome, certainly the Director of Education did
21	Q. He was the Principal Education Welfare Officer.	21	not speak to me about the outcome of that meeting. If
22	Marilyn Simpson was Special Needs adviser.	22	there was a note produced of the outcome, a memo or
23	Richard Flammer, does that mean anything to you?	23	a letter, I have not seen one of those. Perhaps you may
24	A. No, I don't recognise that name.	24	be able to help me whether or not those do exist?
25	Q. He was a psychologist. And "SS", presumably meaning	25	Q. Mr Farnell, we don't have minutes of 11 April. We have
	Page 57		Page 59
1	social services, Janet Bowyer. Does that name mean	1	a note of it, but they are not minutes. So I can't tell
2	anything to you?	2	you whether, if we were ever to have the minutes, they
3	A. No, I don't recall that name.	3	would show you were on any distribution list or not, so
4	Q. As well as police, who, according to Diana Cavanagh's	4	that's not going to help.
5	note, the social services were to invite. You can see	5	A. Right.
6	the date: Thursday, April 11, preferably afternoon.	6	Q. When Mrs Cavanagh came to the inquiry, she told us that
7	Val, who was her secretary at the time, was to arrange	7	it was likely the minutes of the meeting and/or the
8	it. We can see in the centre of the note underlined the	8	actions required from that meeting were brought to your
9	words "for strategy". Then on the right-hand side:	9	attention. She wasn't, as I understood her evidence,
10	"To be informed: chair of governors; chair	10	representing that it would have been by her personally,
11	education, [something] social services, area teams"	11	but it is perfectly clear you will accept this,
12	Then beneath that, and this is really why I'm	12	Mr Farnell that she made a deliberate note on this
13	bringing the note to your attention, not for the first	13	piece of paper that we all have that you were to be
14	time, is:	14	informed. Do you agree?
15	"Result of meeting", with an arrow pointing at your	15	A. She did, yes.
16	name, "Councillor Farnell, Councillor Hawton, (health	16	Q. What is your evidence: "I can't recollect", or, "I just
17	authority Bob Bullough)."	17	didn't get told by anyone"?
18	What Diana Cavanagh said in a witness statement	18	A. My recollection is, I didn't see any minutes of those
19	just so you understand and can deal with it she made	19	meetings, and I think from what you said, there were no
20	herself to the police in November 2015 was that she had	20	minutes
21	written that following that meeting, in other words, the	21	Q. No, I'm not saying that, Mr Farnell.
22	meeting of 11 April, "Mr Farnell, the leader of	22	A. What did you say?
23	the council, would be informed of the result", and she	23	Q. I'm saying the inquiry doesn't have any minutes if there
24	added:	24	were?
25	"To the best of my knowledge, Councillor Farnell and	25	A. Certainly you have not seen any minutes nor have I seen
	Page 58	1	Page 60

1		1	O I shot vished II. within down for horsening lands in
1	any minutes and, equally, I have not seen any report or	1	Q. Is that right? He criticised you for becoming leader in
2	memo to me that gave me details of the outcome of that	2	2014 while an investigation was ongoing; is that
3	meeting.	3	correct?
4	Q. A few moments ago you said, "I don't recollect", which is what you said to the police when you were asked about	4 5	A. Yes, he did. Q. I would like to put up on screen, please, a cutting from
5	* * *		
6 7	this note. What I am saying you said to the police and if you want to see this I can take you to it, but	6 7	Rochdale Online, I think it is, GMP000164, page 2. That's you in the image; is that right, Mr Farnell?
8	perhaps you will be prepared to trust me	8	A. That's correct, yes.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. We can see the date of the article is 8 June 2014 with
10	Q. — but what you said to the police about this in your	10	a heading "Council leader accuses MP of smearing him".
11	interview of April 2016 is, "What I am saying is,	11	That relates to Jim Dobbin, who had criticised you for
12	I can't remember".	12	standing. Right at the foot of the page, there is one
13	Now, again, Mr Farnell, when Richard Farnell says,	13	quotation from you:
14	"I can't remember", what does that mean: it didn't	14	"Councillor Farnell was also annoyed at Mr Dobbin's
15	happen or genuinely "I can't remember"?	15	comments. He said: 'Any suggestion that I knew about
16	A. Genuinely, I can't remember and I can't remember seeing	16	and did nothing about allegations at Knowl View is an
17	any document arising from that meeting.	17	outright nonsense. Any suggestion I was involved [in]
18	Q. So on this occasion when you say, "I can't remember",	18	a coverup is absolutely ridiculous'."
19	are you admitting of the possibility that it might have	19	Do you accept that's what you said?
20	been brought to your attention?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. Trying to remember something, what, 20-odd years ago,	21	Q. It continues:
22	that is a possibility, but, as I say, I have no	22	"I am appalled that some people are attempting to
23	recollection, I have no recollection of it.	23	use the serious abuse at Knowl View as a political
24	Q. Let me ask you the same question I asked you a little	24	football to try and smear me. It is an utter
25	earlier: if it was brought to your attention	25	disgrace they should be concerned about getting
	Page 61		Page 63
1	by April 1991 that boys in a residential school within	1	justice for the victims.
2	Rochdale of which you were the leader of the council	2	"'After losing a democratic vote by a wide margin,
3	were being sexually exploited at Smith Street toilets	3	it is incredible that some people are prepared to now
4	and that there was an epidemic of peer-on-peer abuse	4	sink so low just to try and smear me. I find it
5	between boys at that school and that the council, in	5	sickening and so will the public'."
6	particular the Education Department and up to a point	6	Did you say that?
7	social services, were trying to do something about it,	7	A. Yes.
8	are you likely to have forgotten that?	8	Q. Did you say this:
9	A. Certainly not, no.	9	"Although it is 22 years since I was last leader of
10	Q. So, again, what you mean is, it was never brought to	10	the council, I am sure that allegations surrounding
11	your attention?	11	Knowl View made to health and council staff during the
12	A. It was never brought to my attention, yes.	12	seven months towards the end of my leadership were not
13	Q. So that's what Richard Farnell means when he says,	13	brought to my attention. I ceased to be the leader
14	"I can't recollect"?	14	in May 1992'."
15	A. I'm trying to be helpful in that I have no knowledge	15	Did you say that?
16	or I cannot remember these events being brought to my	16	A. Yes.
17	attention, which also if they had been, I would have	17	Q. "'I totally support the independent review and I have
18	remembered.	18	already indicated this to Neil Garnham QC. The review
19	Q. When you became council leader this time around, in	19	team has my complete support'."
20	2014, Mr Farnell, Jim Dobbin, who I think was then	20	Did you say that?
21	a Member of Parliament, criticised you, didn't he?	21 22	A. Yes.
22	A. What date was that, sorry?	22 23	Q. "'The review is very important to the council and
23	Q. In 2014, when you became leader of the council this time	23	Rochdale and the inquiry should be allowed to get on with its job without interference from politicians!"
24 25	around, Jim Dobbin criticised you? A. Yes.	25	with its job without interference from politicians'." You said that?
23	A. 105.	23	i ou said mat:
	Page 62		Page 64
			16 (D (1 +- (4)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Finally:
3	"Until such time as the review is finalised, I am
4	legally advised that, as leader of the council, it would
5	be inappropriate for me to comment further'."
6	I assume you agree you said that?
7	A Vos

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

25

O. Can we go back up to that part of the quotation where you say, "'Although it is 22 years since ...":

"Although it is 22 years since I was last leader of the council, I am sure that allegations surrounding Knowl View made to health and council staff during the seven months towards the end of my leadership were not brought to my attention'."

Why were you sure?

A. Because I have no recollection of those ever being brought to my attention.

Q. What was the magic of the last seven months?

A. I think that's when the key reports were produced.

Q. What key reports are you talking about? Seven months, by my calculation, from the date that you were talking about would be October 1991. What was going on in October -- let's say September 1991 in Rochdale?

24 A. The view I had at that time was that the key report --25 I may have been wrong, but the key reports, the

A. Yes, I think I have said I have no recollection of

2 Knowl View being brought to my attention.

3 Q. Two days earlier, you had gone further. You had said, 4 "I am sure that these things were not brought to my 5 attention". I'm just struggling to understand,

Mr Farnell, what you are actually saying and were 6 7 saying. Do you think there was a bit of a shift in

those two days about what you were saying?

A. No, I think I'm saying essentially the same thing: I'm sure nobody brought this to my attention. I have no recollection of being told about these events.

Q. Do you not see a substantive difference between saying, "I am sure that something didn't happen" as against, "I have no recollection that something happened". Do you not see a distinction between the two positions?

16 A. I think they're saying essentially the same thing.

17 Q. Have you heard the name Michael Poulton?

18 A. No. You'll have to remind me on that.

O. He was an interim head teacher who was brought in to Knowl View School for a single term. He was seconded from mainstream education, just to come into Knowl View with effect from January 1991 until the name I pointed out to you a little earlier, Stephen Bradshaw, took over as a permanent head with effect from 8 April 1991 -- all

Page 65

Shepherd Report and certainly the Mellor Report were produced around that time.

Q. Where did you get that information from?

A. Because that had been in the public domain at this time.

Q. Can we look, then, please, at another news article. It is the same reference but at page 6. Although the date is obliterated, I can tell you it is 10 June 2014, so it is two days later, after the last article we looked at. In the second paragraph, we read: "Rochdale Council leader Councillor Richard Farnell,

who also was leader of the council between 1986 and

1992, has been accused in some quarters of having been involved in a council 'coverup'. In response, Councillor Farnell said: 'I have always said that I have no recollection about being told about the allegations at Knowl View when I was last leader 23 years ago. I doubt if anyone can clearly recall events that far back. However, any suggestion that I knew about and did nothing about allegations at Knowl View is an outright nonsense. Any suggestion I was involved in a coverup is

21 absolutely ridiculous'." 22 Do you remember saying that?

23 A. It's produced so I must have said it. I can't recall 24 the actual interview.

Q. Had you always said that you had no recollection?

Page 66

right?

A. Yes.

Q. So he was an interim head who was brought in and then a permanent head, Stephen Bradshaw, who came in I think from the private sector and another residential special needs school. Do you agree that the appointment of both

Page 67

6 of those men had budgetary consequences for the council?

7 A. Normally every department has an establishment and 8 against each post, and against each post there would be 9 a budget. So, really, there should be a budget against 10 a post on the establishment.

Q. Diana Cavanagh, who, as you well know, gave evidence to the inquiry on Friday and yesterday, said to us that you would have been informed about the September incident, in other words, the Roderick Hilton incident, when you were being asked to amend the budget at a Policy Committee in October or November 1990. Was she right?

18 A. I heard her evidence, but she is not correct.

19 Q. Why is she incorrect?

> A. Because when I heard her give that evidence, I think it was last Friday, I went to the reference library in Rochdale and dug out the minutes of the Policy and Resources Committee she was referring to, and she said

24 it was October/November. The actual date of the meeting

25 was 26 November 1990.

11

12

22

24

25

1

5

12

- 1 Q. She said October or November, so she wasn't wrong about 2 that?
- 3 A. That's correct, yes.
- 4 Q. What was she wrong about?
- 5 A. That Knowl View was raised at that meeting; that there
- 6 was an amendment to the budget in order to fund that
- 7 post. There is no mention in the minutes specifically
- 8 of Knowl View or amendments to any budget.
- 9 Q. She also told us that when it came to seeking the 10 extension of the budget from the Policy Committee, she
- 11 would have to have explained the background to the
- 12 incident, which brought about a requirement for an
- 13 interim and then a permanent head. Again, are you
- 14 saying that didn't happen?
- 15 A. It certainly didn't happen, no, simply because -- if
- 16 I could explain?
- 17 Q. Go on.
- 18 A. There is a minute. I can let you have this copy.
- 19
- 20 A. There is a minute. The only thing on the report that
- 21 comes anywhere near to what she's saying, minute 100,
- 22 appointment of acting head teachers:
- 23 "Resolved that:
- 24 "1. Responsibility for the appointment of acting
- 2.5 head teachers be delegated to the chief education

- by which this issue was raised?
- 2 A. As I said before, if the Director of Education wanted to
- 3 bring this to my or senior members' attention, there
- 4 were a number of avenues open to her to present her
- 5 report to Policy and Resources Committee, which would
- 6 have dealt with it in private, and to bring it to the
- 7 senior members at the chairs' panel or come and raise it 8 directly with me, and none of that happened.
- 9 Q. So you're clear in your mind, Mr Farnell, that none of 10 that happened?
 - A. None of that happened, absolutely clear, yes.
 - Q. Let me ask you about Phil Shepherd. He worked, as I am
- 13 sure you appreciate, for Rochdale Health Authority in
- 14 their AIDS unit, and he was invited into the school,
- 15
- Knowl View, in order to train staff on HIV/AIDS. But
- 16 when he went in to the school on 15 March -- you may 17 have either watched or read his evidence from
- 18 yesterday -- he was treated by the staff he was supposed
- 19 to be training to descriptions, quite graphic, of
- 20 the sexual activities that were going on at and outside
- 21 the school by Knowl View pupils.
 - Now, presumably you know about that now; yes?
- 23 A. I'm aware of it now, yes.
 - Q. He reported in writing on 20 March 1991 to, among
 - others, Diana Cavanagh, and the report was distributed

Page 71

Page 69

- officer after consultation with the chair and vice chair
- 2 of Education Committee. This delegation to take effect
- 3 immediately."

1

- 4 So the only reference in the minute that comes
- 5 anywhere close to what she was saying was this minute,
- 6 and it was nothing -- it was not specific about
- 7 Knowl View. It was a general delegation regarding the
- 8 appointment of any acting head teacher. There's
- q certainly no reference in the minute, and there would
- 10 have been if it had been an amendment to any budget, but
- 11 moreover, this was on the public agenda of the Policy
- 12 and Resources Committee.
- 13 If the Director of Education was saying that very
- 14 sensitive information about the concerns they had about
- 15 Knowl View, the intrusion, the lack of security, that
- would not have been raised on the public agenda with the 16
- 17 press present. In no way would that have been raised in
- 18 public.
- 19 Q. Then if she is wrong about that, was there any other
- 20 means by which that issue was raised which was away from
- 2.1 the potentially prying eyes of the public?
- 22 A. At the meeting?
- 23 Q. At any meeting. If she's wrong that it was on
- 24 a Policy Committee agenda in October or November 1990,
- 25 was there, if she is wrong about that, any other means
 - Page 70

- to Mr Poulton, who was by then the interim but almost
- 2 outgoing head teacher at Knowl View; Dr Bullough, a name
- 3 we saw mentioned in the 4 April handwritten note. Who
- 4 was he -- the Director of Health, Public Health? Yes?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. With copies to Brian Williams and to Marilyn Simpson. 6
- I would just like, please, if we could put up on screen 7
- 8 for a moment, just to remind you of what it contains,
- 9 RHC001234 at page 3. If we can just magnify the
- 10 italicised part. This is, Mr Farnell, what
- 11 Phil Shepherd was told by members of staff during what
 - was supposed to be a training day:
- 13 "One boy who is homosexual has contact with an adult
- 14 outside the school. Several of the senior boys indulge in oral sex with one another. Reputedly five of
- 15
- 16 the junior boys have been or are involved in 'cottaging'
- 17 in and around public toilets. Men as far away as 18 Sheffield are believed to be aware of this activity and
- 19 travel to Rochdale to take part. One 8-year-old is
- 20 thought to have been involved. The police are aware of
- 21 the problem. What action has been taken is not known.
- 22 One 'rent boy' has been removed from the school. The
- 23 suggestion that he may return soon has angered the
- 24 staff. Some boys have been 'forced' to have sex with
- 25 others."

	TTT - C 11 - 12 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		
1	What follows, if we can just go back into the	the excellent Ian Davey is concerned, he certainly nev	er
2	document, please, at the bottom:	brought anything to your attention?	
3	"This degree of sexual activity, if it is factual,	A. No, and I think he's told the inquiry that, yes.	. C. 1
4	points to fundamental problems within the school. Most people, not least parents of children at the school,	4 Q. Mary Moffat, who was, we have heard, very well bri	етеа
5	would be horrified were these facts to be made known.	5 on all the issues, a political colleague and I do	
6 7	Unless some incisive action is taken soon, it is more	6 emphasise "a political colleague", Mr Farnell again,	
8	than likely that this activity will become a public	she never brought any of this to your attention?A. No, she didn't, no.	
9	scandal."	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	of
10	Now, a public scandal was the last thing you needed,		01
11	Mr Farnell, in March 1991, wasn't it?	the departments had a responsibility in terms of the hierarchy and how the council worked, in the end,	
12	A. If you put it that way, yes.	12 Mary Moffat, who was your erstwhile deputy and edu	nation
13	Q. Because 20 March was a couple of weeks after the	13 chair throughout the whole period, she was bound to h	
14	judgment in the High Court in Middleton had been made	told you, she was bound to have told you, Mr Farnell,	ave
15	public, when the council was besieged and mired in the	15 wasn't she?	
16	kind of publicity that you were telling us about locally	16 A. Well, that's your supposition. What I'm saying	
17	and nationally just a little earlier. Now, are you	17 Q. It is my suggestion, Mr Farnell.	
18	saying, still saying, Mr Farnell, that in light of this,	18 A. Well, your suggestion. My answer to that is, she	di d
19	you still knew absolutely nothing at that time of	not raise it with me.	uiu
20	the scandalous nature of what was going on at	20 Q. So do you agree that by combination of your chief	
21	Knowl View?	21 executive John Pierce, your Borough Solicitor at the	
22	A. Are you asking me whether I had knowledge of this report	time, the town clerk David Shipp, your Director of	
23	at this time?	23 Education, Diana Cavanagh, your Director of Social	
24	Q. No, I'm not. What I am asking you is whether you knew	24 Services, Ian Davey, and every one of your political	
25	the nature of the kind of things that were being said to	colleagues and the opposition who were in the know,	not
23	the factor of the kind of things that were being said to	25 conedgues and the opposition who were in the know,	iot
	Page 73	Page 75	
	Shepherd whether no-one had brought that to your	I one of them breathed a word throughout the whole period	d
1 2	Shepherd, whether no-one had brought that to your attention?	one of them breathed a word throughout the whole period of your leadership about what was going on at	d
2	attention?	2 of your leadership about what was going on at	d
2 3	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View?	d
2	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told.	 of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional 	d
2 3 4	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their	
2 3 4 5	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990,	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every	
2 3 4 5 6	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every	
2 3 4 5 6 7	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear	that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know?	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best	that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o	that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the officers with the complete information. It was for them	that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief officers to inform me of these matters. They're the professional officers. They're the people who should	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o ones with the complete information. It was for them let me — to inform me, and they clearly did not.	that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief officers to inform me of these matters. They're the	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o ones with the complete information. It was for them let me — to inform me, and they clearly did not. Q. Mr Farnell, you are not being realistic. You are	that nly to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief officers to inform me of these matters. They're the professional officers. They're the people who should bring this to my attention; not rely on lay council	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o ones with the complete information. It was for them let me — to inform me, and they clearly did not. Q. Mr Farnell, you are not being realistic. You are focusing on responsibility. Let's just imagine that the	that nly to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief officers to inform me of these matters. They're the professional officers. They're the people who should bring this to my attention; not rely on lay council members. The clear responsibility is on them to bring	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o ones with the complete information. It was for them let me — to inform me, and they clearly did not. Q. Mr Farnell, you are not being realistic. You are focusing on responsibility. Let's just imagine that the scandalous behaviour had been picked up by the national	that nly to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief officers to inform me of these matters. They're the professional officers. They're the people who should bring this to my attention; not rely on lay council members. The clear responsibility is on them to bring this to my attention.	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o ones with the complete information. It was for them let me — to inform me, and they clearly did not. Q. Mr Farnell, you are not being realistic. You are focusing on responsibility. Let's just imagine that the scandalous behaviour had been picked up by the nationa press and, as Richard Farnell walks up the steps into	that nly to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief officers to inform me of these matters. They're the professional officers. They're the people who should bring this to my attention; not rely on lay council members. The clear responsibility is on them to bring this to my attention. The Director of Education, the Director of Social	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o ones with the complete information. It was for them let me — to inform me, and they clearly did not. Q. Mr Farnell, you are not being realistic. You are focusing on responsibility. Let's just imagine that the scandalous behaviour had been picked up by the national press and, as Richard Farnell walks up the steps into Rochdale Town Hall one day, you are doorstepped by	that nly to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief officers to inform me of these matters. They're the professional officers. They're the people who should bring this to my attention; not rely on lay council members. The clear responsibility is on them to bring this to my attention. The Director of Education, the Director of Social Services, the chief executive, have all said they never	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o ones with the complete information. It was for them let me — to inform me, and they clearly did not. Q. Mr Farnell, you are not being realistic. You are focusing on responsibility. Let's just imagine that the scandalous behaviour had been picked up by the national press and, as Richard Farnell walks up the steps into Rochdale Town Hall one day, you are doorstepped by a national journalist who wants your comment on the	that nly to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief officers to inform me of these matters. They're the professional officers. They're the people who should bring this to my attention; not rely on lay council members. The clear responsibility is on them to bring this to my attention. The Director of Education, the Director of Social Services, the chief executive, have all said they never brought this to my attention.	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o ones with the complete information. It was for them let me — to inform me, and they clearly did not. Q. Mr Farnell, you are not being realistic. You are focusing on responsibility. Let's just imagine that the scandalous behaviour had been picked up by the nationa press and, as Richard Farnell walks up the steps into Rochdale Town Hall one day, you are doorstepped by a national journalist who wants your comment on the goings on at Knowl View because it's been leaked to him	that nly to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief officers to inform me of these matters. They're the professional officers. They're the people who should bring this to my attention; not rely on lay council members. The clear responsibility is on them to bring this to my attention. The Director of Education, the Director of Social Services, the chief executive, have all said they never brought this to my attention. Q. Not quite right, because Diana Cavanagh said in that	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o ones with the complete information. It was for them let me — to inform me, and they clearly did not. Q. Mr Farnell, you are not being realistic. You are focusing on responsibility. Let's just imagine that the scandalous behaviour had been picked up by the nationa press and, as Richard Farnell walks up the steps into Rochdale Town Hall one day, you are doorstepped by a national journalist who wants your comment on the goings on at Knowl View because it's been leaked to hin Are you honestly saying, the next day, when you have	that nly to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief officers to inform me of these matters. They're the professional officers. They're the people who should bring this to my attention; not rely on lay council members. The clear responsibility is on them to bring this to my attention. The Director of Education, the Director of Social Services, the chief executive, have all said they never brought this to my attention. Q. Not quite right, because Diana Cavanagh said in that note in relation to the 11 April meeting that you would	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o ones with the complete information. It was for them let me — to inform me, and they clearly did not. Q. Mr Farnell, you are not being realistic. You are focusing on responsibility. Let's just imagine that the scandalous behaviour had been picked up by the nationa press and, as Richard Farnell walks up the steps into Rochdale Town Hall one day, you are doorstepped by a national journalist who wants your comment on the goings on at Knowl View because it's been leaked to hin Are you honestly saying, the next day, when you have been left completely unsighted and completely exposed,	that nly to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	attention? A. Yes, I'm saying that. In order for me to know, I would have had to be told. Q. Yes. No-one did? So you were left, just to remind ourselves, even in putting Hilton, September 1990, into the history books for a moment, moving on to this period in March 1991, you were left completely and utterly exposed by your political colleagues and others within the council who did know? A. You're putting particular emphasis on political colleagues and Mary Moffat. The clear duty of the chief officer it is the responsibility of the chief officers to inform me of these matters. They're the professional officers. They're the people who should bring this to my attention; not rely on lay council members. The clear responsibility is on them to bring this to my attention. The Director of Education, the Director of Social Services, the chief executive, have all said they never brought this to my attention. Q. Not quite right, because Diana Cavanagh said in that note in relation to the 11 April meeting that you would have been given the minutes or the actions. So it is	of your leadership about what was going on at Knowl View? A. As leader, I would expect the council's professional officers to inform me, and nobody else. It is their responsibility. They are the people involved at every stage of Knowl View. I don't expect to — I didn't expect to be told, as leader, through second- and third-hand information a councillor may have been briefed. It is the clear responsibility on the officers to give me the best professional information and advice. They are the o ones with the complete information. It was for them let me — to inform me, and they clearly did not. Q. Mr Farnell, you are not being realistic. You are focusing on responsibility. Let's just imagine that the scandalous behaviour had been picked up by the nationa press and, as Richard Farnell walks up the steps into Rochdale Town Hall one day, you are doorstepped by a national journalist who wants your comment on the goings on at Knowl View because it's been leaked to hir Are you honestly saying, the next day, when you have been left completely unsighted and completely exposed, you are not going to walk up to Mary Moffat and say,	that nly to

- next question not going to be, "Why on earth didn't you 1 2 tell me?"
- 3 A. I probably would have said that but after I'd been to 4 see the chief executive and the Director of Education 5 and the Director of social services, why they hadn't 6 told me about it.
- 7 Q. On 17 May 1991, there was, as you have probably learned, 8 a meeting between Ian Davey, Diana Cavanagh, John Pierce 9 and David Shipp, where Ian Davey made clear his views 10 that social services would not investigate the matters 11 further. In other words, the allegations -- his focus 12 of attention at that time in fact was peer-on-peer 13 abuse. He seems to have ignored what was going on at 14 Smith Street. He wasn't going to investigate the 15 matters further, but would interview a few boys on that isolated issue. Those views, as I'm sure you 16 17 appreciate, were set out in a memorandum to the Director 18
- of Education, Diana Cavanagh, and copied to the chief 19 executive, John Pierce. 20 In the result, it was decided by Diana Cavanagh, in
- 21 discussion with Mr Shipp, that an investigation should 22 take place perhaps by an outside agency. You followed,
- 23 presumably, Diana Cavanagh's evidence about that? 24
- A. Yes. Yes, I'm aware of that. 2.5 Q. It was Mr Shipp, according to Mrs Cavanagh, who
 - Page 77
- 1 suggested speaking to Mrs Mellor, who was a highly 2 qualified child psychologist and who happened to have
- 3 been given great credit in the Middleton matter; right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 O. So the result was that Mrs Mellor was invited to conduct
- 6 an investigation. What Mrs Cavanagh says, as you will
- 7 know, is that she was invited to conduct an
- 8 investigation on behalf of the leader of the council, in
- other words, in your name. Now, was that usual or
- 10 unusual?
- 11 A. Unusual.
- 12 Q. It might be usual if you had known all about it?
- 13 A. Unusual in the fact that when reports of this nature --14 consultants are engaged, external advice is sought, that
- 15 is done by chief officers under delegated powers, it is
- 16 not done -- I have never heard before of that being done
- 17 in the name of the leader.
- 18 Q. Mr Shipp was Director of Legal Services. Is that right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Which was part of corporate services; is that right?
- 21 A. I think so, yes.
- 22 Q. Corporate services reported to the Policy and Resources
- 23 Committee; is that right?
- 24 A. That's correct, yes.
- 25 Q. As you have told us, you were chair of the Policy and

Page 78

- Resources Committee?
- 2

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

- 3 Q. Did Mr Shipp never report up to you what was going on?
- 4
- 5 Q. Mrs Cavanagh told us also that it wasn't just on your 6 behalf as leader that the Mellor Report was being
- 7 commissioned, but also on behalf of Mary Moffat. You 8
 - remember reading that, presumably, or seeing that?
 - A. I think I recall that evidence, yes.
 - Q. Mrs Cavanagh told the inquiry in her evidence that she could not imagine, which were her words, she couldn't imagine that Mellor was commissioned without you being in the know, first, because it was commissioned on your behalf; second, not least because there would be
- 15 financial consequences. What would you say about that?
 - A. Well, as I have explained before, these matters are delegated to officers. The council is engaging consultants, it is seeking external advice, it approaches OCs for legal advice; all those things are happening day-in, day-out. There is a clear delegation to officers of the council that they have the authority to commission that work. They also have a financial
- 23 delegation in which to pay for it. So those matters 24 would not come before individual councillors or me to
- 25 approve it.

Page 79

- 1 Certainly I would not be asked to commission -- as
 - leader of the council, as an individual, I wouldn't be asked to commission any external consultants.
- 3
- 4 I certainly wouldn't be asked to approve expenditure. 5
 - So that wouldn't happen.
- In any case, if it did, I, as an individual, do not 6 7 have any authority as an individual, even though I'm the
- 8 leader of the council -- as an individual, I do not have
- q any authority in which to commission outside
- 10 consultants. I have no authority to spend money as an
- 11 individual councillor.
- 12 If that were to happen, it would have to go to the 13 policy and resources delegated subcommittee to seek that
- 14 kind of approval, if it was required, because of 15
 - the extensive delegations chief officers have anyway.
- 16 For that to happen, a delegated subcommittee, which 17 consists of not just me, it consists of the leader of
- 18 the council, the deputy leader of the council and
- 19 a member of the opposition party. That delegated
- 20 subcommittee would have to be -- the meeting of that
- 21 committee would have to be called, there would have had
- 22 to have been a report to that meeting, the director
- 23 would have been expected -- would have had to come to
- 24 that meeting and explain that -- give a briefing on
 - that. Then there would have been a decision. All of

Page 80

25

5

15

24

2

8

15

17

- 1 that would have been minuted, there would have been 2 a report.
- 3 It never happened because there is no -- that 4 meeting was never called, there was no report produced,
- 5 there is no minute of ever being asked to -- for the
- 6 delegated subcommittee to commission a report or incur 7 any expenditure.
- 8 Q. Somebody paid for it, Mr Farnell. Did corporate 9 services pay for this report, Mrs Mellor's work?
- 10 A. I have absolutely no idea who paid for it, but certainly 11 each department has -- the Education Department, for
- 12 example, has a budget of several million pounds. In
- 13 each department, they have provision for this kind of
- 14 work. If there is no specific heading within the
- 15 budget, they have contingencies. So the resources are 16 within departments and, as I have said, the chief
- 17 officer has delegated authority to spend that money.
- 18 Q. The only problem with that, Mr Farnell, is Mrs Cavanagh 19 told us her department didn't pick up the bill. So who 20 did?
- 21 A. Well, I understand this was commissioned jointly by 22 education and legal services, so if her department
- 23 didn't pay for it, then I would presume it was the legal
- 24 department that paid for it.
- 25 Q. Who are part of corporate services who report to Policy

- 1 "On joint instructions from the Directorates of
- 2 Legal Services and Education ..."
- 3 So that's what you have been telling us about?
 - A. At the top of the page, yes, I can see that.
 - Q. Joint instructions, so that's Mr Shipp and Mrs Cavanagh:
- 6 "... in Rochdale I was expressly instructed as
- 7 follows ..."
- 8 I'm not going to go through that. Can we go down to
- 9 the next paragraph:
- 10 "This report is confidential to the Directors of
- 11 Legal Services and Education, their properly delegated
- 12 officers and such members of the council as the chair of
- 13 the same as advised by the said directorates shall
- 14 decide."
 - You're the "chair of the same", aren't you,
- 16 Mr Farnell, at that point?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Who is?
- 19 A. The Mayor.
- 20 Q. The Mayor?
- 21 A. Yes. There's been some confusion -- if I can explain.
- 22 There has been some confusion as to what "chair of
- 23 the same" means, was it the chair of education or was it
 - the chair of policy and resources. I have looked this

Page 83

25 up. The council is required to appoint a chair of

Page 81

- and Resources Committee, which you're the chair of?
- 2 A. Yes, I haven't explained, if it required a member
- 3 approval, how that would be done. It wouldn't be done
- 4 by me alone. It would be done by the delegated
- 5 subcommittee of that committee, and that requires
- 6 a very -- we are talking about spending money here.
- 7 There are very strict and clear financial standing
- 8 orders that have to be adhered to. If you don't, the
- 9 district auditor would be on your back. There is a very
- 10 clear procedure that has to be followed for that
- 11 expenditure to be approved by members, and that never
- 12 happened.

1

- 13 Q. As for the Mellor Report itself, which is dated
- 14 18 February 1992 -- of course you were still in power
- 15 then, Mr Farnell. Is that right? 18 February?
- 16 A. Sorry, I didn't quite catch the month.
- 17 Q. 18 February 1992 --
- 18 A. Yes, yes.
- 19 Q. -- you were still in power. Mrs Cavanagh told us she
- 20 had no doubt that you received a copy of the report,
- 2.1 Mellor, at the time. Did you?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Can we just put it up on screen, please. RHC001599.
- 24 You have looked at this before and been asked questions
- 25 about it by the police in 2016:

Page 82

- 1 the council. It is required to do that I think under
 - the Local Government Act 1972. Where a borough council
- 3 which has a Mayor -- the Mayor of the Borough assumes
- 4 the role of chair of the council. So if this actually
- 5 refers to anybody, it refers to the Mayor. There's
- 6 a quite clear legal definition on that.
- 7 Q. When did you discover that exactly, Mr Farnell?
 - A. In the last couple of weeks -- well, no, in the last --
- 9 yes, in the last week, I think, yes.
- 10 Q. Presumably because you considered that when you came to
- 11 give evidence here, you would be asked the question 12
 - again?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So you wanted to be prepared. Let's just read what
 - Mrs Mellor actually wrote, because this isn't a legal
- 16 document, it is a report by a child psychologist, and it
 - reads
- 18 "This report is confidential to the Directors of
- 19 Legal Services and Education, their properly delegated
- 20 officers and such members of the council as the chair of
- 21 the same ..." 22
- "The chair of the same" is the chair of the council
- 23 in that sentence, don't you agree?
- 24 A. It is. That's quite correct.
- 25 Q. When you were interviewed by the police in 2016 and you

1	were asked about that very same sentence do you wish	1	whole idea of Mellor came about by the conversation
2	to look at what you had to say? Would it help,	2	between Mrs Cavanagh, who was the Director of Education,
3	Mr Farnell?	3	and Mr Shipp, who is the Director or was the Director of
4	A. I think I can recall.	4	Legal Services. Mrs Cavanagh said it was commissioned
5	Q. It is in the second part of the interview you had on	5	on behalf of the leader. If those instructions trickled
6	14 April 2016. You posed the question:	6	down to Mrs Mellor, that's what she meant by "chair of
7	"What does 'the chair of the same' mean?"	7	the same": you. That's the whole point of it.
8	The DCI who was interviewing you interjected and you	8	A. This report was commissioned there is nothing in this
9	said:	9	report that says it was commissioned on my behalf.
10	"Does it mean the same as to what I have been	10	That's what Mrs Cavanagh has said. But certainly nobody
11	describing earlier in the paragraph, education and legal	11	consulted me in advance of this report being
12	services?"	12	commissioned to say, "We are going to commission
13	So you have a debate about that. Then the officer	13	a report in your name", and I have never heard of any
14	puts to you:	14	report being commissioned on behalf of the council being
15	"This is a report for the leader of the council.	15	done in the name of the leader of the council.
16	That's what it means. 'The chair of the same'."	16	Q. Mr Farnell, it is 1.00 pm. Let's just have this passing
17	Your answer was:	17	thought before I invite the chair and panel to rise. So
18	"The chair of the I'm not 'the chair of	18	it comes to this: even in February 1992, you were still
19	the same', I'm the leader of the council. I don't	19	completely and utterly ignorant of the problems at
20	understand."	20	Knowl View; is that right?
21	Of course you never said there that it meant the	21	A. I was unaware of the problems at Knowl View.
22	Mayor?	22	Q. You were completely and utterly ignorant of the fact
23	A. No, because at that when I was interviewed my	23	that the council was spending money on an external
24	police interview, there was confusion by everybody in	24	adviser to go into the school and advise; is that
25	the room just what exactly did "chair of the same"	25	correct?
	Page 85		Page 87
1	chair of the council mean. I think there was	1	A. No. what I've said is that the financial rules of
1 2	chair of the council mean. I think there was certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cayanagh because	1 2	A. No, what I've said is that the financial rules of the council, and there has to be a clear procedure
2	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because	2	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure
2	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant	2 3	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me.
2 3 4	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been	2 3 4	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it?
2 3 4 5	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly	2 3 4 5	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it	2 3 4 5 6	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it?
2 3 4 5 6 7	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair	2 3 4 5 6 7	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been talking about what does "the chair of the same" mean and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been talking about what does "the chair of the same" mean and who does that refer to, and there's been incredible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Farnell, the Mellor Report was presented to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been talking about what does "the chair of the same" mean and who does that refer to, and there's been incredible confusion about that. If you go to the top of it, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Farnell, the Mellor Report was presented to a number of senior people, Education Department as well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been talking about what does "the chair of the same" mean and who does that refer to, and there's been incredible confusion about that. If you go to the top of it, this report — is this for me, because the person, right at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Farnell, the Mellor Report was presented to a number of senior people, Education Department as well as politicians, at a meeting on 13 March. The people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been talking about what does "the chair of the same" mean and who does that refer to, and there's been incredible confusion about that. If you go to the top of it, this report — is this for me, because the person, right at the top of it, says, "On joint instructions from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Farnell, the Mellor Report was presented to a number of senior people, Education Department as well as politicians, at a meeting on 13 March. The people who were present were Mary Moffat, about whom I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been talking about what does "the chair of the same" mean and who does that refer to, and there's been incredible confusion about that. If you go to the top of it, this report — is this for me, because the person, right at the top of it, says, "On joint instructions from the Directorates of Legal Services and Education in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Farnell, the Mellor Report was presented to a number of senior people, Education Department as well as politicians, at a meeting on 13 March. The people who were present were Mary Moffat, about whom I have asked you several questions; Councillor Beasley — does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been talking about what does "the chair of the same" mean and who does that refer to, and there's been incredible confusion about that. If you go to the top of it, this report — is this for me, because the person, right at the top of it, says, "On joint instructions from the Directorates of Legal Services and Education in Rochdale"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Farnell, the Mellor Report was presented to a number of senior people, Education Department as well as politicians, at a meeting on 13 March. The people who were present were Mary Moffat, about whom I have asked you several questions; Councillor Beasley – does that name ring a bell with you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been talking about what does "the chair of the same" mean and who does that refer to, and there's been incredible confusion about that. If you go to the top of it, this report — is this for me, because the person, right at the top of it, says, "On joint instructions from the Directorates of Legal Services and Education in Rochdale" So, you know, that suggests to me that it's their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Farnell, the Mellor Report was presented to a number of senior people, Education Department as well as politicians, at a meeting on 13 March. The people who were present were Mary Moffat, about whom I have asked you several questions; Councillor Beasley — does that name ring a bell with you? A. It was either Councillor Ann Beasley or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been talking about what does "the chair of the same" mean and who does that refer to, and there's been incredible confusion about that. If you go to the top of it, this report — is this for me, because the person, right at the top of it, says, "On joint instructions from the Directorates of Legal Services and Education in Rochdale" So, you know, that suggests to me that it's their document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Farnell, the Mellor Report was presented to a number of senior people, Education Department as well as politicians, at a meeting on 13 March. The people who were present were Mary Moffat, about whom I have asked you several questions; Councillor Beasley — does that name ring a bell with you? A. It was either Councillor Ann Beasley or Councillor John Beasley.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been talking about what does "the chair of the same" mean and who does that refer to, and there's been incredible confusion about that. If you go to the top of it, this report — is this for me, because the person, right at the top of it, says, "On joint instructions from the Directorates of Legal Services and Education in Rochdale" So, you know, that suggests to me that it's their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Farnell, the Mellor Report was presented to a number of senior people, Education Department as well as politicians, at a meeting on 13 March. The people who were present were Mary Moffat, about whom I have asked you several questions; Councillor Beasley — does that name ring a bell with you? A. It was either Councillor Ann Beasley or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	certainly it wasn't clear from Diana Cavanagh because I think she also referred "chair of the same" meant chair of policy and resources. So I think there's been a lot of confusion by everybody on just what this fairly oblique reference meant. So I went away and I looked it up and there is a very clear legal definition: the chair of the council is the Mayor. Q. But the mistake you're making, Mr Farnell, is treating this as a legal document. It is not. Nor was it written by a lawyer or anybody who was steeped in interpretation sections of local government regulations, rules or law. What she meant was you, and she meant you because this report in part was for you? A. I accept it is not a legal document, but we have been talking about what does "the chair of the same" mean and who does that refer to, and there's been incredible confusion about that. If you go to the top of it, this report — is this for me, because the person, right at the top of it, says, "On joint instructions from the Directorates of Legal Services and Education in Rochdale" So, you know, that suggests to me that it's their document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the council, and there has to be a clear procedure followed, and that did not involve me. Q. So you didn't know about it? A. No. Q. You were ignorant of it? A. Unaware of it, yes. Q. And completely and utterly ignorant, on 18 February 1992, that Mrs Mellor had produced the report we have in front of us? A. That report was not presented to me, no. MR ALTMAN: We will hold that thought until 2.00 pm. THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR ALTMAN: Mr Farnell, the Mellor Report was presented to a number of senior people, Education Department as well as politicians, at a meeting on 13 March. The people who were present were Mary Moffat, about whom I have asked you several questions; Councillor Beasley — does that name ring a bell with you? A. It was either Councillor Ann Beasley or Councillor John Beasley.

5

15

19

22

- 1 spokesperson and chairman of the school subcommittee. 2 Which Beasley was that?
- 3 A. Probably Ann Beasley, I would have thought.
- 4 Q. Was Ann Beasley a political colleague of yours?
- 5 A. She was a member of the Labour group, yes.
- 6 Q. Councillor Sargenson, who was education spokesperson for
- 7 the Liberals, I think, or the Lib Dems, perhaps, by
- 8 then, she was at that meeting as well. Do you remember
- 9 I asked you about her earlier today?
- 10 Also present was Councillor Hawton, Tory education
- 11 spokesperson and chair of the health authority.
- 12 That tends to suggest, doesn't it, that all of
- 13 the main political parties' education spokespersons were
- 14 present at the meeting when the Mellor Report was first 15 presented. Do you accept that?
- 16 A. Could you remind me of the date of that meeting?
- 17 Q. 13 March 1992.
- 18 A. Could you just repeat the question, please?
- 19 Q. My question is, given all the names I have just told you
- 20 about, do you accept that all of the education
- 21 spokespersons for the main political parties were
- 22 present at the presentation of the Mellor Report at the
- 23 meeting on 13 March?
- 24 A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
- 25 Q. Did Councillor Beasley ever bring the fact of that

- Q. Were there no telephones in Rochdale in 1992?
- 2 A. Yes, there were.
- 3 Q. So there is nothing stopping somebody picking up
- 4 a telephone to you and phoning you about what was going
 - on at that particular point, as far as the Mellor Report
- 6 goes, and what was going on at Knowl View and how the
- 7 Education Department with the Director of Legal Services
- 8 were seeking to sort out the issues?
- 9 A. Yes, they could have telephoned me, but I think you also 10
 - have to remember, you know, you would be out first thing
- 11 in the morning delivering leaflets, working on the
- 12 campaign, and then you'd come -- you know, you wouldn't
- 13 finish until very late at night.
- 14 Q. Are you telling us, Mr Farnell, that all council
 - business came to a grinding halt during the election
- 16 campaign?
- 17 A. What happens in an election campaign is, all but the
- 18 most important council meetings, those that you couldn't
 - cancel, the rest would be -- all the rest would be
- 20 cancelled, yes.
- 21 Q. I'm not asking you about committee meetings. I'm asking
 - you about you, as leader. You were still leader
- 23 in March 1992; right?

after the election?

- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Presumably you had ambition to continue being leader

Page 89

- 1 meeting or the content or any part of the report to your
- 2 attention?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Not even in March 1992?
- 5 A. No.

8

- 6 Q. Mary Moffat, given what you have told us before, same
- 7 thing: never breathed a word of it to you?
 - A. No. In relation to the date, March 1992, probably the
- 9 opportunity of them to raise that with me wouldn't be
- 10 there. I say that because I think on 8 March John Major
- 11 called a general election and, you know, that fired the
- 12 starting gun and, as politicians, we would all be
- 13 working, you know, around the clock on election
- 14 campaigning.
- 15 So the opportunity for those people to bump into me
- 16 or take me to one side wouldn't be there because
- 17 everybody was so involved and working on a general 18
- election campaign. That went on right through until May
- 19
- 20 Q. A couple of things arise out of that answer, Mr Farnell.
- 21 First of all, if you were involved in an election
- 22 campaign, presumably so were Mary Moffat, Ann Beasley,
- 23 Rita Sargenson and Pam Hawton?
- 24 A. Yes, that's the point I'm making: we were all very busy

Page 90

25 in the election.

1 2

3

5

8

A. Absolutely, and that's why I was working hard on the

Page 91

- election to (a), first of all, the general election to
- 4 win the parliamentary seat, and then to win my own seat.
 - Q. You were no different from anyone else, were you?
- 6 Everybody else was working hard to that end?
- 7 A. Absolutely -- yes.
 - Q. Which included your own Labour politicians, in
- 9 particular Mary Moffat and Ann Beasley, for example?
- 10 A. And that's the point I'm making. The opportunity to
- 11 raise these, either informally, at a -- before or after
- 12 a meeting, or whatever, it wasn't there or very limited
- 13 opportunities to do that.
- 14 Q. That excuse doesn't really work, Mr Farnell, when we
- 15 think about before John Major called the election, you
- 16 tell us on 8 March, because they would have had plenty
- 17 of time to raise the issues with you formally or
- 18 informally, as the case may be, wouldn't they? 19 A. I thought we were talking about from the meeting of
- 20 13 March?
- 21 Q. We are. But you have raised the issue that 22 electioneering became such a priority that they wouldn't
- 23 have had time to raise the issue with you formally or
 - informally. All I'm suggesting to you, Mr Farnell, is,
- 25 if that is a valid excuse for why they were unable, as

Page 92

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

24

1 as possible." it were, or had no time or capacity to raise an issue 2 2 with you following the 8 March calling of the election, On 1 May, you were still the leader, weren't you? 3 that certainly doesn't run for all of the period up to 3 4 8 March 1992, does it? 4 Q. When was the election? Was it 7 May that year? 5 A. I'm not saying that. What I'm saying is that following 5 A. It was, yes. the meeting they had on 13 March, it would be difficult 6 Q. Following the election, the leader was Paul Rowen; is 6 7 7 to find the opportunity to raise that with me. that correct? 8 O. Mary Moffat was finding plenty of opportunity to engage 8 A. Yes, that's correct. Yes. 9 in the issues that were taking place during that period, 9 Q. So from the date of the election, or as soon as you 10 because not only did she attend the meeting on 13 March 10 learned of your fate, you were no longer leader, you 11 together with Pam Hawton, Ann Beasley and 11 lost your seat; is that right? 12 Rita Sargenson, Mary Moffat also found the time to 12 A. That's correct, yes. 13 attend a meeting two weeks later, on 27 March, at 13 Q. So you were off the council and were no longer involved 14 Knowl View where the Mellor Report was now being 14 in council business? 15 presented to the staff of Knowl View and we are told by 15 A. Correct. 16 Mrs Cavanagh she actually chaired the meeting. So she 16 Q. But as at this date, 1 May, Mr Pierce was contemplating 17 seemed to have plenty of time on her hands, despite the 17 advising the leader on these matters as soon as 18 possible. calling of an election, to busy herself with that kind 18 19 of thing? 19 Now, you accept from the terms of that particular 20 20 A. I'm not saying all meetings were cancelled. What I'm memorandum that Mr Pierce, who was one of those people 21 saying is, the majority of meetings were cancelled. 21 you told us before lunch had a professional 22 22 Q. Councillor Sargenson was there too, I should add. But responsibility to bring to your attention issues that despite all of this, an election campaign is ongoing and 23 23 were taking place with the school, on the face of it was 24 nobody has any time to tell you about what's happening 24 intending to do exactly that; do you agree? 25 25 at Knowl View and what people are doing about it; is A. Yes. Page 93 Page 95 1 1 Q. Are you saying that this memorandum, 1 May 1992, getting that right? 2 A. The opportunity for them to raise it with me would have 2 on for 20 months after the Hilton incident 3 3 in September 1990, was the very first time that been diminished, yes. 4 Q. Diminished, but not impossible? 4 Mr Pierce must have had it or got it into his head that 5 5 A. Not impossible, I accept that. now was the time to bring the leader on board? 6 Q. I'd like to have put up on screen, please, RHC001284 at 6 A. I couldn't tell you what Mr Pierce was thinking about. 7 page 5. I wonder if we could expand the top half, 7 Q. Looked at another way, did the leader need to have the 8 8 please. This is a memorandum from the chief executive, minutiae of what was going on in the throes of this g Q who was John Price -inquiry when the leader did not even have the generality 10 A. Pierce. 10 of it? 11 Q. Pierce, forgive me, Pierce, to Diana Cavanagh, the 11 A. Again, I couldn't tell you what was behind the thoughts 12 Director of Education. It is dated 1 May 1992. What he 12 of Mr Pierce in writing that memo. 13 writes is: 13 Q. The effect of your evidence, Mr Farnell, is that, on the "Further to the matter of the inquiry into the 14 face of it, this has to be the very first time the chief 14 15 above-mentioned school ..." 15 executive decides to bring the leader in on what's going Now, at this point, Mellor had been published on 16 on, because up to this point in time and, according to 16 18 February 1992, but as a result of disquiet among the 17 your evidence, in fact, never were you ever told a word 17 18 staff of the school, they had in effect persuaded 18 about the problems of Knowl View. That's what it 19 19 Mrs Cavanagh to hold a further enquiry of the role amounts to. Do you agree? 20 played by the staff. Here we have John Pierce sending 20 A. In relation to Mr Pierce? 21 a memorandum to her on the date that you see: 21 Q. Well, to anyone, but in relation to Mr Pierce? 22 22 "... I should be most grateful if you could set out A. Well, in relation to Mr Pierce, Mr Pierce never raised the issue of Knowl View with me, and I think it is on 23 for me a statement of what action has been taken and 23 24 what is contemplated to be taken so that I might be in 24 record that he's said that. 25 25 a position to advise the leader on these matters as soon Q. On 5 May, four days later, we have a letter which I want

Page 94

12

17

19

24

1

5

10

15

22

24

- 1 to ask you to look at. RHC001480. This is a letter 2 four days after that memorandum we just saw. It is from 3 Diana Cavanagh to Mr Bradshaw headed "Inquiry":
- 4 "I am writing further to my letter of 15 April 1992 5 and our meeting this morning concerning the possibility 6 of an inquiry into the role played by staff in events at 7 Knowl View in 1990.

"I have now had the opportunity to consult the union representatives, the chair of the Education Committee and yourself about the nature and purpose of any inquiry ..."

Pausing there, the chair of the Education Committee was still none other than Mary Moffat; am I right?

A. That's right, yes.

15 Q. She continues:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

7

8

9

10

12

15

17

"I have recommended to Councillor Moffat that an inquiry into the role played by staff should be conducted by the LEA as part of its response to Mrs Mellor's report.

"The purpose of the inquiry should be to clarify any residual areas of concern about the level of care or management exercised by teaching and nonteaching staff in the months leading to the incident in September 1990. There is the possibility that the inquiry may lead to disciplinary action and staff should be aware of this."

1 letter which went to the councillors, probably -- given

- 2 it was only posted two days before the election, that
- 3 wouldn't have -- you know, that probably wouldn't have
- 4 reached any of those individuals until probably the
- 5 election day itself.
- 6 Q. What about union representatives? Presumably, you were
- 7 friendly, perhaps, or knew some of the local
- 8 representatives of those unions?
- 9 A. I may have known -- certainly not friendly with them.
 - I may have known who the NALGO and NUPE representatives
- 11 were, but certainly all the other teaching unions,
 - I wouldn't have any knowledge of that.
- 13 Q. But still as at 5 May, do we understand it, Mr Farnell, 14 you still had no idea what was going on?
- 15 A. In relation to this letter?
- Q. In relation to the whole process that was happening: 16
 - Mellor, and this was moving into a period of interviews
- 18 with staff in May 1992 and a further report after you
 - had lost your seat in June 1992 by Dr Hodge,
- 20 Selwyn Hodge, the chief adviser. What I am asking you
- 21 is, at this point in time, this snapshot in time, are
- 22 you telling us that you still knew nothing?
- 23 A. I think I've told the inquiry several times that
 - I wasn't -- was not informed of events at Knowl View
- 25 during my time as leader.

Page 97

- 1 If we go to the next page, please, I just want to
- 2 look at who this letter was copied to at the bottom.
- 3 Ignoring the first entry, we have Councillor Moffat,
- 4 Councillor Hawton, Councillor Sargenson, the chief
- adviser, an EO (Special) -- presumably an Education 6 Officer with Special Needs, and then a number of unions,
 - presumably all of which you will recognise; yes?
 - A. Yes. Most of them, I think.
 - Q. They were copied in because of the possibility of disciplinary proceedings in relation to their members.
- 11 Does that appear to be the position from your reading of
 - this, Mr Farnell?
- 13
- 14 Q. As Labour leader of the council still on 5 May, because
 - there was two days to go to the election in which you

when the unions are being involved in an investigation

- 16 had an ambition to remain as leader, don't you think
- 18 of this kind, which may end up with disciplinary
- 19 proceedings in relation to members of those several
- 20 unions, you might have been told about all of this?
- 21 A. By whom?
- 22 Q. By anyone.
- 23 A. By those people -- well, I'm not aware of just at what 24 point were the trade unions consulted about this. I'm
- 25 not aware when that happened. But certainly, that
 - Page 98

Q. While we have in mind your time as leader, can I ask you

Page 99

- 2 about the Farnell regime: were you someone who would
- 3 take advice on the implications of decisions you were
- 4 taking for political reasons, do you think?
 - A. Sorry, I didn't quite catch the end of that.
- 6 Q. Were you someone who would take advice on the
- 7 implications of decisions you were taking for political 8
 - reasons?
- 9 A. As leader, I would take advice. My role as leader was
 - to listen to the professional advisers, but it was also
- 11 my role to sometimes question and challenge that advice,
- 12 to test it, to make sure I was satisfied that the advice
- 13 that we were given was in the best interests of
- 14 the borough.
 - Q. Did you ever interfere in operational matters?
- 16 A. In operational matters? No.
- 17 Q. Do you agree with this description of you, Mr Farnell,
- 18 as a "very intelligent, far-sighted council leader"?
- 19 A. Very flattering. I probably wouldn't put myself in 20 those terms.
- 21 Q. It is a quotation I take from a witness from whom we
 - have heard, Allan Buckley. Do you know Allan Buckley?
- 23 A. Yes, I do know him, yes.
 - Q. So you don't put yourself quite as high as that.
- 25 Far-sighted? Is that you?

5

12

15

2

8

17

- 1 A. I had visions for the borough, yes.
- 2 Q. What about someone who also bullied and browbeat people?
 - Do you recognise that description of you, Mr Farnell?
- 4 A. No, that's not an accurate description of me, no.
- 5 Q. That you interfered inappropriately with departmental matters? 6
- 7 A. Certainly that's not my style whatsoever.
 - Q. That you didn't cooperate with certain council
- 9 initiatives; is that you?
- 10 A. Sorry, could you repeat that again?
- 11 Q. That you didn't cooperate with certain council
- 12

3

8

- 13 A. Well, I'm not quite sure what that refers to.
- 14 Q. That the Farnell regime would not listen to advice?
- 15 A. We -- as I have already explained, certainly we listened 16 to advice very carefully.
- 17 Q. So you wouldn't say that your style of leadership was 18 autocratic?
- 19 A. Was also what, sorry?
- 20 Q. Autocratic?
- 2.1 A. No, definitely not, no.
- 22 Q. You lost your seat on 7 May, and so the report which you
- 23 will have read about that Diana Cavanagh produced
- 24 in June 1992 is nothing you would certainly have seen at
- 25 that point?

- raise issues with the Education Committee and they had
- 2 to fight for every bit of information. Was that true,
- 3 to your knowledge?
- 4 A. That's not true, because any member can ask for items to
 - be placed on council agendas, and, as I have explained
- 6 before, no member had to fight for information, it is
- 7 enshrined in common law that they have a right to see
- 8 any document held by the council, provided they could
- 9 demonstrate a need to know, and that was fully
- 10 understood by officers. It would be the officers who
- 11 would be providing this information, not me.
 - Q. Another topic, please: Cyril Smith. During your time in
- 13 office, did you have dealings with Cyril Smith?
- 14 A. Very, very occasionally.
 - Q. In what circumstances?
- 16 A. Well, I didn't have any direct dealings with him. The
- 17 council would have had dealings, but not that very many
- 18 with him, because he was obviously the Member of
- 19 Parliament.
- 20 Q. What was his reputation in Rochdale generally?
- 21 A. At the time, he was, as I think a lot of people have
- 22 said, a larger-than-life figure, very prominent in
- 23 public affairs in the town. You know, he had
- 24 a reputation of being "Mr Rochdale".
- 25 Q. Did you hear any of the rumours about his alleged sexual

Page 103

Page 101

- 1 A. Absolutely not.
- 2 Q. Before I forget, can I ask you one other thing about the
- 3 council during your time as leader. Did you think there
- 4 was any culture of secrecy or any unwillingness to share
- 5 information?

9

- 6 A. I think, at times, officers would want to keep members
- 7 at a distance, particularly when dealing with, you know,
- 8 very sensitive, controversial matters. I think there
- was a culture of keeping members at a distance. 10
- Q. Would you share information with other political 11 parties?
- 12 A. Me personally, or the council?
- 13 Q. Well, let's start with you.
- 14 A. Probably not. I don't think I would have had any 15
 - information that they would want.
- 16 Q. What about the council generally?
- 17 A. The council, all members of the opposition parties were
- 18 entitled to all papers and reports in the council. They
- 19 were members of committees, they received all the
- 20 reports for those committees. They received the private
- 2.1 reports to those committees and they had every right to
- 22 see any document held by the council.
- 23 Q. Paul Rowen, who gave evidence to the inquiry last
- 24 Thursday, 19 October, said that during your stewardship,

Page 102

25 as a member of the opposition, they had no ability to

- 1 involvement with boys at either Cambridge House Hostel
 - in the '60s or at Knowl View School?
- 3 A. Certainly not Knowl View. I remember when I first
- 4 joined the party, the Labour Party, and that would be
- 5 1974, the old-guard council would have mentioned --
- I can't remember exactly when, but, you know, there was 6
- 7 something in Cyril Smith's background, he was alleged to
 - have spanked boys at Cambridge House, it was
- q investigated at the time but nothing was done about it.
- 10 I was aware of that information about Cyril Smith from
- 11 when I joined the party.
- 12 Q. That suggests you knew about the police investigation or
- 13 you'd heard about it?
- 14 A. Only in very general terms. The view was, amongst my
- 15 colleagues, it had been investigated but nothing came
- 16
 - Q. Did you, in 1979, read the Rochdale Alternative Paper
- 18 article about him?
- 19 A. Yes, I did, yes.
- 20 Q. You were a member of the party but not on the council at
- 21 that point?
- 22 A. That's correct, yes.
- 23 Q. Did the rumours persist locally about him?
- 24 A. After the article?
- 25 Q. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. The article itself was a bombshell because, you know, up 1 2 to now there was this vague knowledge of 3 Cambridge House. That article actually spelt out in 4 very graphic detail about the allegations, so quite 5 shocking in that respect. Yes, it was -- you know, it 6 was talked about a lot in the town. 7 Q. Harry Wild. Is that a name that meant anything to you? 8 A. I have heard of his name subsequently, but I wasn't
- aware of him then. 10 Q. Was there, to your knowledge, Mr Farnell, at any time

9

- 11 while you were a councillor, a pact between the local 12 Liberal or Liberal Democrat Party and the Labour Party 13 the effect of which was that the Labour Party would not 14 raise issues about Cyril Smith in return for the Liberal 15 or Liberal Democrat party not raising issues about 16 another local politician?
- 17 A. The idea of a pact: absolute nonsense. That would not 18 have ever been contemplated by certainly anybody in the 19 Labour Party. You know, the Liberals were our bitter 20 political enemies. It was a marginal seat. Every --2.1 you know, the relationship between the parties at 22 a political level was quite bitter, I would think. So
- 23 the idea that any Labour politician in Rochdale would 24 enter into a pact with the Liberals was an absolute
- 25 non-starter. It would never be contemplated. And

that fair?

- 2 A. That's one of his roles, yes.
- 3 Q. Was that one of the reasons he was coming to see you, 4 Mr Farnell, because of what you had said to the press in 5 the June of that year?
- 6 A. It wasn't a disciplinary matter. It was a very -- if
 - I can explain, it was a very brief meeting, and he popped his head around the door and said -- I'm not so sure it was just these press articles that have been referred to today. I think there were other coverage in the media. He popped his head around the door to say, "Richard, as Chief Whip, I have to ask you this: were
- 13 you aware of anything to do with Knowl View?", and 14 I simply answered, "I was not aware of any of
 - the incidents at Knowl View", and that was basically the sum total of the conversation.
 - Q. You're aware, I'm sure, Mr Farnell, that he has produced a note, and he produced a note to the police who interviewed him in October 2014, a typewritten note, of how at least part of that conversation went, and he made two statements about it. You are aware of that, aren't you, Mr Farnell?
- 23 A. Yes, I am. Yes.
 - Q. Have you seen the note?
- 25 A. I was shown the note during the police interview.

Page 107

Page 105

- 1 I can't think why you would want to do it anyway, 2 because in 1979, following the RAP article, everybody in
- 3 the town knew about the allegations at Cambridge House,
- 4 so I'm not so sure what there was to cover up.
- 5 Q. So even if not a pact, not even an understanding?
- 6 A. Absolutely not, no.
- 7 Q. Peter Joinson. That's a name that means something to 8 you, isn't it, Mr Farnell?
- 9 A. Yes, he's a councillor, a Labour councillor on the 10 council.
- 11 Q. In 2014, whether he is or is not now is one thing, but 12 in 2014 was he chief Labour Party whip or the Chief Whip 13 in Rochdale?
- 14 A. He is currently still a member of the council and he was 15 the Chief Whip at that time.
- Q. Did he interview you on 11 June 2014 in part, if not in 16 17 whole, because of the statement you made to the press, 18 the ones we read together a little earlier, on 8 June 19 and perhaps afterwards?
- 20 A. He didn't interview me, but I had a conversation with 21 him, ves.
- 22 Q. Where did you have a conversation with him?
- 23 A. It was in my office in the council buildings.
- 24 Q. As a Party Whip or as a Chief Whip, one of his jobs was 25 to impose discipline on party members, I suppose? Is
 - Page 106

Q. Have you got a copy of it now?

- 2 A. I haven't got a copy now.
- 3 Q. I wonder, if we have a spare -- I hope the chair and the 4 panel should have a copy of this. We will see it is 5
 - headed "Notes of a meeting with Councillor
- 6 Richard Farnell, leader Rochdale Labour group, 11 June".
- 7 Although it doesn't have the year date, it is clear from
- 8 what Mr Joinson had to say it is 2014. It reads this 9
 - "As Chief Whip, I arranged a meeting with the lead of the Labour group to go through various group positions, et cetera. At the end of the meeting, I raised with the leader the issues of press articles that questioned his knowledge of the Knowl View abuse report."
- 16 Pausing there, it rather suggests that this was more 17 than just a popping the head around the door, doesn't 18 it? "At the end of the meeting I raised issues"?
- 19 A. Certainly there was no discussion about various group 20 positions. I had already dealt with them and passed 21 them on to Committee Services about the positions the 22 Labour group will be taking on the council. So there 23 would be no need to meet him to discuss various group 24
- 25 Q. But "at the end of the meeting" rather suggests it was

Page 108

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

7

8

9

10

11

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

8

- 1 more than just this. Do you agree the intrinsic notion 2 here "at the end of the meeting" suggests it was 3 something more than just the popping of a head around 4 5 A. That's what he suggests in his note but my recollection of that meeting is entirely different. 6 7 Q. Let's read on: 8 "Councillor Farnell indicated that 9 Councillor Hawton, as chair of Rochdale Health 10 Authority, had received a report from her senior officer 11
- that had been written by a sexual health worker employed 12 by the health authority. As a consequence of that 13 report, the council was preparing a report. This was 14 between approximately March and June 1992. 15 Councillor Farnell said he had only seen a draft report 16 and the full report wasn't complete until June. By that 17 time, he had lost his council seat and ceased to be the 18 council leader. Councillor Farnell stated the full 19 report was presented to Councillor Rowen who had taken 20 the leadership of the council." 21 It has got his name, his position, "Labour group
- 22 Chief Whip" and in hand his initials "PJ1" and the date 23 and time because he was producing it as an exhibit to 24 the police on 30 October 2014. Did you say any of those 25 things or anything like it to Mr Joinson, Mr Farnell?

- and the full report wasn't complete until June", by
- 2 which time you'd lost your council seat. Again,
- 3 I assume you're going to be telling us, Mr Farnell, that
- 4 those are words being put into your mouth, completely
- 5 untrue, and the facts that he got, to put them in your
- 6 mouth, he got from another source?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. He made, as I said, two statements: one on the day that he exhibited this note, 30 October, and another, albeit dated 7 April 2016, it is a police statement, it must be 2017, this year, but he said two other things about this meeting which are not noted in this note. First, he
- 12 13 said you named during the course of this meeting the sex
- 14 health worker as Shepherd. Did you do that?
 - A. No.
- 16 Q. Secondly, he said that you told him that there were 17 allegations of sexual abuse in a draft report that you 18 had seen. Did you say that?

 - Q. So Mr Joinson has completely and utterly invented this content of this meeting with you on 11 June?
 - A. Absolutely, yes.
 - Q. You were asked about this in 2016 and you gave an explanation as to why you think Mr Joinson made this up.
 - Why did he make it up, do you think, Mr Farnell?

Page 109

A. No.

1

- 2 Q. Has he made it up?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Has he made up all of the detail about Councillor Hawton 5
 - receiving a report from a senior officer that had been
- 6 written by a sexual health worker employed by the health
- 7 authority? He's made all of that up?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So if he has made that up, in the sense of putting those 10 words into your mouth, he must have got that information
- 11 from another source?
- 12 A Ves
- 13 Q. Was Peter Joinson in the council at the time that we are 14 dealing with?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. So that suggests, if you are telling the truth, that he 17 has somehow come by this information and has simply put
- 18 these words into your mouth?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. When it goes on, "As a consequence of that report, the 21 council was preparing a report between
- 22 approximately March and June 1992", again, completely
- 23 made that up? You never said any such thing?
- 24 A. Absolutely not.
- 25 Q. "Councillor Farnell said he had only seen a draft report

Page 110

Page 111

- 1 A. This was very shortly after the group annual meeting
- 2 where I became leader of the group and leader of
- 3 the council. Before that period, there was a very
- 4 bitter --
 - Q. You're talking about 2014, aren't you?
- 6 A. '14, yes. Yes. There was a very bitter election
- 7 campaign going on. I was challenging the current leader
 - for the leadership of the group. It's been described as
- 9 a civil war in the party.
- 10 During that campaign, and this is an illustration of 11 just how nasty it was, there were lots of allegations
- 12 made by the outgoing leader, Colin Lambert, who was
- 13 defending his seat, and by Peter Joinson that they
- 14 shouldn't elect me as leader because I was leader at the
- 15 time of Knowl View, I knew all about it and I covered it
- 16 up, and that was the essence of the campaign, and the
- 17 election was held, the group members took little notice
- 18 of those smear campaigns, and I was elected with quite
- 19 a large majority.
- 20 The person running Colin Lambert's campaign to get 21 re-elected during this bitter battle was Peter Joinson.
- 22 He was hand in hand with Colin Lambert.
- 23 Following the election, which I hoped would put
- 24 a line under it, it continued and Joinson was part of 25 that with Lambert. I had only just been elected,

- I should step down, I should step aside. So he was very 2 much part of that campaign. This is a complete 3 invention. This statement he's made here is a complete
- 4 invention in order to try and politically damage me and
- 5 to undermine me as I've become the new leader.
- 6 Q. When did you become the new leader? What date?
- 7 A. It was early June. It would be a Monday, early June. 8 I can't remember exactly.
- 9 Q. So around the very time those press articles we looked 10 at earlier?
- 11 A. The press articles came just after that election.
- 12 Q. So that we are clear, Mr Farnell, he is right that he 13 came to see you?
- 14 A. Yes.

- 15 Q. So whatever happened in the meeting he has completely 16 ignored, according to that note, and he has replaced it
 - with a complete invention about what passed between you?
- 18 A. He didn't take any note during the meeting. As
- 19 I explained, it was a very brief encounter. He popped
- 20 his head around the door, very quick question, and 21 I answered it, and it was as brief as that.
- 22 Q. Of course, the note that we are looking at was exhibited
- 23 to a witness statement that he made to the police on
- 24 30 October 2014, so it's several months after the
- 25 election. Did Mr Joinson, so that we understand, seek

yes.

4

5

- 2 Q. But it is one or the other, isn't it?
- 3 A. It must be, yes.
 - Q. So even up to this point in time, at the point at which
 - you leave office, and even despite Mr Joinson's, you
- 6 say, fabricated notes of a conversation that you never 7 had, at the point at which you leave office, so that we
- 8 understand the position, Mr Farnell, so that we all
- 9 understand, you still were ignorant of all of the sexual
- 10 exploitation and abuse that boys had been suffering for
- 11
- years at a school for which your council had
- 12 responsibility?
- 13 A. I was never informed about the events of Knowl View, and 14 you're quite right, because you have reminded the panel 15 several times now, that I have said I was unaware of
- 16 these matters.
- 17 Q. Finally, this, Mr Farnell: you'll presumably understand 18 that several boys went through that school, vulnerable
 - boys, and their lives were blighted by what happened to
- 20 them. You understand that, don't you?

19

21 22

1

- Q. Presumably, you're prepared to accept responsibility for
- 23 that as leader during the period, aren't you?
- 24 A. The council should accept responsibility for the 25
 - failings that happened in Knowl View, and individuals

Page 115

Page 113

- 1 to make any political capital out of this claimed
- 2 conversation with you in the meantime?
- 3 A. I don't quite follow the question, if you could repeat 4
- 5 Q. Did Mr Joinson seek to allege against you, by reference
- 6 to the note that we are all looking at, that you had
- 7 confessed to him having seen a draft report before you
- 8 left office?
- 9 A. No, he'd not used that -- this particular interview.
- 10 Q. No. So the first time it appears to surface is when 11 he's interviewed by the police on 30 October 2014,
- 12 months later?
- 13
- 14 Q. So when you say that it was a nasty civil war and
- 15 Joinson had, as I understand you, sided with Lambert, he 16
- never made use of it?
- 17 A. Well, the civil war or the -- you know, the bad blood in 18 the Labour group carried on for -- you know, carried on
- 19 for several weeks and months after that.
- 20 Q. But the first time it surfaces is when he speaks to the 21 police on 30 October 2014, and now we have it and now
- 22 I'm asking you questions about it. But, Mr Farnell, you
- 23 are going to agree, aren't you, there is no grey area
- 24 here: either he is lying or you are lying?
- 25 A. And certainly my contention is he is lying about it,

Page 114

- must take responsibility for their own actions.
- 2 Q. What about you?
- 3 A. Well, I bitterly regret that the senior officers of
- 4 the council never once approached me to brief me about
- 5 these matters. They had every opportunity to do so, and
- 6 it was incumbent on them to give me those facts and they
- 7 never did, and I bitterly regret that, because if they
- had done, I may have been able to help. I may have been 9 able to challenge, as has already been said, the advice
- 10 and the actions of the officers.
- 11 Q. So the blameworthy people, just so we understand,
- 12 Mr Farnell, are: Diana Cavanagh? Is she blameworthy in
- 13 your view?
- 14 A. She has, I think, admitted to this inquiry she never 15 briefed me about Knowl View.
- 16 Q. No, but as I reminded you earlier, she said you were 17 likely to have received the minutes or actions of
- 18 the 11 April meeting?
- 19 A. Whenever Diana Cavanagh has given a statement about this
- 20 to the inquiry, she's been extremely, extremely vague
- 21 about -- as to whether anybody had given me any
- 22 information -- "presume", "would have", "likely". She's
- 23 never once said in evidence that this person spoke to me
- 24 or wrote a briefing note for me and told me about it.
- 25 She's never, ever been able to say that because it never

1	happened.	1	went on to write a book detailing the failures at
2	Q. So that's Diana Cavanagh. The excellent Ian Davey, is	2	Knowl View.
3	he responsible?	3	MR FRANK: Thank you. My second question is this: as the
4	A. Well, Mr Davey is also on record as saying he never	4	leader, the current leader, of the council, does it
5	escalated this matter to me.	5	worry you that some of your officers may still be
6	Q. Is he responsible, Mr Farnell?	6	keeping important information from you in a similar way
7	A. Responsible for what, sorry?	7	and, if it does, what are you doing about it?
8	Q. Well, you know what we are talking about, Mr Farnell.	8	A. Currently, the whole system we are talking now
9	Is he responsible for the failures at Knowl View where	9	22 years down the line. The system in local government
10	you are not?	10	today is much changed. It is far more transparent.
11	A. Mr Davey is responsible, yes.	11	This situation would never happen again. I am now kept
12	Q. John Pierce: is he responsible, as chief executive,	12	informed of major incidents on a regular basis, so the
13	where you are not?	13	current arrangements that the council has, the way we
14	A. John Pierce had I'm not quite sure at what point	14	conduct our business, has changed dramatically over time
15	John Pierce was informed about Knowl View, but certainly	15	and I am confident that today this would never happen
16	John Pierce, despite me meeting him weekly, despite	16	again. I would be kept informed as I am currently.
17	having every opportunity to bring these matters to my	17	MR FRANK: My final question, if I may, is this: of
18	attention, he did not, and he's said so.	18	the three who you say should have informed you and
19	Q. Who else? Who else is personally responsible, apart	19	failed to do so, have you ever said to any one of them,
20	from you, Mr Farnell? Who else is responsible?	20	"Why on earth did you keep me in the dark? Why didn't
21	A. Those three, as the senior officers of the council,	21	you tell me what went on?"
22	senior advisers to the Labour group, I think they are	22	A. I have not had the opportunity to do that because these
23	the people who should shoulder the responsibility for	23	people have left the authority. When I became aware of
24	not informing me and dealing with these issues in	24	Knowl View, that was many, many years after the event,
25	a proper way.	25	I had no contact with these people anymore.
	Page 117		Page 119
1	Q. Just so we understand, because there will come a moment	1	MR FRANK: Thank you.
2	shortly when you leave this inquiry, Mr Farnell, but	2	THE CHAIR: Just one question from me, Mr Farnell: whilst
3	when you do, everybody should understand that you are	3	you were leader of the council, who was the local
4	not prepared to accept personal responsibility for this?	4	member, do you remember, for the area in which Knowl
5	A. I am not prepared to accept personal responsibility for	5	View School was located?
6	not for failing to take action in this matter. For	6	A. That would be Cyril Smith. The school was, I think,
7	me to be able to take action in this matter, I would	7	located in Norden, was it? Norden would be part of
8	have had to be informed of the of the situation, and	8	the Rochdale constituency. It was represented by
9	as clearly demonstrated, the key people the people	9	Cyril Smith.
10	with the information, the people with the professional	10	THE CHAIR: Thank you. I didn't make myself clear. At
11	responsibility to inform me about these matters, failed	11	local council level, who amongst your councillors was
12	to do so, and that's a matter of record.	12	responsible for that area?
13	MR ALTMAN: I have made my points, so I am not going to	13	A. For that area? Norden was a rock solid Conservative
14	repeat them. I am going to ask the chair or the panel	14	seat.
15	if they have any questions for you.	15	THE CHAIR: At any time, did the local member for that area
16	Questions from THE PANEL	16	raise any questions or concerns about Knowl View School?
17	MR FRANK: If you would help me with one matter: you have	17	A. No, none whatsoever.
18	told us about the many occasions when you didn't have	18	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
19	any knowledge about Knowl View. Could you please help	19	MR ALTMAN: Thank you, Mr Farnell. You are free to go.
20	us and tell us clearly, when did you first learn of	20	A. Thank you very much.
21	the serious problems at Knowl View? When was the very	21	(The witness withdrew)
22	first time?	22	MR ALTMAN: Chair, I am going to invite you to take perhaps
23	A. The very first time would be two to three years ago when	23	a 10-minute break. We have two witnesses who are here
24	Simon Danczuk, Member of Parliament, raised these	24	whom we would quite like to see away by the end of
25	matters under privilege in the House of Commons and then	25	the day. Thank you very much.
	D 440		D 420
	Page 118		Page 120
			20 (D 117 to 120)

1	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. We will return in	1	A. That's right.
2	10 minutes.	2	Q. You became his private secretary but also a press
3	(2.52 pm)	3	officer?
4	(A short break)	4	A. And parliamentary clerk.
5	(3.05 pm)	5	Q. It was a very small office, you say?
6	MS ELEANOR PHILLIPS (sworn)	6	A. That's right.
7	Examination by MR HENDERSON	7	Q. Can you give us a little bit of an idea? How much
8	MR HENDERSON: Good afternoon, Ms Phillips. Please do take	8	interaction did you have with Sir Thomas?
9	a seat. Thank you for joining us. I would like to	9	A. Oh, daily. There were many of us who wore different
10	start just by asking you some brief background	10	hats because there weren't enough people there to do all
11	information to set the scene, if we may. You very	11	the jobs, but then they weren't full-time occupations so
12	kindly have given a witness statement to the inquiry	12	there wasn't the press officer. Prior to Sir Thomas
13	which I am going to ask to be brought up on the screen	13	making me the press officer, it was a man called
14	and we can follow along with it. Could we bring up	14	Bill Adams, who was the establishment officer at that
15	INQ001301. If we could put that on one side of	15	time, who just simply fielded the calls.
16	the screen and we can bring up other documents on the	16	Q. So didn't actually communicate much with the press?
17	other. That's probably the most efficient.	17	A. No.
18	Ms Phillips, you were a corporal in the RAF,	18	Q. It was just fielding calls. Why did Sir Thomas make
19	I think, originally?	19	a change to have more communication with the press?
20	A. That's correct.	20	A. I think Sir Thomas wanted to modernise the DPP. It was
21	Q. Then you joined the Director of Public Prosecutions'	21	very old century at that time, so that was one of
22	office in 1973?	22	the things he brought into being.
23	A. That's right.	23	Q. What was the general approach to press enquiries after
24	Q. I think initially it was as personal secretary	24	Sir Thomas took over? Was it quite careful in giving
25	A. Yes.	25	away minimal information, was it more open, what was the
	Page 121		Page 123
	1 "50 121		1 450 120
1	Q to one of the assistant secretaries of the Director	1	general policy?
2	of Public Prosecutions?	2	A. I think it was more open. I remember talking to one
3	A. I was a direct entrant personal secretary to the	3	journalist who had been speaking to Bill Adams for
	Civil Service and I was allocated as a secretary to		
4		4	years, and he said to me, "Are you sure you should be
5	a then assistant solicitor a secretary, sorry, and	5	years, and he said to me, "Are you sure you should be telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them
		1	
5	a then assistant solicitor a secretary, sorry, and	5	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them
5 6	a then assistant solicitor a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director.	5 6	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed.
5 6 7	a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director.Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal	5 6 7	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them?
5 6 7 8	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? 	5 6 7 8	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm.
5 6 7 8 9	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. 	5 6 7 8 9	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed
5 6 7 8 9	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? 	5 6 7 8 9	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't someone who did a lot of shorthand, but typing, general 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be? A. Well, there wasn't a policy, press policy, as such. So
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't someone who did a lot of shorthand, but typing, general secretarial duties. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be? A. Well, there wasn't a policy, press policy, as such. So we were making it up, or rather Sir Thomas was, as we
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't someone who did a lot of shorthand, but typing, general secretarial duties. Q. You tell us that under Sir Norman the policy of 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be? A. Well, there wasn't a policy, press policy, as such. So we were making it up, or rather Sir Thomas was, as we were going along because there was no measure of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't someone who did a lot of shorthand, but typing, general secretarial duties. Q. You tell us that under Sir Norman the policy of the DPP's office was to give no information to the 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be? A. Well, there wasn't a policy, press policy, as such. So we were making it up, or rather Sir Thomas was, as we were going along because there was no measure of the sort of press calls we were going to get. Also, at
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't someone who did a lot of shorthand, but typing, general secretarial duties. Q. You tell us that under Sir Norman the policy of the DPP's office was to give no information to the press? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be? A. Well, there wasn't a policy, press policy, as such. So we were making it up, or rather Sir Thomas was, as we were going along because there was no measure of the sort of press calls we were going to get. Also, at that time, most of the Fleet Street newspapers had
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't someone who did a lot of shorthand, but typing, general secretarial duties. Q. You tell us that under Sir Norman the policy of the DPP's office was to give no information to the press? A. That's correct. I think it had been going on longer	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be? A. Well, there wasn't a policy, press policy, as such. So we were making it up, or rather Sir Thomas was, as we were going along because there was no measure of the sort of press calls we were going to get. Also, at that time, most of the Fleet Street newspapers had a chief crime officer and they were very, very familiar
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't someone who did a lot of shorthand, but typing, general secretarial duties. Q. You tell us that under Sir Norman the policy of the DPP's office was to give no information to the press? A. That's correct. I think it had been going on longer than Sir Norman. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be? A. Well, there wasn't a policy, press policy, as such. So we were making it up, or rather Sir Thomas was, as we were going along because there was no measure of the sort of press calls we were going to get. Also, at that time, most of the Fleet Street newspapers had a chief crime officer and they were very, very familiar with the court system, unlike those who were just
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't someone who did a lot of shorthand, but typing, general secretarial duties. Q. You tell us that under Sir Norman the policy of the DPP's office was to give no information to the press? A. That's correct. I think it had been going on longer than Sir Norman. Q. Do you know why that was?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be? A. Well, there wasn't a policy, press policy, as such. So we were making it up, or rather Sir Thomas was, as we were going along because there was no measure of the sort of press calls we were going to get. Also, at that time, most of the Fleet Street newspapers had a chief crime officer and they were very, very familiar with the court system, unlike those who were just writing articles about this, that or the other.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't someone who did a lot of shorthand, but typing, general secretarial duties. Q. You tell us that under Sir Norman the policy of the DPP's office was to give no information to the press? A. That's correct. I think it had been going on longer than Sir Norman. Q. Do you know why that was? A. I think it was the culture at the time. They didn't 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be? A. Well, there wasn't a policy, press policy, as such. So we were making it up, or rather Sir Thomas was, as we were going along because there was no measure of the sort of press calls we were going to get. Also, at that time, most of the Fleet Street newspapers had a chief crime officer and they were very, very familiar with the court system, unlike those who were just writing articles about this, that or the other. Q. Can I come to the matter that interests the inquiry?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't someone who did a lot of shorthand, but typing, general secretarial duties. Q. You tell us that under Sir Norman the policy of the DPP's office was to give no information to the press? A. That's correct. I think it had been going on longer than Sir Norman. Q. Do you know why that was? A. I think it was the culture at the time. They didn't speak to the press. Q. In 1977, Sir Thomas Hetherington became DPP? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be? A. Well, there wasn't a policy, press policy, as such. So we were making it up, or rather Sir Thomas was, as we were going along because there was no measure of the sort of press calls we were going to get. Also, at that time, most of the Fleet Street newspapers had a chief crime officer and they were very, very familiar with the court system, unlike those who were just writing articles about this, that or the other. Q. Can I come to the matter that interests the inquiry? A. Yes. Q. First of all, before we look at your interaction with
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 a then assistant solicitor — a secretary, sorry, and not an assistant director. Q. In 1974, you tell us you became a senior personal secretary to Sir Norman Skelhorn? A. That's right. Q. Who was then the DPP himself; is that right? A. Yes. Q. What did that role involve? A. Well, I looked after his diary, made appointments, made sure he got to places on time, and any — he wasn't someone who did a lot of shorthand, but typing, general secretarial duties. Q. You tell us that under Sir Norman the policy of the DPP's office was to give no information to the press? A. That's correct. I think it had been going on longer than Sir Norman. Q. Do you know why that was? A. I think it was the culture at the time. They didn't speak to the press. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	telling me this?", because it was a big shock to them that it had changed. Q. That you would actually speak to them? A. Mmm. Q. Was there any situation in which you would be instructed to mislead the press? A. No. Q. Or even be economical with the truth? A. Maybe economical with the truth, yes. But not lie. Q. What kind of situation would that be? A. Well, there wasn't a policy, press policy, as such. So we were making it up, or rather Sir Thomas was, as we were going along because there was no measure of the sort of press calls we were going to get. Also, at that time, most of the Fleet Street newspapers had a chief crime officer and they were very, very familiar with the court system, unlike those who were just writing articles about this, that or the other. Q. Can I come to the matter that interests the inquiry? A. Yes.

	1 1070 11 1 1 1 1		
1	the press in 1979, I just want to ask you about the	1	just two, but it was more of a managerial between the
2	thing which started it, which was the police file and	2	lower level and the director and the deputy.
3	investigation into Cyril Smith in 1970. Could we bring	3	Q. So this was someone one level down, perhaps, from the
4	up on the screen CPS002711. If we could stick it on the	4	director himself?
5	right-hand side, that would be great.	5 6	A. Yes, but they had the powers, like the director and the
6	Chair and panel, you have seen this many times.	7	deputy, under the legislation which the assistant secretaries didn't.
7 8	I hope you might have seen this before, Ms Phillips? A. I have, yes.	8	Q. We have seen then that they have signed this letter in
9	Q. This was the letter sent back to Lancashire Police	9	1970. Was it normal practice for an assistant to sign
10	advising not to prosecute Cyril Smith in 1970. The	10	in the name of the DPP at that time?
11	reason I ask you about it it is obviously before your	11	A. Oh, yes, because the assistant directors had the same
12	time is that we have been struggling to understand	12	powers under the law as the DPP himself.
13	a few little bits and pieces on this letter and you may	13	Q. Would an assistant director have discussed the
14	be able to help us.	14	decision usually discussed the decision with the
15	In paragraphs 5 to 8 of your statement, you have	15	director themselves or would they have just made it on
16	explained the filing system at the time?	16	their own?
17	A. Yes.	17	A. No, they might have. I mean, they may not have actually
18	Q. Can you interpret for us the filing reference at the top	18	sent the file, but they may have just wandered into his
19	of this letter?	19	office to talk about it.
20	A. Well, "W" would be the division, which was west, and as	20	Q. Would they have done that in all cases or only in
21	this is the Lancashire Constabulary, that would be in	21	high-profile cases?
22	the west division. It was geographical.	22	A. Most of the cases that went to the DPP were high
23	Q. The number in the middle?	23	profile, because under the regulations of
24	A. The number is the unique registration number of that	24	the legislation at that time, chief constables had to
25	file.	25	send certain cases, like all murders; any case needing
	Page 125		Page 127
1	Q. And the 70?	1	an Attorney General's consent; any case needing a DPP's
2	A. Is the year.	2	consent. The chief constables then also had
3	Q. How about the "TT"?	3	a discretion to send a file with a lesser offence
4	A. I'm puzzled by that.	4	because of a notable person in that area.
5	Q. Any idea?	5	Q. Yes, we have had that explained to us. You think it is
6	A. No. I thought initially, as is the practice in a lot of	6	entirely possible the assistant director would sign in
7	offices, that it would be the initials of the writer,	7	the name of the DPP, they may or may not have discussed
8	but it bears no relation to that signature, so I don't	8	it with the DPP himself? If you bring it back up, the
9	know.	9	previous reference, CPS002711 on the right-hand side.
10	Q. That brings me to the signature. You can see there	10	A. This is
11	there is a signature that we think reads "N Hutchinson"?	11	Q. Don't worry.
12	A. Yes.	12	A. That's a notice of
13	Q. Do you recall anyone called Hutchinson?	13	Q. That's something from 1962.
14	A. No, I don't.	14	A. Oh, right.
15	Q. If we could bring up, instead of that, a recent document	15	Q. It is not unusual in your experience at the time for
16	that the CPS have helpfully provided for us. CPS002846.	16	someone to sign on behalf of the DPP like this?
17	If we could look at subpage 6 of that. Do you see	17	A. Well, this is 1970, so I wouldn't know.
18	there, on the right-hand side of the screen, a very	18	Q. Was the practice like that in 1974?
19	similar looking signature and it is someone who is	19	A. It was to begin with, until Sir Thomas made the
20	apparently an Assistant Director of Public Prosecutions.	20	assistant well, requested the Home Secretary, who had
21	Does that help at all? Have you ever heard of them?	21	the power then, to make Assistant Directors Public
22	A. I haven't heard of him, but this is something I am	22	Prosecutions. So all the assistant solicitors who
23	not too sure about at some point, the number of	23	secretaries who were in charge of division were made
24	assistant directors, as opposed to assistant	24	Assistant DPPs and then they had the power.
25	secretaries, were changed, and when I arrived there were	25	Q. Can we then come to the enquiry that you received from
	Page 126		Page 128

- the press in 1979. In your statement, this starts at
- 2 paragraph 4. You say that you recall the DPP's office
- 3 receiving a phone call from a paper about Cyril Smith.
- 4
- 5 Q. Can you remember at all, was that the Rochdale
- Alternative Press? 6
- 7 A. No, I can't.
- O. You weren't present when the call first came in. Your
- 9 recollection is that a secretary called Frances answered
- 10
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Can you remember her surname?
- 13 A. No, she got married while I was there, so she had
- 14 different surnames.
- 15 Q. Your recollection is she checked with the registry,
- 16 that's the section that checked incoming mail?
- 17
- 18 Q. They confirmed there was a file on Cyril Smith but it
- 19 was closed, so it was archived?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. "Closed", does that just mean it had been finished with?
- 22 It wasn't classified?
- 23 A. It may be because a decision not to prosecute had been
- 24 made or it was the end of a prosecution.
- 25 Q. Either way, it had been finished with?

Page 129

A. Yes.

1

- 2 Q. You say that you didn't at the time think it was
- 3 something big. It didn't seem a major enquiry. Because
- 4 you didn't go to get help from an experienced
- 5 Home Office press officer who helped you with big
- 6 enquiries?
- 7 A. No, because, I mean, at that time, I'd been acting as
- 8 press officer for well over a year, and I spoke to
- 9 Sir Thomas about it and asked him the line to take,
- 10 which was that we only comment on current cases. 11
- Q. Can we just take it in stages. You say initially that 12 you believed that Frances, the secretary, called the
- 13 journalist back and said the DPP did have a file but it
- 14 would take some time; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You then say -- this is paragraph 11 of your statement,
- 17 if we go over the page, that when Frances told you about
- 18 the call the next day, you asked Sir Thomas about
- 19 bringing it up and he said no?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Was that unusual?
- 22 A. I can't say either way or other, really. We didn't --
- 23 we weren't often asked about closed files, so it wasn't
- 24 a question of --
- 25 Q. It wasn't the normal practice?

Page 130

A. No.

1

7

- 2 Q. What you do say, though -- this is paragraph 12 -- is
- 3 that Sir Thomas did not seem surprised or curious about
- 4 the file?
- 5 A. That's right.
- 6 Q. You got the impression he knew about the issues
 - surrounding Sir Cyril?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What gave you that impression?
- 10 A. Well, I'd been working with the man for a few years.
- 11 He was very curious about a lot of things and I thought,
- 12 if this was totally new to him, he may well have wanted
- 13 to see it.
- 14 Q. Did he say anything about Cyril Smith?
- 15
- 16 Q. Did he say anything about why he wanted to leave the
 - file in the archive?
- 18 A. No.

17

1

3

5

8

12

15

- 19 Q. You then explain in paragraph 13 that, as a result of
- 20 this enquiry, the media policy changed and you started
- 21 only commenting on live cases; is that right?
- 22 A. No, it didn't change, it just came into being.
- 23 Q. Right. There wasn't a policy before then?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Why did this enquiry start the need for a policy?

Page 131

- A. Because I -- from my recollection, it was the first time
- 2 we were getting an archived file up for a press enquiry.
 - Q. Does that not suggest it was quite a major story or
- 4 a major incident?
 - A. No. I think the name Sir Cyril Smith suggested it was
- 6 something. It depends what you call "major". I mean,
- 7 it wasn't murder.
 - Q. But an enquiry about allegations of sexual assault by
- 9 a sitting MP, did that not ring alarm bells and make
- 10 everybody take it quite seriously?
- 11 A. Well, not the enquiry, no. I think there was a feeling
 - that they thought it was a fishing trip by the press.
- 13 It wasn't current.
- 14 Q. You say then that what happened was -- this is
 - paragraph 13 still -- you went back and you called back
- 16 the journalist who'd enquired and you said: 17
 - "We do not comment except for ongoing, live cases."
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Then you go on to say -- this is paragraphs 14 and 15,
- 20 over the page -- that you got a lot of other press calls
- 21 about Cyril Smith at the time and spoke to them?
- 22
- 23 Q. You gave them the same standard answer: we don't comment 24 on live, ongoing cases [as spoken]?
- 25 A. That's right.

1 Q. Why not just confirm the true position, that there was 1 zoom in on the top half of that. The file note reads: 2 2 a file in 1970? "The DPP telephoned me again late this morning to 3 3 A. Because I had been instructed by Sir Thomas not to. say that they had now had an enquiry ... from the Daily 4 Q. He didn't explain why? 4 Express ... they had been told that the DPP had no 5 5 record of this case." A. No. When you get an instruction, you don't argue. Q. Can I take you then -- this is the final topic -- to So the Daily Express was now being similarly misled 6 6 7 7 a series of notes that I think you have seen which the according to this note? 8 inquiry has obtained from the Security Service? 8 A. According to that, ves. 9 9 Q. Again, you have no recollection of that? 10 Q. If we could bring up, firstly, INQ000975 and go to 10 A. No. 11 subpage 3. Again, the chair and panel have seen this 11 Q. Indeed, you think you wouldn't have said that, you would 12 before, you have seen this before? 12 have said "No comment"? 13 A. Yes. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Let's just look at what it says. If we could zoom in on 14 Q. Finally, if we have a look at the next page, page 5, 15 the first paragraph, and particularly we will see there, 15 again if we could just zoom in on the second paragraph 16 a few lines down, it says: 16 there, this is a couple of days later, further questions "After consultations ... ' from Mr Bartlett, and on this occasion the answer was 17 17 18 There is an enquiry made by Mr Bartlett from the 18 "not admitting receiving any papers but had said the 19 Rochdale Alternative Press and Sir Thomas tells 19 alleged offence would have been reportable if the chief 20 the legal adviser at the Security Service: 20 constable had judged that a prima facie case was made 21 "After consultations, the DPP's press representative 21 22 had untruthfully told Bartlett that they had no record 22 Again, you say no recollection of failing to admit 23 of the case." 23 receiving the papers? 24 First of all, is there anyone else that could be the 24 25 press representative other than you, Ms Phillips? 25 Q. Again, you think it would have been a "No comment" Page 133 Page 135 1 A. No. 1 response? 2 Q. Your recollection is that you didn't mislead the press; 2 A. Yes. 3 3 Q. Can we finally then go to the article itself that was is that right? 4 published by the Rochdale Alternative Press. This is 4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. You say you gave a "No comment" response, "We don't 5 INQ000963. I think we have had some issues zooming in 6 comment on ongoing cases"? 6 on this before so I may need to read it to you? 7 7 A. Yes. A. Oh, gosh, yes. 8 Q. This is obviously a contemporaneous note. 8 Q. If we could zoom in on the right-hand side to the 9 section that says "The DPP". That's not great to read 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Made much closer in time than today? 10 but I will tell you what it says. The key point is 11 11 this, about midway down, it says: 12 Q. Do you accept that your memory now may be wrong? 12 "An approach to the DPP, however, failed to confirm 13 A. I do not accept that's correct. 13 that ...' 14 14 That is that the DPP advised no prosecution: Q. The obvious explanation for this note is that that is 15 what Sir Thomas told the Security Service --15 "On our first request for information the DPP's A. Well, that is correct. It's just --16 press office agreed to answer the question of whether or 16 17 Q. Do you have any other explanation? 17 not the file had been received by them. After making 18 A. The way I read it, it's one man's word against another. 18 the appropriate search, we were told that they had 19 Q. But can you have any explanation for why he would say 19 failed to find such a file." 20 you misled the press --20 Stopping there, that seems to be the same as your 21 21 A. No. recollection of the secretary, Frances, initially 22 Q. -- if you just made no comment? 22 saying, "We will go and see if we can find the file"? 23 23 A. No. A. That's right. There were two calls with different 24 Q. If we look at the second note, the same date, it is 24 25 INQ000975, and just the next page, page 4. If we could 25 Q. Then we get a further approach, which brought the Page 134 Page 136

1	official statement from the director, and then quotes:	1	contemporaneous records seem to say, "We were told there
2	"The DPP cannot trace such a case being referred to	2	was no file"?
3	us but cannot confirm or deny receiving it."	3	A. This is Private Eye. I think they would get
4	A. No, I didn't say that.	4	Q. This is the Rochdale Alternative Press.
5	Q. You don't recognise that?	5	A. I see.
6	A. No.	6	Q. Private Eye did pick up the story. Go on, sorry.
7	Q. Because that does sound like there was a denial there	7	A. I see. I don't know.
8	was a case?	8	Q. Can you offer any light at all on what might have
9	A. Yes.	9	happened here?
10	Q. Rather than a "No comment"?	10	A. No.
11	A. Mmm.	11	MR HENDERSON: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. That's all
12	Q. Again, would you be prepared to accept that maybe your	12	the questions I have for you. I don't know if the panel
13	memory is wrong? Because this is a quote	13	have any?
14	A. Yes.	14	THE CHAIR: No. Thank you very much, Ms Phillips.
15	Q that's been put by the journalist?	15	MS HOYANO: Chair, might I I just have one question
16	A. No, I don't think my memory is wrong.	16	arising, if I might, just for clarification, if that is
17	Q. Can you give any explanation for how the journalist	17	possible?
18	would have got the wrong quotation from you there?	18	Examination by MS HOYANO
19	A. No.	19	MS HOYANO: I wanted to ask you about the phrase you used in
20	Q. Can I just explore then, finally, this with you. If you	20	relation to the MI5 dossier we have seen. You said it
21	don't think your memory is wrong, is it possible that	21	was one man's word against another's. I wasn't sure who
22	someone else may have spoken to the Rochdale Alternative	22	the other was.
23	Press and/or the Daily Express other than you?	23	A. Sir Thomas and the legal adviser to MI5.
24	A. It is possible, but not in the press office well, our	24	Q. But we don't have any evidence as to what from
25	little press office.	25	Sir Thomas about what he said to the MI5 legal adviser?
	D 405		D 400
	Page 137		Page 139
1	O. So is it possible that someone like Frances, the	1	A. Yes, but I'm not too sure what the legal adviser wrote
1 2	Q. So is it possible that someone like Frances, the secretary may have given a slightly different answer	1 2	A. Yes, but I'm not too sure what the legal adviser wrote would be classed as evidence.
2	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer,	2	would be classed as evidence.
2 3	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted	2 3	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry?
2 3 4	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her	2 3 4	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence.
2 3 4 5	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again.	2 3 4 5	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for
2 3 4 5 6	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you?	2 3 4 5 6	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not
2 3 4 5 6 7	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that. Q. Is it possible that you thought you were giving a "No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. A. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that. Q. Is it possible that you thought you were giving a "No comment" answer but it came across to the press as, "We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that. Q. Is it possible that you thought you were giving a "No comment" answer but it came across to the press as, "We deny having a file"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) MS DOBBIN: Chair, the next witness is Mr Marsh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that. Q. Is it possible that you thought you were giving a "No comment" answer but it came across to the press as, "We deny having a file"? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) MS DOBBIN: Chair, the next witness is Mr Marsh. Chair, whilst you are waiting, Mr Marsh is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that. Q. Is it possible that you thought you were giving a "No comment" answer but it came across to the press as, "We deny having a file"? A. No. Q. Not even when this was a new policy and it was the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) MS DOBBIN: Chair, the next witness is Mr Marsh. Chair, whilst you are waiting, Mr Marsh is going to give evidence about Operation Clifton and the full body
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that. Q. Is it possible that you thought you were giving a "No comment" answer but it came across to the press as, "We deny having a file"? A. No. Q. Not even when this was a new policy and it was the first time it was being rolled out?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) MS DOBBIN: Chair, the next witness is Mr Marsh. Chair, whilst you are waiting, Mr Marsh is going to give evidence about Operation Clifton and the full body of that report is in volume 2 of week 2 at tab 13.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that. Q. Is it possible that you thought you were giving a "No comment" answer but it came across to the press as, "We deny having a file"? A. No. Q. Not even when this was a new policy and it was the first time it was being rolled out? A. I think I would have remembered it clearly, as it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) MS DOBBIN: Chair, the next witness is Mr Marsh. Chair, whilst you are waiting, Mr Marsh is going to give evidence about Operation Clifton and the full body of that report is in volume 2 of week 2 at tab 13. MR NIGEL PETER MARSH (sworn)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that. Q. Is it possible that you thought you were giving a "No comment" answer but it came across to the press as, "We deny having a file"? A. No. Q. Not even when this was a new policy and it was the first time it was being rolled out? A. I think I would have remembered it clearly, as it was the first policy. It's only a matter of hours before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) MS DOBBIN: Chair, the next witness is Mr Marsh. Chair, whilst you are waiting, Mr Marsh is going to give evidence about Operation Clifton and the full body of that report is in volume 2 of week 2 at tab 13. MR NIGEL PETER MARSH (sworn) Examination by MS DOBBIN
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that. Q. Is it possible that you thought you were giving a "No comment" answer but it came across to the press as, "We deny having a file"? A. No. Q. Not even when this was a new policy and it was the first time it was being rolled out? A. I think I would have remembered it clearly, as it was the first policy. It's only a matter of hours before that I'd got the instruction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) MS DOBBIN: Chair, the next witness is Mr Marsh. Chair, whilst you are waiting, Mr Marsh is going to give evidence about Operation Clifton and the full body of that report is in volume 2 of week 2 at tab 13. MR NIGEL PETER MARSH (sworn) Examination by MS DOBBIN MS DOBBIN: Mr Marsh, if you would like to sit down, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that. Q. Is it possible that you thought you were giving a "No comment" answer but it came across to the press as, "We deny having a file"? A. No. Q. Not even when this was a new policy and it was the first time it was being rolled out? A. I think I would have remembered it clearly, as it was the first policy. It's only a matter of hours before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) MS DOBBIN: Chair, the next witness is Mr Marsh. Chair, whilst you are waiting, Mr Marsh is going to give evidence about Operation Clifton and the full body of that report is in volume 2 of week 2 at tab 13. MR NIGEL PETER MARSH (sworn) Examination by MS DOBBIN
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	secretary, may have given a slightly different answer, which is what's quoted A. After the first day, she didn't I can't remember her getting involved again. Q. But you weren't in on that day, were you? A. After the first day, no. Q. You got a report of what had happened the subsequent day? A. Yes, but on the first day, my understanding is Frances did confirm there was a file. Q. Is it possible that there was another part of the conversation that you missed, where they said there wasn't one? A. Well, no, I couldn't answer that. Q. Is it possible that you thought you were giving a "No comment" answer but it came across to the press as, "We deny having a file"? A. No. Q. Not even when this was a new policy and it was the first time it was being rolled out? A. I think I would have remembered it clearly, as it was the first policy. It's only a matter of hours before that I'd got the instruction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	would be classed as evidence. Q. Would be? I'm sorry? A. Classed as evidence. Q. Well, let's leave aside the rules of evidence for a moment. What you're saying is that Sir Thomas did not say that? A. I do not know what Sir Thomas said. What I am casting doubt on is that it is not correctly recorded. Q. And he did not correctly record it twice in that dossier, from the two phone calls he received from Sir Thomas? A. Yes, if he got two phone calls. MS HOYANO: Thank you, chair. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms Phillips. A. Thank you. (The witness withdrew) MS DOBBIN: Chair, the next witness is Mr Marsh. Chair, whilst you are waiting, Mr Marsh is going to give evidence about Operation Clifton and the full body of that report is in volume 2 of week 2 at tab 13. MR NIGEL PETER MARSH (sworn) Examination by MS DOBBIN MS DOBBIN: Mr Marsh, if you would like to sit down, that's

1	A. Thank you.	1	investigate serious sexual abuse at Knowl View School
2	Q. Can I ask you, please, to give your full name to the	2	and that there had been some form of coverup;
3	panel?	3	specifically that the reports of Philip Shepherd and
4	A. My full name is Nigel Peter Marsh.	4	Valerie Mellor hadn't been made public for inappropriate
5	Q. Mr Marsh, you were a detective superintendent with	5	reasons?
6	Greater Manchester Police, weren't you?	6	A. Yes, that's right.
7	A. Yes, temporary detective superintendent.	7	Q. That was the core allegation that you were going to look
8	Q. You were the senior investigating officer in	8	at?
9	Operation Clifton; is that right?	9	A. Yes.
10	A. That's correct.	10	Q. Then do we see, just a little way down the page, on
11	Q. As a result of that investigation, there was a report	11	page 7, what the terms of reference were for your
12	which is dated 26 September 2016?	12	investigation?
13	A. Yes.	13	
			A. Yes. The terms of reference document is quite
14	Q. That report outlines all of the investigations that you	14	a comprehensive document, but those were the
15	undertook as part of Operation Clifton; yes?	15	Q. I was going say, it is a very lengthy terms of reference
16	A. That's correct.	16	document, but you have helpfully summarised the terms of
17	Q. When was Operation Clifton set up, please?	17	reference here.
18	A. It was set up in 2014, but I believe I started the	18	A. Yes.
19	policy and the actual investigation on 10 October 2014.	19	Q. I just want to look at the first one, which was to
20	Q. Thank you. Could you explain to the panel, please, what	20	examine any action or inaction by GMP or the council in
21	Operation Clifton was set up in response to?	21	relation to the investigation of child abuse at Knowl
22	A. It was set up there were a number of investigations	22	View School with particular emphasis on the information
23	ongoing at the time into allegations of abuse in	23	included in the reports generated from within the
24	Rochdale, some in relation to Knowl View, and the	24	council around 1991 and 1992?
25	decision was taken by command that we would look at the	25	A. Yes.
	D 444		D 442
	Page 141		Page 143
			<u> </u>
1	action or inaction of GMP. Rochdale and other agencies.	1	-
1 2	action or inaction of GMP, Rochdale and other agencies. The allegation was that there was a coverup that had	1 2	Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in
2	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had	2	Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the
2 3	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place,	2 3	Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well?
2 3 4	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within	2 3 4	Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties.	2 3 4 5	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not
2 3 4 5 6	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation
2 3 4 5 6 7	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that interview, contacted GMP and indicated that this was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that interview, contacted GMP and indicated that this was a matter for the police to take up and that's the start	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed A. Yes. Q by council officers or by police officers. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that interview, contacted GMP and indicated that this was a matter for the police to take up and that's the start of where GMP came to take over. They requested that he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed A. Yes. Q by council officers or by police officers. You weren't generally considering whether or not, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that interview, contacted GMP and indicated that this was a matter for the police to take up and that's the start of where GMP came to take over. They requested that he stop his investigation and that's really where I came	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed A. Yes. Q by council officers or by police officers. You weren't generally considering whether or not, for example, child protection standards had been met at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that interview, contacted GMP and indicated that this was a matter for the police to take up and that's the start of where GMP came to take over. They requested that he stop his investigation and that's really where I came in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed A. Yes. Q by council officers or by police officers. You weren't generally considering whether or not, for example, child protection standards had been met at the time; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that interview, contacted GMP and indicated that this was a matter for the police to take up and that's the start of where GMP came to take over. They requested that he stop his investigation and that's really where I came in. Q. Have you set out at paragraph 2 of your report then the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed A. Yes. Q by council officers or by police officers. You weren't generally considering whether or not, for example, child protection standards had been met at the time; is that right? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that interview, contacted GMP and indicated that this was a matter for the police to take up and that's the start of where GMP came to take over. They requested that he stop his investigation and that's really where I came in. Q. Have you set out at paragraph 2 of your report then the allegations that Operation Clifton was going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed A. Yes. Q by council officers or by police officers. You weren't generally considering whether or not, for example, child protection standards had been met at the time; is that right? A. No. Q. You were also going to look at I'm looking at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that interview, contacted GMP and indicated that this was a matter for the police to take up and that's the start of where GMP came to take over. They requested that he stop his investigation and that's really where I came in. Q. Have you set out at paragraph 2 of your report then the allegations that Operation Clifton was going to consider?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed A. Yes. Q by council officers or by police officers. You weren't generally considering whether or not, for example, child protection standards had been met at the time; is that right? A. No. Q. You were also going to look at I'm looking at bullet 3 any other incidents arising from these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that interview, contacted GMP and indicated that this was a matter for the police to take up and that's the start of where GMP came to take over. They requested that he stop his investigation and that's really where I came in. Q. Have you set out at paragraph 2 of your report then the allegations that Operation Clifton was going to consider? A. Sorry, what page is that, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed A. Yes. Q by council officers or by police officers. You weren't generally considering whether or not, for example, child protection standards had been met at the time; is that right? A. No. Q. You were also going to look at I'm looking at bullet 3 any other incidents arising from these enquiries or allegations relating to action or inaction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that interview, contacted GMP and indicated that this was a matter for the police to take up and that's the start of where GMP came to take over. They requested that he stop his investigation and that's really where I came in. Q. Have you set out at paragraph 2 of your report then the allegations that Operation Clifton was going to consider? A. Sorry, what page is that, please? Q. That's at page 6. I think this summarises it. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed A. Yes. Q by council officers or by police officers. You weren't generally considering whether or not, for example, child protection standards had been met at the time; is that right? A. No. Q. You were also going to look at I'm looking at bullet 3 any other incidents arising from these enquiries or allegations relating to action or inaction by any of those officers could be construed as evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The allegation was that there was a coverup that had taken place relating to the abuse that had taken place, linked in to Cyril Smith and some kind of pact within the parties. Q. I think it is right that at the time that you started Operation Clifton, Neil Garnham QC, as he was then, was undertaking a review on behalf of Rochdale Borough Council? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Is it right that he then suspended his review at the request of GMP so that Operation Clifton could develop? A. Mr Garnham interviewed an individual and stopped that interview, contacted GMP and indicated that this was a matter for the police to take up and that's the start of where GMP came to take over. They requested that he stop his investigation and that's really where I came in. Q. Have you set out at paragraph 2 of your report then the allegations that Operation Clifton was going to consider? A. Sorry, what page is that, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So very much looking at the information that emanated in those years, namely, the Shepherd Report, the Mellor Report and the Cavanagh report as well? A. Yes. Q. Then what you were going to consider was whether or not action or inaction revealed any complicity in relation to a coverup, corruption or criminal offences, such as misconduct in a public office or malfeasance? A. Yes. Q. I think that's probably important to emphasise, Mr Marsh, that you were looking at this in terms of whether or not any criminal offences had been committed A. Yes. Q by council officers or by police officers. You weren't generally considering whether or not, for example, child protection standards had been met at the time; is that right? A. No. Q. You were also going to look at I'm looking at bullet 3 any other incidents arising from these enquiries or allegations relating to action or inaction

Page 144

- 1 to consider or satisfy the requirements of the criminal
- 2 judicial process first and thereafter consider with the
- 3 National Inquiry and other stakeholders the publication
- 4 of any findings. I mention that because I think when
- 5 you refer to National Inquiry, you are talking about
- 6 this inquiry; is that right?
- 7 A. Yes, the terms of reference actually for that list, that
- 8 part changed about three times, because initially
- 9 I think it was the Woolf Inquiry, then the
- 10 Goddard Inquiry and then finally we said the National 11 Inquiry.
- Q. The reason why we have this report ultimately is because
- that was shared with the inquiry by Greater Manchester
- 14 Police?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Just to explain, because the panel will see that it is
- 17 quite a thick bundle of documents that you provided, but
- 18 your report was also supplemented by your request to the
- 19 CPS for a device --
- 20 A. Yes.

22

1

- 21 Q. -- arising out of your investigation. There is also
 - a separate report which was conducted by the GMP
- 23 Professional Standards Board as well?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you explain to the panel, please, what the

- to court. They were looking at that -- those offences,
- 2 if they crossed over into the criminal threshold, they
- 3 would have come under my investigation and you can see
- 4 that in the CPS where we have discussed the individuals
- 5 that are concerned in both investigations as a criminal,
- 6 but then the Police Reform Act would be as separate
- 7 because that's about discipline for those police
- 8 officers if they were still serving, if that explains
- 9 it

15

- 10 Q. Yes. I probably didn't ask the question very well. But
- 11 when we look at the PSB report, the conclusions in that
- are solely directed towards the professional standards of the officers concerned. In your report, you were
- looking at wider allegations of corruption?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. When we look at the PSB report, did you take thosefindings and incorporate them then into your report?
- 18 A. Yes, especially in relation to police officers, because
- if there had been evidence of misconduct, malfeasance,
- 20 that could have escalated into a criminal aspect rather
- 21 than just the police misconduct. That's what I was
- 22 interested in, that there hadn't been that crossover to
- 23 the criminal aspect. That's important for the alleged
- 24 coverup.
- Q. Just to be clear, when we look at the PSB report, the

Page 147

Page 145

- relationship is between your report and the Professional
- 2 Standards Board report?
- 3 A. The Professional Standards report was governed by the
- 4 Police Reform Act 2002, so they would look at specific
- 5 offences that relate to police officers where they were
- 6 serving or not serving. There would be special
- 7 restrictions -- expectations, I think might be the 8 word -- for a police officer that was serving now
- 8 word for a police officer that was serving now that
 9 would come under the investigation, but as the majority
- 9 would come under the investigation, but as the majority
- of these individuals were retired, it wouldn't have had to be referred to the IPCCC for a managed investigation.
- 12 However, that investigation linked in very close with
- me. The SIO on that was DCI Flindle. We met up
- 14 regularly, we discussed different aspects of
- 15 the investigation. At times, we interviewed individuals
- jointly. So an officer from my enquiry and an officer
- 17 from the PSB, because the two were intrinsically linked.
- 18 Q. Can I just ask you then, when it comes to the
- 19 conclusions of the Professional Standards Board, do they
- $20 \hspace{1cm} \text{represent the same conclusions that you ultimately came} \\$
- 21 to as well?
- 22 A. They did for the criminal aspects but for the police --
- 23 potential police misconduct, there may be different
- 24 elements of that. That is because they were not looking
- 25 per se at whether this was something that we could take

Page 146

- officers who are considered by it are Chief
- 2 Superintendent Houghton, Detective Superintendent
- 3 Henderson and Detective Sergeant Sterndale.
 - A. Yes.

1

4

17

19

- 5 Q. Is that right? They are all names that are familiar now
- 6 to the panel. I will come back to that in a moment.
- 7 I want to ask you first of all, though, about
- 8 cottaging at Smith Street toilets and the extent to
- 9 which your investigation touched upon that. I wonder if
- we could look, first of all, at page 52 of your report.
- GMP000916 052. If we go to the middle paragraph that
- begins "It is clear", what I understand you to be
- setting out here, Mr Marsh, is that there were very few
- 14 police records left in respect of Smith Street toilets
- and any investigations that had taken place in the late
- 16 1980s or early 1990s?
 - A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And that the findings that were made by you in this
 - report were largely based on the social services records
- 20 that were still available?
- 21 A. Yes. My team trawled various avenues to try and recover
- documentation, the microfiche from GMP, because there
- 23 was a crossover -- I can't remember now, whether it was
- 24 '93/'94. There was a crossover from paper to a computer 25 system, so we went to every available avenue to try and

- 1 identify offences at Smith Street toilets, so we got 2 social services and then tracked the enquiries back from 3 there.
- 4 Q. What you go on to say here is that you explain the 5 difficulties that that caused in your investigation and 6 you refer to officer and staff recollection of events 7 being limited due to the passage of time and the 8 reluctance of alleged victims to speak to the police and 9 social services:

"There is only the reliance on local authority documents which are limited and vague as to individual case results for Smith Street toilets."

I understand what you are saying there is that it is quite difficult, through the social services documents, to actually understand what the final outcome was in respect of any of the investigations that took place --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

19

20

- 18 Q. -- around Smith Street toilets. When you refer in that 19 paragraph to the reluctance of alleged victims to speak 20 to the police, were you talking about at the time, so in 21 the late 1980s or early 1990s, people were reluctant to 22 come forward or did you mean people were reluctant to 23 speak to you about it?
- 24 A. Both, really. If you follow the narrative of 25 the investigation for Smith Street, you will see some of

1 fact, we do see examples of children mentioning or 2 telling people in authority the names of people who they 3 said had abused them.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

Q. I'm just going to pick up a witness statement that was provided to us by Mr Bottomley, the force reviewer. I'm not going to ask you to bring it up on screen. I'm just going to refer you to something that he said. At paragraph 16 of his witness statement, he mentioned, and he names a number of the children who were associated with Smith Street toilets, and he states:

"Upon their return to school, they disclosed to an unknown member of staff that they had masturbated a man named Alf Smith from flats for money. The boys also committed thefts and were charged with these. No charges were brought against any adult committing offences against these pupils. Police are involved and intend to continue in the hope of catching the men

That appears to be an example both of children actually giving the name of someone who they said had abused them and also of the children apparently having been charged with committing theft as well arising out of the same events. Do you know anything more about that?

Page 149

```
the information we had there were, when officers had
gone to some of the individuals that had been alleging
```

abuse, they refused to talk to them at different times.

We spoke to police officers about their recollections of

5 those individuals. So it's important to say that we

weren't just going to one individual, taking what they

were saying and then running that and putting that into

the report. What we were trying to do is provenance

that information by what discussions did they have with

other people, their peers, supervisors, the police, all their colleagues within that department, to try and

corroborate that evidence because of those difficulties.

13 There were instances where we were -- part of my role as

14 well was the reviewing of other offences within --

15 sorry, other investigations and I was a Hydrant SPOC, so

I was aware of individuals within GMP who had made 16

17 allegations of abuse in the past and I linked in very

18 closely with DCI Jones and Jaguar and actually reviewed

part of Jaguar, so I knew what steps they'd taken with

the people within their enquiry and we were linking in

21 at different times, and at times we did use those to

22 introduce us to some of the people that had been abused 23 on that to get their side of the story and to get that

24 information. 25

Q. I think when we look at the contemporaneous records, in

Page 150

A. I'm not too sure about the charge of theft. I would say -- charges or cautions.

Page 151

- Q. I'm just reading from his report. That's what it says at paragraph 16.
- 5 A. I'm aware of that -- I think the detective sergeant 6 actually took the boys to identify the property.
- 7 Q. That's right.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I was going to also say that it appears that the police did take the boys on occasions when they identified that they had been with adults to see if they could find where they had been, but it doesn't appear from the records we have got that those investigations led to any adults being apprehended?
 - A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is that your understanding?
 - A. Yes, it is, yes.
- 18 Q. I also wanted to ask you -- I'm sorry, I know this is in 19 Mr Bottomley's evidence, not yours, but I know you have 20 some familiarity with it -- at paragraph 33 of his 21 witness statement, he also referred to two boys who we

22 are familiar with, A9 and A10, being picked up at

23 Smith Street toilets by the police for importuning an 24 adult male who was arrested and charged, and it says A9

25 and A10 gave the police a statement about the activities

Page 152

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

around the toilets and said it had been going on for around a month. I just wondered if I could look with you at the record that that relates to, please. That's RHC002487. If we look at the very end of that page, it is the final paragraph. It is a record of having met with one of the boy's mothers and there's a reference to the boys being around the toilets. It goes on to say:

"He was to be charged with gross indecency but that may not happen, given that both A9 and A10 gave the police a statement about these ..."

I'm afraid we need to go to page 3 of this document, because it is out of order. If we go to the top paragraph. So they are going to give a statement about these:

"... activities around the toilet. They say it has been going on for a month, although you could surmise that it's been going on far longer than that. A10 is due to go to Rochdale Police Station with his mother on 14 October to find out if he is to be charged or will receive a caution. I asked that A10 come to see me ..."

I think we can pick up the thread on the next page, please, page 4. If we look at the record on 28 August 1992, this is about A10. This records that the author phoned Peter Partridge about A10 and his recent behaviour at Rochdale toilets:

A. It would be a last resort that the police would seek to charge/caution a child in that -- them circumstances. I think that's always been the case when I have been a police officer. However, the -- I'm aware of the history behind RO-9 and RO-10. This had been going on for some time, approximately two years, so you've got that situation where the police are picking these boys up, taking them back, they're told different people are involved and that and you can see there that R-10 is being dealt with differently than R-9. I'm not too sure there where -- I think he may have received a caution and diversionary panel. It is that. It is not a police decision. It is a multi-agency panel that we used to have where we would consider what are the options for this child, this youth, and the last resort would be that, to charge or caution, so it would not be the norm.

Q. Just to be clear about it, when we said a diversionary panel, that's a multi-disciplinary panel that's supposed to be guiding children away from being criminalised, so looking at alternatives to charging them with criminal offences. Cautioning was one of the ways of diverting them out of the criminal justice system, but nonetheless it was treating children as criminals or children to be diverted away from criminality as opposed to the victims of exploitation?

Page 153

"This was discussed at the cautioning panel on [August 1992]. Apparently he was importuning at the toilets with A9. The police are charging a man involved who had taken them up on their offers but are unsure about what to do about A9 and A10 although they do not seem too concerned about pursuing the matter with A10 in any case."

That record goes on to say that the panel put the matter back a week to allow Peter Partridge to get more information about the boys' circumstances. Then it goes on to describe how information was going to be provided to the cautioning panel.

I'm going to ask you about that in a second, but I just want to finish off with what Mr Bottomley said about this in his evidence. He said that on 24 August 1992, A9 was discussed at the Police Diversion Panel as a result of the incident in the toilets and it was recommended that he be cautioned.

The reason I'm asking you about this is that we haven't really heard any evidence about the children who were picked up at the toilets and they being cautioned or it being considered they should be cautioned for importuning at the time. Do these records tend to suggest that that's what may have happened in respect of some of the children?

ge 153

A. You would have to look at the context of the individual involved there, their behaviour, what their antecedents are. I'm sorry, I can't answer that question.

Page 155

Q. I know obviously you can't speak for what happened on diversionary panels, but nonetheless, what this record would tend to suggest is in 1992 one way of dealing with what was happening to children at Smith Street toilets was that children were being at least considered for caution in respect of their activities?

A. No. I think what you see there is one individual youth/child who is being considered for that. There are a number of others that that has never been the consideration. All I can say about that is, at that stage, that individual was identified that, here's a caution, the only way we can deal with it, very much like the short sharp shock treatment, what else can we do to try to divert this individual from it? And the cautions are not there for life, they drop off after a certain time for youths.

Q. Thank you. I think I understand what you are saying about the diversionary panel. Can I just check, is A9 the only child that you are aware of who was either charged or cautioned or considered for caution in respect of Smith Street toilets?

A. Yes, that's the only one that brings to mind me looking

Page 154

8

- at information. I'm pretty sure it won't be a charge, 2 it would have been caution. We would find out by 3 looking if there's a PNC record for that individual. If
- 4 he'd been charged, that would be recorded on the PNC. 5 Q. Is your understanding that he was being singled out
- because he was a child who was being exploited on an 6 7 ongoing basis as compared to other children or who was
- 9 A. The individual is identified on more than one occasion 10 at Smith Street toilets more than others.

at Smith Street toilets more often than other children?

- Q. Is it -- do you understand that that's why he was then being dealt with by the diversionary panel rather than the other children?
- 14 A. I can't say why he was being dealt with. But there is 15 a reason why that boy was being dealt with in that way.
- 16 Q. I want to move on then, if I may, to cover some of 17 the things that you deal with in your report. I am 18 going to try to do this as shortly as I possibly can. 19 If you lose the thread, or I hope if any member of 20 the panel loses the thread, you will let me know. 21 One of the primary things that you were interested 22 in is what had happened to the information that came 23 from Mr Shepherd or from Mrs Mellor and what the police 24 and officers from Rochdale did with it. I am going to 25

 - 18 19 20 21

 - deal very shortly, first of all, with the

- are also many documents that relate to the committee
- 2 meeting and panel from 1990 that require examination,
- 3 are of significance and is what the leader of
- 4 the council knew at the time and what they'd then do.
- 5 So that formed the basis of my investigation, looking at
- 6 documents that you are talking about.
- 7 Q. I think that you have, in the way that this
 - investigation has done, considered all of the reports,
- 9 all of the meetings that took place, and sought to
- 10 ascertain who was at what meeting and what did they do
- 11 with the information they were given?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. The panel have already heard reference to the meeting on
- 14 8 March. It is dealt with at page 17 of your report.
- 15 This was the multi-disciplinary report that was attended
- 16 by a number of representatives from different statutory
- 17 agencies including social services, the Department of
 - Education, and we see that, as regards the police, they
 - were represented by Sergeant Kevin Sterndale from the
- Family Support Unit at GMP?
- A. Yes, I see that.
- 22 Q. And Detective Superintendent James Henderson --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- who was also based in Rochdale as well. So he was

Page 159

25 a superintendent on division. I don't think there is

Page 157

- 1 Shepherd Report. The investigation has heard evidence
- from Mrs Cavanagh about how that report was distributed 2
- 3 and who it was distributed to.
- 4

8

11

12

13

- 5 Q. Is it your understanding that the police involvement
- 6 around this time really begins at the meeting that took
- 7 place on 8 March 1991?
- 8 A. Yes. Can I just add something to that? It might
- 9 assist.
- 10 Q. Please do.
- 11 A. There's the terms of reference, but there's also really
- 12 my policy file at the time. I actually made a list of
- 13 points that sum up what I actually direct what we are
- 14 going to do. If I can just go over those. I said that
- 15 a number of incidents in relation to those documents 16
- were the start points of the investigation. From then, 17
- I needed to establish the following: understanding of 18 the complaint and what the expectations were; follow the
- 19 complaint through the chain; identify who was involved;
- 20 who were the decision makers; what engagement took place
- 21 to gather evidence or deal with the complaint; is there

Page 158

- 22 evidence of failing to progress or hinder any
- 23 investigations; what meetings were held by Rochdale
- 24 Metropolitan Council and GMP to address the actual
- 25 initial abuse investigation; and these are all -- there

- 1 any dispute that the officers who attended at that
- 2 meeting were party to all of the different things that
- 3 were discussed at that meeting?
- 4
- 5 Q. I won't go through all of the minutes now, but it
- 6 obviously raised issues about the Hilton incident,
- 7 child-on-child abuse and there is reference to the notes
- 8 to stranger abuse as well?
- 9
- 10 Q. After that, the position appears to be that there wasn't
- 11 going to be any social services involvement from a child
- 12 protection perspective as regards the issues that were
- 13 discussed at the 8 March 1991?
- 14 A. My understanding is that there -- this meeting -- there
- 15 was going to be follow-on meetings and more information
- 16 was required.
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. That's my understanding.
- 19 Q. But ultimately, at the end of May 1991, the
- 20 Social Services Department determined that the incidents
- 21 at Knowl View School didn't fall within the
- 22 parameters --
- 23

24

- Q. -- of child protection. Are you able to explain what
- 25 role the police had been expecting or anticipated that

3

12

- they might play at that point from your investigations?

 Literate think it's changed even the years. There's
- 2 A. I don't think it's changed over the years. There's got
- 3 to be an allegation made -- specific allegation,
- 4 criminal allegation, made for the police to take
- 5 anything forward for investigation. Social services
- 6 will expect them to look after the well-being and
- 7 welfare of the children and certainly in cases I have
- 8 dealt with, the police can't just go and take a child --
- 9 take him in a room and then try and elicit information
- 10 from them. That information has got to come from the
- child via whatever means to the police, whether that's
- 12 facilitated through social services, that joint
- 13 conversation.
- 14 So my understanding is that they would have been
- 15 expecting a more substantial package or some evidence by
- 16 accounts or interviews with these boys at the time to
- come to the police to allow them to conduct a criminal
- 18 investigation.
- 19 Q. Would the police have expected this time to only be
- 20 involved as part of a multi-disciplinary approach to the
- 21 children?
- 22 A. Yes, and it would be supporting that to take it forward
- 23 to a criminal investigation. It is a balancing act.
- I mean, these boys were there for a reason, and it
- 25 was I would say, and I can't speak for them, that

- there any ongoing concerns about Smith Street toilets.
- 2 Are you able to provide any more information about that
 - or any investigations that might have been going on
- 4 around Smith Street toilets prior to that?
- 5 A. No. No. I investigated the elements of Smith Street
- 6 toilets as a separate entity within this investigation,
- 7 so I had different people going off, but Smith Street
- 8 toilets, we were trying to direct our attention down and
- 9 get down to the detail in Smith Street toilets and we
- were having real difficulty with no records anywhere.
- 11 So we were having to go to speak to officers --
 - I referred to DS Goggins there, so we went to see him
- and asked him what his recollection was, to try and put
- 14 that picture together of what happened at the time to
- support the social services records which -- helpful,
- but they don't paint the full picture. So I've nothing
- that I can add further about any directed surveillance
- operations or ongoing surveillance operations.
- Q. Did it appear to you that from time to time different
 officers from Rochdale were involved in apprehending men
- 21 at Smith Street toilets or involved in picking up the
- children who were involved at Smith Street toilets?
- 23 A. Ye

24

1

8

- Q. Is that one of the difficulties that was being dealt
- 25 with by a number of different officers as opposed to

Page 161

- 1 they were thinking that social services are driving this
- 2 at the time and we will await to see what
- 3 documentation/evidence -- whether we got the interviews
- 4 come for. That's certainly what I would be looking at,
- 5 that I can take this forward.
- 6 Q. There is no evidence, is there, at this time that the
 - police were considering any sort of unilateral
- 8 investigation into Knowl View School?
- 9 A. No

7

- 10 Q. Or unilaterally deciding that they should interview any
- of the children in the absence of social services'
- 12 involvement?
- 13 A. No
- Q. If we pick up the thread then in terms of the meetings
- that happened a little bit later, it doesn't appear,
- does it, that the police were involved in any of
- the meetings with Mrs Mellor when she met with
- representatives of the Department of Education?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. I think we can pick that up from your page 34 onwards.
- What we do have at page 34 is the indication that we
- have heard about already, that Mrs Mellor spoke to
- 23 Detective Superintendent Henderson to ascertain if there
- 24 were any ongoing police enquiries about pupils at Knowl
- View School and he said that there weren't, nor were
 - Page 162

- being part of one operation, for example?
- 2 A. Yes. The officers involved in that would generally be

Page 163

- 3 officers nominated to carry that kind of investigation
- 4 forward. It wouldn't be every officer. My own
- 5 experience as a young constable were at certain times --
- 6 I worked in the city centre -- there were problems at
- 7 a set of toilets, there's some support needed to go and
 - sort those problems out.
- 9 Q. So you mean some officers would be going backwards and
- 10 forwards --
- 11 **A.** Yes
- 12 Q. on a regular basis to check what was going on? Thank
- 13 yo
- I am going to jump forward again, if I may, so we
- can try to follow the thread of police involvement.
- 16 After Mrs Mellor wrote her report and after it was
- provided to different agencies, is it right that a copy
- of that report was provided to Chief Superintendent
- 19 Henderson in or around 9 April 1992?
 - A. Ye

20

22

- Q. I think, again, we can pick that up from page 38. If we
 - can perhaps go to this document, which is GMP000916 252,
- you probably recognise that, that's the letter from the
- 24 chief superintendent to Mrs Cavanagh?
- 25 A. Yes.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I think the first paragraph is important because it 1 2 confirms that he got a copy of the report in April of 3 that year and asked if police enquiries would be 4 undertaken into this matter to see whether or not there 5 were any outstanding issues which should be addressed 6 and which could require the initiation of criminal 7 proceedings. If we go to the next paragraph, he said 8 that it was difficult to make progress because the 9 police had been unable to contact Dr Mellor to discuss 10 it further? 11 A. Yes.

Q. Then, again, I think important to note that if she was prepared to discuss the circumstances, that the officer concerned was Detective Sergeant Sterndale, who we have seen is from the Family Unit. I think that's probably all that's relevant on that page.

If we go to the next document in that sequence --I should apologise. I said this was to Superintendent Henderson. It is to Chief Superintendent Houghton. So he was the commander of Rochdale. Mrs Cavanagh was recording here that she had spoken to Dr Mellor and that she was willing to discuss the report with the police and again suggesting that Detective Sergeant Sterndale should make arrangements to speak to her; is that right?

order. I think his response to all of this is captured just below the midpoint of the page. So it was put to

him whether or not there was an investigation and

4 whether or not he had seen the Mellor Report and what he 5 says is:

"At the meeting of 8 March 1991 nothing seemed to have been resolved at that other than it's been left with the council to carry on and they've then appointed other people to go and interview the kids ..."

Pausing there, that would appear to go back to the decision, social services, that this didn't fall within child protection procedures. He goes on:

"... then we've got to Mellor. And the second point is have I ever seen -- in relation to me -- have I ever seen a report from Val Mellor that's come from [it says John Horton but that must be John Houghton, the chief superintendent] down to Kevin Sterndale? And the answer is, I've never seen it that I can recall. Those are the two issues that seem to involve me regarding the meeting at Field House and the subsequent report by Val Mellor."

I think what he's referring back to is that the Chief Superintendent Houghton's letter referred to Detective Sergeant Sterndale picking up the investigation?

25 A. Yes.

Page 165

- Q. Can you help, then, please, with what you understand 1 2 took place after that point in time, namely, whether or 3 not there was any other police investigation in 1992 off 4 the back of the Mellor Report?
- 5 A. I don't recall seeing a specific piece of work involving 6 that. There are -- we had some documentation showing 7 some communication where Sergeant Sterndale tried to 8 contact Dr Mellor, that kind of documentation.
- 9 I don't -- my enquiries don't show any substantial 10 investigation into anything in relation to this.
- 11 Q. When Chief Superintendent Houghton was interviewed, he 12 was retired by that stage. He said his view was that 13 the Mellor enquiry would require further investigation 14 but that he retired in the summer of 1992 so he didn't 15 undertake any further investigation insofar as you're
- 16 aware, did he?
- 17 A. No.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes.

- 18 Q. I think he suggested that he would have passed it on to 19 Detective Superintendent Henderson?
- 20
- 21 Q. Did you interview Detective Superintendent Henderson 22 about that?
- 23 A. He was interviewed twice, I believe.
- 24 Q. It may just help to pick up his response, which appears 25 at page 238 of your report. Take it in fairly short

Page 166

Page 167

- Q. And he didn't mention Superintendent Henderson being involved. Is that your understanding?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Do you understand Superintendent Henderson to say that 5 he wasn't then involved in any investigation --
- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. -- as regards Mrs Mellor's report? You also considered some contemporaneous press reporting in relation to this as well, if I could just ask you about that. Go back in your report to page 229. If we look at that, and it may be just as wise to bring this up, please, it is GMP000916, page 229. This is an extract from an article that appeared in the Rochdale Observer on 19 July 1996. It would appear to cite Detective Superintendent

Henderson having said:

"I have examined the issues raised in connection with the school and nothing in the documents or the information requires further investigation by the police. All the issues involved at the school were discussed and investigated at the time by a number of agencies and necessary action was taken then. I do not believe there has been any coverup at the school and

"Mr Henderson said he had investigated the dossier together with Rochdale Family Support Unit which deals

Page 168

nothing in the documents indicates that'.

5

8

10

12

24

5

7

- with physical and sexual abuse cases."
- 2 This goes on to read:
- 3 "Mr Henderson's assertion in this article that he
- 4 investigated 'the dossier' together with Rochdale's
- 5 family support unit seems at odds with his initial
- 6 account to DCI Flindle and Mr Sterndale's witness
- 7 statement as provided to the MIT."
- 8 You will forgive me for trying to kill two birds
- 9 with one stone, but the reason why that article appears
- in this report was because it would tend to suggest that
- if he had seen the dossier provided by Mr Digan, that he
- must have seen the Mellor Report as well at that point
- in time?

1

- 14 A. My understanding is that the dossier from my
- 15 investigation is that it comprises of three different
- 16 reports: the Shepherd Report, the Cavanagh Report and
- 17 the Mellor Report. That word "dossier" has been used
- numerous times and suggests a comprehensive file of
- material. Every time, with our investigation, we tried
- 20 to drill down to what that dossier was. That's what
- 21 that dossier is, those the three reports. In relation
- to any press releases, just to add on, we didn't end it
- 23 there, so that press release there -- an integral part
- of my enquiry was to look at every press release that
- 25 had been done from these dates up to a certain point so

Page 169

- I have got within the enquiry a whole list of certain
- 2 press cuttings. Part of our enquiry was to go to that
- 3 journalist or every journalist, every paper, and say,
- 4 "You have said this. Are there any notes or anything to
- 5 substantiate what you are saying to assist the enquiry
- 6 and is there anything else we need to know to take us
- 7 forward?" That's what we try to do on every piece of
- 8 press.

1

10

- This is another example of where we were getting evidence to challenge, corroborate, assist or show that
- people are not giving us the right answers.
- 12 Q. Just so that the panel are clear, then, what you were
- trying to do was to make sure that there wasn't any
- 14 additional information outside of those three reports --
- 15 **A. Yes.**
- 16 Q. -- that was in existence and was known about by certain
- individuals and somehow being hidden or obscured?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you find any such additional information?
- 20 A. Not really.
- 21 Q. Or evidence --
- 22 A. No.
- Q. -- that hadn't come to light?
- 24 A. Some journalists wouldn't speak to us, some said "Yes,
- 25 I did that story." I -- you know, I haven't got

Page 170

- any specific example of any substantive evidence coming
- 2 from a journalist to assist us with any enquiry, but
- 3 what it did do is it allowed us to follow lines of
- 4 enquiry to see where information came from and to chase
 - that rumour or that piece of information down.
- 6 Q. I just want to -- I haven't dealt with Detective
- 7 Sergeant Sterndale but I think he was also asked, and
 - you investigated whether or not he had taken up as well
- 9 any investigation after Mellor, and I think his
 - response -- his evidence is recorded at page 211 of your
- 11 report. I called this part of your report but this is
 - actually your request to the CPS for advice?
- 13 A. Yes.
- $\,$ Q. Again, if we just -- looking at that page, if I go down
- 15 a few paragraphs:
- 16 "Kevin Sterndale has been interviewed by
- 17 Operation Clifton and he has said, as far as KVS is
- 18 concerned, I dealt with no investigations involving the
- staff, pupils or any other officials. I do remember an
- 20 incident at the school when a lad called Hilton was
- 21 released from prison and began hanging around the school
- 22 grounds."
- 23 Again, is the point there that although Chief
 - Superintendent Houghton had suggested that this officer,
- 25 Detective Sergeant Sterndale, would consider whether or

Page 171

- 1 not there would be any further investigation, the
- 2 officer himself has no recollection of undertaking any
- 3 further investigation?
- 4 A. That's right.
 - Q. Can you just help as regards any final conclusion you
- 6 came to about whether or not there had been an
 - investigation then in 1992?
- 8 A. No, I couldn't find any evidence that an investigation
- 9 had taken place into this information that had come
- 10 through about Mellor, Cavanagh, Shepherd. What I was
- able to say was, Kevin Sterndale had had sight of
- something from Martin Digan at some point. We went --
- it might be going off the track now, but Martin Digan

 14 says he gave that to Mr Sterndale. Actually, we then
- says he gave that to Mr Sterndale. Actually, we then discussed -- sorry, we then investigated that element
- discussed -- sorry, we then investigated that element
 because he said a journalist identified Martin Digan
- 17
- 17 giving a dossier to a uniformed --
- Q. Can I stop you there, because I am going to deal with
 that separately. I just want to finish off in terms
- that separately. I just want to finish off in terms of --
- 21 A. No investigation, but may have had sight of material
- that related to it.Q. I'm just going to finish this bit of the report and the
- 24 PSB report off by saying that, ultimately, the
- 25 conclusion was, as regards these officers, there wasn't

- 1 anything criminal, so to speak, about the lack of 2 investigation after the Mellor Report?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. But that, judged according to the standards of
- 5 the present day, there ought to have been some sort of
- 6 response or more police involvement following the
- 7 Mellor Report. Is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, there is. I can see nothing proactive from the 9 police in this area, which I would expect today to be 10 a bit more proactive in going and finding information
- 11

19

20

21

24

3

5

- 12 Q. The next point that I am going to deal with then is
- 13 precisely the issue that you have just referred to,
- 14 which is Mr Digan's collection of papers and who he
- 15 showed them to. I think as regards Detective Sergeant
- 16 Sterndale, Mr Digan suggested that at some point between
 - 1994 and 1996 -- this is page 57 of your report if you
- 18 need to refer to it -- he attended Littleborough Police
 - Station and he saw DS Sterndale to whom he gave the
 - documents that he had gathered. According to Mr Digan,
 - DS Sterndale spoke to him about ten days later and said
- 22 that the incidents that he had referred to -- that the
- 23 documents referred to had already been investigated. Is
 - that what you understand Mr Digan's allegation to be?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 evidence that there was any further investigation in
- 2 1996 if again the police considered that report?
- 3 A. Yes.

5

6

- 4 Q. Is that right?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. I want to move on to the second person to whom Mr Digan
- 7 refers, and that's Liz Lynne, who was the Member of 8 Parliament for Rochdale. Again, that's dealt with at
- 9 page 56 of your report if you need to look at it.
- 10 I think in essence Mr Digan said he tried to make
- 11 contact with Liz Lynne to discuss the bundle of 12 documents that he had with her, but that he only spoke
- 13
- to her PA and that he didn't hear from Ms Lynne. Then
- 14 in 1994, Deborah Doyle said that while she was working 15
- for Liz Lynne, Ms Lynne had instructed her to throw away 16
- notes that Ms Doyle had made of a conversation that she 17
 - had had with Martin Digan?
 - A. Yes.

18

2

- 19 Q. So the suggestion from that evidence was that
- 20 Martin Digan had given Deborah Doyle information
- 21 relating to Knowl View School that was in this
- 22 documentation and that Ms Lynne had essentially tried to
- 23 stymie that from coming out or being made public or
- 24 being dealt with by her; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes. I mean, Mr Digan -- I should say that he made six

Page 175

Page 173

- 1 Q. Is it right that when Operation Clifton officers then
- 2 spoke to Detective Sergeant Sterndale, he had no memory
 - or denied that Mr Digan had given him such a bundle of
- 4 documents?
 - A. What Mr Digan was saying is that he had made
- 6 arrangements to go to the police station, meet with
- 7 Sergeant Sterndale and hand in this dossier with the
- 8 bundle of documentation. He said that he'd had
- q a journalist, Martyn Green, I think, to watch the
- 10 passing of this documentation to take place. That's
- 11 what he said. We spoke to Sergeant Sterndale, got
- 12 his -- he said it didn't happen that way and we spoke to
- 13 Martyn Green who said it wasn't a plainclothes detective 14
- officer. He saw Martin Digan give it to a uniformed 15 officer. I think Mr Sterndale was spoken to on a number
- 16 of occasions, interviewed on a number of occasions. 17
- Q. But if we are trying to understand where all of this 18 goes to, it would certainly -- there is certainly
- 19 evidence to suggest, in the form of press reports, that
- 20 the Mellor Report was known about on Rochdale Division,
- 21 certainly in 1992 at the time that it came out, and
- 22 there's also reference then to it in the press in 1996
- 23 as well?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Again, I think the point follows that there's no
 - Page 174

- 1 specific allegations and it was quite important for the
 - investigation to look at these allegations and put some
- 3 context of what they'd done on those six allegations,
- 4 and we'd discuss them. The first one was dossier
- 5 provided to Diana Cavanagh in 1994; dossier provided to
- Rochdale Police in 1994, DS Sterndale; contacts with 6
- 7 Liz Lynne in 1996; allegation from PA that she destroyed
- 8 the complainant notes; dossier given to Lorna Fitzsimons
- q in 1998; meeting with Colin Lambert and Jim Dobbin in
- 10 2000, where two copies of dossier were handed over; and
- 11 finally the letter from Chief Whip in 2000 stating no
- 12 further action would be taken.
- 13 So those six elements were from Martin Digan when we
- 14 spoke to him at the start of the enquiry to identify
- 15 what he was alleging had happened to these three
- 16 documents, what he refers to as "the dossier". Every
- 17 time we went back to the people, we couldn't identify
- 18 any more documentation if they could remember in
- 19 relation to dossier other than the three documents.

Q. So that's quite important as well?

21

20

- 22 Q. As regards the people who he provided information to,
- 23 none of them said that they'd received anything beyond 24 the three reports that you have already referred to?
- 25 A. That's right. That's quite important -- that was quite

1	important for my enquiry because if you look at it	1	about what he had been provided with?
2	goes into what has been suppressed, what has happened to	2	A. Yes. I interviewed I actually interviewed Mr Lambert
3	the documents and what is it that they're covering up?	3	on the first occasion, and we were in the process of
4	You know, that was integral to the enquiry, what is it	4	making arrangements to interview Mr Dobbin, but
5	they're covering up? Are they covering up what's	5	unfortunately, just about the same time, unfortunately,
6	happened or are they trying to say that the	6	he died, in Poland, I think. What we did is, we were
7	documentation is covered up? Well, those documents	7	given assistance by parliament to look at the
8	there have been seen by numerous people. They have been	8	documentation he had in relation to this on his
9	in the public domain. There are different elements	9	computer. So we were allowed to look at if he had
10	where they have been discussed. So I wasn't seeing	10	anything, but we didn't find any documentation.
11	anything where those three documents were being	11	Q. In essence, though, Mr Lambert said that they had
12	suppressed. That's what I'm trying to say.	12	contacted the police about the information that Mr Digan
13	Q. I just wanted to as regards Ms Lynne, we are going to	13	had given to them?
14	hear her witness statement read out and that of	14	A. Yes, Detective Superintendent Huntbach.
15	Ms Doyle. But Ms Lynne, whenever she was spoken to	15	Q. His evidence was that Detective Superintendent Huntbach,
16	about this, pointed to the existence of press reports in	16	who was at that time involved in Operation European
17	1996 which refer to her having received information from	17	A. Yes.
18	Mr Digan and having referred to what she said she would	18	Q came to Mr Dobbin and Mr Lambert's office and took
19	do in respect of it?	19	some material away; is that right?
20	A. Yes, she provided a copy.	20	A. That's right.
21	Q. I think in essence the allegation or what was being	21	Q. I think in fact when you spoke to Detective
22	suggested to her was that the Mellor Report had been	22	Superintendent Huntbach, he didn't recollect that at
23	amended in some way, that the information hadn't been	23	all?
24	provided as it should have been, and the press report	24	A. That's correct.
25	referred to her saying that she would be writing to	25	Q. But within Operation European, you found a nominal card
	Page 177		Page 179
1	Mrs Mellor about that. The detail may not matter, but	1	that related to Mr Dobbin
2	what was important to her was the ability to point to	2	A. Yes.
3	her knowing about that information in 1996?	3	Q which suggested that there had been a meeting?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. Yes, and I yes, I recall that, yes.
5	MS DOBBIN: Chair, I can see it is 4.30 pm. There isn't	5	Q. I think this is dealt with at page 203 and page
6	very much left for this witness. I'm sorry, I have	6	A. Is that DI Waller?
7	taken it as fast as is possible. But there is probably	7	Q 60 as well deals with it.
8	about 10 more minutes left.	8	A. Yes.
9	THE CHAIR: Okay. We will take 10 minutes.	9	Q. I will just read very quickly from page 60:
10	MS DOBBIN: Again, just as regards another two people who	10	"Detective Superintendent Huntbach, as documented,
11	Mr Digan said he had provided his documentation to, that	11	was the SIO for Operation European. Within the material
12	was Mr Lambert, who was a Labour politician and had been	12	still available for Operation European is a nominal card
13	the leader of Rochdale Council?	13	in the name of Jim Dobbin which contains the following
14	A. Yes.	14	information."
15	Q. And Mr Dobbin, who had been the MP for Rochdale as well?	15	So there's a number for him and then some document
16	A. Yes.	16	numbers. Then:
17	Q. Again, in essence, he said that he had provided them	17	"On the reverse of the card is information stating
18	with information from this material and that, in	18	that there was a meeting between Lambert and Detective
19	essence, they hadn't done anything with it and that that	19	Superintendent Huntbach and Detective Inspector Waller
20	led Mr Digan to make a complaint to the parliamentary	20	re ex-pupils KVS. The D numbers refer to documents
21	ombudsman?	21	obtained but the documents no longer exist."
22	A. Yes.	22	So in other words, there was corroboration from
23	Q. Is that your understanding of this allegation?	23	Mr Lambert's account that he had provided documentation
24	A. Yes.	24	to Superintendent Huntbach notwithstanding that
25	Q. When you investigated this, did you speak to Mr Lambert	25	Superintendent Huntbach didn't have any memory of that?
	Dago 170		Daga 190
	Page 178		Page 180
			45 (Pages 177 to 180)

	IICSA Roch	dale H	earing 24 October 201
1	A. Yes.	1	existed?
2	Q. Is that right?	2	A. No.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Is that right?
4	Q. Again, we needn't go into this in any detail, but	4	A. That's right. We did quite a lot of investigation to
5	Operation European and Operation Cleopatra overlapped	5	find who was — the people that had heard this story of
6	with each other?	6	where this story came from, and to drill that down to
7	A. Yes. European yes.	7	find out where who was saying it as well, and we
8	Q. I think it is right that a copy of the Mellor Report was	8	couldn't. It was like a rumour that was going around
9	submitted in Operation Cleopatra	9	and around.
10	A. I believe so.	10	Q. So you weren't really able to identify
11	Q which was actually looking at the investigation into	11	A. No.
12	Knowl View School at the time?	12	Q where this rumour had come from
13	A. Yes.	13	A. No.
14	Q. So certainly there doesn't appear to have been any	14	Q in the very first instance?
15	suppression of the Mellor Report as regards that	15	A. Yes.
16	investigation?	16	Q. But as regards whether or not there might have been some
17	A. No, and I actually I actually reviewed, personally	17	sort of understanding between the parties not to go
18	reviewed, the SIO policy books looking for Knowl View	18	there, so to speak, that isn't something presumably that
19	School within the Cleopatra SIO to identify that	19	you would have been able to evidence or not?
20	linkage. I could only find one or two entries that	20	A. No. My opinion: I think it's some political mischief,
21	related to that.	21	and those were some of the conversations I had with some
22	Q. Two discrete topics, then, if I may, just to finish off.	22	of the individuals and in particular the individual that
23	I want to ask you, please, if I may, about what's been	23	purports to have sent that.
24	called the Breitbart email in this investigation. Very	24	Q. So essentially, the person who sent the email in the
25	shortly, that was an email that seemed to have come into	25	first place has sought to retract
	Page 181	<u> </u>	Page 183
1	existence in and around 2014 and which referred to	1	A. Yes.
2	a pact between Liberal Democrat and Labour politicians	2	Q. Would you go that far?
3	in Rochdale to the effect that Labour politicians	3	A. Yes, I have documented that fact.
4	wouldn't mention rumours about Cyril Smith if	4	Q. Another allegation or rumour that was around at the time
5	Liberal Democrat politicians didn't mention rumours	5	that you commenced Operation Clifton was that there had
6	about another Labour politician.	6	been a mass shredding exercise in 1992 when Labour lost
7	We don't need to go into any of the details about	7	control of the council. Again, did you interview
8	that, but I just wanted to ask you this: did you	8	a number of individuals who worked at the council at
9	investigate that as part of Operation Clifton? In other	9	that time?
10	words, the possible existence of a pact between the two	10	A. I think I can say that we interviewed about 14 or 15
11	political parties?	11	individuals, so, again, we followed the premise that an
12	A. Yes, and can I add that the individual that purports to	12	individual said, "I was aware of shredding taking place
13	have sent that contacted me very, very quickly after	13	at this time". We went to that individual, who was
14	this was put into the public domain to explain that it	14	there, who told you that, we went to those individuals
1		1	

ed at the council at iewed about 14 or 15 ed the premise that an shredding taking place dividual, who was ld you that, we went to those individuals 15 and we followed that trail on a number of occasions and 16 at no point did anybody say there was mass shredding 17 after Richard Farnell left office, which is what they 18 were saying. Different people said different things. 19 Like, "We get rid of that waste in bags. Once a leader 20 finishes, then, yes, there are elements of his 21 documents/her documentation being removed because it's 22 not required anymore", and I actually found this -- as 23 an example, when Jim Dobbin died, because there were 24 certain documents there, I was asking and they'd already 25 been passed to parliament to his aides and things like

Page 184

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

A. Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was a silly email that was looking to scope some

research, and that's the way it was painted to me, and I have documented that within my investigation and

I followed what was said in there and investigated

Q. So having spoken to a number of people from the

including from the Conservative Party as well --

Q. -- there wasn't any objective evidence that any pact

Page 182

different political parties in Rochdale, I think

any pact or anything of that nature.

different strands of it and could find no evidence of

1	that, sort of removing them. They get moved very	1	what information I'd got, had we even got anywhere near
2	quickly.	2	the barrier of misconduct? And we couldn't identify
3	Q. I think that's probably an important point, but you did	3	I still interviewed those individuals and I still felt
4	establish, as I understand it, that when councillors	4	that I didn't need to caution them because there was
5	lost their seats, they weren't actually allowed to	5	nothing of criminal that I was that had fallen out of
6	return to their offices to	6	the investigation.
7	A. No.	7	MS DOBBIN: Thank you, Mr Marsh. Chair, Ms Hoyano had
8	Q clear out their offices. That work was undertaken by	8	sought permission to ask one question and I understand
9	people from member services; is that right?	9	that you granted it.
10	A. Yes, that's right.	10	MS HOYANO: Chair, I'm happy to put the question now but
11	Q. Or council employees who would box up their material	11	I appreciate the time. Would it be better if I were to
12	with them?	12	submit the question to Ms Studd and get a written answer
13	A. Yes.	13	overnight which could be read into the record tomorrow?
14	Q. Or possibly dispose of confidential waste?	14	I'm in your hands.
15	A. That's right. I got to such a stage where I'd	15	THE CHAIR: Do you have anything to say?
16	interviewed so many people about this, I could have gone	16	MS DOBBIN: There may be a short answer to the question.
17	on and on and on, but I decided it wasn't in the	17	Maybe ask it.
18	interests of the enquiry and there were other lines	18	Examination by MS HOYANO
19	of enquiry more important that the shredding, there	19	MS HOYANO: If there is then Mr Marsh, on the bottom of
20	was no evidence to support that.	20	page 48, over to page 49 of your report I don't think
21	Q. Finally, I just want to ask again about the outcome of	21	we need to bring it up you say:
22	your report and the conclusion that you came to. But	22	"There is a reference in Operation Cleopatra that
23	I'm going to summarise it, if I may: the investigation,	23	RO-A9 and other boys, RO-A12 and RO-A10, received
24	both from the perspective of Operation Clifton and the	24	counselling after admitting to regularly absconding from
25	PSB, could find no definitive evidence of coverup,	25	KVS, attending street toilets and engaging in
	D 105		D 107
	Page 185		Page 187
1	corruption or malfeasance. Similarly, this was also the	1	masturbation with males for payment."
1 2	corruption or malfeasance. Similarly, this was also the findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say	1 2	masturbation with males for payment." I was wondering if you came across any
2	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say	2	I was wondering if you came across any
2 3	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the	2 3 4 5	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any,
2 3 4 5 6	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well?	2 3 4 5 6	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling?
2 3 4 5 6 7	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that	2 3 4 5 6 7	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for — a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest — we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for — a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest — we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of the allegation that because, when you look at it, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with. Q. So the reference to Operation Cleopatra — I'm afraid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of the allegation that because, when you look at it, I'm investigating police as well, and for me the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with. Q. So the reference to Operation Cleopatra I'm afraid I haven't been able to de-cipher OP Cleopatra R6D.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for — a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest — we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of the allegation that — because, when you look at it, I'm investigating police as well, and for me — the integrity of the investigation for me is I have to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with. Q. So the reference to Operation Cleopatra I'm afraid I haven't been able to de-cipher OP Cleopatra R6D. I haven't been able to locate that. Could that be an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for — a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest — we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of the allegation that — because, when you look at it, I'm investigating police as well, and for me — the integrity of the investigation for me is I have to have some independence of that, that this is the information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with. Q. So the reference to Operation Cleopatra I'm afraid I haven't been able to de-cipher OP Cleopatra R6D. I haven't been able to locate that. Could that be an assumption that the expectation they'd received
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for — a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest — we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of the allegation that — because, when you look at it, I'm investigating police as well, and for me — the integrity of the investigation for me is I have to have some independence of that, that this is the information and evidence we have got so far, does it meet the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with. Q. So the reference to Operation Cleopatra I'm afraid I haven't been able to de-cipher OP Cleopatra R6D. I haven't been able to locate that. Could that be an assumption that the expectation they'd received counselling meant led to an inference that they did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of the allegation that because, when you look at it, I'm investigating police as well, and for me the integrity of the investigation for me is I have to have some independence of that, that this is the information and evidence we have got so far, does it meet the threshold? And that's why we asked for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with. Q. So the reference to Operation Cleopatra — I'm afraid I haven't been able to de-cipher OP Cleopatra R6D. I haven't been able to locate that. Could that be an assumption that the expectation they'd received counselling meant — led to an inference that they did receive counselling?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of the allegation that because, when you look at it, I'm investigating police as well, and for me the integrity of the investigation for me is I have to have some independence of that, that this is the information and evidence we have got so far, does it meet the threshold? And that's why we asked for the investigative advice from CPS at that stage. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with. Q. So the reference to Operation Cleopatra I'm afraid I haven't been able to de-cipher OP Cleopatra R6D. I haven't been able to locate that. Could that be an assumption that the expectation they'd received counselling meant led to an inference that they did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of the allegation that because, when you look at it, I'm investigating police as well, and for me the integrity of the investigation for me is I have to have some independence of that, that this is the information and evidence we have got so far, does it meet the threshold? And that's why we asked for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with. Q. So the reference to Operation Cleopatra I'm afraid I haven't been able to de-cipher OP Cleopatra R6D. I haven't been able to locate that. Could that be an assumption that the expectation they'd received counselling meant led to an inference that they did receive counselling? A. I might be able to assist you with that if you were to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of the allegation that because, when you look at it, I'm investigating police as well, and for me the integrity of the investigation for me is I have to have some independence of that, that this is the information and evidence we have got so far, does it meet the threshold? And that's why we asked for the investigative advice from CPS at that stage. That wasn't at the end of the investigation, that was prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with. Q. So the reference to Operation Cleopatra I'm afraid I haven't been able to de-cipher OP Cleopatra R6D. I haven't been able to locate that. Could that be an assumption that the expectation they'd received counselling meant led to an inference that they did receive counselling? A. I might be able to assist you with that if you were to send sorry, I can't now, because I've retired, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for — a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest — we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of the allegation that — because, when you look at it, I'm investigating police as well, and for me — the integrity of the investigation for me is I have to have some independence of that, that this is the information and evidence we have got so far, does it meet the threshold? And that's why we asked for the investigative advice from CPS at that stage. That wasn't at the end of the investigation, that was prior to — I kind of got it down to some specific individuals	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with. Q. So the reference to Operation Cleopatra I'm afraid I haven't been able to de-cipher OP Cleopatra R6D. I haven't been able to locate that. Could that be an assumption that the expectation they'd received counselling meant led to an inference that they did receive counselling? A. I might be able to assist you with that if you were to send sorry, I can't now, because I've retired, but I think GMP would be able to assist you with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	findings of the CPS, which are documented, and you say that because your report and the request for — a request for advice from the CPS, you've obviously gone to the CPS so they have been able to consider the position as well? A. Yes. I couldn't identify any level of criminality that would support us asking for a charge and to arrest — we never cautioned anybody because we didn't have that evidence that would say that we were led to believe that this individual had committed a criminal offence and required the standard of PACE to support them. It was important for me to have that interim investigative advice from the CPS because of the nature of the allegation that — because, when you look at it, I'm investigating police as well, and for me — the integrity of the investigation for me is I have to have some independence of that, that this is the information and evidence we have got so far, does it meet the threshold? And that's why we asked for the investigative advice from CPS at that stage. That wasn't at the end of the investigation, that was prior to — I kind of got it down to some specific individuals that I was — I needed to speak to again and to finalise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I was wondering if you came across any contemporaneous documentation on this? We have seen many records from the period of 1990 to 1994 saying that the boys needed counselling, but we have not seen any, so far as I am aware, that they did receive counselling? A. No, I'm sorry, I have not seen any of that documentation. There were a large number of boxes that were retained by the council very early in this inquiry that were taken away from the council and stored in a solicitors and we were given access to that. So Operation Jaguar and Operation Clifton went through all that information and I'm sorry we didn't find anything of that nature that I can assist you with. Q. So the reference to Operation Cleopatra I'm afraid I haven't been able to de-cipher OP Cleopatra R6D. I haven't been able to locate that. Could that be an assumption that the expectation they'd received counselling meant led to an inference that they did receive counselling? A. I might be able to assist you with that if you were to send sorry, I can't now, because I've retired, but I think GMP would be able to assist you with that reference. If you were to send it to GMP, they may be

```
1
        Cleopatra is on a HOLMES database. That is separate --
2
        that is different to the HOLMES database we have today,
3
        so you have to load it onto a computer. The references
4
        in Cleopatra is where we have researched the Cleopatra
5
        database and got that information. But I'm sure we will
        be able to help you with that -- or they could help you
7
        with that if you put it in writing.
8
      MS HOYANO: Thank you, that would be helpful.
9
      MS DOBBIN: Chair, that was optimistic of me. We will
10
        endeavour to finalise that. That's all the evidence for
11
        today.
12
      THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. We will reconvene
        tomorrow. Thank you, Mr Marsh.
13
14
      (4.45 pm)
15
              (The hearing was adjourned to
16
           Wednesday, 25 October 2017 at 10.30 am)
17
18
19
                   INDEX
20
      Statement of WITNESS A6 (read) .....2
21
22
      Statement of MRS VALERIE MELLOR ......7
23
24
           (read)
25
                         Page 189
      Statement of MR VINCENT HILL (read) ......17
 1
 2
 3
      Statement of MR WILLIAM ROBERTS .....22
 4
           (read)
 5
      MR RICHARD GEORGE FARNELL (affirmed) ......32
 6
 8
          Examination by MR ALTMAN ......32
10
          Questions from THE PANEL .....118
11
12
      MS ELEANOR PHILLIPS (sworn) ......121
13
14
          Examination by MR HENDERSON ......121
15
16
          Examination by MS HOYANO ......139
17
18
      MR NIGEL PETER MARSH (sworn) .....140
19
20
          Examination by MS DOBBIN .....140
21
22
          Examination by MS HOYANO .....187
23
24
25
                         Page 190
```

	(2.4.(2.22.55.12	(0.24.141.10	122 20 120 22 25	10 10 20 10 25 24
A	62:4 63:23 77:13	68:24 141:19	133:20 139:23,25	19:10 20:10 25:24
A1 19:14	108:14 111:17	158:24	140:1	29:7,8,13 31:16
A10 152:22,25	115:10 141:23	ad 24:2 38:5,8	advisers 100:10	31:20 63:16 64:10
153:9,17,20,23,24	142:3 143:1,21	Adams 123:14	117:22	65:11 66:15,19
154:5,6	150:3,17 158:25	124:3	advising 95:17	77:11 105:4 106:3
A12 13:21,23	160:7,8 169:1	add 1:17 93:22	125:10	111:17 112:11
A28 3:24	abused 48:4 150:22	158:8 163:17	affairs 103:23	132:8 141:23
A4 20:8	151:3,22	169:22 182:12	affidavits 19:17	142:20 144:22
A6 2:3,5,15,19 3:7	acceded 31:13	added 24:13 58:24	affirmed 32:16	147:14 150:17
3:12,18,23 4:1,3,4	accept 60:11 63:19	addition 38:19	190:6	176:1,2,3
4:10,16,19,23,25	86:15 89:15,20	additional 20:20	afraid 2:10 59:7	allege 114:5
5:2,11,14,18,21	94:5 95:19 115:22	170:14,19	153:11 188:15	alleged 19:17
5:23 6:1,3,14,15	115:24 118:4,5	address 19:14	aftermath 52:12	103:25 104:7
6:23,24 7:1,14	134:12,13 137:12	158:24	afternoon 1:7 58:6	135:19 147:23
189:21	access 188:11	addressed 32:8	121:8	149:8,19
A6's 4:14,18	account 18:1 22:21	165:5	age 2:16	allegedly 3:2 25:23
A68 20:8	169:6 180:23	adequate 11:22	aged 3:9	27:17
A75 6:19,22	accounts 161:16	adhered 82:8	agencies 142:1	alleges 2:25
A9 152:22,24 153:9	accurate 20:18	adjourned 189:15	159:17 164:17	alleging 150:2
154:3,5,16 156:21	51:10 52:2 101:4	adjournment 88:15	168:21	176:15
abilities 45:11	accused 66:12	admit 135:22	agency 77:22	allocated 20:7
ability 11:12	accuses 63:10	admitted 2:15	agenda 38:6 70:11	24:25 26:14,21,24
102:25 178:2	act 84:2 146:4	14:24 48:2 116:14	70:16,24	122:4
able 37:17 59:24	147:6 161:23	admitting 61:19	agendas 38:5 103:5	allow 154:9 161:17
116:8,9,25 118:7	acting 22:8 42:8	135:18 187:24	ago 6:2 61:4,21	allowed 64:23
125:14 160:24	48:22 52:22 69:22	adult 4:23 72:13	66:16 118:23	171:3 179:9 185:5
163:2 172:11	69:24 70:8 130:7	151:16 152:24	agree 42:16 51:10	Alternative 104:17
183:10,19 186:5	action 12:2 20:7	adults 2:25 3:25	60:14 65:6 68:5	129:6 133:19
188:16,17,21,23	26:3,18,18,22,22	4:5 152:11,14	75:20 84:23 95:24	136:4 137:22
188:25 189:6	26:23,24 27:1,1	advance 87:11	96:19 100:17	139:4
above-mentioned	28:21 72:21 73:7	advertisement	109:1 114:23	alternatives 155:20
94:15	94:23 97:25 118:6	50:16	agreed 136:16	Altman 1:3,4 32:14
absconding 187:24	118:7 142:1	advice 8:25 15:12	aides 184:25	32:15,17,18,20,22
absence 162:11	143:20 144:6,22	15:14 32:5,6,11	AIDS 71:14	32:25 33:1 56:5
absolute 105:17,24	168:21 176:12	76:12 78:14 79:18	alarm 132:9	56:13,18 88:12,13
absolutely 51:8	actions 20:15 59:3	79:19 100:3,6,9	albeit 24:25 111:9	88:17 118:13
63:18 66:21 71:11	59:13 60:8 74:24	100:11,12 101:14	Alf 151:14	120:19,22 190:8
73:19 81:10 92:2	116:1,10,17	101:16 116:9	Allan 100:22,22	ambition 91:25
92:7 102:1 106:6	activities 19:19	171:12 186:4,14	allegation 28:18	98:16
110:24 111:22	43:19 71:20	186:21,25	142:2,24 143:7	amend 68:15
abstracted 19:9	152:25 153:15	advise 87:24 94:25	161:3,3,4 173:24	amended 177:23
abuse 2:25 3:1,3	156:9	advised 65:4 83:13	176:7 177:21	amendment 69:6
8:4 9:4 18:3 23:4	activity 72:18 73:3	136:14	178:23 184:4	70:10
23:12 31:17 41:22	73:8	adviser 57:16,22	186:15	amendments 69:8
43:3 52:18 53:8	actual 9:14 66:24	87:24 98:5 99:20	allegations 3:3	amounts 96:19

	I	1	1	1
and/or 60:7 137:23	160:10 166:24	argue 133:5	91:21,21 99:20	attending 9:24
Andy 7:4,5	169:9	arisen 17:7	114:22 121:10	187:25
angered 72:23	append 9:20	arising 61:17	154:19 184:24	attention 6:10
angry 17:13	appoint 23:9 83:25	139:16 144:21	186:8	16:19 22:10 29:17
Ann 18:12,22 23:21	appointed 18:17	145:21 151:23	asleep 3:7	39:22,24 40:12
88:23 89:3,4	22:24 23:6 167:8	arrange 58:7	aspect 147:20,23	41:2,17 45:22,23
90:22 92:9 93:11	appointment 68:5	arranged 108:10	aspects 146:14,22	46:13 51:2,16
annoyed 63:14	69:22,24 70:8	arrangement 57:11	assault 3:5 4:4,23	52:3,6 58:13 60:9
annual 112:1	appointments	arrangements	6:21 132:8	61:20,25 62:11,12
another's 139:21	122:13	119:13 165:24	assaulted 4:22	62:17 64:13 65:17
answer 12:13 59:7	appreciate 30:24	174:6 179:4	51:18	67:2,5,10 71:3
75:18 85:17 90:20	71:13 77:17	arrest 31:14 186:8	assaults 19:11	74:2,16,18,21
132:23 135:17	187:11	arrested 152:24	29:19	75:2,7 77:12 90:2
136:16 138:2,15	apprehended	arrival 2:17	assertion 169:3	95:22 117:18
138:17 156:3	152:14	arrived 24:13	assessment 43:21	163:8
167:17 187:12,16	apprehending	126:25	assist 16:6 27:12	attention' 65:14
answered 107:14	163:20	arrow 58:15	158:9 170:5,10	Attorney 128:1
113:21 129:9	approach 123:23	article 51:1,7,13,14	171:2 188:14,21	attractive 6:7
answers 170:11	136:12,25 161:20	51:24 52:1,4,5	188:23	auditor 82:9
antecedents 156:2	approached 116:4	63:9 66:5,8	assistance 179:7	August 13:18
anticipated 160:25	approaches 79:19	104:18,24 105:1,3	assistant 122:1,5,6	153:23 154:2,16
anxious 17:11	appropriate 136:18	106:2 136:3	126:20,24,24	author 153:24
anybody 46:14	approval 25:9	168:12 169:3,9	127:6,9,11,13	authorities 24:19
84:5 86:11 105:18	80:14 82:3	articles 107:9	128:6,20,21,22,24	25:4
116:21 184:16	approve 79:25 80:4	108:13 113:9,11	associate 7:25	authority 8:25 54:4
186:9	approved 82:11	124:22	associated 28:3	54:6 55:5 58:17
anymore 119:25	approximately	ascertain 159:10	151:10	71:13 79:21 80:7
184:22	109:14 110:22	162:23	assume 17:6 35:13	80:9,10 81:17
anyway 80:15	155:6	Ashworth 3:8,15	65:6 111:3	89:11 109:10,12
106:1	April 34:24 46:23	3:18 5:18 6:25	assumes 84:3	110:7 119:23
apart 117:19	53:7 55:5 56:1,25	7:8,11	assumption 50:25	149:10 151:2
apologise 165:18	58:6,22 59:14,25	aside 113:1 140:5	188:18	autocratic 101:18
appalled 16:8	61:11 62:1 67:24	asked 5:1,25 8:12	astute 43:24 45:15	101:20
31:10 63:22	72:3 74:23 85:6	8:22 9:4,20 15:9	atmosphere 16:25	available 22:4
apparently 12:2	97:4 111:10	16:1 17:8 37:23	attached 1:22 9:14	148:20,25 180:12
28:5 126:20	116:18 164:19	61:5,24 68:15	attempted 31:7	avenue 148:25
151:22 154:2	165:2	80:1,3,4 81:5	attempting 10:13	avenues 71:4
appear 98:11	archive 131:17	82:24 84:11 85:1	63:22	148:21
152:12 162:15	archived 129:19	88:21 89:9 111:23	attend 36:18 38:15	await 162:2
163:19 167:10	132:2	130:9,18,23	39:5 93:10,13	aware 36:10 47:4,5
168:14 181:14	area 7:9 58:11	153:20 163:13	attendance 12:22	47:7,9,10,11,19
appeared 51:22	114:23 120:4,12	165:3 171:7	attended 10:3,4	48:8 50:7,9 52:5
168:13	120:13,15 128:4	186:20	16:22 38:1,13	54:6,17,20 56:4
appears 114:10	173:9	asking 15:18 30:7	159:15 160:1	71:23 72:18,20
151:20 152:9	areas 97:21	56:18 73:22,24	173:18	77:24 97:25 98:23

				-)
00.25 104.10	hoaring 22.0	124.2	51.10 72.12	151.7 160.11
98:25 104:10	bearing 23:9	124:3	51:18 72:13	151:7 168:11
105:9 107:13,14	bears 126:8	binding 15:20	157:15	187:21
107:17,21 119:23	Beasley 88:21,23	birds 169:8	boy' 72:22	bringing 58:13
150:16 152:5	88:24,25 89:2,3,4	bit 33:3 67:7 103:2	boy's 153:6	130:19
155:4 156:22	89:25 90:22 92:9	123:7 162:15	boys 3:9 4:5 13:4,7	brings 126:10
166:16 184:12	93:11	172:23 173:10	13:10,16 19:11	156:25
188:6	becoming 43:9 63:1	bits 125:13	29:11,11 52:14	British 8:1
B	bed 3:7,21 4:10,11	bitter 105:19,22	62:1,5 72:14,16	brought 4:25 15:2
	4:13,21 6:20	112:4,6,21	72:24 77:15 104:1	16:19 21:22 24:22
B 9:14 57:4	began 171:21	bitterly 116:3,7	104:8 115:10,18	33:3 37:25 38:7
back 3:12 4:12,17	beginning 14:10	blameworthy	115:19 151:14	39:22,23 40:8,12
5:12,12 6:9,11	15:4 16:11 18:15	116:11,12	152:6,10,21 153:7	41:17 45:22,23
17:5 20:22 32:13	29:1 30:24 31:3	blew 41:23	155:7 161:16,24	51:16 52:2,6 60:8
41:15 65:8 66:18	begins 15:14	blighted 115:19	187:23 188:5	61:20,25 62:10,12
73:1 82:9 125:9	148:12 158:6	blonde 6:7	boys' 9:15 48:2	62:16 64:13 65:14
128:8 130:13	behalf 78:8 79:6,7	blood 114:17	154:10	65:17 67:2,4,10
132:15,15 148:6	79:14 87:5,9,14	blow 50:15	Bradshaw 10:5,21	67:19 68:2 69:12
149:2 154:9 155:8	128:16 142:8	board 29:9 96:5	12:23 16:16 17:1	74:1,21 75:2,7
166:4 167:10,21	behaviour 8:24	145:23 146:2,19	57:12 67:23 68:3	121:13 123:22
168:9 176:17	13:9 76:17 153:25	Bob 58:17	97:3	136:25 151:16
background 7:24	156:2	body 140:20	break 56:11,16	browbeat 101:2
8:7 69:11 104:7	believe 5:1 16:4	bombshell 105:1	120:23 121:4	Buckley 100:22,22
121:10	141:18 166:23	book 119:1	breathed 76:1 90:7	budget 14:2 68:9,9
backside 29:14,15	168:22 181:10	books 74:7 181:18	Bredbury 23:18	68:15 69:6,8,10
backwards 12:12	186:10	Booth 8:2	Breitbart 181:24	70:10 81:12,15
164:9	believed 11:25	Bootle 21:8 23:6	Brian 48:18,19	budgetary 68:6
bad 42:5 49:12	72:18 130:12	borough 15:19	72:6	buggery 29:19
114:17	bell 57:19 88:22	39:17 46:6 75:21	brief 107:7 113:19	buildings 2:23
bags 184:19	bells 132:9	84:2,3 100:14	113:21 116:4	106:23
balanced 20:18	beneath 58:12	101:1 142:8,24	121:10	bullet 144:21
balancing 161:23	benefit 1:15	bottom 3:16 7:11	briefed 75:4 76:10	bullied 101:2
Bamford 7:7,12	benefited 14:6	7:11 9:25 21:24	116:15	Bullough 58:17
barrier 187:2	Bentley 57:15	22:23 23:2 24:7		72:2
Bartlett 19:16,16		27:7 29:22 31:22	briefing 80:24 116:24	
19:23 133:18,22	besieged 42:11 73:15			bump 90:15
135:17		73:2 98:2 187:19	briefings 25:17	bundle 19:8,22
based 148:19	best 10:18 11:7,11	Bottomley 151:6	40:1	145:17 174:3,8
159:24	12:9 58:25 76:11	154:14	briefly 9:24	175:11
basically 107:15	100:13	Bottomley's 152:19	bring 2:11 6:16	bundles 2:11 7:19
v	better 22:6 187:11	bottoms 4:8,8,14	7:20 17:22 22:19	7:20 17:22 22:19
basis 24:2 119:12 157:7 159:5	beyond 14:19	bound 75:13,14	40:9 46:12 71:3,6	business 91:15
	176:23	Bowyer 55:24 56:7	74:16,17 89:25	95:14 119:14
164:12	big 4:7 37:17 124:5	57:2 58:1	95:22 96:5,15	busy 16:15 90:24
bathroom 3:21	130:3,5	box 185:11	117:17 121:14,16	93:18
battered 6:23	bill 15:18 18:16	boxes 188:8	125:3 126:15	
battle 112:21	81:19 123:14	boy 3:24 4:20 48:4	128:8 133:10	C
1				

C 9:14	case 10:17,18 28:24	101:23 116:12,19	78:25 82:1 83:12	charging 154:3
cadets 23:24	32:9 41:22 52:7	117:2 144:3 158:2	83:15,22,23,24,25	155:20
calculation 65:21	80:6 92:18 127:25	164:24 165:20	84:4,20,22,22	chartered 7:25
call 129:3,8 130:18	128:1 133:23	169:16 172:10	85:7,16,18,18,25	chase 171:4
132:6	135:5,20 137:2,8	176:5	86:1,3,4,7,16 87:6	chastise 29:10
called 14:14,25	149:12 154:7	Cavanagh's 57:1	87:17 88:13 89:11	chastised 29:12
35:25 37:1,1	155:3	58:4 77:23	97:9,12 108:3	chats 11:13
51:18 80:21 81:4	cases 18:19 29:14	ceased 34:5 64:13	109:9 118:14	check 156:21
90:11 92:15	31:16,18 41:21	109:17	120:2,10,15,18,22	164:12
123:13 126:13	42:1 127:20,21,22	cent 7:6	121:1 125:6	checked 129:15,16
129:9 130:12	127:25 130:10	centre 8:4 58:8	133:11 139:14,15	chief 1:18,19 19:7
132:15 171:11,20	131:21 132:17,24	164:6	140:14,15,18,19	19:23 37:24 38:3
181:24	134:6 161:7 169:1	century 123:21	178:5,9 187:7,10	38:15,16,23 46:4
calling 93:2,18	casting 140:8	certain 101:8,11	187:15 189:9,12	48:22 53:11 57:16
calls 123:15,18	cat 49:6	127:25 156:19	chaired 93:16	69:25 74:12,13,20
124:18 132:20	catch 1:11 82:16	164:5 169:25	chairman 89:1	75:20 77:4,18
136:23 140:11,13	100:5	170:1,16 184:24	chairs 6:15,18	78:15 80:15 81:16
Cambridge 104:1,8	catch-ups 40:1	certainly 25:16	36:19 37:4,6 38:1	94:8 96:14 98:4
105:3 106:3	catching 151:18	36:2 39:19 42:15	chairs' 37:2,16,25	99:20 106:12,12
campaign 90:18,22	cause 10:19	46:21 54:22 59:20	38:19 40:8 46:2	106:15,24 107:12
91:12,16,17 93:23	caused 27:9 149:5	60:25 62:9 66:1	71:7	108:10 109:22
112:7,10,16,20	caution 153:20	69:15 70:9 75:1	challenge 100:11	117:12 124:20
113:2	155:11,16 156:9	80:1,4 81:10 86:2	116:9 170:10	127:24 128:2
campaigning 90:14	156:15,23 157:2	87:10 93:3 98:25	challenging 112:7	135:19 148:1
campaigns 112:18	187:4	99:9,11 101:7,15	change 123:19	164:18,24 165:19
cancel 91:19	cautioned 154:18	101:24 104:3	131:22	166:11 167:16,22
cancelled 91:20	154:21,22 156:23	105:18 108:19	changed 119:10,14	171:23 176:11
93:20,21	186:9	114:25 117:15	124:6 126:25	child 8:4 10:7
Cantrell 1:20	cautioning 154:1	161:7 162:4	131:20 145:8	23:12 52:22 55:24
capacity 93:1	154:12 155:21	174:18,18,21	161:2	78:2 84:16 143:21
capital 114:1	cautions 152:2	181:14	changes 13:6	144:17 155:2,15
captured 167:1	156:18	cetera 9:15 25:17	chap 51:18	156:22 157:6
card 179:25 180:12	Cavanagh 8:10 9:1	26:17 108:12	characterise 50:8	160:11,24 161:8
180:17	9:9,17 10:4,5	chain 158:19	charge 19:1,2	161:11 167:12
care 18:4,5 23:4	12:23 15:9 16:21	chair 1:3,4,9,10,10	24:10 50:16	child-on-child
31:18 97:21	48:22 52:20 53:16	2:1,10 7:1,17	128:23 152:1	160:7
career 30:3	53:23 55:5,23	17:22 21:18,24	155:16 157:1	children 9:2,6,10
careful 123:24	56:5 58:18 60:6	22:16 25:22 28:10	186:8	10:10,19,25 11:12
carefully 101:16	68:11 71:25 74:22	31:25 32:11,20,23	charge/caution	12:3,5,7,9 13:1
caretaker 7:6 9:21	74:25 75:23 77:8	32:25 35:18,19	155:2	15:17 18:4 31:19
14:14,20 15:11	77:18,20,25 78:6	36:12 38:1 44:8	charged 151:15,23	73:5 151:1,10,20
Carole 18:14	79:5,10 81:18	45:4,14 46:1	152:24 153:8,19	151:22 154:20,25
carried 22:6 114:18	82:19 83:5 86:2	48:16 54:4,5 55:4	156:23 157:4	155:19,23,23
114:18	87:2,4,10 93:16	56:10,13 58:10,10	charges 151:16	156:7,8 157:7,8
carry 164:3 167:8	94:11,19 97:3	70:1,1 75:13	152:2	157:13 161:7,21

				1 4 9 0 1 7 3
162:11 163:22	clerk 75:22 123:4	coming 3:18 14:17	123:19 166:7	23:17 26:7 30:16
Children's 8:3	Cliff 57:15	14:23 25:14 107:3	comparatively	97:18 145:22
Chittering 23:8	Clifton 31:24	171:1 175:23	31:20	confessed 114:7
CID 19:7	140:20 141:9,15	command 20:7	compared 157:7	confident 27:22
ciphered 6:19	141:17,21 142:7	141:25	compared 137.7	45:11 119:15
circulated 16:13	141:17,21 142:7	commander 165:20	_	
	174:1 182:9 184:5		complainant 176:8	confidential 83:10
circulating 8:18		commenced 184:5	complaint 158:18	84:18 185:14
circulation 15:12	185:24 188:12	comment 65:5	158:19,21 178:20	confidentiality
15:13	clinical 8:2	76:20 130:10	complaints 18:3,6	24:24
circumstances 18:7	clock 90:13	132:17,23 134:5,6	complete 64:19	confirm 133:1
103:15 154:10	close 24:17 30:1	134:22 135:12,25	76:13 109:16	136:12 137:3
155:2 165:13	70:5 146:12	137:10 138:17	111:1 113:2,3,17	138:11
cite 168:14	closed 129:19,21	commenting	completed 15:5,6	confirmed 13:14
city 164:6	130:23	131:21	completely 45:4	129:18
civil 112:9 114:14	closely 12:15 25:11	comments 63:15	46:10 53:7 74:8	confirms 22:23
114:17 122:4	150:18	commission 79:22	76:23,23 87:19,22	165:2
civilian 26:12	closer 134:10	80:1,3,9 81:6	88:8 110:22 111:4	confusion 83:21,22
claimed 114:1	cloud 10:18	87:12	111:20 113:15	85:24 86:5,18
claims 28:6	codes 9:15	commissioned 79:7	completeness 21:21	conjunction 55:18
clarification	Colin 112:12,20,22	79:12,13 81:21	complex 18:19	connected 26:10
139:16	176:9	87:4,8,9,12,14	complicity 144:6	44:14 51:8
clarify 97:20	colleague 36:3 75:5	committed 31:17	comprehensive	connection 26:25
classed 140:2,4	75:6 89:4	144:13 151:15	143:14 169:18	168:16
classified 129:22	colleagues 74:9,12	186:11	comprises 169:15	connotation 3:4 6:4
clear 60:11 71:9,11	75:25 104:15	committee 35:18,20	computer 148:24	conscious 24:24
74:12,17 76:10	150:11	36:12,13 37:4	179:9 189:3	consent 128:1,2
77:9 79:20 82:7	collect 6:15,18	40:7 46:1 68:16	concentrate 23:19	consequence 49:11
82:10 84:6 86:2,7	collection 173:14	68:23 69:10 70:2	concern 22:8 97:21	109:12 110:20
88:2 108:7 113:12	Colley 1:23	70:12,24 71:5	concerned 12:3,4	consequences 68:6
120:10 147:25	combination 75:20	78:23 79:1 80:21	15:15 25:25 63:25	79:15
148:12 155:17	come 2:5 3:13 6:8	82:1,5 91:21 97:9	75:1 147:5,13	consequently 19:13
170:12 185:8	13:2 17:15 37:17	97:12 103:1	154:6 165:14	Conservative 54:5
clearly 51:12 66:17	45:7 49:20 50:7	108:21 159:1	171:18	120:13 182:23
	51:2 67:21 71:7	committees 15:17		
76:14 118:9,20			concerning 28:2	Conservatives 36:25
138:22	79:24 80:23 91:12	36:7,20 37:5,6	31:21 97:5	
Cleopatra 18:1,2,9	110:17 118:1	102:19,20,21	concerns 8:23	consider 142:21
18:22 21:6 22:4	124:23 128:25	committing 151:16	13:15 43:4 70:14	144:5,24 145:1,2
22:25 23:3,7,14	146:9 147:3 148:6	151:23	120:16 163:1	155:14 171:25
23:25 24:9 26:7	149:22 153:20	common 103:7	conclusion 172:5	186:5
27:6,11,14,23	161:10,17 162:4	Commons 118:25	172:25 185:22	consideration
28:3,14,17 29:5	167:15 170:23	commonsense	conclusions 13:3	156:13
29:16 30:6 32:10	172:9 181:25	24:19	146:19,20 147:11	considered 30:1
181:5,9,19 187:22	183:12	communicate	conduct 78:5,7	84:10 148:1
188:15,16,25	comes 69:21 70:4	123:16	119:14 161:17	154:22 156:8,11
189:1,4,4	87:18 146:18	communication	conducted 21:16	156:23 159:8
	1	1	1	1

168:7 175:2	context 29:15 156:1	34:4,12,17 35:8	102:16,17,18,22	cover 106:4 157:16
considering 144:16	176:3	36:14 39:1 41:24	103:5,8,17 104:5	coverage 29:4
162:7	contingencies	63:3,8 68:18 69:3	104:20 106:10,14	107:10
consisting 18:25	81:15	78:4,24 84:24	106:23 108:22	covered 112:15
20:20	continually 15:19	87:25 89:24 95:7	109:13,17,18,20	177:7
consists 80:17,17	continuation 27:2	95:8,12,15 104:22	110:13,21 111:2	covering 177:3,5,5
constable 18:13	continue 91:25	121:20 122:20	112:3 115:11,24	covers 3:12
135:20 164:5	151:18	134:4,13,16	116:4 117:21	coverup 63:18
constables 18:25	continued 30:10	141:10,16 142:10	119:4,13 120:3,11	66:20 142:2 143:2
127:24 128:2	112:24	147:15 148:17	142:9,24 143:20	144:7,24 147:24
Constabulary	continues 63:21	173:7 179:24	143:24 144:15	168:22 185:25
19:10 125:21	97:15	correctly 140:9,10	158:24 159:4	coverup' 66:13
constituency 39:16	continuing 13:9	corridor 25:1	167:8 178:13	CPS 32:5,6 126:16
120:8	contrary 30:8	corroborate 31:12	184:7,8 185:11	145:19 147:4
construed 144:23	control 31:18 33:16	150:12 170:10	188:9,10	171:12 186:2,4,5
consult 97:8	34:1 184:7	corroboration	council's 76:4	186:14,21
consultants 78:14	controversial 102:8	180:22	councillor 33:8	CPS002711 125:4
79:18 80:3,10	conversation 30:20	corruption 144:7	34:5 35:2,22	128:9
consultation 70:1	56:19 87:1 106:20	147:14 186:1	49:18 51:15,23	CPS002846 1:25
consultations	106:22 107:16,20	cottaging 148:8	54:18,19,22 55:4	126:16
133:17,21	114:2 115:6	cottaging' 72:16	58:16,16,25 59:1	cradle 43:17
consulted 87:11	138:13 161:13	couch 3:21	59:11 63:14 66:10	credit 78:3
98:24	175:16	council 33:16,16,19	66:14 76:10 80:11	crime 124:20
contact 53:24 72:13	conversations	34:1,16,18 35:7	88:21,23,24 89:6	criminal 144:7,12
119:25 165:9	183:21	35:11,23 36:11	89:10,25 93:22	145:1 146:22
166:8 175:11	cooperate 101:8,11	37:7,19 38:14	97:16 98:3,4,4	147:2,5,20,23
contacted 17:7	copied 77:18 98:2,9	40:19 41:13 42:5	105:11 106:9,9	155:20,22 161:4
142:14 179:12	copies 2:1 19:17	42:11,15,24 43:15	108:5 109:8,9,15	161:17,23 165:6
182:13	21:13 72:6 176:10	45:5,19 49:5	109:18,19 110:4	173:1 186:11
contacts 176:6	copy 9:11,12 12:20	52:11 53:2,5 55:2	110:25	187:5
contained 15:16	13:17 16:4 19:25	55:16 58:23 62:2	councillors 36:21	criminalised
contains 72:8	20:23,24 21:3,3	62:5,19,23 63:10	37:16,23 49:19	155:19
180:13	21:20 28:7,13,15	64:10,11,22 65:4	79:24 99:1 120:11	criminality 155:24
contemplated	28:24 32:1 50:11	65:11,12 66:10,11	185:4	186:7
94:24 105:18,25	50:12 56:21,22,25	66:13 68:6 73:15	counselling 187:24	criminals 155:23
contemplating	59:15 69:18 82:20	74:10,16 75:11	188:5,6,19,20	critical 10:16
95:16	108:1,2,4 164:17	78:8 79:17,21	country 25:5	criticised 62:21,24
contemporaneous	165:2 177:20	80:2,8,18,18	couple 22:23 73:13	63:1,11
134:8 139:1	181:8	83:12,25 84:1,2,4	84:8 90:20 135:16	crossed 147:2
150:25 168:8	corduroy 3:11	84:20,22 85:15,19	course 36:4 82:14	crossover 147:22
188:3	core 143:7	86:1,8 87:14,15	85:21 111:13	148:23,24
content 90:1	corporal 121:18	87:23 88:2 91:14	113:22	crying 2:22 5:13
111:21	corporate 78:20,22	91:18 95:13,14	court 28:23 41:25	culture 102:4,9
contention 114:25	81:8,25	98:14 100:18	73:14 124:21	122:23
contentious 37:24	correct 33:10 34:2	101:8,11 102:3,12	147:1	curious 131:3,11

current 22:5 112:7	55:5,23 56:6 57:2	185:17	150:11 159:17	162:23 165:14,23
119:4,13 130:10	75:1,24 77:8,9	decides 96:15	160:20 162:18	166:19,21 167:23
132:13	117:2,4,11	deciding 162:10	departmental	168:14 171:6,25
currently 106:14	David 19:16 39:8	decision 23:9 29:24	101:5	173:15 174:2,13
119:8,16	75:22 77:9	29:25 80:25	departments 75:10	179:14,15,21
cutbacks 14:2	day 53:17 72:12	127:14,14 129:23	81:16	180:10,18,19
cutting 63:5	76:19,22 99:5	141:25 155:13	depends 132:6	determined 55:25
cuttings 170:2	111:8 120:25	158:20 167:11	deputies 39:11	160:20
cycle 36:16,17	130:18 138:4,6,7	decisions 100:3,7	deputy 18:22 23:21	develop 142:12
37:13	138:9,10 173:5	dedicated 14:5	38:23 39:6,10,12	development 37:9
Cyril 3:2,6 4:1,7,12	day-in 79:20	deep 5:9	39:18,20 44:6	deviate 29:18
5:2,14,17,21	day-out 79:20	defending 112:13	45:3 75:12 80:18	device 145:19
19:11,19 20:11	days 20:22 66:8	definite 24:4	127:2,6	DI 18:22 180:6
25:24 27:10 28:2	67:3,8 96:25 97:2	definitely 54:2	describe 14:8,15	Diana 8:10 9:9,17
29:7,8,12 31:5,9	98:15 99:2 135:16	101:21	48:11 154:11	10:4,5 12:23 15:9
31:15,21 103:12	173:21	definition 84:6	described 5:2,11	16:21 48:22 52:20
103:13 104:7,10	DC 21:16	86:7	6:4 112:8	53:23 55:5,23
105:14 120:6,9	DCI 85:8 146:13	definitive 185:25	describes 2:17,23	56:5 57:1 58:4,18
125:3,10 129:3,18	150:18 169:6	degree 73:3	3:7,11 4:6 6:3,5,8	68:11 71:25 74:22
131:7,14 132:5,21	de-cipher 188:16	delegated 69:25	7:1	74:25 75:23 77:8
142:4 182:4	deal 7:18 45:11	78:15 79:17 80:13	describing 7:23	77:18,20,23 86:2
	58:19 156:15	80:16,19 81:6,17	85:11	94:11 97:3 101:23
<u>D</u>	157:17,25 158:21	82:4 83:11 84:19	description 27:16	116:12,19 117:2
D 9:14 180:20	172:18 173:12	delegation 70:2,7	100:17 101:3,4	176:5
189:19	dealing 29:16 31:16	79:20,23	descriptions 71:19	diary 122:13
daily 2:24 123:9	37:18 52:12,13,18	delegations 80:15	desperate 10:22	died 179:6 184:23
135:3,6 137:23	102:7 110:14	deliberate 60:12	despite 20:21 22:5	difference 67:12
damage 113:4	117:24 156:6	deliver 37:20	30:11 93:17,23	different 16:24
Danczuk 118:24	dealings 54:21	delivering 91:11	115:5 117:16,16	24:18 27:2 43:4
dark 3:11 119:20	103:13,16,17	Democrat 54:18	destroyed 176:7	92:5 109:6 123:9
database 189:1,2,5	deals 168:25 180:7	105:12,15 182:2,5	detail 47:15 55:7	129:14 136:23
date 47:8 55:23	dealt 11:25 71:6	democratic 64:2	105:4 110:4 163:9	138:2 146:14,23
58:6 62:22 63:9	108:20 155:10	demonstrate 103:9	178:1 181:4	150:3,21 155:8
65:21 66:6 68:24	157:12,14,15	demonstrated	detailing 20:21	159:16 160:2
89:16 90:8 94:21	159:14 161:8	118:9	119:1	163:7,19,25
95:9,16 108:7 109:22 113:6	163:24 171:6,18	Dems 89:7	details 3:5 15:3	164:17 169:15
134:24	175:8,24 180:5	denial 137:7	30:14,19 61:2	177:9 182:19,22
dated 9:12 12:21	debate 85:13	denied 14:16 174:3	182:7	184:18,18 189:2
19:20 20:11 21:3	Deborah 175:14,20	deny 137:3 138:18	detective 1:16,20	differently 24:4
25:25 56:25 82:13	December 19:21	department 8:2	13:13 17:25 18:10	155:10
94:12 111:10	decide 83:14	36:9 43:5,6,13	18:12,13,16,24,25	difficult 8:20 17:13
141:12	decided 10:24	45:9 48:20 55:17	19:7,23 20:4,12	93:6 149:14 165:8
dates 47:7 169:25	11:20 12:2,25	55:18 62:6 68:7	22:17 23:11,15,21	difficulties 149:5
Davey 42:8 52:23	18:6 24:13,20	81:11,11,13,19,22	141:5,7 148:2,3	150:12 163:24
Davey 72.0 32.23	25:3 30:14 77:20	81:24 88:18 91:7	152:5 159:22	difficulty 163:10

Digan 5:24,25 17:8
17:9 21:10,12,14
169:11 172:12,13
172:16 173:16,20
174:3,5,14 175:6
175:10,17,20,25
176:13 177:18
178:11,20 179:12
Digan's 173:14,24
diminished 94:3,4
direct 2:12 40:10
103:16 122:3
158:13 163:8
directed 32:20
147:12 163:17
directly 28:12 32:8
40:9 41:19 71:8
director 8:4,11,25
42:9,10 48:17
52:19,23,25 53:10
53:11,13 59:20
70:13 71:2 72:4
74:19,19 75:22,23
77:4,5,17 78:18
80:22 87:2,3,3
91:7 94:12 121:21
122:1,6 126:20
127:2,4,5,13,15
128:6 137:1
director's 13:19
directorates 83:1
83:13 86:21
directors 40:9 75:9
83:10 84:18
126:24 127:11
128:21
disastrous 33:22
disciplinary 97:25
98:10,18 107:6
discipline 106:25
147:7
disclosed 151:12
discover 84:7
discovered 32:1,4,7
48:25
10.20
ĺ

discrete 181:22
discretion 128:3
discuss 8:13 37:21
108:23 165:9,13
165:22 175:11
176:4
discussed 12:19
13:25 15:7 17:10
37:14 38:6 127:13
127:14 128:7
146:14 147:4
154:1,16 160:3,13
168:20 172:15
177:10
discussing 40:3
discussion 12:8,17
30:22 57:2 77:21
108:19
discussions 150:9
disgrace 63:25
disgruntlement
30:9
dispose 185:14
dispute 160:1
disquiet 94:17
distance 102:7,9
distinction 41:8
67:15
distraught 2:20
distributed 71:25
158:2,3
distribution 59:17 60:3
district 82:9
Diversion 154:16
diversionary
155:12,17 156:5
156:21 157:12
divert 156:17
diverted 155:24
diverting 155:21
division 18:11
30:21 31:1 125:20
125:22 128:23
159:25 174:20

Divisional 25:12 Dobbin 39:14 62:20,24 63:11 140:18,23,24 176:9 178:5,10,15 179:4,18 180:1,13 184:23 187:7,16 189:9 190:20 Dobbin's 63:14 document 9:19 16:6 26:19 28:21
61:17 73:2 84:16 86:10,15,24 102:22 103:8 126:15 143:13,14 143:16 153:11 164:22 165:17 180:15 documentation
148:22 166:6,8 174:8,10 175:22 176:18 177:7 178:11 179:8,10 180:23 184:21 188:3,8 documentation/e 162:3
documented 180:10 182:17 184:3 186:2 documents 15:24
19:9,12,13,16,18 19:22,24 20:1 21:10,13 121:16 145:17 149:11,14 158:15 159:1,6 168:17,23 173:20 173:23 174:4 175:12 176:16,19 177:3,7,11 180:20 180:21 184:24 documents/her 184:21 doing 5:10 43:2 93:25 119:7

domain 47:13
49:22 66:4 177:9
182:14
domestic 2:19
door 3:19,22 107:8
107:11 108:17
109:4 113:20
doorstepped 76:19
dorm 7:11
dormitories 2:24
6:15
dormitory 3:8,8,15
3:18 5:12 6:18,25
dorms 7:12
dossier 139:20
140:11 168:24
169:11,14,17,20
169:21 172:17
174:7 176:4,5,8
176:10,16,19
dossier' 169:4
double 3:20
doubt 66:17 82:20
140:9
doubtless 47:16
downstairs 3:16
Doyle 175:14,16,20
177:15
DPP 122:10,25
123:20 127:10,12
127:22 128:7,8,16
130:13 135:2,4
136:9,12,14 137:2
DPP's 122:18 128:1
129:2 133:21
136:15
DPPs 128:24
Dr 12:2 16:3 72:2
99:19 165:9,21
166:8
draft 109:15
110:25 111:17
114:7
dramatically
119:14

dredging 13:6 dressed 3:23 drill 169:20 183:6 driving 162:1 **drop** 18:15 27:6 29:1 156:18 **DS** 19:2,2,3 21:19 25:24 163:12 173:19,21 176:6 due 14:1 22:8 149:7 153:18 **dug** 68:22 duties 14:19 122:16 **duty** 74:12 \mathbf{E} **E** 189:19 earlier 16:20 61:25 67:3,23 73:17 85:11 89:9 106:18 113:10 116:16 early 20:4 23:5 35:6 42:2 113:7,7 148:16 149:21 188:9 ears 55:21 earth 77:1 119:20 easy 9:15 economical 124:12 124:13 Edmonds 14:2 **education** 8:11 9:1 35:18,20 36:2,9 37:8,9 44:8 45:4 45:14 48:16,17,20 48:23 49:22 52:19 53:11 54:3,7 55:18 57:8,18,20 57:21 58:11 59:20 62:6 67:21 69:25 70:2,13 71:2 74:19 75:12,23 77:4,18 81:11,22 83:2,11,23 84:19 85:11 86:21 87:2

88:18,25 89:6,10	embrace 30:7	128:6	evidence 2:6,8 7:14	53:12 74:20 75:21
89:13,20 91:7	emphasis 74:11	entitled 20:10	10:15 20:15 21:18	77:4,19 94:8
94:12 97:9,12	143:22	102:18	31:14 50:5,24	96:15 117:12
98:5 103:1 159:18	emphasise 75:6	entity 163:6	53:16 60:9,16	executives 142:25
162:18	144:10	entrant 122:3	68:11,18,20 71:17	exercise 184:6
educational 13:25	employed 109:11	entries 181:20	77:23 79:9,10	exercised 97:22
14:3	110:6	entry 98:3	84:11 96:13,17	exert 31:7
effect 12:10 30:22	employees 185:11	EO 98:5	102:23 116:23	exhibit 14:11 21:5
42:23 67:22,24	encounter 113:19	epidemic 62:4	139:24 140:2,4,5	25:21 28:23 32:1
70:2 94:18 96:13	endeavour 189:10	equally 61:1	140:20 144:23	109:23
105:13 182:3	enemies 105:20	erection 6:10	147:19 150:12	exhibited 111:9
efficient 121:17	engage 93:8	erstwhile 45:3	152:19 154:15,20	113:22
Egerton 5:5	engaged 27:20	75:12	158:1,21,22	exist 59:16,24
either 31:6,8 71:17	31:24 78:14	escalated 117:5	161:15 162:6	180:21
88:23 92:11 104:1	engagement 158:20	147:20	170:10,21 171:1	existed 183:1
114:24 129:25	engaging 79:17	especially 147:18	171:10 172:8	existence 26:19
130:22 156:22	187:25	essence 112:16	174:19 175:1,19	170:16 177:16
ejaculated 4:18	enquired 132:16	175:10 177:21	179:15 182:19,25	182:1,10
Eleanor 1:7 121:6	enquiries 19:18	178:17,19 179:11	183:19 185:20,25	expand 94:7
190:12	20:5 21:9,16	essentially 67:9,16	186:10,19 189:10	expect 76:4,8,8
elect 112:14	23:12 27:4 29:18	175:22 183:24	ex-pupils 180:20	161:6 173:9
elected 33:8 112:18	123:23 130:6	establish 158:17	exactly 84:7 85:25	expectation 188:18
112:25	144:22 149:2	185:4	95:24 104:6 113:8	expectations 146:7
election 90:11,13	162:24 165:3	established 23:14	examination 20:25	158:18
90:18,21,25 91:15	166:9	37:15	32:17 56:11 121:7	expected 80:23
91:17 92:1,3,3,15	enquiry 10:14,15	establishment 49:5	139:18 140:23	161:19
93:2,18,23 95:4,6	18:24 19:9 23:3	68:7,10 123:14	159:2 187:18	expecting 160:25
95:9 98:15 99:2,5	23:22 24:2,21	et 9:15 25:17 26:17	190:8,14,16,20,22	161:15
112:6,17,23	26:8,10,12,16,25	108:12	examine 143:20	expeditiously 22:8
113:11,25	28:5 29:23 45:17	European 179:16	examined 168:16	expenditure 80:4
electioneering	45:20 94:19	179:25 180:11,12	example 40:20	81:7 82:11
92:22	128:25 130:3	181:5,7	81:12 92:9 144:17	_
element 172:15	131:20,25 132:2,8	Evans 19:2	151:20 164:1	164:5
elements 37:15	132:11 133:18	event 13:5 47:19	170:9 171:1	experienced 18:18
146:24 163:5	135:3 146:16	119:24	184:23	18:20 23:10 130:4
176:13 177:9	150:20 166:13	events 15:21 62:16	examples 151:1	expert 8:25
184:20	169:24 170:1,2,5	66:17 67:11 97:6	excellent 52:25	explain 9:11 27:5
elicit 161:9	171:2,4 176:14	99:24 115:13	53:13 75:1 117:2	69:16 80:24 83:21
email 181:24,25	177:1,4 185:18,19	149:6 151:24	excerpts 2:14 7:17	107:7 131:19
182:15 183:24	enshrined 103:7	Eventually 30:12	17:16	133:4 141:20
emanated 144:1	ensure 9:2	everybody 56:24	exclusive 27:4	145:16,25 149:4
embarrass 40:22	enter 105:24	85:24 86:5 90:17	excuse 32:20 92:14	160:24 182:14
embarrassing 41:4	entered 27:23	92:6 106:2 118:3	92:25	188:25
41:5,6,9,17 43:15	entering 5:19	132:10	executive 38:15,16	explained 69:11
43:22	entirely 109:6	everyone's 25:8	38:23,24 46:4	79:16 82:2 101:15
	<u> </u>			

103:5 113:19	factual 73:3	81:8,18 82:15	138:11,18 139:2	56:20 57:3 58:13
125:16 128:5	failed 53:12 118:11	83:16 84:7 85:3	158:12 169:18	79:13 89:14 90:21
explains 147:8	119:19 136:12,19	86:9,25 87:16	filed 28:22	91:10 92:3 96:3
explanation 111:24	142:25	88:17 90:20 91:14	files 24:24 130:23	96:14 98:3 104:3
134:14,17,19	failing 118:6	92:14,24 96:13	filing 125:16,18	111:12 114:10,20
137:17 138:25	135:22 158:22	98:12 99:13 100:2	films 7:13	118:20,22,23
exploitation 52:14	failings 115:25	100:17 101:3,14	final 16:10 21:19	124:25 129:8
115:10 155:25	failures 117:9	105:10 106:8	119:17 133:6	132:1 133:15,24
exploited 62:3	119:1	107:4,17,22 108:6	149:15 153:5	136:15 138:4,7,10
157:6	fair 43:21 107:1	109:8,15,18,25	172:5	138:20,23 143:19
explore 137:20	fairly 59:9 86:5	110:25 111:3,25	finalise 186:24	145:2 148:7,10
exposed 45:4 74:9	166:25	113:12 114:22	189:10	157:25 165:1
76:23	fall 160:21 167:11	115:8,17 116:12	finalised 65:3	176:4 179:3
Express 135:4,6	fallen 187:5	117:6,8,20 118:2	finally 1:23 7:1	183:14,25
137:23	fallout 42:23	120:2,19 184:17	22:16 31:22 65:2	first-class 10:21
expressed 8:23	familiar 55:13	190:6	115:17 135:14	firstly 1:12 3:5
expressly 83:6	124:20 148:5	fast 178:7	136:3 137:20	133:10
extension 69:10	152:22	fat 4:6,11 5:1,7,8	145:10 176:11	fishing 132:12
extensive 80:15	familiarity 152:20	fate 95:10	185:21	fit 20:15
extent 148:8	family 25:12	feasible 10:8	financial 14:2	Fitzsimons 176:8
external 78:14	159:20 165:15	February 9:13 15:7	79:15,22 82:7	five 8:5 27:19 32:3
79:18 80:3 87:23	168:25 169:5	15:22 82:14,15,17	88:1	45:8 72:15
externally 31:7	far 22:11 23:10	87:18 88:9 94:17	find 7:19 22:18	Flammer 57:23
extract 168:12	25:25 66:17 72:17	feedback 53:2,3	64:4 93:7 136:19	flat 3:16,19,20
extremely 116:20	91:5 119:10	feel 22:5 24:5 31:10	136:22 152:11	flats 151:14
116:20	153:17 171:17	feeling 132:11	153:19 157:2	flattering 100:19
Eye 139:3,6	184:2 186:19	feelings 12:4	170:19 172:8	Fleet 124:19
eyes 70:21	188:6	feels 6:11	179:10 181:20	Flindle 146:13
	far-sighted 100:18	feet 4:13	182:19 183:5,7	169:6
<u>F</u>	100:25	fellow 6:14 7:25	185:25 188:13	focus 24:4 77:11
F104 14:8	Farnell 1:6 32:15	felt 11:13 17:12	finding 93:8 173:10	focused 43:11
F32 6:17	32:16,19 33:6,7	20:17 24:5 187:3	findings 144:25	focusing 43:8 76:16
fabricated 115:6 face 95:23 96:14	42:3 43:14 44:11	female 6:5	145:4 147:17	follow 11:10 16:14
facie 135:20	44:11 45:13 46:14	Field 57:5 167:20	148:18 186:2	114:3 121:14
facilitated 161:12	46:20 47:2,17	fielded 123:15	fine 140:25	149:24 158:18
facility 38:8	48:1,24 49:7,25	fielding 123:18	finish 56:11 91:13	164:15 171:3
fact 7:5 23:7 25:18	51:16 53:6 56:10	fifthly 1:22	154:14 172:19,23	follow-on 160:15
30:7 31:12 48:15	56:18,21,22 57:13	fight 103:2,6	181:22	follow-up 13:18
49:4 77:12 78:13	58:16,22,25 59:4	figure 103:22	finished 129:21,25	followed 77:22
87:22 89:25 96:17	59:7,25 60:12,21 61:13,13 62:13,20	file 32:5,11 125:2	finishes 184:20 fired 90:11	82:10 88:3 182:18
151:1 179:21	, , ,	125:25 127:18		184:11,15
184:3	63:7,14 66:10,14	128:3 129:18	first 1:16 2:4 5:25	following 31:23
facts 13:6 73:6	67:6 71:9 72:10	130:13 131:4,17	6:5 7:22 10:3,19	35:9 42:13 52:10
111:5 116:6	73:11,18 75:6,14 75:17 76:15,18	132:2 133:2 135:1	15:14 25:5 27:19 28:18 39:12,19	58:21 93:2,5 95:6 106:2 112:23
111.5 110.0	/3.1//0.13,18	136:17,19,22	20.10 37.12,17	100.2 112.23
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I	Ī	I	I
158:17 173:6	119:3,17 120:1	124:1	GMP000097 17:24	Goggins 163:12
180:13	Fraser 12:2 16:3	General's 128:1	GMP000098 22:14	going 1:4,6 2:4,8,14
follows 1:14 2:15	free 120:19	generality 96:9	GMP000111 17:19	7:16 10:12,17,25
2:18 8:9 26:9	Freema 12:16	generally 41:10	GMP000135 1:18	11:15 12:6,25
73:1 83:7 174:25	fresh 10:15	102:16 103:20	GMP000145 1:22	16:17 17:2 22:13
foot 63:12	Friday 68:12,21	144:16 164:2	GMP000164 63:6	32:13,13 43:10
football 63:24	friendly 99:7,9	generated 143:23	GMP000174 1:19	45:17 46:11 49:7
force 21:9 23:1	friends 30:1	genuinely 61:15,16	GMP000199 1:20	51:20 53:9 56:8,9
25:5 151:6	front 2:11 42:13	geographical	GMP000916	56:11 60:4 65:22
forced' 72:24	88:10	125:22	168:12	71:20 73:20 76:2
forces 18:3	full 1:13 30:19	George 32:16 33:7	GMP000916_052	76:24 77:1,13,14
forget 6:24 44:16	32:18 33:1,6	190:6	148:11	79:3 83:8 87:12
102:2	109:16,18 111:1	getting 11:21 63:25	GMP000916_252	91:4,6 96:8,15
forgetting 86:25	140:20 141:2,4	96:1 132:2 138:5	164:22	99:14 111:3 112:7
forgive 94:11 169:8	163:16	170:9	GMP001008 22:20	114:23 118:13,14
forgotten 44:17	full-time 123:11	give 2:5 8:25 14:17	GMP001021 1:21	120:22 121:13
62:8	fully 103:9	32:18 33:1,6	GMP001024 1:23	122:20 124:17,18
form 26:5,20,21	fund 69:6	42:25 43:5 68:20	GMP0011107:21	140:19 142:20
27:2,3 28:4 143:2	fundamental 73:4	76:11 80:24 84:11	GMP00113421:22	143:7,15 144:5,20
174:19	further 8:7 12:1	116:6 122:18	go 5:13 6:18 8:7,12	144:24,25 150:6
formal 24:5	16:22 17:7 20:9	123:7 137:17	14:9 15:4 16:10	151:5,7,8 152:9
formally 92:17,23	22:2 30:13 55:25	138:25 140:20	17:5,24 21:23	153:1,13,16,17
formed 32:5 159:5	57:11 67:3 77:11	141:2 153:13	25:19 29:20,21	154:11,13 155:5
former 1:8	77:15 94:14,19	174:14	34:8 65:8 69:17	157:18,24 158:14
formidable 45:9,15	97:4 99:18 135:16	given 5:22 7:14	73:1 80:12 83:8,8	160:11,15 163:3,7
forms 27:3	136:25 163:17	9:13,16 16:8,18	86:18 87:24 98:1	164:9,12,14
forward 17:15	165:10 166:13,15	19:22 24:8 26:22	98:15 108:11	172:13,18,23
20:15 149:22	168:18 172:1,3	27:16 74:24 78:3	120:19 130:4,17	173:10,12 177:13
161:5,22 162:5	175:1 176:12	89:19 90:6 99:1	132:19 133:10	183:8 185:23
164:4,14 170:7	further' 65:5	100:13 116:19,21	136:3,22 139:6	goings 76:21
forwards 3:19	future 9:2,7 11:11	121:12 138:2	148:11 149:4	good 1:3,4,10 30:2
12:11 164:10		153:9 159:11	153:11,12,18	49:7 55:16 56:12
found 7:4,5 10:21	<u>G</u>	174:3 175:20	158:14 160:5	121:8
14:14,24 17:3	gained 20:9 24:3	176:8 179:7,13	161:8 163:11	gosh 136:7
28:15 32:2 93:12	gaining 24:16	188:11	164:7,22 165:7,17	governed 146:3
179:25 184:22	gap 35:9	gives 3:5 8:7	167:9,10 168:9	government 84:2
four 9:22 18:25	Garnham 9:25	giving 123:24	170:2 171:14	86:12 119:9
30:5 39:24 96:25	64:18 142:7,13	138:16 151:21	174:6 181:4 182:7	governors 58:10
97:2	144:25	170:11 172:17	183:17 184:2	granted 187:9
fourth 40:14	gather 158:21	GMP 23:11 25:4	Goddard 145:10	graphic 71:19
fourthly 1:20	gathered 173:20	142:1,12,14,16,25	goes 14:8 27:5 91:6	105:4
Frances 129:9	general 11:13 27:2	143:20 145:22	110:20 153:7	grasp 30:6
130:12,17 136:21	42:24 70:7 90:11	148:22 150:16	154:8,10 167:12	grateful 94:22
138:1,10	90:17 92:3 104:14	158:24 159:20	169:2 174:18	great 7:18 78:3
frank 12:17 118:17	122:15 123:23	188:23,24	177:2	125:5 136:9

Greater 13:13 18:8
19:7 23:5 141:6
145:13
green 3:11 174:9
174:13
Gregor 1:24
grey 24:22 114:23
Griffiths 19:2
grinding 91:15
gripped 6:22
gross 153:8
grounds 171:22
group 10:6 33:11
35:23 54:5,14
89:5 108:6,11,11
108:19,22,23
109:21 112:1,2,8
112:17 114:18
117:22
grown 24:3
guiding 155:19
gun 90:12
guy 4:6
gym 6:16

Н half 39:19 53:17 94:7 135:1 Hall 8:2 76:19 halt 91:15 hand 6:20 32:13 109:22 112:22,22 174:7 handed 9:18 16:5 19:8,16,21 20:12 21:14 43:16 176:10 **handing** 19:12 **handled** 10:17 hands 43:18 93:17 187:14 handwritten 20:19 21:4,20 25:23 56:5,23 72:3 **hanging** 171:21

happen 38:9 61:15 67:13 69:14,15 80:5,12,16 119:11 119:15 153:9 174:12 happened 5:24 6:25 9:10 11:19 46:19 47:2 49:4,5 49:10 67:14 71:8 71:10,11 78:2 81:3 82:12 98:25 113:15 115:19,25 117:1 132:14 138:8 139:9 154:24 156:4 157:22 162:15 163:14 176:15 177:2,6 happening 79:20 93:24 99:16 156:7 happens 56:10 91:17 happy 11:15 17:1,3
187:10
hard 29:25 56:21 56:24 92:2,6
harm 10:19
harmful 13:11
Harry 105:7 hats 123:10
Hawton 54:1 55:4
55:10 58:16 59:1 59:11 89:10 90:23
93:11 98:4 109:9
110:4
head 4:18 8:1 19:7 34:20 39:5 67:19
67:24 68:2,3
69:13,22,25 70:8
72:2 96:4 107:8 107:11 108:17
109:3 113:20
headed 97:3 108:5
heading 63:10 81:14
U1.17

headlines 43:17 headmaster 10:20 10:22 health 8:24 54:4,6
55:4 58:16 64:11 65:12 71:13 72:4 72:4 89:11 109:9 109:11,12 110:6,6 111:14
hear 1:6 31:25 103:25 175:13 177:14
heard 1:24 5:16 7:18 8:16 22:22 45:17 50:4 52:17 57:12 67:17 68:18 68:20 75:4 78:16 87:13 100:22 104:13 105:8 126:21,22 154:20 158:1 159:13
162:22 183:5 hearing 10:16 33:2
189:15 held 10:2 13:18,19 14:12 57:12 102:22 103:8 112:17 158:23
help 10:22 12:6 49:21 59:24 60:4 85:2 116:8 118:17 118:19 125:14 126:21 130:4 166:1,24 172:5
189:6,6 helped 130:5 helpful 2:7 62:15 163:15 189:8 helpfully 126:16 143:16
Henderson 1:5,10 1:17 2:4 7:16 13:13 17:21 22:16 121:7,8 139:11 148:3 159:22

162:23 164:19
165:19 166:19,21
168:1,4,15,24
190:14
Henderson's 169:3
Hetherington
122:25
Hewlett 18:13,22
23:21
hidden 170:17
hierarchy 75:11
high 41:25 73:14
100:24 127:22
high-profile 127:21
highly 28:1 78:1
Hill 17:20,23,25
21:25 22:12,21
23:23 25:10,11,14
25:24 26:2,2
27:18 28:5 29:23
29:24 30:6,17,20 32:4,8 190:1
Hill's 21:19 32:7
Hilton 7:1,2,4,8
14:25 15:2 47:9
47:14,15 48:21
49:25 50:7,9 51:1
51:3,7,12,18
52:13 68:14 74:6
96:2 160:6 171:20
hinder 158:22
historical 23:4
history 74:7 155:5
HIV/AIDS 71:15
hoc 24:2 38:5,8
Hodge 99:19,20
hold 88:12 94:19
HOLMES 18:20
19:3,4 20:2 26:4,5
26:7 27:16,20,22
28:12,16,20 32:3
189:1,2
home 5:13 13:21 19:14 26:8 29:10
128:20 130:5
120.20 130.3

homes 18:5 23:4 homosexual 72:13 honestly 76:22 **hope** 56:24 108:3 125:7 151:18 157:19 **hoped** 112:23 horrified 73:6 **Horton** 167:16 hospital 8:3,5 **Hostel** 104:1 **Hough** 21:16 **Houghton** 1:18 148:2 165:19 166:11 167:16 171:24 **Houghton's** 167:22 hours 138:23 **House** 57:5 104:1.8 105:3 106:3 118:25 167:20 **Hoyano** 2:6 139:15 139:18,19 140:14 187:7,10,18,19 189:8 190:16.22 hundreds 27:13 **Huntbach** 179:14 179:15,22 180:10 180:19,24,25 **Hutchinson** 126:11 126:13 **Hydrant** 150:15 Ian 52:23 55:5,23 56:6 57:2 75:1,24 77:8,9 117:2 idea 25:16 49:14 81:10 87:1 99:14 105:17,23 123:7 126:5 ideally 55:15 identification

15:10

identified 4:12

152:10 156:14	inappropriate 10:7	indicate 1:12	161:9,10 163:2	124:23 133:8
157:9 172:16	13:8 65:5 143:4	indicated 64:18	168:18 170:14,19	145:3,5,6,9,10,11
identifies 4:1,6	inappropriately	109:8 142:14	171:4,5 172:9	145:13 188:9
identify 3:10 9:16	101:5	indicates 168:23	173:10 175:20	insisted 5:21
149:1 152:6	incident 5:11,20,21	indication 13:8	176:22 177:17,23	insofar 74:25
158:19 176:14,17	6:1,14,24 11:19	51:8 162:21	178:3,18 179:12	166:15
181:19 183:10	24:1 26:17 27:4	individual 38:1	180:14,17 186:18	Inspector 1:21
186:7 187:2	28:12 47:18,24	79:24 80:2,6,7,8	187:1 188:13	13:13 18:12 23:21
188:25	48:11,17,21 49:4	80:11 142:13	189:5	180:19
identity 4:2	49:5,9,25 50:7,9	149:11 150:6	informed 10:6	instance 28:23
ignorant 53:8	51:12 68:13,14	156:1,10,14,17	28:15 31:23 55:6	183:14
87:19,22 88:6,8	69:12 96:2 97:23	157:3,9 182:12	58:10,23 59:19,19	instances 150:13
115:9	132:4 154:17	183:22 184:12,13	60:14 68:13 99:24	instigate 27:10
ignored 77:13	160:6 171:20	186:11	115:13 117:15	instituted 38:20
113:16	incidents 2:25 6:3	individuals 57:8	118:8 119:12,16	instruct 26:1
Ignoring 98:3	11:24 53:10,12	99:4 115:25	119:18	instructed 19:12
illustration 112:10	107:15 119:12	146:10,15 147:4	informing 59:5	20:4,6 26:2 83:6
image 63:7	144:21 158:15	150:2,5,16 170:17	117:24	124:9 133:3
imagine 76:16	160:20 173:22	183:22 184:8,11	initial 23:25 158:25	175:15
79:11,12	incisive 73:7	184:14 186:23	169:5	instruction 133:5
immediate 29:17	include 26:15,23	187:3	initialled 27:19	138:24
immediately 48:15	30:12 40:16	indulge 72:14	initially 24:12	instructions 11:10
70:3	included 9:21 28:8	inference 188:19	121:24 126:6	20:22 30:11 83:1
impact 40:18	92:8 143:23	inform 53:12 74:14	130:11 136:21	83:5 86:20 87:5
impacted 42:15	including 18:19	76:5,14 118:11	145:8	intake 23:24
implement 24:14	21:11 29:19 31:9	informal 38:22	initials 109:22	integral 169:23
25:5	37:8 159:17	39:2,25 40:4,14	126:7	177:4
implemented 18:21	182:23	informally 92:11	initiation 165:6	integrity 186:17
implications 100:3	incoming 129:16	92:18,24	initiative 25:4	intelligent 100:18
100:7	inconsequential	information 10:12	initiatives 101:9,12	intend 151:18
important 49:9	30:14	14:18 15:16 16:9	injunction 15:1	intended 2:6
64:22 91:18 119:6	incorporate 24:21	16:18 17:12,14	INQ000963 136:5	intending 95:24
144:10 147:23	147:17	20:9 22:3 30:12	INQ000975 133:10	interaction 123:8
150:5 165:1,12	incorrect 68:19	50:20 51:21 66:3	134:25	124:25
176:1,20,25 177:1	incredible 64:3	70:14 76:9,12,13	INQ001301 121:15	interest 38:2
178:2 185:3,19	86:17	102:5,10,15 103:2	inquiry 1:13 6:5	interested 147:22
186:13	incumbent 116:6	103:6,11 104:10	9:24 18:20 50:6	157:21
importuning	incur 81:6	110:10,17 116:22	50:24 51:11 53:16	interesting 59:16
152:23 154:2,23	indecency 153:8	118:10 119:6	53:18 60:6,23	interests 100:13
impose 106:25	independence	121:11 122:18	64:23 68:12 75:3	124:23 185:18
impossible 94:4,5	186:18	123:25 136:15	79:10 94:14 96:9	interfere 100:15
impression 14:23	independent 55:16	143:22 144:1	97:3,6,11,17,20	interfered 101:5
131:6,9	64:17	150:1,9,24 154:10	97:24 99:23	interference 64:24
inaction 142:1	indexer 18:14	154:11 157:1,22	102:23 116:14,20	interim 67:19 68:2
143:20 144:6,22	indexing 19:5	159:11 160:15	118:2 121:12	69:13 72:1 144:25

	Ī	İ	Ī	I
186:13	104:9,15 163:5	78:7	items 37:19 38:5,6	Jubilee 8:4
interjected 85:8	168:20,24 169:4	involve 57:10,12	38:7 103:4	judged 135:20
internally 31:6	171:8 172:15	88:3 122:12		173:4
interpret 125:18	173:23 178:25	167:19	J	judgment 10:18
interpretation	182:18	involved 11:21,23	jacket 3:12	41:25,25 42:13
86:12	investigating 18:7	16:4 17:25 22:9	Jaguar 150:18,19	43:16 73:14
intertwined 41:14	18:17,23 19:10	26:10 29:7,18	188:12	judicial 145:2
interview 10:7,8,9	22:24 23:16,20	52:20 63:17 66:13	James 1:16 159:22	July 168:13
10:25 11:1,1,7	24:10 141:8	66:20 72:16,20	Janet 55:24 56:7	jump 164:14
12:25 13:4 34:7	186:16	76:6 90:17,21	57:2 58:1	June 2:19 10:3
39:4 61:11 66:24	investigation 11:21	95:13 98:17 138:5	January 67:22	12:21 63:9 66:7
77:15 85:5,24	22:2,6 24:11	151:17,19 154:3	Jim 39:14 62:20,24	99:19 101:24
106:16,20 107:25	27:10 55:15 63:2	155:9 156:2	63:11 176:9	106:16,18 107:5
114:9 142:14	77:21 78:6,8	158:19 161:20	180:13 184:23	108:6 109:14,16
162:10 166:21	98:17 104:12	162:16 163:20,21	job 45:10 49:20	110:22 111:1,21
167:9 179:4 184:7	125:3 141:11,19	163:22 164:2	64:24	113:7,7
interviewed 14:14	142:17 143:12,21	168:2,5,19 179:16	jobs 106:24 123:11	junior 72:16
19:13,15 20:8	145:21 146:9,11	involvement 104:1	John 1:18,20 38:25	justice 64:1 155:22
46:22 55:7 84:25	146:12,15 147:3	158:5 160:11	46:4 75:21 77:8	
85:23 107:19	148:9 149:5,25	162:12 164:15	77:19 88:24 90:10	K
114:11 142:13	158:1,16,25 159:5	173:6	92:15 94:9,20	Kazi 57:19
146:15 166:11,23	159:8 161:5,18,23	involving 6:14	117:12,14,15,16	Keegan 19:8,24
171:16 174:16	162:8 163:6 164:3	166:5 171:18	167:16,16	keep 102:6 119:20
179:2,2 184:10	166:3,10,13,15	IPCCC 146:11	joined 23:14 104:4	keeping 102:9
185:16 187:3	167:3,24 168:5,18	ironic 30:23	104:11 121:21	119:6
interviewing 12:5	169:15,19 171:9	isolated 77:16	joining 121:9	kept 19:25 21:4
85:8	172:1,3,7,8,21	isolation 10:7	Joinson 106:7	28:24 119:11,16
interviews 10:13	173:2 175:1 176:2	issue 10:10 14:8	108:8 109:25	Kevin 159:19
11:5 13:10 19:20	181:11,16,24	15:1 37:24 40:24	110:13 111:20,24	167:17 171:16
20:9 32:23 99:17	182:17 183:4	41:2 49:3 70:20	112:13,21,24	172:11
161:16 162:3	185:23 186:17,22	71:1 77:16 92:21	113:25 114:5,15	key 36:1 65:19,20
intrinsic 109:1	186:25 187:6	92:23 93:1 96:23	Joinson's 115:5	65:24,25 118:9
intrinsically 146:17	investigations	173:13	joint 24:14 25:6,8	136:10
introduce 150:22	31:24 141:14,22	issues 37:17 38:2	83:1,5 86:20	keys 5:14
intruder 11:17,18	147:5 148:15	39:21 40:11,16,18	161:12	kids 7:13 167:9
11:23	149:16 150:15	40:22 41:17 44:13	jointly 24:15 81:21	kill 169:8
intrusion 48:3	152:13 158:23	45:11,16 53:8	146:16	kind 37:14 38:4
70:15	161:1 163:3	75:5 91:8 92:17	Jones 150:18	40:24 45:16 49:18
invented 111:20	171:18	93:9 95:22 103:1	journalism 34:11	73:16,25 80:14
invention 113:3,4	investigative	105:14,15 108:13	journalist 76:20	81:13 93:18 98:18
113:17	186:13,21	108:18 117:24	124:3 130:13	124:14 142:4
investigate 9:4 31:8	investigator 18:18	131:6 136:5 160:6	132:16 137:15,17	164:3 166:8
31:9,14 77:10,14	invite 58:5 87:17	160:12 165:5	170:3,3 171:2	186:23
143:1 182:9	120:22	167:19 168:16,19	172:16 174:9	kindly 121:12
investigated 49:11	invited 71:14 78:5	italicised 72:10	journalists 170:24	knew 5:4,4,5,6,9

		 	l	
7:4,5 14:16 23:22	70:15 71:15,21	Lambert 112:12,22	108:6,13 109:18	76:16 84:14 87:16
49:15 63:15 66:18	72:2 73:21 76:3,7	112:25 114:15	112:2,2,7,12,14	102:13 109:7
73:19,24 99:7,22	76:21 87:20,21	176:9 178:12,25	112:14 113:5,6	133:14 140:5
104:12 106:3	91:6 93:14,15,25	179:2,11 180:18	115:23 119:4,4	letter 32:6,8 59:23
112:15 131:6	96:18,23 97:7	Lambert's 112:20	120:3 159:3	96:25 97:1,4 98:2
150:19 159:4	99:24 104:2,3	179:18 180:23	178:13 184:19	99:1,15 125:9,13
know 3:13 4:2 5:3	107:13,15 108:14	Lancashire 19:10	leader's 34:20	125:19 127:8
5:4 6:17 7:2,6	112:15 115:13,25	125:9,21	40:12	164:23 167:22
13:23 20:2 21:14	116:15 117:9,15	Lane 24:22	leadership 38:21	176:11
24:12 33:4 41:20	118:19,21 119:2	Langley 10:14	38:21 39:3,6 40:3	letters 55:3
41:25 44:23 46:23	119:24 120:4,16	large 24:17 26:8	44:6,7 64:12	letting 7:4,7
48:5,14,18 49:22	141:24 143:1,21	112:19 188:8	65:13 76:2 101:17	level 97:21 105:22
50:5,14 51:24,25	160:21 162:8,24	largely 148:19	109:20 112:8	120:11 127:2,3
52:15 54:16,22	175:21 181:12,18	larger-than-life	leading 97:23	186:7
55:3,19 56:1 57:6	knowledge 14:16	103:22	leaflets 91:11	liaising 53:21,23
57:15 59:5,14	20:1 58:25 62:15	late 10:9 30:25	leaked 76:21	liaison 24:17
68:11 71:22 74:3	73:22 99:12 103:3	91:13 135:2	learn 118:20	Lib 89:7
74:10 75:25 76:25	105:2,10 108:14	148:15 149:21	learned 47:14,15	Liberal 54:18
78:7 79:13 86:23	118:19	law 86:13 103:7	47:22 55:11 56:2	105:12,12,14,15
88:4 90:11,13	known 23:23 25:16	127:12	77:7 95:10	182:2,5
91:10,12 99:3	29:25 36:12 38:20	Lawley 15:18	leave 45:16 115:5,7	Liberals 36:25 89:7
100:22,23 102:7	72:21 73:6 78:12	lawyer 86:11	118:2 131:16	105:19,24
103:9,23 104:6	99:9,10 170:16	lay 74:16	140:5	library 68:21
105:1,5,19,21	174:20	layout 2:23	led 42:5 43:8	lie 124:13
114:17,18 117:8	knows 31:11	LEA 97:18	152:13 178:20	life 156:18
122:22 126:9	KVS 171:17 180:20	lead 55:17,24 97:24	186:10 188:19	light 55:10 73:18
128:17 139:7,12	187:25	108:10	left 2:18,20 29:23	139:8 170:23
140:8 151:24		leader 33:11,18,21	45:3 53:2 54:19	limited 37:22 92:12
152:18,19 156:4	L	35:7,11,12,17	74:5,8 76:23	149:7,11
157:20 170:6,25	Labour 33:11,15	36:5,11 38:23	114:8 119:23	Linda 8:21
177:4	33:22 35:21,22,23	41:1,16,23 42:25	148:14 167:7	line 21:2 112:24
knowing 178:3	36:20,23 40:22	45:5,14,16 49:8	178:6,8 184:17	119:9 130:9
Knowl 2:16,17,24	41:13 43:23 45:5	54:5,14 58:22	legal 9:21 15:12	lines 20:21 22:23
3:1,9 5:15,15,19	88:25 89:5 92:8	62:2,19,23 63:1	39:5 78:18 79:19	133:16 171:3
7:3 8:14,16 9:2	98:14 104:4	63:10 64:9,13	81:22,23 83:2,11	185:18
12:21 13:16 15:15	105:12,13,19,23	65:4,10 66:10,11	84:6,15,19 85:11	link 51:12
29:2,3,7,10 31:5	106:9,12 108:6,11	66:16 76:4,9 78:8	86:7,10,15,21	linkage 181:20
43:19 44:2,3,9,14	108:22 109:21	78:17 79:6 80:2,8	87:4 91:7 133:20	linked 142:4
44:24 45:5,17	114:18 117:22	80:17,18 85:15,19		146:12,17 150:17
46:11,19 47:3,12	178:12 182:2,3,6	87:5,15 91:22,22	legally 15:20 65:4	linking 150:20
50:18 51:4,9,12	184:6	91:25 94:25 95:2	legislation 127:6,24	list 9:9,13,20 59:17
51:19 52:14 63:16	Labour-run 41:13	95:6,10,17 96:5,7	lengthy 12:17	60:3 145:7 158:12
63:23 64:11 65:12	lack 70:15 173:1	96:9,15 98:14,16	30:11 143:15	170:1
66:16,19 67:2,20	lad 171:20	99:25 100:1,9,9	lesser 128:3	listen 100:10
67:21 69:5,8 70:7	lads 5:7	100:18 102:3	let's 52:10 65:23	101:14
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

listened 101:15
little 29:21 61:24
67:23 73:17
106:18 112:17
123:7 125:13
137:25 143:10
162:15
Littleborough
173:18
Littlemore's 42:9
live 131:21 132:17
132:24
lived 3:17 8:17
Liverpool 34:16,21
_
35:4
lives 115:19
Liz 175:7,11,15
176:7
load 189:3
local 25:3 51:17
84:2 86:12 99:7
105:11,16 119:9
120:3,11,15
149:10
locally 8:18 49:24
73:16 104:23
locate 188:17
located 120:5,7
lock 14:21
locked 2:21
long 13:5 30:15
0
35:1
longer 95:10,13
122:20 153:17
180:21
look 7:22 9:7 22:22
50:13 66:5 85:2
97:1 98:2 124:25
126:17 133:14
134:24 135:14
141:25 143:7,19
144:20 146:4
147:11,16,25
148:10 150:25
153:2,4,22 156:1
1

161.6 160.10
161:6 168:10 169:24 175:9
176:2 177:1 179:7
170.2 177.1 179.7
looked 24:3 25:22
29:9 30:21 41:12
42:21 66:8 82:24
83:24 86:6 96:7
113:9 122:13
looking 6:11 12:11
23:3 41:15 113:22
114:6 126:19
144:1,11,20
146:24 147:1,14
155:20 156:25
157:3 159:5 162:4
171:14 181:11,18
182:15
loose 4:7,8
Lorna 176:8
Lorraine 24:23
lose 157:19
loses 157:20
losing 64:2
lost 34:1,3 45:18
95:11 99:19
101:22 109:17 111:2 184:6 185:5
lot 12:8 86:5
103:21 105:6
122:15 126:6
131:11 132:20
183:4
lots 112:11
Louise 19:2
lovely 16:25
low 64:4
lower 127:2
lunch 95:21
lying 4:13,14,17
114:24,24,25
Lynne 175:7,11,13
175:15,15,22
176:7 177:13,15

M **magic** 65:18 magnify 72:9 mail 129:16 main 7:22 36:23 37:6 89:13,21 mainstream 67:21 major 24:1 26:8 27:4 28:11 37:18 37:20,24 90:10 92:15 119:12 130:3 132:3,4,6 majority 39:13 93:21 112:19 146.9 makers 158:20 making 41:8 43:16 86:9 90:24 92:10 123:13 124:16 136:17 179:4 male 14:14,25 152:24 males 188:1 malfeasance 144:8 147:19 186:1 man 3:15 4:2,11,13 4:15,16,17,18,19 4:20 5:1,8 14:22 14:24 53:13 55:11 123:13 131:10 151:13 154:3 man's 5:8 134:18 139:21 managed 18:18 146:11 management 97:22 manager 19:4 managerial 127:1 Manchester 8:3 13:13 18:5,8 19:7 23:5 141:6 145:13 manifesto 37:19 manner 4:22 **Mansoor** 57:19

March 11:20 15:8

19:6 20:8,11 21:3 23:1 25:25 42:2 53:6 54:23 57:7 71:16,24 73:11,13 74:8 88:19 89:17 89:23 90:4,8,10 91:23 92:16,20 93:2,4,6,10,13 109:14 110:22 158:7 159:14 160:13 167:6 March/April 52:10 Mare 24:22 margin 64:2 marginal 105:20 **Marilyn** 11:4 57:17 57:22 72:6 marked 21:1 **married** 129:13 **Marsh** 1:7 140:18 140:19,22,24 141:4,5 144:11 148:13 187:7,19 189:13 190:18 **Martin** 5:24 17:8 21:10 172:12,13 172:16 174:14 175:17,20 176:13 **Martyn** 174:9,13 Mary 21:16 35:13 36:8 39:12,18 43:25 44:3,5,13 44:23 45:7 48:10 48:14,16,21 49:15 49:17 53:20,23 74:12 75:4,12 76:24 79:7 88:20 90:6,22 92:9 93:8 93:12 97:13 mass 184:6,16 masturbated 4:25 151.13 masturbation 188.1 material 169:19

```
172:21 178:18
  179:19 180:11
  185:11
matter 40:8 78:3
 94:14 107:6 117:5
  118:6,7,12,17
 124:23 138:23
  142:15 154:6,9
  165:4 178:1
matters 11:23
 23:19 74:14 77:10
 77:15 79:16,23
 94:25 95:17
  100:15,16 101:6
  102:8 115:16
  116:5 117:17
 118:11.25
Mayor 83:19,20
 84:3,3,5 85:22
 86:8
McGill 1:24
mean 41:20 44:12
 57:23 58:1 61:14
 62:10 85:7,10
 86:1,16 127:17
  129:21 130:7
 132:6 149:22
 161:24 164:9
 175:25
meaning 57:25
means 62:13 70:20
  70:25 83:23 85:16
  106:7 161:11
meant 85:21 86:3,6
 86:13,13 87:6
  105:7 188:19
measure 124:17
media 107:11
 131:20
meet 8:12 25:8 37:5
 37:12 108:23
  174:6 186:19
meeting 10:2,4,4,6
  11:3,23 12:20,22
```

13:2,12,17,19,20

13:24 14:4,12,13	167:13,15,20	151:1	mislead 124:10	110:18 111:4,6
15:7 19:6 21:12	169:12,17 171:9	mentions 9:24	134:2	move 52:10 157:16
30:16 38:4,8,21	172:10 173:2,7	merited 22:3	misled 134:20	175:6
38:22 40:3,10,10	174:20 177:22	message 26:20,20	135:6	moved 13:7 185:1
54:8 55:25 56:1,6	178:1 181:8,15	26:21	missed 138:13	moving 74:7 99:17
57:4,6,10,11	189:23	met 8:15 21:9 36:15	mistake 86:9	MP 39:15 63:10
58:15,21,22 59:6	Mellor's 17:16 81:9	144:17 146:13	mistaken 51:24	132:9 178:15
59:13,15,21 60:7	97:19 168:7	153:5 162:17	MIT 169:7	multi-agency
60:8 61:3,17	member 2:19 3:10	Metropolitan	mix 36:23	155:13
68:24 69:5 70:22	3:17 4:3,24 6:6,12	158:24	Mmm 124:8 137:11	multi-disciplinary
70:23 74:23 77:8	6:22 39:16 62:21	MI5 139:20,23,25	Mmm-hmm 34:19	155:18 159:15
80:20,22,24 81:4	80:19 82:2 89:5	Michael 67:17	modernise 123:20	161:20
88:19 89:8,14,16	102:25 103:4,6,18	microfiche 148:22	Moffat 35:13 36:8	murder 132:7
89:23 90:1 92:12	104:20 106:14	mid 34:13	39:12,18 43:25	murders 127:25
92:19 93:6,10,13	118:24 120:4,15	middle 25:19 31:2	44:3,5,13,23	
93:16 97:5 107:7	151:13 157:19	125:23 148:11	48:10,14,16,21	N
108:5,10,12,18,25	175:7 185:9	Middleton 41:20	49:15,17 53:20,23	N 126:11 189:19
109:2,6 111:12,13	members 3:3 17:15	41:20 42:1,23	74:12 75:4,12	NALGO 99:10
111:21 112:1	19:5 36:19,22	43:8,16 73:14	76:24 79:7 88:20	name 3:10 29:2,3
113:15,18 116:18	71:7 72:11 74:17	78:3	90:6,22 92:9 93:8	32:18 33:1,6,7
117:16 158:6	82:11 83:12 84:20	midpoint 167:2	93:12 97:13,16	35:13 47:9,14
159:2,10,13 160:2	98:10,19 102:6,9	midway 136:11	98:3	54:16 55:11,12
160:3,14 167:6,19	102:17,19 106:25	million 81:12	Moffat's 45:7	57:14,14,15,24
176:9 180:3,18	112:17	mind 71:9 100:1	moment 32:13 33:5	58:1,3,16 59:17
meetings 15:1	members' 71:3	156:25	48:23 56:9 72:8	67:17,22 72:2
16:22 25:17 31:4	memo 59:22 61:2	minimal 123:25	74:7 118:1 140:6	78:9,17 87:13,15
36:4,16,17,18	96:12	minor 31:20	148:6	88:22 105:7,8
37:11 38:2,10,12	memorandum	minute 69:18,20,21	moments 61:4	106:7 109:21
38:15,16,19,21	77:17 94:8,21	70:4,5,9 81:5	momentum 24:3,16	127:10 128:7
39:2,2,3,6,7 40:2	95:20 96:1 97:2	minuted 81:1	Monday 113:7	132:5 141:2,4
41:18 52:20,21	memory 10:15 29:5	minutes 12:20	money 80:10 81:17	151:21 180:13
60:19 91:18,21	42:14 52:24 54:18	13:17,20 14:11	82:6 87:23 151:14	named 32:3 111:13
93:20,21 158:23	57:16 134:12	45:8 54:9 59:2,12	month 82:16 153:2	151:14
159:9 160:15	137:13,16,21	59:15,18,25 60:1	153:16	names 9:15 89:19
162:14,17	174:2 180:25	60:2,7,18,20,23	months 16:14	148:5 151:2,10
Mellor 7:15,17	men 68:6 72:17	60:25 61:1 68:22	64:12 65:13,18,20	Naraine 18:13
21:11 66:1 78:1,5	151:18 163:20	69:7 74:24 116:17	96:2 97:23 113:24	narrative 149:24
79:6,12 82:13,21	mention 5:17 46:24	121:2 160:5 178:8	114:12,19	nasty 112:11
84:15 87:1,6 88:9	47:6 48:10 69:7	178:9	morale 42:15 43:4	114:14
88:17 89:14,22	145:4 168:1 182:4	minutiae 96:8	morning 1:3,4,4,10	national 42:14
91:5 93:14 94:16	182:5	mired 73:15	6:9 22:16 91:11	76:17,20 145:3,5
99:17 143:4 144:3	mentioned 11:18	mischief 183:20	97:5 135:2	145:10
157:23 162:17,22	46:12 53:14 72:3	misconduct 144:8	mother 153:18	nationally 73:17
164:16 165:9,21	104:5 151:9	146:23 147:19,21	mothers 153:6	nature 73:20,25
166:4,8,13 167:4	mentioning 44:13	187:2	mouth 4:19 110:10	78:13 97:10
	-	-	•	•

49:10 73:10 nights 47:16 no-one 46:8,9,16,17 no-one 46:8,9,16,17 no-one 46:8,9,16,17 180:24 November 19:15 180:24 November 19:15 181:8 152:10 48:20,23 57:18,20 57:21 70:1 74:13 48:20,23 57:18,20 57:21 70:1 74:13 48:20,23 57:18,20 57:21 70:1 74:13 48:20,23 57:18,20 57:21 70:1 74:13 57:21 70:1 74:13 81:17 85:13 98:6 68:16,16 184:15 68:16,16 184:15 68:16,16 184:15 68:16,16 184:15 68:16,16 10:10 10:5 68:16,25 69:1 70:24 nomenated 164:3 non-starter 105:25 nonsense 63:17 26:14,16,21,22,23 26:14,16,21,22,23 ccurring 39:21 0ccupations 123:11 129:10 110:5 123:3,12,13,14 11:24 13:6 124:20 130:5,8 125:21 100:10 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					
188:14 138:20	182-20 186-14	now 113.5 6 131.12	165:12	168-13	30:16 34:16 18 21
naughty 8:19 Newbold 33:8 notes 10:2 11:3 obtained 10:11 103:13 106:23 nearby 8:17 newspaper 51:17 newspaper 51:17 115:6 133:7 160:7 133:8 180:21 114:8 115:5,7 nece49:21 96:7 42:14 124:19 newspaper 34:15 170:4 175:16 133:1 13:41 123:5 127:19 103:9 108:23 Nigel 140:22 141:4 190:18 noteworthy 28:19 134:18 125:11 123:18 125:11 133:18 105:24 122:2 120:18 131:25 136:6 Nigel 140:22 141:4 190:18 noteworthy 28:19 134:18 125:11 133:18 125:11 136:16 137:24,25 144:8 179:18 129:12 130:5 144:8 179:18 129:12 130:5 144:8 179:18 129:12 130:5 144:8 179:18 129:12 130:5 144:8 179:18 129:13 13:48 156:4 160:6 144:8 179:18 184:17 185:11 133:18 106:24 129:24 136:10 136:16 137:24,25 144:8 179:18 129:12 130:5 129:13 13:48 156:4 160:6 144:8 179:18 184:17 188:17 188:17 186:4 184:17 184:17 186:4 184:17 184:17 186:4 184:17 186:4 184:17 186:4		,			
near 69:21 187:1 nearby 8:17 nearby 8:17 nearby 8:17 necessary 13:4 news 49:7 66:5 newspaper 51:17 necessary 13:4 12:18,24 14:18 15:5,7 necessary 13:4 133:8 180:21 obvious 12:24 12:12 12:12 12:12 12:18 13:4:14 124:19 newspapers 34:15 170:6 170:4 175:16 obvious 15:24 170:4 175:16 170:4 175:16 notworthy 28:19 notice 112:17 170:6 173:18 175:9 night 37:7:5 14:21 noted 3:12 24:3 night 5:9 night 37:16 noone 46:8,9,16,17 52:2 74:1,5 needing 127:25 nominal 26:11,13 26:14,16 179:25 nominal 2					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
nearby 8:17 necessary 13:4 newspaper 51:17 51:17 newspaper 53:15 15:24 17:9 108:5 134:14 123:5 127:19 129:2 130:5 123:5 136:6 131:25 136:6 Nigel 140:22 141:4 123:1 170:6 170:6 170:6 170:6 170:6 170:6 134:14 123:5 130:5 136:6 131:25 136:6 Nigel 140:22 141:4 123:1 136:6 133:7 160:7 170:8 170:6 134:14 123:5 127:19 129:2 130:5 129:2 130:5 134:14 123:5 127:19 129:2 130:5 120:2 130:5 134:14 123:5 127:19 129:2 130:5 134:14 123:5 127:19 129:2 130:5 134:14 123:5 127:19 129:2 130:5 136:16 137:24,25 134:8 156:4 160:6 186:4 186:					
18:21			,		
168:21					
103:9 108:23 NHS 8:6 Nigel 140:22 141:4 190:18 190:18 190:18 173:18 175:9 182:7 187:4,21 182:7 187:4,21 182:7 187:4,21 182:10 182					
103:9 108:23 131:25 136:6 Nigel 140:22 141:4 190:18 123:11 170:6 190:18 190:18 128:12 182:7 187:4,21 48:3 91:13 48:3 91:13 158:17 164:7 186:24 188:5 needing 127:25 needing 127:25 needing 127:25 128:1 26:14,16 179:25 68:5 98:6 non-starter 105:25 nonisense 63:17 28:8 30:47, 74:12 190:18 134:8 156:4 160:6 144:8 179:18 184:17 officer 1:8 18:9,17 18:23 22:25 23:16 157:9 179:3 occasion 48:12 24:15 26:24,25 23:00,22,23 24:10 100:10 109:1 100:14 131:4 130:12 nominated 164:3 non-starter 105:25 nonsense 63:17 26:14,16,21,22,23 16:23 141:22 nonteaching 97:22 Norden 8:17 120:7 120:7,13 120:7,13 120:7,13 120:7,13 120:7,13 130:25 130:25 103:14 130:24 130:25 130:				•	
131:25 136:6 153:11 170:6 159:118 177:5 14:21 182:12 182:13 177:5 14:21 182:12 182:13 177:5 14:21 182:13 177:5 14:21 182:12 182:13 177:5 14:21 182:12 182:13 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:1 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:1 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:1 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:1 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:4 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:4 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:4 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:4 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:4 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:4 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:4 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 23:25 22:23 182:4 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 23:25 22:23 182:4 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 22:25 23:16 182:3 23:25 22:33:16 182:1					
153:11 170:6 173:18 175:9 night 3:7 7:5 14:21 48:3 91:13 28:8 notion 109:1 notwithstanding 180:24 November 19:15 29:24 58:20 59:10 182:1 183:1 182:1 183:1 182:1 183:1 182:1 182:1 182:1 182:1 182:1 182:1 182:1 182:1 182:1 182:1 182:1 182:1 182:1 183:1 182:1			•		
173:18 175:9 182:7 187:4,21 182:7 187:5,9 182:7 187:4,21 48:3 91:13 28:8 notion 109:1 157:9 179:3 24:15 26:24,25 23:20,22,23 24:10 23:21,22 20 23:24 28:20 23:25 24:10 23:21 21 23:21 23:21 22:1 23:21 23:21 22:1 23:21 23:21 22:1 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21 23:21		_	128:12	occasion 48:12	officer 1:8 18:9,17
182:7 187:4,21 needed 3:12 24:3 d9:10 73:10 48:3 91:13 night' 5:9 night 47:16 nor-one 46:8,9,16,17 f186:24 188:5 nor-one 46:8,9,16,17 f186:24 188:5 norminal 26:11,13 26:14,16 179:25 f180:12 norminated 164:3 nor-starter 105:25 normanise 63:17 f19:3 de:18 de:14:27 l44:25 normete 4:24 13:3 27:22 normete 4:3 5:10,16 8:15 12:13 19:24 20:3 27:4 28:22 20:3 27:4 28:22 48:10 49:14,15,24 53:14,15,19,20,24 53:25 54:9,12 55:21 62:10,12 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 82:11 85:21 87:13 59:22 60:1,12 28:8 notion 109:1 notwithstanding 180:24 norbinated 109:1 notwithstanding 180:24 norbinated 180:24 norbinated 164:3 n					
needed 3:12 24:3 night' 5:9 notion 109:1 notwithstanding cocasionally 38:15 24:15 26:24,25 28:5,24 30:8 49:10 73:10 186:24 188:5 52:2 74:1,5 no-one 46:8,9,16,17 180:24 November 19:15 118:18 152:10 57:21 70:1 74:13 57:21 70:1 74:13 57:21 70:1 74:13 57:21 70:1 74:13 118:18 152:10 57:21 70:1 74:13 57:21 70:1 74:13 118:18 152:10 57:21 70:1 74:13 57:21 70:1 74:13 81:17 85:13 98:6 68:16,25 69:1 68:16,25 69:1 68:16,25 69:1 68:16,25 69:1 70:24 number 24:17 112:4 13:6 124:20 130:5,8 124:					
49:10 73:10 nights 47:16 no-one 46:8,9,16,17 no-one 46:8,9,16,17 no-one 46:8,9,16,17 180:24 no-ocasions 6:13 7:10 48:20,23 57:18,20 57:21 70:1 74:13 48:20,23 57:18,20 57:21 70:1 74:13 48:20,23 57:18,20 57:21 70:1 74:13 57:21 70:1 74:13 81:17 85:13 98:6 48:20,23 57:18,20 57:21 70:1 74:13 81:17 85:13 98:6 57:21 70:1 74:13 81:17 85:13 98:6 68:16,52 69:1 70:24 occupations 123:11 109:10 110:5 109:10 110:5 109:10 110:5 123:3,12,13,14 124:20 130:5,8 109:10 110:5 123:3,12,13,14 124:20 130:5,8 124:20 130:5,8 124:20 130:5,8 occurred 4:4 5:20 0ccurred 4:4 5:20 123:3,12,13,14 149:6 155:4 164:4 124:20 130:5,8 124:2	needed 3:12 24:3	night' 5:9	notion 109:1		
186:24 188:5 52:2 74:1,5 November 19:15 29:24 58:20 59:10 174:16,16 184:15 57:21 70:1 74:13 81:17 85:13 98:6 128:1 26:14,16 179:25 180:12 68:16,25 69:1 ccupations 123:11 109:10 110:5 109:10 110:5 128:1 nominated 164:3 non-starter 105:25 nonsense 63:17 26:14,16,21,22,23 ccurring 39:21 124:20 130:5,8 124:20 130:5,8 124:20 130:5,8 124:20 130:5,8 144:8 146:8,16,16 149:6 155:4 164:4 124:20 130:5,8 144:8 146:8,16,16 149:6 155:4 164:4 124:20 130:5,8 144:8 146:8,16,16 149:6 155:4 164:4 124:20 130:5,8 144:8 146:8,16,16 149:6 155:4 164:4 124:20 130:5,8 121:20 130:4 124:20 130:5,8 124:20 130:5,8 121:20 130:4 121:20 130:4 <t< th=""><th>49:10 73:10</th><th>nights 47:16</th><th>notwithstanding</th><th>103:14</th><th>28:5,24 30:8</th></t<>	49:10 73:10	nights 47:16	notwithstanding	103:14	28:5,24 30:8
186:24 188:5 52:2 74:1,5 November 19:15 29:24 58:20 59:10 174:16,16 184:15 57:21 70:1 74:13 81:17 85:13 98:6 128:1 26:14,16 179:25 180:12 68:16,25 69:1 ccupations 123:11 109:10 110:5 109:10 110:5 128:1 nominated 164:3 non-starter 105:25 nonsense 63:17 26:14,16,21,22,23 ccurring 39:21 124:20 130:5,8 124:20 130:5,8 124:20 130:5,8 124:20 130:5,8 144:8 146:8,16,16 149:6 155:4 164:4 124:20 130:5,8 144:8 146:8,16,16 149:6 155:4 164:4 124:20 130:5,8 144:8 146:8,16,16 149:6 155:4 164:4 124:20 130:5,8 144:8 146:8,16,16 149:6 155:4 164:4 124:20 130:5,8 121:20 130:4 124:20 130:5,8 124:20 130:5,8 121:20 130:4 121:20 130:4 <t< th=""><th>158:17 164:7</th><th><u> </u></th><th>S</th><th>occasions 6:13 7:10</th><th>48:20,23 57:18,20</th></t<>	158:17 164:7	<u> </u>	S	occasions 6:13 7:10	48:20,23 57:18,20
needing 127:25 nominal 26:11,13 29:24 58:20 59:10 174:16,16 184:15 81:17 85:13 98:6 needn't 181:4 180:12 nominated 164:3 68:16,25 69:1 70:24 number 24:17 occupations 123:11 109:10 110:5 needs 49:10 57:22 nominated 164:3 non-starter 105:25 non-starter 105:25 non-starter 105:25 non-starter 105:25 non-starter 105:25 occupations 123:11 109:10 110:5 Neil 19:3 64:18 non-starter 105:25 nonsense 63:17 26:14,16,21,22,23 28:8 36:4,7 54:12 October 1:1 19:14 149:6 155:4 164:4 149:6 155:4 164:4 12:722 norteaching 97:22 Norden 8:17 120:7 126:23 141:22 109:24 111:9 172:2 174:14,15 officers 11:2 25:1,9 172:2 174:14,15 officers 11:2 25:1,9 174:14,15 76:5,11 officers 11:2 25:1,9 174:14,15 76:5,11 174:14,15 76:5,11 174:14,15 76:5,11 174:14,15,16 174:14,15 06:11 19:14 174:14,15 174:14,15 06:11 19:14 174:14,15 06:11 19:14 174:14,15 06:11 19:14 174:14,15 06:11 19:14 174:14,15 06:11 19:14 174:14,15 06:11 19:14 174:14,15 <th< th=""><th>186:24 188:5</th><th></th><th>November 19:15</th><th>118:18 152:10</th><th>-</th></th<>	186:24 188:5		November 19:15	118:18 152:10	-
needn't 181:4 180:12 70:24 number 24:17 11:24 13:6 12:3;3,12,13,14 12:20 130:5,8 Neil 19:3 64:18 non-starter 105:25 nonsense 63:17 26:14,16,21,22,23 occurring 39:21 141:8 146:8,16,16 149:6 155:4 164:4 142:7 144:25 66:20 105:17 57:7 71:4 88:18 98:6 125:23,24,24 68:16 69:1 70:24 165:13 171:24 neither 4:24 13:3 nonteaching 97:22 Norden 8:17 120:7 120:7,13 151:10 156:12 109:24 111:9 officers 11:2 25:1,9 never 4:3 5:10,16 8:15 12:13 19:24 130:25 158:15 159:16 163:25 168:20 141:19 153:19 26:11 31:24 37:24 28:24 32:9 41:2 43:19,24 45:22,23 normal 127:9 163:25 168:20 141:19 153:19 74:14,15 76:5,11 48:10 49:14,15,24 Norman 122:8,17 182:21 184:8,15 October/Novemb 68:24 80:15 83:12 84:20 55:21 62:10,12 notable 128:4 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 Offences 18:8 31:21 144:7,12 146:5 144:7,12 146:5 144:7,12 146:5 145:1 149:1 157:24 160:1	needing 127:25	nominal 26:11,13	29:24 58:20 59:10	174:16,16 184:15	81:17 85:13 98:6
needs 49:10 57:22 nominated 164:3 number 24:17 11:24 13:6 124:20 130:5,8 Neil 19:3 64:18 non-starter 105:25 nonsense 63:17 26:14,16,21,22,23 October 1:1 19:14 149:6 155:4 164:4 142:7 144:25 66:20 105:17 57:7 71:4 88:18 21:9 65:22,23 165:13 171:24 neither 4:24 13:3 norteaching 97:22 Norden 8:17 120:7 120:7,13 151:10 156:12 109:24 111:9 172:2 174:14,15 officers 11:2 25:1,9 officers 11:2 25:1,9 26:11 31:24 37:24 172:2 174:14,15 0ficers 11:2 25:1,9 26:11 31:24 37:24 172:2 174:14,15 0ficers 11:2 25:1,9	128:1	26:14,16 179:25	68:16,25 69:1	occupations 123:11	109:10 110:5
68:5 98:6 non-starter 105:25 26:14,16,21,22,23 occurring 39:21 141:8 146:8,16,16 Neil 19:3 64:18 nonsense 63:17 28:8 36:4,7 54:12 October 1:1 19:14 149:6 155:4 164:4 neither 4:24 13:3 nonteaching 97:22 Norden 8:17 120:7 28:6 125:23,24,24 68:16 69:1 70:24 165:13 171:24 never 4:3 5:10,16 8:15 12:13 19:24 120:7,13 151:10 156:12 109:24 111:9 officers 11:2 25:1,9 28:24 32:9 41:2 130:25 174:15,16 180:15 182:21 184:8,15 189:16 October/Novemb 78:15 79:17,21 48:10 49:14,15,24 68:7 Norman 122:8,17 188:8 0ds 169:5 16:3,10 117:21 55:21 62:10,12 notable 128:4 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 offences 18:8 31:21 144:5,15,23 144:15,15,23 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 59:22 60:1,12 0 147:1 149:1 147:1 149:1 157:24 160:1	needn't 181:4	180:12	70:24	occurred 4:4 5:20	123:3,12,13,14
Neil 19:3 64:18 142:7 144:25 nonsense 63:17 66:20 105:17 28:8 36:4,7 54:12 57:7 71:4 88:18 98:6 125:23,24,24 126:23 141:22 October 1:1 19:14 21:9 65:22,23 68:16 69:1 70:24 172:2 174:14,15 149:6 155:4 164:4 165:13 171:24 172:2 174:14,15 never 4:3 5:10,16 8:15 12:13 19:24 20:3 27:24 28:22 28:24 32:9 41:2 28:24 32:9 41:2 44:19,24 45:22,23 48:10 49:14,15,24 53:14,15,19,20,24 53:25 54:9,12 55:21 62:10,12 74:20 75:1,7 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 82:11 85:21 87:13 nonsense 63:17 66:20 105:17 120:7	needs 49:10 57:22	nominated 164:3	number 24:17	11:24 13:6	124:20 130:5,8
142:7 144:25 66:20 105:17 57:7 71:4 88:18 21:9 65:22,23 165:13 171:24 neither 4:24 13:3 98:6 125:23,24,24 68:16 69:1 70:24 172:2 174:14,15 never 4:3 5:10,16 120:7,13 151:10 156:12 109:24 111:9 26:11 31:24 37:24 8:15 12:13 19:24 norm 155:16 158:15 159:16 13:24 114:11,21 38:3,14 43:1 20:3 27:24 28:22 130:25 174:15,16 180:15 189:16 74:14,15 76:5,11 28:24 32:9 41:2 130:25 174:15,16 180:15 189:16 78:15 79:17,21 44:19,24 45:22,23 normally 56:10 68:7 188:8 68:24 0ctober/Novemb 80:15 83:12 84:20 53:14,15,19,20,24 Norman 122:8,17 122:21 numbers 180:16,20 0dds 169:5 116:3,10 117:21 55:21 62:10,12 notable 128:4 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 offence 128:3 144:15,15,23 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 57:1,4 58:5,8,13 59:22 60:1,12 0 147:1 149:1 157:24 160:1	68:5 98:6	non-starter 105:25	26:14,16,21,22,23	occurring 39:21	141:8 146:8,16,16
neither 4:24 13:3 nonteaching 97:22 98:6 125:23,24,24 68:16 69:1 70:24 172:2 174:14,15 never 4:3 5:10,16 120:7,13 151:10 156:12 109:24 111:9 26:11 31:24 37:24 8:15 12:13 19:24 norm 155:16 normal 127:9 158:15 159:16 113:24 114:11,21 38:3,14 43:1 28:24 32:9 41:2 130:25 normally 56:10 168:7 182:21 184:8,15 189:16 October/Novemb 80:15 83:12 84:20 48:10 49:14,15,19,20,24 Norman 122:8,17 122:21 notable 128:4 note 56:5,18,22,23 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 offence 128:3 135:19 186:11 144:15,15,23 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 59:22 60:1,12 NUPE 99:10 offences 18:8 31:21 144:7,12 146:5 145:1 149:1 157:24 160:1	Neil 19:3 64:18	nonsense 63:17	28:8 36:4,7 54:12	October 1:1 19:14	149:6 155:4 164:4
27:22 Norden 8:17 120:7 126:23 141:22 102:24 107:19 officers 11:2 25:1,9 8:15 12:13 19:24 120:7,13 151:10 156:12 109:24 111:9 26:11 31:24 37:24 20:3 27:24 28:22 28:24 32:9 41:2 130:25 158:15 159:16 141:19 153:19 74:14,15 76:5,11 28:24 32:9 41:2 130:25 174:15,16 180:15 189:16 78:15 79:17,21 48:10 49:14,15,24 68:7 188:8 0ctober/Novemb 80:15 83:12 84:20 53:14,15,19,20,24 Norman 122:8,17 122:21 numbers 180:16,20 68:24 102:6 103:10,10 55:21 62:10,12 notable 128:4 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 135:19 186:11 144:15,15,23 74:20 75:1,7 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 57:1,4 58:5,8,13 59:22 60:1,12 NUPE 99:10 147:1 149:1 147:1 149:1 157:24 160:1	142:7 144:25	66:20 105:17	57:7 71:4 88:18	21:9 65:22,23	165:13 171:24
never 4:3 5:10,16 120:7,13 151:10 156:12 109:24 111:9 26:11 31:24 37:24 8:15 12:13 19:24 norm 155:16 158:15 159:16 113:24 114:11,21 38:3,14 43:1 20:3 27:24 28:22 130:25 163:25 168:20 141:19 153:19 74:14,15 76:5,11 28:24 32:9 41:2 130:25 174:15,16 180:15 189:16 78:15 79:17,21 44:19,24 45:22,23 normally 56:10 182:21 184:8,15 0ctober/Novemb 80:15 83:12 84:20 53:14,15,19,20,24 Norman 122:8,17 122:21 numbers 180:16,20 numerous 30:13 169:18 177:8 135:19 186:11 119:5 142:25 55:21 62:10,12 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 offences 18:8 31:21 146:5 147:8,13,18 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 59:22 60:1,12 59:22 60:1,12 141:19 153:19 151:10 156:12	neither 4:24 13:3				
8:15 12:13 19:24 norm 155:16 158:15 159:16 113:24 114:11,21 38:3,14 43:1 20:3 27:24 28:22 130:25 130:25 141:19 153:19 74:14,15 76:5,11 28:24 32:9 41:2 130:25 174:15,16 180:15 189:16 78:15 79:17,21 44:19,24 45:22,23 normally 56:10 68:7 188:8 October/Novemb 80:15 83:12 84:20 53:14,15,19,20,24 Norman 122:8,17 122:21 numbers 180:16,20 odds 169:5 116:3,10 117:21 53:25 54:9,12 notable 128:4 169:18 177:8 135:19 186:11 144:15,15,23 74:20 75:1,7 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 offences 18:8 31:21 146:5 147:8,13,18 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 57:1,4 58:5,8,13 59:22 60:1,12 0 141:19 153:19 141:19 153:19 141:19 153:19 159:17,21 78:15,16 180:15 188:8 0 0 0 168:24 102:6 103:10,10 188:8 169:18 177:8 135:19 186:11 144:15,15,23 144:15,15,23 188:16 79:3 81:3,4 57:1,4 58:5,8,13 144:7,12 146:5 148:1 150:1,4 188:1 185:21 87:13 147:1 149:1 157:24 160:1 <					-
20:3 27:24 28:22 28:24 32:9 41:2 130:25 normal 127:9 130:25 168:20 174:15,16 180:15 189:16 141:19 153:19 189:16 78:15 79:17,21 189:16 74:14,15 76:5,11 78:15 79:17,21 189:16 48:10 49:14,15,24 53:14,15,19,20,24 53:25 54:9,12 74:20 75:1,7 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 82:11 85:21 87:13 Norman 122:8,17 129:10 189:16 18		,			
28:24 32:9 41:2 130:25 174:15,16 180:15 189:16 78:15 79:17,21 44:19,24 45:22,23 normally 56:10 182:21 184:8,15 0ctober/Novemb 80:15 83:12 84:20 48:10 49:14,15,24 68:7 188:8 68:24 102:6 103:10,10 53:14,15,19,20,24 Norman 122:8,17 numbers 180:16,20 odds 169:5 116:3,10 117:21 53:25 54:9,12 122:21 notable 128:4 169:18 177:8 135:19 186:11 144:15,15,23 74:20 75:1,7 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 offences 18:8 31:21 146:5 147:8,13,18 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 57:1,4 58:5,8,13 59:22 60:1,12 0 147:1 149:1 157:24 160:1				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
44:19,24 45:22,23 normally 56:10 182:21 184:8,15 October/Novemb 80:15 83:12 84:20 48:10 49:14,15,24 68:7 188:8 68:24 102:6 103:10,10 53:14,15,19,20,24 Norman 122:8,17 numbers 180:16,20 odds 169:5 116:3,10 117:21 53:25 54:9,12 122:21 notable 128:4 169:18 177:8 135:19 186:11 144:15,15,23 74:20 75:1,7 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 offences 18:8 31:21 146:5 147:8,13,18 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 57:1,4 58:5,8,13 59:22 60:1,12 0 147:1 149:1 157:24 160:1					
48:10 49:14,15,24 68:7 188:8 68:24 102:6 103:10,10 53:14,15,19,20,24 Norman 122:8,17 numbers 180:16,20 odds 169:5 116:3,10 117:21 53:25 54:9,12 122:21 numerous 30:13 169:18 177:8 135:19 186:11 144:15,15,23 74:20 75:1,7 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 offences 18:8 31:21 146:5 147:8,13,18 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 57:1,4 58:5,8,13 59:22 60:1,12 0 147:1 149:1 157:24 160:1			,		,
53:14,15,19,20,24 53:25 54:9,12 Norman 122:8,17 122:21 numbers 180:16,20 numerous 30:13 odds 169:5 offence 128:3 116:3,10 117:21 55:21 62:10,12 74:20 75:1,7 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 82:11 85:21 87:13 note 56:5,18,22,23 57:1,4 58:5,8,13 59:22 60:1,12 NUPE 99:10 offence 128:3 169:18 177:8 NUPE 99:10 144:7,12 146:5 147:1 149:1 146:5 147:8,13,18 147:1 149:1	*	•			
53:25 54:9,12 122:21 numerous 30:13 15:21 62:10,12 122:21 169:18 177:8 135:19 186:11 144:15,15,23 74:20 75:1,7 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 offence 128:3 144:15,15,23 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 57:1,4 58:5,8,13 NUPE 99:10 144:7,12 146:5 148:1 150:1,4 82:11 85:21 87:13 59:22 60:1,12 147:1 149:1 157:24 160:1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
55:21 62:10,12 notable 128:4 169:18 177:8 135:19 186:11 144:15,15,23 74:20 75:1,7 note 56:5,18,22,23 NUPE 99:10 offences 18:8 31:21 146:5 147:8,13,18 78:16 79:3 81:3,4 57:1,4 58:5,8,13 0 144:7,12 146:5 147:1 149:1 157:24 160:1			*		-
74:20 75:1,7	′				
78:16 79:3 81:3,4 82:11 85:21 87:13					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
82:11 85:21 87:13 59:22 60:1,12 O			NUPE 99:10		
02.11 03.21 07.15	•		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
		,	objective 182:25		
30.730.17,22					1 1
107.10,10,10,10,10			_		′ ′
17.10	1				
116:4,7,14,23,25		*			
116.23 117.4					
167:18 186:9 134:24 135:1,7 50:22,23 51:13,22 19:3 24:25 26:8 officials 171:19	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
107.10 100.7 137.27 133.1,7 50.23,20 171.19	107.10 100.7	137.47 133.1,/		17.5 27.25 20.0	VIIICIAIS 1/1.17
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

01 100 0 107 11
Oh 123:9 127:11
128:14 136:7
okay 11:13 178:9
old 6:7 123:21
old-guard 104:5
Oldham 18:13
ombudsman
178:21
omitted 21:2 28:9
once 16:11,13
28:19 44:24 49:14
116:4,23 184:19
ones 40:20 76:13
106:18
ongoing 13:15 63:2
93:23 132:17,24
134:6 141:23
157:7 162:24
163:1,18
Online 63:6
onwards 162:20
OP 188:16
open 12:8 71:4
123:25 124:2
open-plan 3:8
opened 3:22
operating 24:14
operation 18:1,2,22
21:6 22:4,25 23:1
23:3,7,8,14,25
24:9,16 25:8 26:7
27:6,11,14,23
28:3,14,17 29:16
30:6,15 31:24
140:20 141:9,15
140.20 141.9,13
142:12,20 164:1
171:17 174:1
179:16,25 180:11
180:12 181:5,5,9
182:9 184:5
185:24 187:22
188:12,12,15
operational 100:15
100:16

operations 163:18 163:18
opinion 183:20
opportunities
92:13
opportunity 90:9
90:15 92:10 93:7
93:8 94:2 97:8
116:5 117:17
110.3 117.17
opposed 126:24
155:24 163:25
opposite 54:12,13
opposition 33:13
36:21 75:25 80:19
102:17,25
optimistic 189:9
options 155:14
oral 4:15 72:15
order 6:16 20:14
20:24 22:7 69:6
71:15 74:3 113:4
153:12 167:1
orders 82:8
original 9:13 11:10
14:18 15:11,24
19:9 20:25 21:4
25:23 28:21,24
32:9
originally 2:6
121:19
originating 26:19
ought 173:5
outcome 20:2 59:6
59:20,21,22 61:2
149:15 185:21
outgoing 72:2
112:12
outlines 141:14
outright 63:17
66:19
outside 18:24 43:20
71:20 72:14 77:22
80:9 170:14

outstanding 165:5

overlapped 181:5 overnight 187:13 ownership 18:7
P
PA 175:13 176:7
PACE 186:12
package 161:15
pact 105:11,17,24
106:5 142:4 182:2
182:10,20,25
paedophile 48:2
page 7:22 8:8 10:1
14:9,9 17:24
21:23 23:2,13
24:7 25:18,18,19
25:20 27:7,25
29:20,21,22 30:23
31:2,22 63:6,12
66:6 72:9 83:4
94:7 98:1 130:17
132:20 134:25,25
135:14,14 142:22
142:23 143:10,11
148:10 153:4,11
153:21,22 159:14
162:20,21 164:21
165:16 166:25
167:2 168:10,12
171:10,14 173:17
175:9 180:5,5,9
187:20,20
-
pages 30:14 42:13
paid 81:8,10,24
paint 163:16
painted 182:16
Pam 55:10 90:23
93:11
Pamela 54:1
panel 1:10 2:1 7:18
37:2,16,25 38:2
38:19 40:8 46:2
71:7 87:17 108:4
115:14 118:14,16
125:6 133:11

120-12 141-2 20
139:12 141:3,20
145:16,25 148:6
154:1,8,12,17
155:12,13,18,18
156:21 157:12,20
159:2,13 170:12
190:10
panels 156:5
pants 6:20 29:14
paper 51:3,5,6
60:13 104:17
129:3 148:24
170:3
papers 5:6 19:22
29:6 102:18
135:18,23 173:14
paragraph 7:23 8:9
9:20 14:10 15:4
16:11 18:15 20:20
21:1 22:11 29:1
31:3 66:9 83:9
85:11 129:2
130:16 131:2,19
132:15 133:15
135:15 142:19
148:11 149:19
151:9 152:4,20
153:5,13 165:1,7
paragraphs 21:24
125:15 132:19
171:15
parameters 27:11
160:22
parents 2:18,20
29:11 73:5
parliament 39:16
-
62:21 103:19
118:24 175:8
179:7 184:25
parliamentary 92:4
123:4 178:20
part 35:23 36:11
65:8 72:10,19
78:20 81:25 85:5
86:14 90:1 97:18

```
106:16 107:20
 112:24 113:2
  120:7 138:12
  141:15 145:8
  150:13,19 161:20
  164:1 169:23
 170:2 171:11
 182:9
particular 26:24
 42:20,25 49:6
 62:6 74:11 91:5
 92:9 95:19 114:9
  143:22 183:22
particularly 27:12
 37:19 102:7
 133:15
parties 36:24,24,25
 89:21 102:11,17
 105:21 142:5
  182:11,22 183:17
parties' 89:13
Partridge 153:24
 154:9
party 33:15 40:22
 41:5,10,11,13
 80:19 104:4,4,11
  104:20 105:12,12
 105:13,15,19
 106:12,24,25
 112:9 160:2
  182:23
passage 149:7
passed 35:15
 108:20 113:17
  166:18 184:25
passing 55:4 87:16
 174:10
Paul 50:4 95:6
 102:23
pause 56:9
Pausing 97:12
  108:16 167:10
pay 79:23 81:9,23
payment 188:1
peer-on-peer 52:18
```

	_		_	_
62:4 77:12	183:24	Pierce 38:25 46:4	57:3,9 62:6 83:16	158:5 159:18
peers 150:10	personal 41:10	75:21 77:8,19	87:7 90:24 91:5	160:25 161:4,8,11
penis 4:16,17	53:3 118:4,5	94:10,11,11,20	92:10 94:16 96:16	161:17,19 162:7
people 5:14 6:16	121:24 122:3,7	95:16,20 96:4,6	98:24 99:21	162:16,24 164:15
14:16 30:5 31:17	personally 19:23	96:12,20,21,22,22	101:25 104:21	165:3,9,22 166:3
32:3 33:4 38:5	20:11 40:23 60:10	117:12,14,15,16	115:4,4,7 117:14	168:19 173:6,9,18
46:12 63:22 64:3	102:12 117:19	pinned 6:19	126:23 136:10	174:6 175:2 176:6
73:5 74:15 76:6	181:17	PJ1 109:22	161:1 166:2	179:12 186:16
88:18,19 90:15	personnel 25:2	place 5:16 9:5	167:13 169:12,25	policies 24:12
93:25 95:20 98:23	27:20	23:20 24:5,12	171:23 172:12	37:20
101:2 103:21	perspective 160:12	26:17 28:18 36:16	173:12,16 174:25	policy 24:14 36:12
116:11 117:23	185:24	57:7 59:14 77:22	178:2 184:16	37:18,22 40:7
118:9,9,10 119:23	persuaded 94:18	93:9 95:23 142:3	185:3	68:16,22 69:10
119:25 123:10	Peter 1:7 23:16,18	142:3 148:15	pointed 67:22	70:11,24 71:5
136:24 149:21,22	24:11 39:12 106:7	149:16 158:7,20	177:16	78:22,25 80:13
150:10,20,22	110:13 112:13,21	159:9 166:2 172:9	pointing 58:15	81:25 83:24 86:4
151:2,2 155:8	140:22 141:4	174:10 183:25	points 73:4 118:13	122:17 124:1,15
163:7 167:9	153:24 154:9	184:12	158:13,16	124:15 131:20,23
170:11 176:17,22	190:18	placed 103:5	Poland 179:6	131:25 138:20,23
177:8 178:10	Phil 21:11 55:12	places 122:14	police 1:8 2:8 5:1	141:19 158:12
182:21 183:5	71:12 72:11	plainclothes 174:13	5:25 8:24 11:2,20	181:18
184:18 185:9,16	Philip 143:3	play 6:17 161:1	12:6,13 13:14,14	political 36:3 38:10
perceived 19:19	Phillips 1:7 121:6,8	played 94:20 97:6	18:3,9 21:8 22:7	38:12 40:16 49:8
perfectly 60:11	121:18 125:7	97:17	23:6,18 24:14	63:23 74:9,11
performed 4:15	133:25 139:11,14	please 7:21 14:9	25:1,6,9 27:3 30:3	75:5,6,24 89:4,13
performing 14:19	140:15 190:12	17:25 21:23 32:15	31:7 34:8,24	89:21 100:4,7
period 10:11 23:8	phone 129:3 140:11	32:21 33:1,6	35:25 39:4 46:22	102:10 105:20,22
35:3 36:6 39:13	140:13	50:16 63:5 66:5	52:21,24 55:8	114:1 182:11,22
41:15 43:7 44:5,7	phoned 153:24	72:7 73:2 82:23	57:8 58:4,20	183:20
52:11 74:8 75:13	phoning 91:4	89:18 94:6,8 98:1	59:10 61:5,6,10	politically 41:3,5,6
76:1 93:3,9 99:17	phrase 139:19	103:12 118:19	72:20 82:25 84:25	41:9,16 43:15,22
112:3 115:23	physical 169:1	121:8 141:2,17,20	85:24 104:12	43:24 113:4
188:4	pick 8:8 9:25 81:19	142:22 145:25	107:18,25 109:24	politician 35:21
permanent 67:24	139:6 151:5	153:3,22 158:10	111:10 113:23	45:9,15 105:16,23
68:3 69:13	153:21 162:14,20	166:1 168:11	114:11,21 125:2,9	178:12 182:6
permission 15:18	164:21 166:24	181:23	141:6 142:15	politicians 88:19
187:8	picked 39:4 50:20	plenty 92:16 93:8	144:15 145:14	90:12 92:8 182:2
perpetrated 3:2	51:11 76:17	93:17	146:4,5,8,22,23	182:3,5
persist 104:23	152:22 154:21	pm 56:14,17 87:16	147:6,7,18,21	politicians' 64:24
person 2:6 14:16	picking 91:3 155:7	88:12,14,16 121:3	148:14 149:8,20	popped 107:8,11
26:9,15,17 28:2	163:21 167:23	121:5 178:5	150:4,10 151:17	113:19
31:6,12 36:1	picture 163:14,16	189:14	152:9,23,25	popping 108:17
55:16 86:19	piece 60:13 166:5	PNC 157:3,4	153:10,18 154:3	109:3
112:20 116:23	170:7 171:5	point 4:17 12:11	154:16 155:1,4,7	posed 85:6
128:4 175:6	pieces 125:13	13:14 27:15 53:5	155:12 157:23	position 14:7 31:18

94:25 98:11	110:21	11:24 17:4	66:2,23 81:4 88:9	180:23
109:21 115:8	present 4:20 7:3	Price 94:9	101:23 107:17,18	providing 103:11
133:1 160:10	10:5 11:2 12:23	prima 135:20	producing 109:23	provision 81:13
186:6	14:3 16:21 30:18	prima 133.20 primary 157:21	professional 20:18	Prunell 19:3
positions 67:15	70:17 71:4 88:20	principal 40:11	74:15 76:4,12	prying 70:21
108:12,20,21,24	89:10,14,22 129:8	57:21	95:21 100:10	PSB 146:17 147:11
possession 21:15	173:5	prior 123:12 163:4	118:10 145:23	147:16,25 172:24
possibility 61:19,22	presentation 89:22	186:22	146:1,3,19 147:12	185:25
97:5,24 98:9	presented 16:21	priorities 22:5	profile 127:23	Psychological 8:1
possible 8:23 14:1	40:7 88:11,17	priority 31:20	progress 158:22	psychologist 7:25
95:1,18 128:6	89:15 93:15	92:22	165:8	13:25 14:3,5
137:21,24 138:1	109:19	prison 171:21	prominent 103:22	57:25 78:2 84:16
138:12,16 139:17	press 29:4 32:24	private 68:4 71:6	proofread 20:24	psychologists 52:22
178:7 182:10	34:16,18 48:25	102:20 123:2	proofreading 20:25	psychology 8:2
possibly 39:24	70:17 76:18	139:3,6	proper 117:25	public 47:13 66:4
157:18 185:14	106:17 107:4,9	privilege 118:25	properly 10:25	70:11,16,18,21
post 68:8,8,10 69:7	108:13 113:9,11	proactive 173:8,10	83:11 84:19	72:4,17 73:8,10
posted 16:20 21:7	122:19,24 123:2	probably 5:4 16:16	142:25	73:15 103:23
99:2	123:12,13,16,19	19:17 30:8 39:23	property 152:6	121:21 122:2
potential 146:23	123:23 124:10,15	77:3,7 89:3 90:8	proposal 8:13	126:20 128:21
potentially 41:3	124:18 125:1	99:1,3,4 100:19	prosecute 125:10	143:4 144:8
70:21	129:1,6 130:5,8	102:14 121:17	129:23	175:23 177:9
Poulton 67:17 72:1	132:2,12,20	144:10 147:10	prosecution 129:24	182:14
pounds 81:12	133:19,21,25	164:23 165:15	136:14	public' 64:5
power 82:14,19	134:2,20 136:4,16	178:7 185:3	Prosecutions 122:2	publication 145:3
128:21,24	137:23,24,25	problem 12:10	126:20 128:22	publicity 42:5
powers 78:15 127:5	138:17 139:4	72:21 81:18	Prosecutions'	49:12 73:16
127:12	168:8 169:22,23	problems 16:2,19	121:21	publish 22:13
practicalities 12:5	169:24 170:2,8	17:7 44:24 45:8	protect 9:6	published 1:13,25
practice 25:6 126:6	174:19,22 177:16	73:4 87:19,21	protection 52:22	15:5,23 16:12,13
127:9 128:18	177:24	96:18 118:21	55:24 144:17	17:18 42:1 49:24
130:25	pressure 31:8	164:6,8	160:12,24 167:12	50:23 51:1 94:16
practices 42:18,21	presumably 36:3	procedure 20:23	protracted 18:18	136:4
precisely 173:13	38:1 57:25 71:22	82:10 88:2	prove 40:20 53:13	pulled 3:12 4:14,19
predominantly	77:23 79:8 84:10	procedures 167:12	proved 52:25	29:14
36:19	90:22 91:25 98:5	proceedings 98:10	provenance 150:8	punished 15:6
preferably 58:6	98:7 99:6 115:17	98:19 165:7	provide 163:2	pupil 6:15,19
premise 184:11	115:22 183:18	process 99:16	provided 2:7 59:1	pupils 5:17 8:19,22
premises 7:9	presume 81:23	145:2 179:3	59:11 103:8	15:10 17:4 43:19
preparation 11:9	116:22	processed 32:9	126:16 145:17	71:21 151:17
prepared 61:8 64:3 84:14 115:22	pretty 33:21 42:2,5 49:1 50:5 157:1	Proctor 2:19,21	151:6 154:11	162:24 171:19
84:14 115:22 118:4,5 137:12	prevent 15:13	produce 16:7 19:25 21:5 57:4	164:17,18 169:7 169:11 176:5,5,22	Purely 37:22 purports 182:12
165:13	previous 128:9	produced 19:20	177:20,24 178:11	183:23
preparing 109:13	previous 128.9 previously 2:8	20:10 59:22 65:19	177.20,24 178.11	purpose 97:10,20
preparing 107.13	pi cviousiy 2.0	20.10 37.22 03.19	1/0.1/1/7.1	pur pose 37.10,20
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I	1	I	I
pursuing 154:6	quickly 180:9	ranges 26:11	15:3 17:9 20:6	20:12,16,19,21
pushed 4:12,18,19	182:13 185:2	RAP 106:2	23:15 25:14 27:13	28:8
put 6:20 12:3 20:15	quite 6:7 17:1	rape 29:19	29:2,3,8 30:21	recommended
24:5,12 43:12	24:13 30:7 71:19	Ray 1:23	31:3,4 32:11 38:7	97:16 154:18
45:12 49:11 50:12	74:22,25 82:16	RC/7/I/1 14:11	40:24 41:6,10	reconvene 189:12
63:5 72:7 73:12	84:6,24 100:5,24	re-elected 112:21	44:13 50:3 58:3	record 96:24 117:4
82:23 94:6 100:19	101:13 105:4,22	reached 99:4	66:17,23 79:9	118:12 133:22
100:24 110:17	112:18 114:3	reaching 26:6	85:4 126:13 129:2	135:5 140:10
111:4,5 112:23	115:14 117:14	read 2:3,5,9,14	166:5 167:18	153:3,5,22 154:8
121:15 137:15	120:24 123:24	7:15,16 17:20	180:4	156:5 157:3
154:8 163:13	132:3,10 143:13	22:1,15 25:21	recalled 5:14	187:13
167:2 176:2	145:17 149:14	27:8,19 29:6	recalls 3:18,23 4:4	recorded 11:3
182:14 187:10	176:1,20,25,25	50:21 51:7,17	5:23 6:14	140:9 157:4
189:7	183:4	66:9 71:17 84:14	receive 153:20	171:10
puts 85:14	quotation 63:13	101:23 104:17	188:6,20	recording 165:21
putting 74:6,11	65:8 100:21	106:18 109:7	received 25:3 59:2	records 139:1
110:9 150:7	137:18	134:18 136:6,9	59:12 82:20	148:14,19 150:25
puzzled 126:4	quote 137:13	169:2 177:14	102:19,20 109:10	152:13 153:23
pyjama 4:14	quoted 138:3	180:9 187:13	116:17 128:25	154:23 163:10,15
pyjamas 3:23	quotes 137:1	189:21,24 190:1,4	136:17 140:11	188:4
		reading 1:5,11	155:11 176:23	recounts 5:20 8:9
Q	R	32:12 51:5 52:5	177:17 187:23	recover 148:21
QC 9:25 64:18	R-10 155:9	79:8 98:11 152:3	188:18	recovering 43:6
142:7	R-9 155:10	reads 57:1 84:17	receiving 110:5	refer 86:17 145:5
QCs 79:19	R6D 188:16	108:8 126:11	129:3 135:18,23	149:6,18 151:8
qualified 78:2	RAF 121:18	135:1	137:3	173:18 177:17
quarters 66:12	raise 38:2,5 71:7	real 163:10	recognise 57:24	180:20
question 47:20,21	75:19 90:9 92:11	realised 43:24	98:7 101:3 137:5	reference 13:20
47:22 50:25 51:2	92:17,23 93:1,7	realistic 76:15	164:23	57:6 66:6 68:21
52:1 59:8,9 61:24	94:2 103:1 105:14	reality 46:18	recollect 57:14	70:4,9 86:6 114:5
77:1 84:11 85:6	120:16	really 9:23 14:5	60:16 61:4 62:14	125:18 128:9
89:18,19 100:11	raised 11:6 26:3,18	29:25 37:15 40:4	179:22	143:11,13,15,17
113:20 114:3	26:18 39:25 40:25	41:12,14 44:12,19	recollection 13:22	145:7 153:6
119:3,17 120:2	44:15,17,24 53:19	47:5 49:3 58:12	15:21 23:25 39:7	158:11 159:13
130:24 136:16	53:20,24,25 69:5	68:9 92:14 130:22	43:3,11 44:3,8,10	160:7 174:22
139:15 147:10	70:16,17,20 71:1	142:17 149:24	44:12 51:5 52:2,4	187:22 188:15,24
156:3 187:8,10,12	92:21 96:22	154:20 158:6,11	60:18 61:23,23	references 2:12
187:16	108:13,18 118:24	170:20 183:10	65:16 66:15,25	26:15,23 189:3
questioned 108:14	160:6 168:16	reason 43:1 49:13	67:1,11,14 109:5	referrals 24:17
questions 59:8	raising 44:3,9	125:11 145:12	129:9,15 132:1	referred 26:10 54:8
82:24 88:21	105:15	154:19 157:15	134:2 135:9,22	86:3 107:10 137:2
114:22 118:15,16	ramifications	161:24 169:9	136:21 149:6	146:11 152:21
120:16 135:16	40:17	reasons 9:21 100:4	163:13 172:2	163:12 167:22
139:12 190:10	ran 19:4	100:8 107:3 143:5	recollections 150:4	173:13,22,23
quick 113:20	rang 16:16	recall 11:6 14:18	recommendations	176:24 177:18,25

182:1	168:8 169:21	29:24 30:14	146:3 147:11,13	request/order
referring 38:22	176:19 179:8	removed 72:22	147:16,17,25	31:13
51:23 68:23	relationship 30:2	184:21	148:10,19 150:8	requested 128:20
167:21	105:21 146:1	removing 185:1	152:3 157:17	142:16
refers 21:20 84:5,5	relative 15:25	rent 72:22	158:1,2 159:14,15	require 159:2
101:13 175:7	relaxed 17:1	repeat 47:21 49:17	164:16,18 165:2	165:6 166:13
176:16	release 169:23,24	89:18 101:10	165:22 166:4,25	required 24:17
Reform 146:4	released 171:21	114:3 118:14	167:4,15,20 168:7	26:16 28:23 59:3
147:6	releases 169:22	replaced 23:19	168:10 169:10,12	59:13 60:8 80:14
refused 150:3	relevance 6:4	113:16	169:16,16,17	82:2 83:25 84:1
regard 23:11 27:15	relevant 165:16	replied 5:2 6:1	171:11,11 172:23	160:16 184:22
regarding 14:8	reliable 10:23	report 1:22 8:13	172:24 173:2,7,17	186:12
30:9 70:7 167:19	reliance 149:10	9:6,12,14,23 11:9	174:20 175:2,9	requirement 69:12
regards 159:18	reluctance 149:8	11:17,18 12:4	177:22,24 181:8	requirements
160:12 168:7	149:19	15:5,6,9,11,12,14	181:15 185:22	145:1
172:5,25 173:15	reluctant 149:21,22	15:16,23 16:1,5,7	186:3 187:20	requires 82:5
176:22 177:13	rely 74:16	16:11,13,20 17:16	reportable 135:19	168:18
178:10 181:15	relying 15:24	19:20,21,25 20:2	reported 13:12	research 9:19
183:16	remain 98:16	20:6,10,12,14,17	14:13 21:12 71:24	182:16
regime 100:2	remained 18:22	20:18,19,22 21:4	78:22	researched 189:4
101:14	21:5	21:13,19 25:23	reporting 168:8	researching 19:5
registered 20:1	remand 50:16	26:1,3,4 27:3,8	reporting 100.0	reservations 11:4,5
registration 125:24	remember 9:17,23	28:2,6,9,13,21	27:13,17,21 28:11	residential 51:19
registration 123.21	11:5,17 12:18	32:3 40:6 50:8,12	29:6 30:11 32:24	62:1 68:4
regret 116:3,7	14:25 16:23,25	51:21 55:11 61:1	65:19,20,25 78:13	residents 18:5
regular 119:12	17:3 30:15,19	65:24 66:1,1	102:18,20,21	residual 97:21
164:12	35:13 36:8 39:18	69:20 71:5,25	143:3,23 159:8	resignation 42:10
regularly 146:14	41:15,19 42:2,4,8	73:22 79:3,6	169:16,21 170:14	resistant 25:9
187:24	61:12,14,15,16,16	80:22 81:2,4,6,9	174:19 176:24	resolved 69:23
regulations 86:12	61:18,21 62:16	81:25 82:13,20	177:16	167:7
127:23	66:22 79:8 89:8	83:10 84:16,18	represent 146:20	resort 155:1,15
relate 146:5 159:1	91:10 104:3,6	85:15 86:14,19	representative	resources 36:13
related 172:22	113:8 120:4 124:2	87:8,9,11,13,14	133:21,25	40:7 68:23 70:12
180:1 181:21	129:5,12 138:4	88:10,11,17 89:14	representatives	71:5 78:22 79:1
relates 63:11 153:3	148:23 171:19	89:22 90:1 91:5	97:9 99:6,8,10	80:13 81:15 82:1
relating 142:3	176:18	93:14 97:19 99:18	159:16 162:18	83:24 86:4
144:22 175:21	remembered 62:18	101:22 108:15	represented 120:8	respect 15:2 42:19
relation 1:17 22:21	138:22	109:10,13,13,15	159:19	105:5 148:14
74:23 90:8 96:20	remind 46:25 47:1	109:16,19 110:5	representing 60:10	149:16 154:24
96:21,22 98:10,19	67:18 72:8 74:5	110:20,21,25	reputation 40:18	156:9,24 177:19
99:15,16 126:8	89:16	111:1,17 114:7	103:20,24	respective 25:3
139:20 141:24	reminded 15:19	138:8 140:21	Reputedly 72:15	response 11:22
143:21 144:6	115:14 116:16	141:11,14 142:19	request 136:15	32:7,12 43:3
147:18 158:15	remit 24:8	144:2,3,3 145:12	142:12 145:18	66:13 97:18 134:5
166:10 167:14	remove 15:10	145:18,22 146:1,2	171:12 186:3,4	136:1 141:21
100.10 10/.11		110.10,22 110.1,2	1,1.12 100.5,1	150.1111.21
	l	l	l	l

				rage ZII
166:24 167:1	revolving 43:18	185:9,10,15	182:22	satanic 41:22 43:3
171:10 173:6	RHC001234 72:9	right-hand 58:9	Rochdale' 29:9	satisfactory 12:13
responsibility	RHC001284 94:6	125:5 126:18	Rochdale's 169:4	satisfied 100:12
14:19 18:11 59:5	RHC001480 97:1	128:9 136:8	rock 120:13	satisfy 145:1
69:24 74:13,17	RHC001599 82:23	ring 57:19 88:22	Roderick 7:2 47:9	saw 17:4 20:15
75:10 76:6,11,16	RHC002014 50:15	132:9	47:14,15 51:1,3,7	27:13,24 29:6,13
95:22 115:12,22	RHC002487 153:4	ringing 48:22	52:13 68:14	72:3 97:2 173:19
115:24 116:1	Richard 32:15,16	Riot 18:20	Rodney 7:1,8 14:25	174:14
117:23 118:4,5,11	33:7 44:11 57:23	rise 87:17	15:2	saying 5:7 30:22,24
responsible 117:3,6	61:13 62:13 66:10	risk 48:24	role 36:11 84:4	33:15 44:20,21
117:7,9,11,12,19	76:18 107:12	Rita 54:16 90:23	94:19 97:6,17	45:7,13 60:21,23
117:20 120:12	108:6 184:17	93:12	100:9,11 122:12	61:6,11 66:22
rest 2:12 91:19,19	190:6	RO-10 155:5	150:13 160:25	67:6,7,8,9,12,16
restrictions 146:7	rid 184:19	RO-10 155.5 RO-9 155:5	roles 107:2	69:14,21 70:5,13
result 6:10 14:20	ridiculous 49:16	RO-A10 187:23	rolled 138:21	73:18,18 74:3
15:13 18:2 19:12	ridiculous' 63:18	RO-A10 187:23	roof 24:20	75:16,76:22 93:5
19:18,20 20:5,8	66:21	RO-A9 187:23	room 2:21 3:15,16	93:5,20,21 96:1
25:4 58:15,23	right 3:20 11:11	road 14:22	3:21,24,25 4:5,20	117:4 136:22
59:13 77:20 78:5	13:4 23:2 30:25	Roberts 18:16,17	4:24,25 5:22 6:8	140:6 149:13
94:17 131:19	33:15,22 34:3,14	20:5,13,14 22:15	6:12 7:10,12	150:7 156:20
141:11 154:17	35:15 41:23 43:12	22:18 39:12 190:3	85:25 161:9	170:5 172:24
results 149:12	45:10 49:11 54:24	Roberts' 22:21	routine 2:24 37:11	174:5 177:25
retained 188:9	59:9 60:5 63:1,7	Rochdale 8:11	Rowen 50:4,20	183:7 184:18
retired 1:7 21:17	63:12 67:25 68:17	10:16 13:16 19:14		188:4
22:25 35:5 146:10	69:19 74:22,25	29:10 33:16 35:2	102:23 109:19	says 7:3 14:12
166:12,14 188:22	75:9 78:3,18,20	35:11 39:21 41:1	Rowen's 51:15	21:25 27:7 31:22
retirement 8:5 21:8	78:23 82:15 86:19	43:10 50:8,10,22	rules 86:13 88:1	61:13 62:13 76:25
35:6	87:20 90:18 91:23	50:23 51:13,22	140:5	78:6 86:20 87:9
retract 183:25	94:1 95:11 97:13	52:11 62:2 63:6	rumour 171:5	133:14,16 136:9
return 30:21 56:13	97:14 102:21	64:23 65:23 66:10	183:8,12 184:4	136:10,11 152:3
72:23 105:14	103:7 113:12	68:22 71:13 72:19	rumours 8:18	152:24 167:5,15
121:1 151:12	115:14 121:23	76:19 83:6 86:22	103:25 104:23	172:14
185:6	122:9,10 123:1,6	91:1 103:20,24	182:4,5	scandal 42:23
returned 21:7 28:7	128:14 130:14	104:17 105:23	run 24:1 93:3	43:18 45:5 49:3
31:1	131:5,21,23	106:13 108:6	running 45:8 49:20	73:9,10
revealed 144:6	132:25 134:3	109:9 120:8 129:5	112:20 150:7	scandalous 40:20
reverse 180:17	136:23 141:9	133:19 136:4		49:2 73:20 76:17
review 19:13 20:14	142:6,11 143:6	137:22 139:4	S	scene 121:11
64:17,18,22 65:3	144:18 145:6	141:24 142:1,8,24	sadly 2:5	school 2:17,24 3:1
142:8,11	148:5 152:7	153:18,25 157:24	safe 11:14 12:10	5:7,8,15,15,19 7:3
reviewed 150:18	164:17 165:24	158:23 159:24	same' 85:7,16,19	7:5,6,8 8:14,16,19
181:17,18	170:11 172:4	163:20 165:20	Sargenson 54:16	9:3,5,19 10:20
reviewer 151:6	174:1 175:4,5,24	168:13,25 174:20	89:6 90:23 93:12	11:16,18 12:21
reviewing 150:14	176:25 179:19,20	175:8 176:6	93:22 98:4	13:4,9,10,16,25
revisit 16:15,24	181:2,8 183:3,4	178:13,15 182:3	sat 46:1	14:2,5,17,23 16:2
	1	ı	1	1

	İ	İ	I	I
16:4,15,24 17:2,5	seconded 67:20	177:10	separately 172:19	161:5,12 162:1
17:5 29:2,3 31:5	secondly 1:18	seek 80:13 113:25	September 11:19	163:15 167:11
43:19,20 47:3,16	111:16	114:5 155:1	14:12 15:22 46:23	185:9
48:2,3 51:4,19,19	secrecy 102:4	seeking 69:9 79:18	46:24 47:3,6,16	services' 162:11
52:11,19 53:9	secretarial 122:16	91:8	48:12,25 65:23	serving 146:6,6,8
62:1,5 67:20 68:5	secretaries 122:1	seen 4:3 5:4 12:24	68:13 74:6 96:3	147:8
71:14,16,21 72:14	126:25 127:7	15:9,17 17:4	97:23 141:12	set 18:2,10 38:4,6
72:22 73:4,5	128:23	19:24 22:7 26:1,5	sequence 165:17	77:17 94:22
87:24 89:1 94:15	secretary 8:20 58:7	27:8,17 28:10,22	sergeant 17:25	121:11 141:17,18
94:18 95:23 104:2	121:24 122:3,4,5	32:11 53:16 54:8	148:3 152:5	141:21,22 142:19
115:11,18 120:5,6	122:8 123:2	55:3 59:15,23	159:19 165:14,23	164:7
120:16 143:1,22	128:20 129:9	60:25,25 61:1	166:7 167:23	setting 148:13
151:12 160:21	130:12 136:21	101:24 107:24	171:7,25 173:15	seven 2:25 64:12
162:8,25 168:17	138:2	109:15 110:25	174:2,7,11	65:13,18,20
168:19,22 171:20	section 15:14 16:10	111:18 114:7	sergeants 18:24	sex 4:15 50:15
171:21 175:21	32:22 129:16	125:6,7 127:8	23:22	72:15,24 111:13
181:12,19	136:9	133:7,11,12	series 133:7	sexual 3:1,4,5 6:4
School' 15:15	sections 29:21	139:20 165:15	serious 29:17,18	13:9 18:3 19:11
scope 182:15	86:12	167:4,14,15,18	31:16 39:21 40:11	23:4,11 29:19
Scorer 2:7	sector 68:4	169:11,12 177:8	40:16 47:1,18,23	31:17 43:19 52:13
screen 2:12 7:21	secure 5:16 24:25	188:3,5,7	48:1,14 53:8	52:18 53:8 71:20
17:23 22:20 50:13	security 70:15	Selwyn 99:20	63:23 118:21	73:3 103:25
63:5 72:7 82:23	133:8,20 134:15	send 29:12 127:25	143:1	109:11 110:6
94:6 121:13,16	see 7:23 8:15 16:16	128:3 188:22,24	seriously 45:19	111:17 115:9
125:4 126:18	18:1 21:23 25:14	sending 94:20	132:10	132:8 143:1 169:1
151:7	27:7 48:14 49:24	senior 14:3 18:9,17	serves 52:24	sexually 4:21 6:21
scribbled 21:2	50:4 56:9 58:5,8	18:23 22:24 23:11	service 14:1,6,7	48:4 51:18 62:3
scroll 24:7 31:2	59:17 60:18 61:7	23:16,20 24:9	25:2 122:4 133:8	share 49:19 102:4
se 146:25	63:9 67:12,15	71:3,7 72:14	133:20 134:15	102:10
search 136:18	77:4 83:4 94:21	88:18 109:10	serviced 38:13	shared 145:13
seat 34:3 45:18	102:22 103:7	110:5 116:3	services 12:1,7,14	sharp 156:16
92:4,4 95:11	107:3 108:4	117:21,22 122:7	13:24 24:21 25:7	Sheffield 72:18
99:19 101:22	113:13 120:24	141:8 142:24	25:11 30:17 37:9	Shepherd 16:1
105:20 109:17	126:10,17 131:13	sense 110:9	37:9 39:5 42:9,20	21:11 55:12 66:1
111:2 112:13	133:15 136:22	sensitive 70:14	42:25 43:12 52:21	71:12 72:11 74:1
120:14 121:9	139:5,7 143:10	102:8	52:23 53:1,11,14	111:14 143:3
seats 185:5	145:16 147:3	sensitivity 9:22	55:17 57:8 58:1,5	144:2 157:23
second 1:23 7:16	149:25 151:1	sent 5:12 32:5	58:11 62:7 74:20	158:1 169:16
7:22 8:8 17:17,24	152:11 153:20	125:9 127:18	75:24 77:5,10	172:10
22:12 48:3 57:9	155:9 156:10	182:13 183:23,24	78:18,20,22 81:9	shift 67:7
66:9 79:14 85:5	159:18,21 162:2	sentence 15:10	81:22,25 83:2,11	Shipp 39:8 75:22
119:3 134:24	163:12 165:4	84:23 85:1	84:19 85:12 86:21	77:9,21,25 78:18
135:15 154:13	171:4 173:8 178:5	sentences 48:10	87:4 91:7 108:21	79:3 83:5 87:3
167:13 175:6	seeing 5:5 50:3	separate 145:22	148:19 149:2,9,14	shock 124:5 156:16
second- 76:9	61:16 79:8 166:5	147:6 163:6 189:1	159:17 160:11,20	shocked 30:21

				Tage 210
shocking 105:5	107:14 110:17	52:15,15 62:3	47:21 53:22 57:20	spoke 10:20 35:25
short 35:3 39:13	123:15	77:14 103:12,13	62:22 82:16 100:5	116:23 130:8
44:5 56:16 88:15	Simpson 11:4	104:10 105:14	101:10,19 117:7	132:21 150:4
121:4 156:16	57:17,22 72:6	120:6,9 125:3,10	122:5 139:6 140:3	162:22 173:21
166:25 187:16	single 10:24 46:1,1	129:3,18 131:14	142:22 150:15	174:2,11,12
shorter 17:17	67:20	132:5,21 142:4	152:18 156:3	175:12 176:14
shorthand 122:15	singled 157:5	148:8,14 149:1,12	172:15 178:6	179:21
shortly 112:1 118:2	sink 64:4	149:18,25 151:11	188:7,13,22	spoken 10:10 12:3
157:18,25 181:25	SIO 23:6,8,10,21	151:14 152:23	sort 91:8 124:18	12:7 13:12 132:24
shoulder 117:23	26:11 27:9,14	156:7,24 157:8,10	162:7 164:8 173:5	137:22 165:21
show 56:8,20 60:3	146:13 180:11	163:1,4,5,7,9,21	183:17 185:1	174:15 177:15
166:9 170:10	181:18,19	163:22 182:4	sorts 9:9 15:17	182:21
showed 21:10	Sir 122:8,17,21,25	Smith' 20:11 25:24	sought 78:14 159:9	spokesperson 54:3
173:15	123:8,12,18,20,24	Smith's 104:7	183:25 187:8	54:7 89:1,6,11
showing 166:6	124:16 128:19	snapshot 99:21	sound 137:7	spokespersons
showing 100.0 shown 9:12 10:2	130:9,18 131:3,7	snatching 43:17	sounded 47:17,23	89:13,21
12:20 13:17 14:10	132:5 133:3,19	social 11:2 12:1,7	source 110:11	spurious 28:19
14:11 15:25 25:21	134:15 139:23,25	12:14,16 24:15,18	111:6	SS 57:25
56:7,19 107:25	140:6,8,12	24:21 25:2,6,11	spanked 104:8	staff 2:19 3:3,10,17
shredding 184:6,12	sit 4:10 140:24	25:15 30:10,17	spare 108:3	4:3,24 5:16 6:6,12
184:16 185:19	sitting 4:11 132:9	37:9 42:9,20,25	speak 59:21 122:24	6:22 8:22,25
sickening 64:5	situation 118:8	43:12 52:21,23,25	124:7 149:8,19,23	14:20 16:2 17:14
side 4:15 7:7 58:9	119:11 124:9,14	53:11,14 55:17	156:4 161:25	19:5 24:21 26:12
90:16 121:15	155:7	57:8 58:1,5,11	163:11 165:24	64:11 65:12 71:15
125:5 126:18	six 3:2 39:11,20	62:7 74:19 75:23	170:24 173:1	71:18 72:11,24
128:9 136:8	175:25 176:3,13	77:5,10 148:19	178:25 183:18	93:15 94:18,20
150:23	six-weekly 36:16	149:2,9,14 159:17	186:24	97:6,17,22,25
sided 114:15	size 5:3	160:11,20 161:5	speaking 5:23 78:1	99:18 149:6
sight 172:11,21	Skelhorn 122:8	161:12 162:1,11	124:3	151:13 171:19
sign 127:9 128:6,16	skin 49:6	163:15 167:11	speaks 114:20	stage 12:25 76:7
signature 126:8,10	slightly 138:2	Society 8:1	special 57:22 68:4	156:14 166:12
126:11,19	slippery 14:15	solely 147:12	98:5,6 146:6	185:15 186:21
signed 20:19 21:3	slowly 1:14	solicitor 15:19 46:6	specific 15:3 24:8	stages 130:11
127:8	smacked 29:13,15	75:21 122:5	25:15 70:6 81:14	stairs 3:17,19
significance 159:3	small 2:14,21 3:20	solicitors 128:22	146:4 161:3 166:5	stakeholders 145:3
significant 23:9	23:17 50:14 123:5	188:11	171:1 176:1	Stalybridge 21:7
silly 182:15	smear 63:24 64:4	solid 120:13	186:23	standard 20:23
similar 4:22 18:5	112:18	solving 12:11	specifically 31:5	132:23 186:12
119:6 126:19	smearing 63:10	somebody 52:6	47:7 69:7 143:3	standards 144:17
similarly 135:6	Smith 3:2,6 4:1,7	54:16 81:8 91:3	spelt 105:3	145:23 146:2,3,19
186:1	4:12,24 5:2,14,17	soon 24:13 52:23	spend 80:10 81:17	147:12 173:4
Simon 118:24	5:21 6:1 13:15	56:12 72:23 73:7	spending 82:6	standing 55:16
simple 59:9	19:11,19 27:10	94:25 95:9,17	87:23	63:12 82:7
simply 16:15 34:7	28:2 29:7,8,12	sooner 22:6	spent 23:7 30:3	start 1:5 33:2
34:23 39:3 69:15	31:5,9,15,21	sorry 33:2,23 38:11	SPOC 150:15	102:13 121:10
	ı	ı	I .	1

131:25 142:15 159:19 165:14,23 1667 167:17,23 125:12 125:13 125:12 125:12 125:12 125:12 125:12 125:12 125:13 125:12					raye 217
158:16 176:14 166:71 167:17,23 125:12 92:24 155:23 72:12 155:18 131:20 141:18 171:17,16,25 172:11,14 173:16 Studied 34:11 56:31 76:18,20 72:23 75:17,18 suppressed 177:2 177:12 177:12 stuff 5:6 6:9 72:23 75:17,18 suppressed 177:2 177:12 177:12 stuff 5:6 6:9 72:23 75:17,18 suppressed 177:2 177:12 177:12 suppressed 177:2 177:12 177:12 suppressed 177:2 177:12 177:12 177:12 suppressed 177:2 177:12	131.25 142.15	159:19 165:14 23	struggling 67:5	suggesting 49·13	supposed 71·18
started 16.6 125.2 171:1,16,25 Studid 187:12 suggestion 63:15 suppressed 177:2 suppressed 177:2 131:20 141:18 173:11,21 14173:16 173:11,21 177:2 174:11,15 176:6 173:19,21 174:2,7 174:11,15 176:6 5tudied 34:11 63:17 66:18,20 177:21 177:12 start 31:6 Stevel 0:5,21 12:23 style 45:7 49:23 109:18 101:7,17 suggests 5:19 86:23 suppressed 177:2 statement 1:22,24 102:24 80:13,16,20 81:6 169:18 50:5 59:8,16 2:21,21,517 28:21 5tock 10:5; 4 80:13,16,20 81:6 suite 11:1 50:5 59:8,16 16:17 7, 20:23 109:18 stock 125:4 80:13,16,20 81:6 suite 11:1 50:5 59:8,16 2:21,2,517 28:21 30:13 32:21,23,24 5topping 91:3 subcommittee summarised 152:01 17:7:10 143:16 30:10 187:12 summarised 10:13 106:4 129:1 130:16 133:3 16:19 129:12 125:15 136:20 23:4,6,11,17,25 submit 20:10 13:13 12 summarised 142:13 summarised 122:14 124:2 122:14 124:2 surface 114:10 su		*	00 0		
131:20 141:18		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
142:6 starting 90:12 starts 7:23 129:1 starts 7:23 129:1 starts 7:23 129:1 starts 7:23 129:1 sterolale's 169:6 starts 7:23 129:1 sterolale's 169:6 starts 7:23 129:1 sterolale's 169:6 starts 7:23 129:1 sterolale's 169:6 starts 7:23 129:1 sterolale's 169:6 starts 7:23 129:1 sterolale's 169:6 starts 7:23 129:1 sterolale's 169:6 ster		, ,		00	
starting 90:12 174:11,15 176:6 style 45:7 49:23 175:19 suppression 181:15 state 31:6 Steve 10:5,21 12:23 109:18 stewel 0:5,21 12:23 109:18 stewerdship sub-tab 22:19 109:2,5 110:16 29:5 31:10 42:3 50:5 59:8,16 50:5 59:8,16 64:10 65:11,15 50:5 59:8,16 64:10 65:11,15 50:5 59:8,16 64:10 65:11,15 50:5 59:8,16 64:10 65:11,15 50:5 59:8,16 64:10 65:11,15 50:5 59:8,16 64:10 65:11,15 50:5 59:8,16 64:10 65:11,15 77:16 100:12 77:16 100:12 77:16 100:12 77:16 100:12 100:13 100:13 100:13 100:13 100:13 100:13 100:14 100:12 100:13 100:12 100:12 100:13 100:13 100:13 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:13 100:12 10		· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
starts 7:23 129:1 Sterndale's 169:6 101:7,17 suggests 5:19 86:23 sure 11:10 12:9,16 stated 13:7 15:23 stated 13:7 15:23 stated 13:7 15:23 stub 10:5,21 12:23 stome 15:22,24 stewardship subcommittee 109:18 stewardship 100:24 stokub 13:16,20 81:6 16:17:11 stokub 13:12 subcommittee 169:18 suite 11:1 50:5 59:8,16 64:10 65:11,15 64:10 65:11,15 64:10 65:11,15 67:4,10,13 71:13 77:16 100:12 77:16 100:12 77:16 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:13 77:16 100:12 100:13 106:4 46:10 65:11,15 67:4,10,13 71:13 67:4,10,13 71:13 10:13 106:4 100:12 10:13 106:4 100:12 10:13 106:4 100:12 10:13 106:4 </td <td></td> <td>*</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>		*		-	
state 31:6 Steve 10:5,21 12:23 16:16 17:1 stymie 175:23 sub-tab 22:19 104:12 108:16,25 109:2,5 11:10 6:50:5 59:8,16 29:5 31:10 42:3 statement 1:22,24 2:3,4,15 7:15,16 15:20 17:17,20,23 2:12,15,17 28:21 30:13 32:21,23,24 58:18 94:23 106:17 111:10 stone 169:9 stope 142:13 stopped 142:13 stopping 91:3 12:12 125:15 129:1 130:16 133:13 16:19 129:1 130:16 133:13 169:7 177:14 189:21,23 190:1,3 313 169:7 177:14 189:21,23 190:1,3 313 169:7 177:14 189:21,23 190:1,3 statements 1:12 stranger 160:8 strands 18:19 states 151:11 stationed 23:5 station 21:8 23:6 23:18 153:18 173:19 states 151:11 stationed 23:5 station 21:8 23:6 23:18 153:18 5tephen 57:12 stephen 57:12 strees 28:1 strees 28:1 strees 28:1 strees 28:1 strees 28:1 strees 28:1 strees 28:1 strees 26:3 surgest 47:1 stymie 175:23 sub-tab 22:19 109:2,5 110:16 64:10 66:11,15 64:10 90:5,5 59:8,16 64:10 66:11,15 (6:11,15 6:11,15 state 13:10 7:10:20 submission 32:7,9 submission 32:7,9 submission 32:7,9 submission 32:7,9 submit 20:17 26:2 26:3 30:10 187:12 submit 20:17 26:2 26:3 30:10 187:12 submit 20:17 26:2 26:5,20 27:1,18 superfluous 30:12 summar 1set 12:23 superintendent 15:12 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 substantial 23:7 substantial 23:7 substantial 23:7 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 substantial 23:7 subsquently 4:12 su		· ·	•		
stated 13:7 15:23 16:16 17:1 sub-tab 22:19 109:2,5 110:16 50:5 59:8,16 50:5 59:8,16 64:10 65:11,15 statement 1:22,24 2:3,4,15 7:15,16 stick 125:4 80:13,16,20 81:6 169:18 suite 11:1 67:4,10,13 71:13 77:16 100:12 37:16 100:12 30:13 32:21,23,24 subject 25:15 29:4 summarise 185:23 101:13 106:4 summarise 185:23			,	00	
109:18 statement 1:22,24 2:34,15 7:15,16 102:24 stick 125:4		· /		-	
statement 1:22,24 102:24 80:13,16,20 81:6 suite 11:1 67:4,10,13 71:13 77:16 100:12 2:3,4,15 7:15,16 15:20 17:17,20,23 25tockport 18:11 stoekport 18:11 subject 25:15 29:4 sum 107:16 158:13 77:16 100:12 30:13 3:2:1,23,24 stop 2:22 142:17 submitssion 32:7.9 summarise 18:5:23 summarise 18:5:23 101:13 106:4 113:3,23 116:19 stopped 142:13 stopping 91:3 stopping 91:3 storage 2:21 26:5,20 27:1,18 supmarrises 142:23 120:14 124:4 137:1 151:5,9 136:20 26:5,20 27:1,18 superfluous 30:12 surface 114:10 152:21,25 153:10 storage 2:21 26:5,20 27:1,18 superfluous 30:12 surface 114:10 152:21,25 153:10 story 8:8 42:12 30:13 32:4 181:9 superintendent 1:16,18,19 18:10 surface 114:10 153:13 169:7 132:3 139:6 20:25 26:14 138:8 18:16 19:8,23 surface 114:10 surface 114:10 11:8 strands 182:19 strands 182:19 strands 182:19 167:22 168:1,4,14 surperised 25:10 surperised 25:10 surperised 25:10 surperised 25:10				-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2:3,4,15 7:15,16 15:20 17:17,20,23 22:12,15,17 28:21 30:13 32:21,23,24 58:18 94:23 106:17 111:10 113:3,23 116:19 121:12 125:15 129:1 130:16 137:1 151:5,9 152:21,25 153:10 153:13 169:7 177:14 189:21,23 156:19 177:1 107:12 177:1 107:12 177:1 107:12 177:1 107:12 178		_			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15:20 17:17,20,23 22:12,15,17 28:21 stone 169:9 stope 142:13 17:21 18 19:13 22:14 12			7 7		, ,
22:12,15,17 28:21 stone 169:9 submission 32:7,9 summarised 107:9,17 117:14 120:14 124:4 38:18 94:23 172:18 26:3 30:10 187:12 summarises 142:23 126:23 319:21 126:23 30:10 187:12 summarises 142:23 126:23 319:21 126:23 30:10 187:12 summarises 142:23 126:23 319:21 126:23 30:10 187:12 summarises 142:23 140:1 152:1 126:23 319:21 126:23 17:12 120:25:24 126:3 30:10 187:12 summarises 142:23 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 150:21 132:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 140:1 152:1 150:21 140:1 152:13 22:10 152:13 22:17 131:13 23:1 131:13 23:1 131:13 21:1 131:13 21:1 131:13 21:1 131:13 21:1 131:13 21:1 131:13 21:1 131:13 23:1 131:1 131:1 131:1 131:1 131:1 131:1 131:1 131:1 131:1 131:1 131:1 131:1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
30:13 32:21,23,24 stop 2:22 142:17 submit 20:17 26:2 26:3 30:10 187:12 143:16 summarises 142:23 submary 2:7 summer 166:14 summarises 142:23 submary 2:7 summer 166:14 superfluous 30:12 superintendent 155:10 157:1 stored 188:10 story 8:8 42:12 stored 188:10 story 8:8 42:12 stored 188:10 story 8:8 42:12 super 160:14 superfluous 30:12 superintendent 1:16,18,19 18:10 surfaces 114:20 surface 114:10 surfaces 114:20 surfaces 114:20 surface 114:10 surfaces 114:20		_			
58:18 94:23 172:18 stopped 142:13 stopped 142:13 submitted 20:10 summarises 142:23 126:23 139:21 126:23 12 126:23 139:21 126:23 139:21 126:23 139:21 126:23 139:21			-		
106:17 111:10 stopped 142:13 submitted 20:10 summary 2:7 140:1 152:1 152:1 152:1 152:1 152:1 152:1 152:1 152:1 152:1 152:1 155:10 157:1 150:11 155:10 157:1 150:11 155:10 157:1 150:11 150:11 150:11 150:11 150:11 150:12 150:12 150:12 150:12 150:12 150:12 150:12 150:12 150:12 150:12 150:12		_			
13:3,23 116:19					
121:12 125:15					
129:1 130:16 137:1 151:5,9 150:23 150:10 152:21,25 153:10 152:21,25 153:10 152:21,25 153:10 152:21,25 153:10 152:23 139:6 150:23 170:25 150:25 26:14 138:8 167:20 162:23 164:18,24 surpames 129:14 surpames 129:	,				
137:1 151:5,9 152:21,25 153:10 152:21,25 153:10 152:21,25 153:10 153:13 169:7 177:14 189:21,23 150:23 170:25 183:5,6 183:5,6 17:21 107:21 17:21 107:21 111:8 11:8 11:8 11:8 11:18 11				_	
152:21,25 153:10 story 8:8 42:12 subpage 126:17 18:16 19:8,23 surmise 153:16 153:13 169:7 132:3 139:6 133:11 20:5,13 22:17 surname 129:12 177:14 189:21,23 183:5,6 20:25 26:14 138:8 148:2,2 159:22,25 surname 129:14 190:1,3 strands 182:19 strands 182:19 167:20 162:23 164:18,24 surprised 25:10 111:8 stranger 160:8 strategy 52:20 105:8 165:18,19 166:11 65:11 131:7 stating 176:11 street 13:15 21:8 substantial 23:7 167:22 168:1,4,14 163:18 180:17 street 13:15 21:8 substantial 23:7 167:22 180:10,19 suspect 55:12 23:18 153:18 62:3 77:14 124:19 substantial 23:7 179:22 180:10,19 suspect 55:12 stationed 23:5 149:18,25 151:11 suck 4:16 supervisor 24:23 30:4,17 supervisor 24:23 steeped 86:11 157:8,10 163:1,4 suffering 115:10 supplemented 145:18 190:12,18 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 154:24 156:6 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:		<u> </u>		_	
153:13 169:7 132:3 139:6 133:11 20:5,13 22:17 surname 129:12 177:14 189:21,23 150:23 170:25 subsequent 15:1 23:15 141:5,7 surname 129:14 190:1,3 strands 182:19 167:20 162:23 164:18,24 surprised 25:10 111:8 stranger 160:8 subsequently 4:12 165:18,19 166:11 131:3 111:8 strategy 52:20 105:8 166:19,21 167:17 surrounding 64:10 180:17 street 13:15 21:8 substantial 23:7 161:15 166:9 179:22 180:10,19 suspect 55:12 23:18 153:18 62:3 77:14 124:19 148:8,14 149:1,12 substantiate 170:5 supervisor 24:23 suspect 55:12 stationed 23:5 149:18,25 151:11 suck 4:16 supervisors 150:10 supervisors 150:10 supert 25:12 43:5 steeped 86:11 157:8,10 163:1,4 suffering 115:10 suffering 115:10 supplemented 145:18 system 18:21 19:4 23:18 24:11 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td>30:13 32:4 181:9</td><td>, ,</td><td>surfaces 114:20</td></t<>	1		30:13 32:4 181:9	, ,	surfaces 114:20
177:14 189:21,23 150:23 170:25 subsequent 15:1 23:15 141:5,7 surnames 129:14 190:1,3 strands 182:19 167:20 162:23 164:18,24 131:3 17:21 107:21 stranger 160:8 subsequently 4:12 165:18,19 166:11 131:3 111:8 Strangeways 18:19 subsequently 4:12 166:19,21 167:17 65:11 131:7 stating 176:11 strategy 52:20 105:8 167:22 168:1,4,14 163:18 180:17 street 13:15 21:8 substantial 23:7 171:24 179:14,15 163:18 180:17 street 13:15 21:8 substantial 23:7 179:22 180:10,19 suspect 55:12 23:18 153:18 62:3 77:14 124:19 171:1 supervisor 24:23 suspected 14:22 23:18 153:18 148:8,14 149:1,12 171:1 suck 4:16 supplemented 145:18 152:23 156:7,24 157:8,10 163:1,4 suffering 115:10 supplemented 145:18 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step ln 37:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19	152:21,25 153:10	story 8:8 42:12	subpage 126:17	18:16 19:8,23	surmise 153:16
190:1,3 183:5,6 20:25 26:14 138:8 148:2,2 159:22,25 surprised 25:10 statements 1:12 strands 182:19 stranger 160:8 subsequently 4:12 165:18,19 166:11 surrounding 64:10 111:8 Strangeways 18:19 strategy 52:20 105:8 167:22 168:1,4,14 surrounding 64:10 stating 176:11 55:25 57:6 58:9 substantial 23:7 167:22 168:1,4,14 surveillance 163:17 station 21:8 23:6 23:6 52:15,15 substantial 23:7 171:24 179:14,15 163:18 suspect 55:12 23:18 153:18 62:3 77:14 124:19 148:8,14 149:1,12 171:1 supervisor 24:23 suspected 14:22 stationed 23:5 149:18,25 151:11 suck 4:16 supervisors 150:10 sworn 121:6 140:22 steeped 86:11 157:8,10 163:1,4 sufficiently 43:23 supplemented 145:18 system 18:21 19:4 Stelfox 18:10 23:16 187:25 strees 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 strees 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 25:29 68:3 stroke 6:9	153:13 169:7	132:3 139:6	133:11	20:5,13 22:17	surname 129:12
statements 1:12 strands 182:19 stranger 167:20 167:20 162:23 164:18,24 131:3 111:8 Strangeways 18:19 subsequently 4:12 165:18,19 166:19,21 167:17 65:11 131:3 states 151:11 strategy 52:20 105:8 167:22 166:19,21 167:17 65:11 131:7 stating 176:11 55:25 57:6 58:9 substantial 23:7 167:22 168:14,41 163:18 180:17 street 13:15 21:8 substantial 23:7 179:22 180:10,19 163:18 173:19 174:6 148:8,14 149:11,12 171:1 179:22 180:10,19 163:18 163:18 suspect 55:12 suspect 163:18 suspect 13:18 suspect 163:18 suspect	177:14 189:21,23	150:23 170:25	subsequent 15:1	23:15 141:5,7	surnames 129:14
17:21 107:21 stranger 160:8 subsequently 4:12 165:18,19 166:11 surrounding 64:10 111:8 Strangeways 18:19 31:23 47:10 56:2 166:19,21 167:17 65:11 131:7 stating 176:11 55:25 57:6 58:9 substantial 23:7 167:22 168:1,4,14 surveillance 163:17 180:17 street 13:15 21:8 substantial 23:7 171:24 179:14,15 163:18 23:18 153:18 23:6 52:15,15 substantial 27:5 substantial 23:7 supervisor 24:23 suspect 55:12 23:18 153:18 148:8,14 149:1,12 substantial 23:7 supervisor 24:23 suspects 26:13 173:19 174:6 148:8,14 149:1,12 suck 4:16 supervisors 150:10 supended 142:11 statutory 159:16 152:23 156:7,24 suffering 115:10 supplemented 190:12,18 steeped 86:11 157:8,10 163:1,4 sufficiently 43:23 support 25:12 43:5 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 strees 28:1 154:24 156:6 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9	190:1,3	183:5,6	20:25 26:14 138:8	148:2,2 159:22,25	surprised 25:10
111:8 Strangeways 18:19 31:23 47:10 56:2 166:19,21 167:17 65:11 131:7 states 151:11 strategy 52:20 105:8 167:22 168:1,4,14 surveillance 163:17 180:17 street 13:15 21:8 substantial 23:7 171:24 179:14,15 163:18 station 21:8 23:6 23:6 52:15,15 substantial 23:7 180:24,25 suspect 55:12 23:18 153:18 62:3 77:14 124:19 substantial 23:7 180:24,25 suspect 55:12 173:19 174:6 148:8,14 149:1,12 substantial 23:7 supervisor 24:23 suspect 55:12 stationed 23:5 149:18,25 151:11 suck 4:16 supervisors 150:10 sworn 121:6 140:22 statutory 159:16 152:23 156:7,24 suffering 115:10 supplemented 145:18 system 18:21 19:4 Stelfox 18:10 23:16 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 35:20 186:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	statements 1:12	strands 182:19	167:20	162:23 164:18,24	131:3
states 151:11 strategy 52:20 105:8 167:22 168:1,4,14 surveillance 163:17 180:17 street 13:15 21:8 substantial 23:7 171:24 179:14,15 163:18 180:17 street 13:15 21:8 161:15 166:9 179:22 180:10,19 suspect 55:12 23:18 153:18 23:6 52:15,15 substantiate 170:5 supervisor 24:23 suspected 14:22 173:19 174:6 148:8,14 149:1,12 171:1 suck 4:16 supervisors 150:10 supended 142:11 statutory 159:16 152:23 156:7,24 sucking 4:16 supplemented 190:12,18 steeped 86:11 157:8,10 163:1,4 sufficiently 43:23 support 25:12 43:5 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	17:21 107:21	stranger 160:8	subsequently 4:12	165:18,19 166:11	surrounding 64:10
stating 176:11 55:25 57:6 58:9 substantial 23:7 171:24 179:14,15 163:18 station 21:8 23:6 23:6 52:15,15 substantial 23:7 161:15 166:9 179:22 180:10,19 suspect 55:12 23:18 153:18 23:6 52:15,15 substantial 23:7 180:24,25 suspect 55:12 23:18 153:18 62:3 77:14 124:19 substantial 23:7 180:24,25 suspected 14:22 23:19 174:6 148:8,14 149:1,12 171:1 supervisor 24:23 suspended 142:11 stationed 23:5 149:18,25 151:11 suck 4:16 supervisors 150:10 sworn 121:6 140:22 steeped 86:11 157:8,10 163:1,4 suffering 115:10 supplemented 145:18 system 18:21 19:4 Stelfox 18:10 23:16 163:5,7,9,21,22 sufficiently 43:23 support 25:12 43:5 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	111:8	Strangeways 18:19	31:23 47:10 56:2	166:19,21 167:17	65:11 131:7
180:17 street 13:15 21:8 161:15 166:9 179:22 180:10,19 suspect 55:12 station 21:8 23:6 23:6 52:15,15 substantiate 170:5 supervisor 24:23 suspected 14:22 23:18 153:18 62:3 77:14 124:19 148:8,14 149:1,12 171:1 supervisor 24:23 suspects 26:13 173:19 174:6 149:18,25 151:11 suck 4:16 supervisors 150:10 sworn 121:6 140:22 statutory 159:16 152:23 156:7,24 sucking 4:16 supplemented 190:12,18 stelfox 18:10 23:16 163:5,7,9,21,22 sufficiently 43:23 support 25:12 43:5 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	states 151:11	strategy 52:20	105:8	167:22 168:1,4,14	surveillance 163:17
station 21:8 23:6 23:6 52:15,15 substantiate 170:5 180:24,25 suspected 14:22 23:18 153:18 62:3 77:14 124:19 148:8,14 149:1,12 171:1 supervisor 24:23 suspects 26:13 173:19 174:6 149:18,25 151:11 149:18,25 151:11 suck 4:16 supervisors 150:10 suspended 142:11 statutory 159:16 152:23 156:7,24 sucking 4:16 supplemented 190:12,18 stelfox 18:10 23:16 163:5,7,9,21,22 sufficiently 43:23 support 25:12 43:5 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	stating 176:11	55:25 57:6 58:9	substantial 23:7	171:24 179:14,15	163:18
23:18 153:18 62:3 77:14 124:19 substantive 67:12 supervisor 24:23 suspects 26:13 173:19 174:6 148:8,14 149:1,12 171:1 30:4,17 suspended 142:11 stationed 23:5 149:18,25 151:11 suck 4:16 supervisors 150:10 sworn 121:6 140:22 statutory 159:16 152:23 156:7,24 sucking 4:16 supplemented 190:12,18 stelfox 18:10 23:16 163:5,7,9,21,22 sufficiently 43:23 support 25:12 43:5 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	180:17	street 13:15 21:8	161:15 166:9	179:22 180:10,19	suspect 55:12
23:18 153:18 62:3 77:14 124:19 substantive 67:12 supervisor 24:23 suspects 26:13 173:19 174:6 148:8,14 149:1,12 171:1 30:4,17 suspended 142:11 stationed 23:5 149:18,25 151:11 suck 4:16 supervisors 150:10 sworn 121:6 140:22 statutory 159:16 152:23 156:7,24 sucking 4:16 supplemented 190:12,18 stelfox 18:10 23:16 163:5,7,9,21,22 sufficiently 43:23 support 25:12 43:5 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	station 21:8 23:6	23:6 52:15,15	substantiate 170:5	180:24,25	suspected 14:22
stationed 23:5 149:18,25 151:11 suck 4:16 supervisors 150:10 sworn 121:6 140:22 statutory 159:16 152:23 156:7,24 sucking 4:16 supplemented 190:12,18 steeped 86:11 157:8,10 163:1,4 suffering 115:10 supplemented 145:18 system 18:21 19:4 Stelfox 18:10 23:16 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	23:18 153:18	62:3 77:14 124:19	substantive 67:12	supervisor 24:23	
statutory 159:16 152:23 156:7,24 sucking 4:16 supplemented 190:12,18 steeped 86:11 157:8,10 163:1,4 suffering 115:10 supplemented 145:18 system 18:21 19:4 Stelfox 18:10 23:16 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	173:19 174:6	148:8,14 149:1,12	171:1	30:4,17	suspended 142:11
statutory 159:16 152:23 156:7,24 sucking 4:16 supplemented 190:12,18 steeped 86:11 157:8,10 163:1,4 suffering 115:10 supplemented 145:18 system 18:21 19:4 Stelfox 18:10 23:16 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	stationed 23:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	suck 4:16	supervisors 150:10	_
steeped 86:11 157:8,10 163:1,4 suffering 115:10 145:18 system 18:21 19:4 Stelfox 18:10 23:16 163:5,7,9,21,22 sufficiently 43:23 support 25:12 43:5 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16		*	sucking 4:16	_	190:12,18
Stelfox 18:10 23:16 163:5,7,9,21,22 sufficiently 43:23 support 25:12 43:5 26:4,8,9,9 27:5,16 23:18 24:11 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16		,		11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23:18 24:11 187:25 suggest 89:12 132:3 64:17 159:20 27:19,22 28:4,12 step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C	support 25:12 43:5	
step 113:1,1 stress 28:1 154:24 156:6 163:15 164:7 28:16,20 30:6 Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	23:18 24:11		·	1 1	
Stephen 57:12 strict 82:7 169:10 174:19 168:25 169:5 32:3,10 119:8,9 67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16			00		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
67:23 68:3 stroke 6:9 suggested 78:1 185:20 186:8,12 124:21 125:16	<u> </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	_				
steps 9:1 76:18 stroked 6:12 132:5 166:18 support' 64:19 148:25 155:22			20		
150:19 strong 30:5 171:24 173:16 supporting 161:22					
Sterndale 148:3 structure 18:12 177:22 180:3 suppose 106:25 T		S			T
2.1. 2.1.				FF -35 - 55.	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

T-shirt 4:8	team 18:12,24 19:3	189:8,12,13	116:14 117:22	132:12 138:16
tab 7:20 17:22	23:17,22 24:22	that' 168:23	120:6 121:19,24	thoughts 96:11
22:18,19 140:21	26:5 27:20 64:19	theft 151:23 152:1	122:20,23 123:20	thousands 27:13
take 12:2 31:19	148:21	thefts 151:15	124:2 126:11	thread 153:21
59:5,14 61:7 70:2	teams 19:1 58:11	theory 40:24	128:5 130:2 132:5	157:19,20 162:14
72:19 77:22 90:16	tease 6:9	they'd 150:19	132:11 133:7	164:15
100:3,6,9,21	technical 33:4	159:4 176:3,23	135:11,25 136:5	three 3:3 4:4 8:17
113:18 116:1	telephone 91:4	184:24 188:18	137:16,21 138:22	18:23,25 34:8,10
118:6,7 120:22	telephoned 8:11	thick 145:17	139:3 142:6,23	39:11,23 40:6,11
121:8 130:9,11,14	48:21 91:9 135:2	thing 11:7 49:9	144:10 145:4,9	47:12 117:21
132:10 133:6	telephones 91:1	67:9,16 69:20	146:7 150:25	118:23 119:18
142:15,16 146:25	tell 20:16 44:2	73:10 90:7 91:10	152:5 153:21	145:8 169:15,21
147:16 152:10	50:17 60:1 66:7	93:19 102:2	155:3,11 156:10	170:14 176:15,19
161:4,8,9,22	77:2 92:16 93:24	106:11 110:23	156:20 159:7,25	176:24 177:11
162:5 166:25	96:6,11 118:20	125:2	161:2 162:20	threshold 147:2
170:6 174:10	119:21 122:7,17	things 9:10 11:10	164:21 165:1,12	186:20
178:9	136:10	16:17 17:2 37:14	165:15 166:18	throes 96:8
taken 2:18 3:14,24	telling 44:19 45:6	49:18,19 67:4	167:1,21 171:7,9	throw 175:15
9:2,5 21:18,25	45:20 51:11 53:6	73:25 79:19 90:20	173:15 174:9,15	Thursday 19:15
22:12 59:3,13	73:16 83:3 91:14	109:25 111:11	174:25 175:10	39:25 58:6 102:24
72:21 73:7 94:23	99:22 110:16	123:22 131:11	177:21 179:6,21	time 4:2 6:6 8:16
94:24 109:19	111:3 124:5 151:2	157:17,21 160:2	180:5 181:8	8:20 11:15 12:4
141:25 142:3,3	tells 133:19	184:18,25	182:22 183:20	12:15,18 14:14
148:15 150:19	temporary 141:7	think 9:9 11:21	184:10 185:3	15:25 16:5 18:12
154:4 168:21	ten 173:21	16:3,20,22 18:10	187:20 188:23	19:1 23:8,10
171:8 172:9	tend 154:23 156:6	22:16 29:6 32:12	thinking 48:23	27:14 30:4 34:13
176:12 178:7	169:10	34:13 35:1,15,25	96:6 162:1	35:17,19 38:24
188:10	tends 89:12	38:22 39:20 40:19	thinks 5:18	39:8,13 41:1
talk 8:22,24 11:12	tentative 13:3	41:12,18 42:12	third 4:23	43:16 44:5,7
16:2 17:8 37:17	term 67:20	43:8,14,21 44:1	third-hand 76:9	45:18 48:9,12
127:19 150:3	terms 59:19 75:10	45:13 46:18 49:2	thirdly 1:19 40:9	50:1,23 51:6 55:1
talked 105:6	95:19 100:20	49:9 54:17,19	Thomas 122:25	56:3,4,9,12,12,20
talking 46:24 47:5	104:14 143:11,13	55:3,6 56:7,19	123:8,12,18,20,24	58:7,14 62:19,23
65:20,21 82:6	143:15,16 144:11	57:18,20 60:19	124:16 128:19	65:3,24 66:2,4
86:16 92:19 112:5	145:7 158:11	62:20 63:6 65:19	130:9,18 131:3	73:19,23 75:22
117:8 119:8 124:2	162:14 172:19	67:1,7,9,16 68:3	133:3,19 134:15	77:12 82:21 92:17
145:5 149:20	test 100:12	68:20 75:3 78:21	139:23,25 140:6,8	92:23 93:1,12,17
159:6	Thank 1:9 32:15,25	79:9 84:1,9 85:4	140:12	93:24 96:3,5,14
Tameside 34:15	50:17 56:13 88:13	86:1,3,4 89:7	thorough 55:15	96:16 99:21,21,25
Taylor 12:16	119:3 120:1,10,18	90:10 91:9 92:15	thought 10:9,22,23	100:1 102:3
teacher 6:17 67:19	120:19,20,25	96:23 98:8,16	11:7,23 12:8 13:3	103:12,21 104:9
70:8 72:2	121:1,9 139:11,14	99:23 100:4 102:3	13:10 25:13 47:17	105:10 106:15
teachers 69:22,25	140:14,15,16	102:6,8,14 103:21	52:25 72:20 87:17	109:17,23 110:13
teaching 97:22	141:1,20 156:20	105:22 106:1	88:12 89:3 92:19	111:2 112:15
99:11	164:12 187:7	107:10 111:24,25	126:6 131:11	113:9 114:10,20
L				

	1	1	1	1
115:4 118:22,23	30:25 42:1,10	transcript 1:15	37:15 41:12 47:16	168:2 169:14
119:14 120:15	48:15,16,17,18	transparent 119:10	66:8 67:3,8,15	178:23 183:17
122:14,23 123:15	49:15 50:6,20	traumatic 43:6	93:13 98:15 99:2	understood 60:9
123:21 124:19	53:18 55:6 59:10	travel 72:19	107:21 111:8,11	103:10
125:12,16 127:10	60:6,17 66:15	trawled 148:21	118:23 120:23	undertake 166:15
127:24 128:15	67:11 69:9 72:11	treated 71:18	127:1 136:23	undertaken 18:8
130:2,7,14 132:1	74:4 75:3,14,14	treating 86:9	140:11,13 146:17	165:4 185:8
132:21 134:10	76:8 77:6 78:25	155:23	152:21 155:6	undertaking 142:8
138:21 141:23	79:5,10 81:19	treatment 156:16	167:19 169:8	172:2
142:6 144:18	82:19 86:25 89:19	trickled 87:5	176:10 178:10	undertook 141:15
149:7,20 154:23	90:6 93:15 95:21	tried 6:20 31:10	181:20,22 182:10	unfortunately
155:6 156:19	96:17 98:20 99:23	166:7 169:19	type 9:19 14:15	179:5,5
158:6,12 159:4	111:16 116:24	175:10,22	typed 20:23,24	uniformed 172:17
161:16,19 162:2,6	118:18 130:17	trip 132:12	21:2,3,19,25 26:5	174:14
163:14,19,19	133:22 134:15	trouble 33:2	28:7,12,13,14,20	unilateral 162:7
166:2 168:20	135:4 136:18	true 34:6 103:2,4	31:25 32:2	unilaterally 162:10
169:13,19 174:21	139:1 155:8	133:1	typewritten 107:19	union 97:8 99:6
176:17 179:5,16	184:14	truly 25:5	typing 28:6,11,17	unions 98:6,17,20
181:12 184:4,9,13	tomorrow 187:13	trust 61:8	122:15	98:24 99:8,11
187:11	189:13	truth 10:13 46:20		unique 26:14,21,22
times 99:23 102:6	Tony 19:2,8	46:21,21 110:16	U	125:24
115:15 125:6	top 23:13 27:25	124:12,13	ultimately 145:12	unit 25:12 71:14
145:8 146:15	30:23 83:4 86:18	try 1:14 43:12	146:20 160:19	159:20 165:15
150:3,21,21 164:5	86:20 94:7 125:18	63:24 64:4 113:4	172:24	168:25 169:5
169:18	135:1 153:12	148:21,25 150:11	unable 92:25 165:9	university 34:8
title 15:15 40:4,5	topic 103:12 133:6	156:17 157:18	unaware 53:10	unknown 151:13
titled 25:24	topics 181:22	161:9 163:13	87:21 88:7 115:15	unnecessarily
today 31:25 89:9	Tory 54:3,14 89:10	164:15 170:7	underlined 58:8	30:11
107:10 119:10,15	total 4:5 107:16	trying 41:18 61:21	undermine 113:5	unrestricted 15:13
134:10 173:9	totally 10:23 64:17	62:7,15 150:8	understand 58:19	unsighted 45:16
189:2,11	131:12	163:8 169:8	67:5 81:21 85:20	76:23
toilet 153:15	touched 148:9	170:13 174:17	99:13 113:25	unsure 154:4
toilets 13:16 52:15	tour 17:2	177:6,12	114:15 115:8,9,17	untoward 11:15
52:16 62:3 72:17	town 75:22 76:19	TT 126:3	115:20 116:11	untrue 111:5
148:8,14 149:1,12	103:23 105:6	Tuesday 1:1	118:1,3 125:12	untruthfully
149:18 151:11	106:3	turn 23:13 25:18	148:12 149:13,15	133:22
152:23 153:1,7,25	trace 27:21 137:2	27:25 29:20	156:20 157:11	unusual 28:1 78:10
154:3,17,21 156:7	track 172:13	turned 2:16	166:1 168:4	78:11,13 128:15
156:24 157:8,10	tracked 149:2	TV 7:10,12	173:24 174:17	130:21
163:1,4,6,8,9,21	trackie 4:7	twice 37:12 140:10	185:4 187:8	unwillingness
163:22 164:7	trade 98:24	166:23	understanding	102:4
187:25	trail 184:15	two 3:25 4:5 6:3	106:5 138:10	upsetting 11:14
told 4:10,21 5:25	train 71:15	8:17 17:21 21:24	152:16 157:5	use 11:4 18:21
6:17,23 20:3	training 71:19	27:17,21 29:21	158:5,17 160:14	63:23 114:16
27:20 29:23 30:20	72:12	30:5 36:17,20	160:18 161:14	150:21

	66.16.10.67.2.20	Waller 1.21 190.6		102.10 105.5
usual 78:9,12	66:16,19 67:2,20	Waller 1:21 180:6	watch 6:17 174:9	183:10 185:5
usually 26:20	67:21 69:5,8 70:7	180:19	watched 71:17	west 125:20,22
127:14	70:15 71:15,21	wandered 127:18	watching 7:13	whatsoever 44:10
utter 63:24	72:2 73:21 76:3,7	want 20:16 56:20	way 10:12,16,17,24	44:12 51:9 101:7
utterly 45:4 46:10	76:21 87:20,21	61:7 96:25 98:1	25:19 27:17 28:13	120:17
53:7 74:9 87:19	91:6 93:14,15,25	102:6,15 106:1	49:6 51:9 70:17	whichever 49:6
87:22 88:8 111:20	96:18,23 97:7	125:1 143:19	73:12 96:7 108:9	whilst 21:15 23:5
	99:24 104:2,3,14	148:7 154:14	117:25 119:6,13	25:12 120:2
V	107:13,15 108:14	157:16 171:6	129:25 130:22	140:19
vague 105:2 116:20	112:15 115:13,25	172:19 175:6	134:18 143:10	whip 106:12,12,15
149:11	116:13,15 117:9	181:23 185:21	156:6,15 157:15	106:24,24 107:12
Val 21:11 58:7	117:15 118:19,21	wanted 5:13 23:18	159:7 174:12	108:10 109:22
167:15,20	119:2,24 120:5,16	37:16,20 49:1	177:23 182:16	176:11
Valerie 7:15,17	141:24 143:1,22	55:10,15 71:2	ways 39:23 40:6,11	wide 64:2
143:4 189:23	160:21 162:8,25	84:14 123:20	155:21	wider 147:14
valid 92:25	166:12 175:21	131:12,16 139:19	we've 167:13	Wild 105:7
various 37:4	181:12,18	152:18 177:13	wearing 3:11 4:7	Wilkinson 8:21
108:11,19,23	views 77:9,16	182:8 186:25	website 1:13 2:1	William 22:15,18
148:21	vigilant 43:1,9	wants 76:20	17:18	190:3
vehicle 26:17	Vince 23:23 25:10	war 112:9 114:14	Wednesday 189:16	Williams 48:18,19
verbal 20:7	25:11,14 26:2,2	114:17	week 7:20 32:22	57:4 72:6
version 21:19,20,25	27:18 28:5 29:23	ward 33:9	50:6 84:9 140:21	willing 165:22
versions 9:22	29:24,25 30:6,17	wardship 41:21	154:9	Wilson 24:23
vetting 18:9	30:20 32:4,7,8	warrant 29:17	weekly 117:16	win 92:4,4
VH/2 21:5 25:21	Vincent 17:20,23	wasn't 9:4 10:8	weeks 73:13 84:8	wise 168:11
27:8 28:6,13,15	190:1	12:6 14:7 16:17	93:13 114:19	wish 85:1
32:1	visions 101:1	16:21 45:7 47:19	welfare 9:2 57:18	withdrew 120:21
vice 70:1	visit 13:22 17:5,6	48:8 50:25 53:3	57:20,21 161:7	140:17
victims 19:17 26:13	visited 16:20	54:3,6 56:4 60:9	well-being 161:6	witness 2:3 7:20
64:1 149:8,19	visiting 13:20	69:1 73:11 75:15	went 4:10 8:15	58:18 100:21
155:24	vocal 30:9	77:14 79:5 86:2	10:19 11:22 16:2	113:23 120:21
video 11:4,7	volume 7:19 17:21	92:12 99:24 105:8	24:8 68:21 71:16	121:12 140:17,18
view 2:16,17,24 3:1	33:3 140:21	107:6 109:16	86:6 90:18 99:1	151:5,9 152:21
3:9 5:15,15,19 7:3	vote 64:2	111:1 122:14	107:20 115:18	169:6 177:14
8:14,16 9:3 12:21	vulnerable 31:19	123:12 124:15	119:1,21 127:22	178:6 189:21
13:15,16 15:15	115:18	129:22 130:23,25	132:15 148:25	witnesses 26:13
16:5 22:2 29:2,3,7	113.10	131:23 132:7,13	163:12 172:12	120:23
29:10 31:5 43:19	W			
44:2,3,9,14,24	$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ 125:20	138:14 139:21	176:17 184:13,14	wonder 56:21 94:7
45:5,17 46:11,19	waiting 140:19	160:10 168:5	188:12	108:3 148:9
47:3,12 49:17	wake 10:14	170:13 172:25	weren't 35:1 38:10	wondered 17:14
50:18 51:4,9,12	walk 76:24	174:13 177:10	38:12 43:24 95:2	153:2
* *	walked 5:12	182:25 185:17	123:10,11 129:8	wondering 188:2
51:15,19 52:14	Walker 18:14	186:22	130:23 138:6	wooded 7:9
53:4 63:16,23		waste 184:19	141:6 144:16	Woolf 145:9
64:11 65:12,24	walks 76:18	185:14	150:6 162:25	word 44:24,25 45:1

53:14 76:1 90:7	writeup 50:10,11		88:9 94:17	82:14,17 87:18
96:17 134:18	writing 71:24 96:12		187 190:22	88:9 89:17 90:4,8
139:21 146:8	97:4 124:22	zoom 14:10 133:14	19 102:24 168:13	91:1,23 93:4
169:17	177:25 189:7	135:1,15 136:8	1962 128:13	94:12,17 96:1
words 30:5,22 58:9	written 28:9 32:6	zooming 136:5	1970 19:9 125:3,10	97:4 99:18,19
58:21 68:14 77:11	58:21 86:11	0	127:9 128:17	101:24 109:14
78:9 79:11 110:10	109:11 110:6		133:2	110:22 143:24
110:18 111:4	187:12	1	1972 84:2	153:23 154:2,16
180:22 182:10	wrong 6:11 16:18	1 13:18 69:24 94:12	1973 121:22	156:6 164:19
wore 123:9	54:20 65:25 69:1	95:2,16 96:1	1974 104:5 122:7	166:3,14 172:7
work 9:16 10:23	69:4 70:19,23,25	1.00 87:16 88:14	128:18	174:21 184:6
16:6 22:11 24:15	134:12 137:13,16	10 6:13 21:9 24:18	1977 8:18 122:25	1992' 64:14
30:9 79:22 81:9	137:18,21	29:21 66:7 121:2	1979 104:17 106:2	1994 173:17 175:14
81:14 92:14 166:5	wrote 84:15 116:24	141:19 178:8,9	125:1 129:1	176:5,6 188:4
185:8	140:1 164:16	10-minute 120:23	1980 33:8	1996 168:13 173:17
worked 25:11 27:5		10.30 1:2 189:16	1980s 148:16	174:22 175:2
31:11 43:23 71:12	X	100 7:6 69:21	149:21	176:7 177:17
75:11 164:6 184:8	X 189:19	11 2:16 3:9 20:21	1982 2:16 5:20	178:3
worker 12:16 24:15	T 7	21:23 56:1 58:6	1983 5:20	1997 8:6 18:15,16
25:15 30:10	<u>Y</u>	58:22 59:14,25	1985 33:11	1998 19:6,14,15,21
109:11 110:6	year 5:18 16:16	74:23 106:16	1986 33:15,18,20	20:6 22:24 23:5
111:14	33:22,23 39:20	108:6 111:21	36:5 66:11	176:9
workers 11:2 24:18	52:10 95:4 107:5	116:18 130:16	1987 33:18	1999 20:4,8,11 21:3
working 12:15 25:6	108:7 111:11	11.55 56:15	1989 45:18	21:6 25:25 29:24
25:13,15 26:12	126:2 130:8 165:3 years 5:23 6:1,6 8:3	118 190:10	1990 11:19 46:23	
28:5 30:2 34:15	8:5,17 30:3 34:9	12 6:13 14:12 19:15	46:24 47:3,6,16	$\frac{2}{27200001021}$
34:18 35:4 42:18	34:10 35:9 36:5	131:2	47:19 48:12,25	2 7:20 8:8 19:21
42:21 90:13,17	39:11,20 44:23	12.10 56:14,17	68:16,25 70:24	63:6 140:21,21
91:11 92:2,6	46:11 47:2,12	121 190:12,14	74:6 96:3 97:7,23	142:19 189:21
131:10 175:14	48:8 61:21 64:9	13 54:23 88:19	159:2 188:4	2.00 88:12,16 2.52 121:3
works 26:9	65:9,10 66:16	89:17,23 92:20	1990s 148:16	2.52 121:3 20 19:6 71:24 73:13
worried 16:17	115:11 118:23	93:6,10 131:19 132:15 140:21	149:21	96:2
worry 119:5 128:11	119:9,24 124:4	132 .13 140.21 139 190:16	1991 8:10 10:3	20-odd 61:21
wouldn't 44:17	131:10 144:2	14 85:6 112:6	11:20 12:21 13:18	2000 23:1 176:10
80:2,4,5 82:3 90:9	155:6 161:2	132:19 153:19	14:12 15:22 42:2	176:11
90:16 91:12 92:18	years' 30:3	184:10	52:11 53:7 56:1 56:25 57:7 59:14	2001 21:9
92:22 99:3,3,12 100:19 101:17	yesterday 68:12	140 190:18,20	62:1 65:22,23	2002 146:4
128:17 135:11	71:18	15 71:16 97:4	67:22,24 71:24	2011 34:20
146:10 164:4	young 31:19 164:5	132:19 184:10	73:11 74:8 77:7	2012 35:1
170:24 182:4	younger 7:7	16 151:9 152:4	143:24 158:7	2013 5:25
wound 17:11	youngest 3:9	17 30:1 77:7 159:14	160:13,19 167:6	2014 35:7 62:20,23
write 119:1	youth 155:15	190:1	1992 9:13 15:7,8,22	63:2,9 66:7
writer 126:7	youth/child 156:11	18 9:13 15:7 20:11	33:21,24 36:5	106:11,12,16
writes 94:13	youths 156:19	25:25 82:14,15,17	45:19 54:23 66:12	107:19 108:8
		, ,		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

			rage 222
100.24 112 5	4 20 170.5	I	
109:24 112:5	4.30 178:5		
113:24 114:11,21	4.45 189:14		
141:18,19 182:1	48 187:20		
2015 58:20 59:10	49 187:20		
2016 34:8,24 39:5			
46:23 52:24 55:8	5		
56:20 61:11 82:25	5 14:9 17:21 25:18		
84:25 85:6 111:10	94:7 96:25 98:14		
111:23 141:12	99:13 125:15		
2017 1:1 111:11	135:14		
189:16	52 148:10		
203 180:5	56 175:9		
20G 7:20	57 173:17		
21 19:14			
211 171:10	6		
211 171.10 22 35:9 64:9 65:9	6 25:19 32:22 66:6		
65:10 119:9 190:3	126:17 142:23		
	60 180:7,9		
229 168:10,12	60s 104:2		
23 8:3 66:16			
238 166:25	7		
24 1:1 29:24 30:3	7 3:9 27:7 95:4		
154:16	101:22 111:10		
25 12:21 189:16	143:11 189:23		
26 68:25 141:12	70 126:1		
27 17:22 93:13	733 27:3 28:4		
28 6:6 22:19 153:23			
29 6:6 21:3	8		
	8 57:7 63:9 67:24		
3	90:10 92:16 93:2		
3 15:8 32:22 72:9	93:4 106:18		
133:11 144:21	125:15 158:7		
153:11	159:14 160:13		
3.05 121:5	167:6		
30 30:3 109:24	8-year-old 72:19		
111:9 113:24	86 35:19 41:16		
114:11,21	00 33.17 41.10		
30s 34:13	9		
32 190:6,8	9 164:19		
33 152:20	92 35:19 41:16		
34 162:20,21	90:19		
38 164:21			
	93/'94 148:24		
4			
4 7:19 10:3 55:5			
56:25 72:3 129:2			
134:25 153:22			
15 1.20 105.22			