

**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION
CORE PARTICIPANT APPLICATION**

1. On 3 June 2016 the Inquiry invited anyone who wished to be designated as a core participant in the Accountability and Reparations investigation to make an application to the Solicitor to the Inquiry by 1 July 2016. Such applications are currently limited to participation in the four case studies.
2. An application was made by L42 for core participant status in the Accountability and Reparations investigation on 4 December 2017. While this application was made outside the window for core participant applications, the application provides reasons for this and I am satisfied that I should proceed to consider the application despite its being submitted late. This notice sets out my determination of his application.
3. Applications for core participant status are considered under Rule 5 of the Inquiry Rules 2006 which provides:

(1) The chairman may designate a person as a core participant at any time during the course of the inquiry, provided that person consents to being so designated.

(2) In deciding whether to designate a person as a core participant, the chairman must in particular consider whether –

a. The person played, or may have played, a direct and significant role in relation to the matters to which the inquiry relates;

b. The person has a significant interest in an important aspect of the matters to which the inquiry relates; or

c. The person may be subject to explicit or significant criticism during the inquiry proceedings or in the report, or in any interim report.

(3) A person ceases to be a core participant on –

a. the date specified by the chairman in writing; or

b. the end of the inquiry.

4. In determining each person's application, the matters listed in Rule 5(2) must be considered, but the list is not exhaustive and I may also take into account other relevant matters.
5. Having regard to the provisions of Rule 5(2), I am satisfied that L42 has a significant interest in the matters under investigation. L42 was placed into the care of the Bryn Alyn Community, private children's care homes run by John Allen, which forms part of the North Wales children's homes. In his application L42 states that while he was a child in care at the Bryn Alyn Community he was sexually abused.
6. As part of this investigation, the Inquiry will consider the experiences of individuals placed in North Wales children's homes in order to investigate general issues of accountability and reparation for victims and survivors, including the extent to which this can be achieved through the existing processes of civil litigation, criminal compensation and support services. I am therefore satisfied that L42 should be designated a core participant in relation to this case studies investigation.
7. Applications for designation as the recognised legal representative of a core participant are governed by Rules 6 and 7 of the Inquiry Rules 2006, which provide as follows:

6(1) Where -

- (a) a core participant, other than a core participant referred to in rule 7; or*
- (b) any other person required or permitted to give evidence or produce documents during the course of the inquiry,*
has appointed a qualified lawyer to act on that person's behalf, the chairman must designate that lawyer as that person's recognised legal representative in respect of the inquiry proceedings.

7(1) This rule applies where there are two or more core participants, each of whom seeks to be legally represented, and the chairman considers that -

- (a) their interests in the outcome of the inquiry are similar;*
 - (b) the facts they are likely to rely on in the course of the inquiry are similar; and*
 - (c) it is fair and proper for them to be jointly represented.*
- (2) The chairman must direct that those core participants shall be represented by a single recognised legal representative, and the chairman may designate a qualified lawyer for that purpose.*
- (3) Subject to paragraph (4), any designation must be agreed by the core participants in question.*
- (4) If no agreement on a designation is forthcoming within a reasonable period, the chairman may designate an appropriate lawyer who, in his opinion, has sufficient knowledge and experience to act in this capacity.*
8. I am satisfied that L42 has appointed Bilhar Singh Uppal Taylor of Uppal Taylor Solicitors as his qualified lawyer in relation to this investigation. I therefore designate Mr Uppal as the recognised legal representative for L42 in accordance with Rule 6(1) as I am required by that rule to do.

Professor Alexis Jay OBE
Chair, Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse

2 January 2018