

No-one had ever taken the issues of staff being there for long periods still functioning in the same way. It's easier to control children's overt behaviour but in a control situation children don't resort to deviant behaviour.

I didn't force change on to younger groups.

M. P + D E were qualified social workers I never doubted their ability to handle the change.

Jane LeCler went through training sessions + supervision I think people were ready for a change because they found the regime stultifying. Was talking of relaxation of control. This causes a degree of craziness but then settle eventually. I'd no doubt this would happen.

I have high professional standards. My only wish is that we could have gone on further. Every time anything went wrong there was accusation that it was the change of regime.

R.H. had been in the building before an Narden but can

as I understand.

It had certainly happened before - I don't think it was an issue - it was about the excitement of having a strange character on the premises with a deer.

I'd like to think my sleeping-in would have stopped the incident happening but I can't honestly say.

It's possible that if the kids had kept themselves to the end of the unit under the direction of a mature leader it could have been possible to keep a grip on themselves.

Anyone who slept-in actually slept-in - I'm aware that B.A. may have slept in his house, but certainly J. Le C M.D. D.E. + myself actually used that room or the room that D.E. used for storage. I know people used the rooms to sleep over in bad weather.

Sleeping-in wasn't popular - I seem to remember that if one regular sleeper-in wasn't available B.A.'s would have slept in on-site.

It happened to me once but I'm not aware of anything else.