



# INDEPENDENT INQUIRY CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE

## NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

### CORE PARTICIPANT APPLICATION

1. On 24 March 2017 the Inquiry invited anyone who wished to be designated as a core participant in the investigation into the extent of any institutional failures to protect children in the care of the Nottinghamshire Councils for sexual abuse and exploitation to make an application to the Solicitor to the Inquiry by 21 April 2017.
2. On 10 July 2018 an application for core participant status was made by Slater and Gordon solicitors on behalf of A79 and this notice sets out my determination of the application.
3. Applications for core participant status are considered under Rule 5 of the Inquiry Rules 2006 which provides:

*(1) The chairman may designate a person as a core participant at any time during the course of the inquiry, provided that person consents to being so designated.*

*(2) In deciding whether to designate a person as a core participant, the chairman must in particular consider whether –*

*a. The person played, or may have played, a direct and significant role in relation to the matters to which the inquiry relates;*

*b. The person has a significant interest in an important aspect of the matters to which the inquiry relates; or*

*c. The person may be subject to explicit or significant criticism during the inquiry proceedings or in the report, or in any interim report.*

*(3) A person ceases to be a core participant on –*

*a. the date specified by the chairman in writing; or*

*b. the end of the inquiry.*



# INDEPENDENT INQUIRY CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE

4. In determining each person's application, the matters listed in Rule 5(2) must be considered, but the list is not exhaustive and I may also take into account other relevant matters.
5. This application has been lodged more than a year after the deadline for core participant applications in this investigation. Notwithstanding the delay, I have a discretion pursuant to Rule 5(1) to designate a core participant "at any time" during the course of the Inquiry.
6. The application explains that A79 has only recently felt able to approach the Inquiry and make an application for core participant status. It is said that A79 has been [REDACTED], which is said to have been attributed to the abuse that he suffered as a child whilst in the care of the Nottinghamshire Councils. The application also explains that A79 has been involved in an ongoing police investigation and that this has placed additional strain on his mental health.
7. I accept the reason provided for the delay in A79 making an application and am satisfied that the delay should not stand in the way of my considering his application. In this respect, I note that A79's legal representatives act for a number of other core participants in this investigation. Nevertheless, I am conscious that the public hearing will commence in 11 weeks time. I have carefully considered the impact that designation of core participant status may have on the investigation at this late stage.
8. The application states that A79 attended Beechwood Care Home, a residential establishment previously operated by the Nottinghamshire Councils, on several occasions from the late 1950s to the early 1970s. It is alleged that whilst resident there, and under 18 years of age, he was sexually abused by more than one member of staff. The allegations include at least one incident of rape. The application also states that A79 was sent to Aston Hall, where it is alleged that he was sexually abused. The application states that A79 was subjected to sexual abuse during most



# INDEPENDENT INQUIRY CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE

of his placements whilst in the care of the local authority. It is submitted that A79 gave a statement to police in recent years.

9. This investigation will examine the nature and extent of, and institutional responses to, allegations of sexual abuse of children in the care of Nottinghamshire Councils, including those cared for in children's homes and foster care. The definition of scope for the investigation states that in doing so the Inquiry will consider the experiences of victims and survivors of child sexual abuse while in the care of the Councils. In addition, I have decided on the case studies that will be pursued at the Inquiry's public hearing and these will include a case study in relation to the children's home in which A79 was resident.
10. In light of the scope of this investigation and A79's allegations of sexual abuse during his time in the care of the Nottinghamshire Councils, I consider that A79 has a significant interest in the matters under investigation for the purposes of Rule 5(2)(b). Specifically, I consider that, as an individual who alleges sexual abuse whilst in the care of the Nottinghamshire Councils, he has a significant interest in the investigation of the nature and extent of, and institutional responses to, allegations of the sexual abuse of children in the care of the Nottinghamshire Councils. For these reasons, I am satisfied that A79 should be designated as a core participant in this investigation.
11. I should emphasise that the Inquiry is obliged to take a proportionate approach to its investigations and will not be in a position to investigate fully the circumstances of every core participants' experience. Although designation as a core participant will mean that the individual or organisation will have access to documentary material obtained by the Inquiry, it is likely that the Inquiry will limit such disclosure to material that relates to their specific interest in this investigation.
12. Applications for designation as the recognised legal representative of a core participant are governed by Rules 6 and 7 of the Inquiry Rules 2006, which provide as follows:



# INDEPENDENT INQUIRY CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE

6(1) Where -

- (a) a core participant, other than a core participant referred to in rule 7; or
- (b) any other person required or permitted to give evidence or produce documents during the course of the inquiry,

has appointed a qualified lawyer to act on that person's behalf, the chairman must designate that lawyer as that person's recognised legal representative in respect of the inquiry proceedings.

7(1) This rule applies where there are two or more core participants, each of whom seeks to be legally represented, and the chairman considers that -

- (a) their interests in the outcome of the inquiry are similar;
- (b) the facts they are likely to rely on in the course of the inquiry are similar; and
- (c) it is fair and proper for them to be jointly represented.

(2) The chairman must direct that those core participants shall be represented by a single recognised legal representative, and the chairman may designate a qualified lawyer for that purpose.

(3) Subject to paragraph (4), any designation must be agreed by the core participants in question.

(4) If no agreement on a designation is forthcoming within a reasonable period, the chairman may designate an appropriate lawyer who, in his opinion, has sufficient knowledge and experience to act in this capacity.

13. I am satisfied that A79 has appointed Kim Harrison of Slater and Gordon solicitors as his qualified lawyer. Accordingly, I designate Ms Harrison as the recognised legal representative for A79 in accordance with Rule 6(1).

14. If A79 wishes to make an application for an award under section 40(1)(b) of the Inquiries Act 2005 for expenses to be incurred in respect of legal representation, he should submit an application by no later than **4pm on 30 July 2018**. Any application



# INDEPENDENT INQUIRY CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE

made will be determined in accordance with the Inquiry's Cost Protocol on Legal Representation at Public Expense.

**Professor Alexis Jay OBE**  
**Chair, Independent Inquiry Child Sexual Abuse**

**16 July 2018**