

<p>1 Wednesday, 25 July 2018</p> <p>2 (10.00 am)</p> <p>3 Welcome and opening remarks by THE CHAIR</p> <p>4 THE CHAIR: Good morning, everyone, and welcome to Day 3 of</p> <p>5 the Peter Ball case study. Today the inquiry will hear</p> <p>6 witness evidence from Dr Purkis, from a former police</p> <p>7 officer and a serving police officer and the Reverend</p> <p>8 Dr Ros Hunt.</p> <p>9 If there are no matters to deal with, Ms Bicarregui,</p> <p>10 prior to hearing the witnesses?</p> <p>11 MS BICARREGUI: Thank you very much, chair.</p> <p>12 THE CHAIR: Please proceed.</p> <p>13 MS BICARREGUI: Dr Purkis's statement is in file 6.</p> <p>14 DR ANDREW PURKIS (sworn)</p> <p>15 Examination by MS BICARREGUI</p> <p>16 MS BICARREGUI: Dr Purkis, you should have a bundle of</p> <p>17 documents in front of you, and behind the first</p> <p>18 tab there should be a copy of your witness statement.</p> <p>19 A. Thank you.</p> <p>20 Q. Have you had a chance to remind yourself of the contents</p> <p>21 of that statement recently?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. If you were to turn to the back page, which is page 10,</p> <p>24 you will see that it's dated and signed -- sorry,</p> <p>25 Dr Purkis. I see you are at the back. It is behind the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 1</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. In your witness statement at paragraph 1 you describe</p> <p>3 the role as Secretary for Public Affairs as being</p> <p>4 essentially the archbishop's most senior adviser on</p> <p>5 things outside of the church?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. Am I right, broadly speaking, that the Bishop at</p> <p>8 Lambeth, so at that point Bishop John Yates, was the</p> <p>9 most senior person advising on matters to do with the</p> <p>10 church, so to do with ecclesiastical matters?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. I believe you also worked very closely with</p> <p>13 Lesley Perry, who was the archbishop's press secretary</p> <p>14 at that time?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. I think, if you look at your witness statement, or you</p> <p>17 may not need to, at paragraph 15.1, you say that a large</p> <p>18 part of your role was advising on media coverage and the</p> <p>19 public reputation of the mission of the church; is that</p> <p>20 right?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Again, in your witness statement, you clarify that</p> <p>23 Lesley Perry was the key operator with the media, so in</p> <p>24 contact with the media, but you would normally be</p> <p>25 consulted by her on the wording of important press</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p>
<p>1 very first tab 1, at the beginning of the bundle.</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Although your signature has been redacted --</p> <p>4 A. Sorry, I seem to be in the middle of Lord Carey. But</p> <p>5 I have seen my statement and the redacted signature, and</p> <p>6 I know it is all well. Thank you.</p> <p>7 Q. The contents of that statement are still true, to the</p> <p>8 best of your knowledge and belief?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, absolutely.</p> <p>10 Q. Dr Purkis, we will see how we go. Documents which</p> <p>11 I refer to will come up on the screen. If at any point</p> <p>12 you want to refer to something in a bundle, just say and</p> <p>13 we will make sure you have the correct bundle.</p> <p>14 You also say, I think, that you would like this</p> <p>15 statement to be read in conjunction with the interview</p> <p>16 you gave to Dame Moira Gibb; is that right?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, please.</p> <p>18 Q. Dr Purkis, you were the Archbishop of Canterbury's</p> <p>19 Secretary for Public Affairs between 1992 and 1998?</p> <p>20 A. Correct.</p> <p>21 Q. Do you recall when you started in 1992?</p> <p>22 A. I'm sorry, I don't recall exactly. I could look it up,</p> <p>23 but I don't really remember, sorry.</p> <p>24 Q. Prior to that job, you had worked in the Civil Service,</p> <p>25 in the charity sector?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p>	<p>1 releases and on media strategy, and certainly in</p> <p>2 relation to something as controversial as the Peter Ball</p> <p>3 case; is that right?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. In fact, we know from contemporaneous documents that you</p> <p>6 helped, to an extent, draft the press releases that were</p> <p>7 issued following Peter Ball's resignation and caution</p> <p>8 in March 1993; that's right, isn't it?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. If we could turn to tab 2 of the bundle, and, Danny, if</p> <p>11 we could get up ACE002104. Dr Purkis, the provenance of</p> <p>12 this is a little bit shady, shall we say.</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. The version that we have got up on screen does have</p> <p>15 a Lambeth Palace stamp on it; the one in the bundle</p> <p>16 doesn't. But the inquiry believes that this is the</p> <p>17 press release that was issued when Peter Ball was</p> <p>18 arrested in December 1992. If we could just have a look</p> <p>19 at that statement, the first paragraph:</p> <p>20 "It must be emphasised that no charges have been</p> <p>21 brought against the bishop, and the allegations made</p> <p>22 about him are unsubstantiated. Moreover, the bishop has</p> <p>23 a proven record of outstanding pastoral work,</p> <p>24 particularly amongst young people."</p> <p>25 Do you see that? The next paragraph goes on to say:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p>

<p>1 "The Archbishop of Canterbury has been informed and 2 has been in touch with Bishop Peter." 3 Appropriate enquiries will be conducted. Again, the 4 last paragraph, just going to that one: 5 "The archbishop has Bishop Peter in his prayers at 6 this difficult time." 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Do you recall being involved in the drafting of this? 10 A. I don't. 11 Q. Would it be likely that something that was issued from 12 Lambeth Palace, we believe, would have been looked at 13 either by you or by Lesley Perry? 14 A. Yes, likely. 15 Q. Again, looking at this -- I know we are looking at it 16 with hindsight, Dr Purkis, but what do you think about 17 the way that this is drafted? 18 A. Well, with hindsight, it clearly is a major hostage to 19 fortune. I think it reflects the archbishop's view that 20 his bishop should be assumed to be innocent unless and 21 until proved otherwise. 22 Q. Let's go to the next press release that we have, which 23 is at tab 3 of the bundle. Danny, if we could get up 24 ACE000255. 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p>	<p>1 question: do you recall having any input into the 2 drafting of this? 3 A. I don't. I don't remember being consulted about this 4 one, although it was 24 years ago. 5 Q. Indeed. Again, the same question, I suppose: would it 6 be likely -- we know from later documents that 7 Andy Radford and Lesley Perry and yourself were 8 involved, for example, in the March press releases? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Would it be likely that Andy Radford would have spoken 11 to you or Lesley Perry about this press release? 12 A. I think -- I suppose it's likely that he spoke at least 13 to Lesley Perry, but I don't know that. 14 Q. Again, there are no circumstances -- I appreciate that 15 you have said innocent until proven guilty, but the 16 terms of this, where the focus is on the devastating 17 effect of accusations on the accused, that isn't 18 something which would in any way be acceptable today, is 19 it? 20 A. No, it's very one-sided. 21 Q. Even at the time, Dr Purkis, this was an extremely 22 unwise message to be sending, wasn't it? 23 A. Yes, it's a hostage to fortune, I agree. 24 Q. Could we have, Danny, ACE000267 up on screen. This is 25 tab 16 of the bundle. This is a memo which Lesley Perry</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p>
<p>1 Q. So this now looks more like a conventional press 2 release, as you will see. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Albeit that it is issued by the Diocese of Gloucester, 5 rather than -- this isn't one that came from 6 Lambeth Palace directly. 7 A. Right. 8 Q. Again, if we could look at the terms of that press 9 release, if we go to, I think -- just to give it some 10 context, this is a personal message which the Archbishop 11 of Canterbury wanted to be read out because, of course, 12 at this point, the Bishop of Gloucester was absent from 13 his diocese, and so this was something which was to be 14 read in the new year. So that's the context. But it is 15 issued in the form of a press release. 16 In the third paragraph: 17 "We hope and pray that the investigation will clear 18 his name and that he will be restored to his great work 19 of Christian ministry." 20 In the paragraph underneath that: 21 "Aware of the devastating effect that any such 22 accusation has on those accused, the archbishop asks 23 that people continue to remember Bishop Peter in their 24 prayers." 25 Do you see that? Again, Dr Purkis, the same</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 6</p>	<p>1 sent to you, to Bishop John Yates and to the Archbishop 2 of Canterbury on 6 January. We see that from the first 3 page. Danny, if we could go to page 3, and the top 4 paragraph of page 3: 5 "We have all heard rumours of people who have 6 written or telephoned here [Lambeth Palace] to describe 7 their own experiences with Bishop Peter -- some 8 positive, some not. There is a danger that if the 9 result of this investigation is any permutation of the 10 No 1 scenario ..." 11 We would have to look at the whole thing, but the 12 number 1 scenario I think is if Bishop Peter Ball is 13 exonerated, so there are no charges brought: 14 "... those who had negative things to say would feel 15 cheated or angry and might make their views public." 16 Do you see that? 17 A. (Witness nods). 18 Q. You were aware, and you say this in your statement, that 19 there had been people writing in to Lambeth Palace who 20 had been making allegations against Peter Ball at this 21 stage? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. As far as you were aware, clearly the people involved in 24 this memo knew about that, but did all senior staff 25 members at Lambeth Palace know about these allegations</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 8</p>

1 against Peter Ball?
 2 **A. They would know that they existed, yes.**
 3 Q. You didn't ask to read them yourself?
 4 **A. No.**
 5 Q. Danny, if we could get up ACE000281, which is at tab 14
 6 of the bundle. Dr Purkis, this is your writing,
 7 I think?
 8 **A. Yes.**
 9 Q. This is a memo that you wrote on 8 January 1993.
 10 **A. (Witness nods).**
 11 Q. In a sense, I think this was in response to some of
 12 the things which had been discussed following the
 13 6 January memo. You say in that memo, "We should ask
 14 a senior trusted person to carry out a swift pastoral
 15 inquiry on the ABC's behalf", on the Archbishop of
 16 Canterbury's behalf. That's the first indent, I think,
 17 of the first paragraph. Do you see that?
 18 **A. Yes.**
 19 Q. You then go on to say in paragraph 2:
 20 "This person's advice would help the archbishop, as
 21 soon as possible after police enquiries are finished (if
 22 they have), to judge the seriousness of what has
 23 actually been done ..."
 24 Which you describe as "the egg" here:
 25 "... on the prudent assumption that at some stage it

Page 9

1 will be made public."
 2 Do you see that? So you were saying, I think,
 3 there, "Let's find out what has actually happened and
 4 how serious it is, because it may all come to light at
 5 one point"?
 6 **A. Yes.**
 7 Q. Was that about finding out the truth of the allegations
 8 from your perspective, or was it just an attempt to
 9 manage negative repercussions, if they were to happen?
 10 **A. Well, I think it was both.**
 11 Q. It wasn't a, "Let's find out what we are dealing with
 12 here so we can prepare ourselves"; it was also, in your
 13 view, "We need to know so that we can actually do
 14 something at this stage"?
 15 **A. Yes.**
 16 Q. You say in your witness statement that you were pushing
 17 your luck, in a sense, calling for this enquiry.
 18 **A. Yes.**
 19 Q. My understanding of what you say in your witness
 20 statement, is that because there were areas of expertise
 21 and this was seen to be something which was to do with
 22 church discipline; is that right?
 23 **A. Yes.**
 24 Q. So this was essentially Bishop Yates' domain, if we can
 25 put it crudely?

Page 10

1 **A. Yes, definitely.**
 2 Q. But in this case -- and if we can go, Danny, over to
 3 page 2 -- Bishop Yates's writing is harder to decipher
 4 than yours, but his comments are at the bottom half of
 5 that page. In this case, he agreed with you, didn't he?
 6 **A. Yes.**
 7 Q. We see there he says:
 8 "Worth pursuing further. But I think Peter Ball
 9 should be told about this ..."
 10 Then he sort of muses about who should do it:
 11 "Perhaps a lawyer rather than a priest?"
 12 Something of that nature:
 13 "Are we relooking for a retired judge, or someone
 14 like the retired Bishop of Ely ..."
 15 Do you see that?
 16 **A. Yes, I do.**
 17 Q. So even though this wasn't your domain, the other senior
 18 adviser within the church agreed this was a good idea?
 19 **A. Yes.**
 20 Q. He thought, as we see at this stage, that actually
 21 somebody, perhaps independent, like a retired judge,
 22 should be looking at this; is that right?
 23 **A. Yes.**
 24 Q. But in fact, what happened -- we see this in your
 25 witness statement and in the documents at the time -- is

Page 11

1 that, instead, Bishop Gordon and Frank Robson, who was
 2 a church lawyer, looked at the letters and then went to
 3 have a meeting with Peter Ball?
 4 **A. Mmm.**
 5 Q. That wasn't the sort of review you'd had in mind, was
 6 it?
 7 **A. No. I mean, it was the sort of mutation of it, but it
 8 wasn't quite what I had in mind, no.**
 9 Q. In fact, it didn't lead, did it, to any proper
 10 assessment of the seriousness of what had happened?
 11 **A. Well, that would be for you to judge. I mean, I only
 12 know what I have read about the work that Bishop Gordon
 13 and Frank, the lawyer, did.**
 14 Q. If we look at it -- so they spoke to him, but they
 15 didn't, for example, did they, speak to any of
 16 the people who had been making the complaints?
 17 **A. That's true.**
 18 Q. So that could never really have got to the seriousness
 19 of it, could it, only talking to Peter Ball rather than
 20 talking to the complainants?
 21 **A. I think that's a fair point.**
 22 Q. I think the inquiry is interested, then, in why, in
 23 those circumstances, you are very senior for outside of
 24 the church, John Yates is very senior for inside of
 25 the church. Why didn't this happen? Why wasn't there

Page 12

1 an external review by somebody?
 2 **A. Well, I don't know for sure, because that was something**
 3 **that was decided as between the archbishop and**
 4 **Bishop Yates. So I don't know exactly what their**
 5 **reasoning was. You will see in my statement that**
 6 **I speculated that it was really defined as a pastoral**
 7 **responsibility, a pastoral and personal responsibility**
 8 **within the church setting.**
 9 **It wasn't conceived as some sort of independent**
 10 **enquiry.**
 11 Q. Which was what you had had in mind when you drafted this
 12 memo?
 13 **A. I'd like to think so, and I think I did feel that there**
 14 **should be an independent element, that that would be**
 15 **a security for the archbishop.**
 16 Q. Was there any sense that the church didn't want any
 17 external scrutiny at this stage?
 18 **A. I don't know. I don't want to say more than what I have**
 19 **already said about the definition of pastoral**
 20 **responsibility as it was seen at that time.**
 21 Q. But it may have had an element which is, "Let's keep
 22 this within the church", rather than exposing it to the
 23 scrutiny of external bodies?
 24 **A. Well, I don't know whether that played a part. That**
 25 **would be your speculation.**

Page 13

1 Q. You say in paragraph 12 of your witness statement that
 2 even those of you who had been saying there should be
 3 a review stopped prioritising it once the police enquiry
 4 had ended in a caution and Peter Ball had resigned.
 5 **A. Yes.**
 6 Q. That's right, isn't it? So we know that was, I think,
 7 on 8 March 1993?
 8 **A. Okay.**
 9 Q. So at that stage is it true to say that everybody pretty
 10 much, the senior advisers, started saying -- well,
 11 started not prioritising an internal review?
 12 **A. I think that's true.**
 13 Q. Was that, at least in part, based on the fact that
 14 Peter Ball had resigned and no longer had a public
 15 ministry?
 16 **A. Yes.**
 17 Q. So those were factors which you were --
 18 **A. They were certainly big factors, yes.**
 19 Q. Now, soon after 8 March 1993, it became clear, didn't
 20 it, that Peter Ball was agitating to have some sort of
 21 public ministry?
 22 **A. (Witness nods).**
 23 Q. So you -- we are not going to go through it, Dr Purkis,
 24 but you had correspondence to that effect certainly from
 25 Michael Ball --

Page 14

1 **A. Yes.**
 2 Q. -- who viewed that Peter should be returning to public
 3 ministry. You will have been aware that others were
 4 interested in the fact that he should return to public
 5 ministry?
 6 **A. (Witness nods).**
 7 Q. In your witness statement at paragraph 22, you describe
 8 a meeting with the Prince of Wales' principal private
 9 secretary --
 10 **A. Yes.**
 11 Q. -- which took place in August 1994. Do you recall that?
 12 **A. Mmm-hmm.**
 13 Q. Amongst other topics that were discussed, the issue of
 14 Peter Ball's return to ministry was raised; do you
 15 recall that?
 16 **A. Yes.**
 17 Q. You say in your witness statement that you were not
 18 surprised by this, as Peter Ball was a friend of
 19 the Prince of Wales?
 20 **A. Correct.**
 21 Q. Is it right to say, Dr Purkis, that the fact of that
 22 friendship was widely known in Lambeth Palace?
 23 **A. That's correct.**
 24 Q. Was it actually a feature of dealing with Peter Ball
 25 that he had influential friends?

Page 15

1 **A. I don't think it was for me, in the dealings that I had.**
 2 **That was not really a significant factor that was**
 3 **brought to bear, I don't think.**
 4 Q. For you, do you think that it had an impact in
 5 Lambeth Palace at all about how Peter Ball was dealt
 6 with?
 7 **A. Not specifically. I think that it was very well known**
 8 **in Lambeth Palace that not just some great and good**
 9 **people, but also loads and loads of church people, and**
 10 **so on, had a very high opinion of Peter Ball and kept**
 11 **writing letters saying so. That was obviously a factor,**
 12 **and it was known, and so, you know, we would take that**
 13 **into account in the way in which we talked about things**
 14 **publicly, and so forth.**
 15 **But I don't, myself, think that we were particularly**
 16 **struck by the fact that it turned out that the people he**
 17 **knew were in certain cases high and mighty.**
 18 Q. Again, mid 1993, let's say, by the summer, certainly
 19 by September/October, it is clear that Peter Ball and
 20 others are agitating for a return to public ministry.
 21 So at that stage, the issue of assessing the seriousness
 22 of what happened in case it was to one day become
 23 public, so what you had written in your 8 January memo,
 24 that, at that point, was a live issue again, wasn't it,
 25 once it was clear that he was returning to public

Page 16

1 ministry, or wanted to?

2 **A. I don't think at the time I conceived it as a live**

3 **issue, because it seemed to me, and I think to my**

4 **colleagues as well, a sufficient issue that he had**

5 **accepted his guilt for an offence of gross indecency,**

6 **and that, in itself, was, you know, quite enough to**

7 **suggest it would be inappropriate for him to return, at**

8 **least in any sort of hurry, to public ministry.**

9 Q. I suppose again, looking at it now, sitting in the

10 witness box and knowing what you do know about the fact

11 that actually what emerged was of the greatest

12 seriousness, it's a shame that that review didn't happen

13 in 1993, isn't it?

14 **A. It is, yes. Certainly.**

15 Q. Dr Purkis, I'd like to look at some of the March 1993

16 press releases with you. I think you accepted, and we

17 know from some of the contemporaneous documents, that

18 you were involved in drafting those press releases?

19 **A. Yes.**

20 Q. Danny, if we could get up ACE000166. This is tab 10,

21 for those using the bundles. This is the -- they are

22 called news releases. It was released on the day that

23 Peter Ball resigned as the Bishop of Gloucester. It is

24 essentially on behalf of the Archbishop of Canterbury,

25 as you can see.

Page 17

1 If we look at the first paragraph:

2 "The Archbishop of Canterbury has expressed his

3 great sorrow, but also his understanding and acceptance

4 of the Right Reverend Peter Ball's decision to

5 resign ..."

6 Do you see that?

7 **A. Yes.**

8 Q. He then goes on to describe Peter Ball as highly gifted

9 and original, inspired many people, much loved. That's

10 in the first paragraph of the quote, and again

11 reiterates in the second paragraph that it is a cause of

12 great sorrow, but that it is a responsible decision, and

13 the last paragraph says:

14 "I encourage Christian people to bear up all those

15 involved in their prayers."

16 Do you see that? Dr Purkis, reading this, the

17 overriding message seems to be one of great sorrow that

18 this wonderful man has had to resign. Is that a fair

19 assessment of this?

20 **A. Well, I think you have to take the balancing factors as**

21 **well, such as they are. So the decision to go is**

22 **understood and accepted, and all those involved should**

23 **be upheld in the prayers. So they may be -- they may**

24 **appear inadequate, but they are there as balancing**

25 **factors.**

Page 18

1 Q. But they are inadequate?

2 **A. Yes, I think you could -- it is a matter of judgment,**

3 **but I think they are inadequate, with hindsight,**

4 **certainly.**

5 Q. There is no explicit reference, is there, or express

6 reference, to what the caution was for, for example?

7 **A. No.**

8 Q. There is no -- other than "all of those involved", there

9 is no express reference to those sinned against or to

10 the person who was subject to the --

11 **A. No. There is a reference, but there isn't a second**

12 **reference, that's true.**

13 Q. The reference, you mean "all of those involved"?

14 **A. Yes.**

15 Q. Now, in your witness statement at paragraph 13, you do

16 accept that, even in the circumstances of the time, the

17 church's public statements should have had, I think you

18 say, a stronger and more explicit emphasis on the

19 implication of the caution that an abusive wrong had

20 been done to a vulnerable person who trusted him. Do

21 you recall that from your witness statement?

22 **A. Yes, I do think that, yes.**

23 Q. I suppose, Dr Purkis, the concern is that there isn't

24 any emphasis on that at all in this statement, is there?

25 **A. There isn't, that's true, apart from that, you know,**

Page 19

1 **generalised reference.**

2 Q. If we could get up ACE000003, and this is at tab 11 of

3 the bundle.

4 **A. Yes.**

5 Q. This is actually Peter Ball's statement when he

6 resigned.

7 **A. Yes.**

8 Q. Again, for reasons that we know from the contemporaneous

9 documents, it seems that Lambeth Palace helped with the

10 drafting of this. I think I'm right that it's

11 John Yates' writing that you see "Agreed by + PB"?

12 **A. I think that's John Yates' writing, yes.**

13 Q. You were involved in these press releases, as we have

14 previously --

15 **A. I may well have been. I don't actually remember this**

16 **particular thing, but I may well have been, yes.**

17 Q. Again, just to look at this, not to read it through

18 entirely, the first paragraph expresses sadness. The

19 second one talks about Gloucester. I think the fourth

20 paragraph:

21 "It was never my intention, in any way, to do

22 anything which might have caused distress to anyone. My

23 motivation has always been the pursuit of deeper

24 Christian commitment and spiritual growth."

25 Do you see that? The next paragraph talks about an

Page 20

<p>1 ever-increasing workload, and then: 2 "The last ten weeks of total isolation and anxiety 3 [which he suffered]." 4 Then we get to: 5 "I regret, with great penitence and sorrow, the 6 circumstances that have led to this police caution." 7 Do you see that? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. The only hint of remorse, it seems, in this statement, 10 other than for himself, seems to be in the paragraph 11 that he "regrets with great penitence and sorrow the 12 circumstances that have led to this police caution". 13 Would you agree with that? 14 A. What was the question? I agree it's -- there it is, 15 yes. 16 Q. The only hint of remorse, other than concern for himself 17 which we see in a couple of paragraphs, is in that 18 paragraph, do you see? 19 A. Yes, I accept that. 20 Q. Even that is very imprecise, isn't it, "the 21 circumstances"? 22 A. Yes, it is imprecise, but "great penitence" is a fairly 23 strong expression. 24 Q. The context of the -- the fourth paragraph, "It was 25 never my intention ... to do anything which might have</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p>	<p>1 bishops, [he] launched an investigation of his own." 2 No question of a coverup. Then Peter Ball was 3 arrested simultaneously. It goes on to say it wouldn't 4 be proper for the archbishop to assume that he was 5 guilty. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Am I right in saying -- certainly it is read by the 8 inquiry as being quite a defensive press release, which 9 says, "There isn't a coverup and we are looking into 10 this ourselves". Is that fair? 11 A. It's probably fair -- well, certainly fair that 12 that's -- that those things are said there. 13 Q. It does say that there aren't any outstanding criminal 14 investigations, I think? 15 A. Right. 16 Q. Sorry, that's on page 2. That's why I can't see it. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. The penultimate paragraph: 19 "Any other allegations of criminal behaviour would 20 have been matters for the police, who conducted a most 21 thorough and wide-ranging investigation before 22 concluding that the caution should be issued in relation 23 to one offence only." 24 Do you see that? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p>
<p>1 caused distress to anyone", is that a fair reflection of 2 someone who's accepted guilt for an offence against 3 a young man? 4 A. Well, this is Peter Ball describing his own intentions. 5 I mean, I know what my personal opinion is about that, 6 but I don't think it is particularly valuable. 7 Q. Did anyone in Lambeth Palace suggest that this isn't 8 really appropriate that this should be issued on behalf 9 of Peter Ball? 10 A. I don't know if anybody thought it was inappropriate. 11 Q. There's one further press release -- Danny, ACE000518, 12 at tab 8. This is 11 March. This seems to have been 13 issued because questions had been raised about how the 14 church had described the caution in its first press 15 release. It says that there have been further questions 16 about the Bishop of Gloucester. Do you see that? 17 A. It says there have been further questions. I don't 18 remember what the questions were, actually. 19 Q. No. I don't think it is entirely clear, Dr Purkis. 20 A. No. 21 Q. If we look at this statement, it seems to be that there 22 have been some criticisms about the wording. But if we 23 look at it, it says: 24 "As soon as the allegations made by Neil Todd were 25 brought to the Archbishop of Canterbury by two of his</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p>	<p>1 Q. Now, at that stage, Lambeth Palace had no idea whether 2 or not the allegations in the letters it had received 3 had been investigated by the police, did it? 4 A. That may well be a fair point. I wouldn't have 5 particularly known that myself, I don't think. I think 6 we were under the impression that the police had done 7 a very thorough trawl. 8 Q. Yes. But without -- I think we know that the letters 9 weren't passed on? 10 A. We know the letters weren't passed on. We now know 11 that. 12 Q. As it happened, they did look into a number of the 13 allegations and the letters. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. But Lambeth Palace, at that point, certainly didn't have 16 any way of knowing that? 17 A. Right. 18 Q. It also says at the last paragraph that the church's 19 continuing pastoral investigations, which are outside 20 the police domain, are private and will remain so. As 21 far as you were aware, Dr Purkis, there were no -- there 22 was no ongoing investigation at that point, was there? 23 A. I don't know of one now, no. I don't know. 24 Q. The attitude within Lambeth Palace at the time is 25 something the inquiry is interested in.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

1 **A. Yes.**
 2 Q. You talk about that at some length in your witness
 3 statement, and you say in paragraph 7, I think,
 4 Lambeth Palace was working on the basis that public
 5 prosecution was not going to happen and it was being
 6 dealt with by a caution, and, sadly, on that basis, they
 7 would have found it difficult to find the appropriate
 8 thing to say about the particular victim concerned,
 9 about Neil Todd. But even -- what you did know,
 10 I suppose, Dr Purkis, is that guilt had been accepted,
 11 if you like, for an offence of gross indecency with an
 12 18-year-old?
 13 **A. Yes.**
 14 Q. It is clear, isn't it, that, on that basis alone, the
 15 public-facing statements of the church at that time did
 16 not give enough consideration to Neil Todd?
 17 **A. I think that's right, yes.**
 18 Q. Again, just in your witness statement, you talk about
 19 the feeling that maybe this was an act of homoerotic
 20 impulse that had slipped beyond the acceptable
 21 boundaries of challenging spiritual practice. That was
 22 the feeling, was it, within Lambeth Palace at this time?
 23 **A. Well, that was my feeling.**
 24 Q. You accept now that you had seriously underestimated,
 25 and Lambeth Palace seriously underestimated, what had

Page 25

1 been done?
 2 **A. Oh, yes, certainly.**
 3 Q. When we looked at the review that was conducted, isn't
 4 it fair to say that there wasn't any serious attempt to
 5 understand the seriousness at that time?
 6 **A. Well, I don't know how seriously, you know,**
 7 **Bishop Gordon and Co took this, but you have already**
 8 **made the point that they didn't apparently consult the**
 9 **people making the allegations. So to that extent,**
 10 **I agree with you, it was inadequate.**
 11 Q. I'm just going to look quickly at a memo you wrote
 12 in March 1996, which is at tab 24 of the bundle. Danny,
 13 it is ACE003038_022. Dr Purkis, if you have the hard
 14 copy, you need to go to page 22, which is at the bottom
 15 right. It is up on the screen.
 16 **A. I have got it, yes.**
 17 Q. What you describe there -- sorry, just to give this some
 18 context, the Archbishop of Canterbury at this point
 19 I think was patron of about 350 charities you say in
 20 your witness statement?
 21 **A. Yes.**
 22 Q. Lots of requests came in for him to be patron of other
 23 charities and this was one such request?
 24 **A. That's right.**
 25 Q. Part of your job, presumably, was to look at the

Page 26

1 requests and see whether or not he should accept them --
 2 **A. Yes.**
 3 Q. -- or whether or not to advise him in respect of them?
 4 So you write this memo, and about halfway down there is
 5 a sentence which starts:
 6 "Some of the literature in the file about this ..."
 7 This is the Christian survivors of sexual abuse:
 8 "... is rather less impressive with, for example,
 9 rather wild and woolly statements and half-truths about
 10 the cases of Peter Ball and [name redacted]."
 11 I know you have looked at this memo since, but this
 12 is your memo, isn't it?
 13 **A. Yes.**
 14 Q. If we look at what the organisation was saying about
 15 Peter Ball -- Danny, if you could go to the same
 16 document at page 36. So we see here:
 17 "Novices abused by the Bishop of Gloucester.
 18 "This highlights that men, too, are at risk and that
 19 in a position of power and status men more 'junior' have
 20 little power. It also highlights the enormous
 21 difficulties there are in telling of male-to-male
 22 abuse."
 23 So that was what was written. I think in your
 24 witness statement you say, "Because it was a caution for
 25 one offence, I thought this was" -- and I know, by the

Page 27

1 way, there was another case, but in respect of
 2 Peter Ball, you thought that that was rather overstating
 3 it for accepting a caution for one offence?
 4 **A. Yes.**
 5 Q. Again, you were at least aware -- I know you hadn't read
 6 them -- of the other allegations which had been made to
 7 Lambeth Palace in sort of December and January, weren't
 8 you?
 9 **A. I was aware that they existed, yes.**
 10 Q. So, in a sense, this wasn't -- even without knowing
 11 anything else, this wasn't so wild and woolly, was it?
 12 **A. Well, I said in my witness statement I'm not especially**
 13 **proud now of using that phrase, although I can't**
 14 **remember what the other statements were that I was**
 15 **referring to. But I would take seriously the point that**
 16 **certainly I -- not having read those other letters and**
 17 **not really knowing exactly at all what was in them,**
 18 **I did take the view that he had been cautioned for one**
 19 **offence, which was apparently not sufficiently serious**
 20 **to be prosecuted in the courts, and I was basing my**
 21 **views on that, and it was, in itself, very, very**
 22 **serious, but it is nothing like what we know now, and it**
 23 **is nothing like that there were sort of plural novices**
 24 **abused by the Bishop of Gloucester. So I was operating**
 25 **on that assumption.**

Page 28

1 Q. So it was purely on what he'd accepted guilt for rather
 2 than an awareness of other allegations which had been
 3 made?
 4 **A. Yes, because we did actually think the police had**
 5 **undertaken a very wide-ranging and thorough inquiry and**
 6 **talked to all sorts of people, and they had come up with**
 7 **a caution for one offence.**
 8 Q. The inquiry is not interested, if you like, in one
 9 poorly worded memo, but I think what we are interested
 10 in is whether or not this was more telling of how
 11 Lambeth Palace felt generally towards the victims and
 12 towards Peter Ball at this time. Is it fair to say, or
 13 have you already said that, that there was a feeling
 14 that this wasn't too serious within Lambeth Palace?
 15 **A. I don't think that's fair. I think that -- in my**
 16 **witness statement, I evidence the fact that there was**
 17 **concern for Neil Todd, and I gave a couple of examples,**
 18 **and there was also concern expressed, I remember, by --**
 19 **in Colin Fletcher's memo in 1994, not just about the,**
 20 **you know, media problems, and so forth, but the**
 21 **importance for the church, you know, to be seen to be**
 22 **even-handed in the way in which it dealt with all kinds**
 23 **of disciplinary matters, whether it was a bishop or**
 24 **another clergyman, and the standing of the church if it**
 25 **were not seen to be firm on the question of breaches of**

Page 29

1 **trust and abuse of trust, and those concerns were very**
 2 **much there in Lambeth Palace.**
 3 **So I think it is important that we don't get too**
 4 **one-sided a narrative.**
 5 Q. Let's get up -- paragraph 8 of your witness statement is
 6 what you were referring to, I think, Dr Purkis. If we
 7 could perhaps get that on screen, Danny, is that
 8 possible? WWS000202_004. You cite two things here.
 9 **A. Yes.**
 10 Q. We will go on to have a quick look at Colin Fletcher's
 11 email. Here you cite Bishop John Yates:
 12 "Whatever happens, there will also be concern in
 13 some quarters for the church to be seen to be caring and
 14 responsible towards the young men who have been
 15 interviewed by the police, and especially Neil Dodd
 16 [sic]."
 17 Perhaps it is unfair with the benefit of hindsight,
 18 but "to be seen to be caring" doesn't seem to be
 19 expressing the sort of concern for the victims which one
 20 might expect, does it?
 21 **A. That's a fair judgment, but it wouldn't be right to say**
 22 **that we weren't very conscious of that and very**
 23 **conscious that we had responsibilities towards that**
 24 **person.**
 25 Q. The other thing which is cited is the press release of

Page 30

1 11 March, isn't it, which we have already looked at?
 2 **A. Yes.**
 3 Q. Isn't it fair to say that, if that is the extent of it,
 4 that isn't sufficient concern within Lambeth Palace?
 5 **A. Well, it is not entirely the extent of it, because**
 6 **I gave you another example later on, that there was**
 7 **concern about the whole issue of breach of trust and**
 8 **people in positions of power and misusing it. But,**
 9 **quite clearly, I have been absolutely clear that the**
 10 **church at that time, probably along with many other**
 11 **institutions, was insufficiently -- grossly**
 12 **insufficiently aware of the extent of abuse, the extent**
 13 **to which unequal power relations was almost bound, in**
 14 **some circumstances, to lead to abuse, and, therefore,**
 15 **the extent of credence and care that should be given to**
 16 **people who came forward with allegations.**
 17 **We were woefully short at that time. I don't think**
 18 **we were the only institution, but that's not an excuse,**
 19 **and I take my share of responsibility for that.**
 20 Q. We see, for example, that the Christian survivors of
 21 sexual abuse were aware of that issue, don't we?
 22 **A. Yes, they were.**
 23 Q. But you say that in Lambeth Palace that wasn't something
 24 which you were fully aware of at the time?
 25 **A. Well, we weren't fully aware of it, and certainly not as**

Page 31

1 **aware as we would be now, but, actually, in a second**
 2 **comment from Colin Fletcher on that issue of**
 3 **the survivors, it's clear that he did say that they were**
 4 **raising an important and valid issue and the church had**
 5 **tended to try and ignore it rather than espouse it. So**
 6 **it is not right to say that there was not awareness of**
 7 **that in Lambeth Palace. It was actually being expressed**
 8 **there by the chaplain.**
 9 Q. To be fair, shall we get that memo up?
 10 **A. Sure.**
 11 Q. ACE003298_165. I think this is the document you were
 12 referring to. It is not in the bundle, chair. I'm
 13 afraid we are going to have to look through it to find
 14 what we want. If we can look at the next page, Danny,
 15 166?
 16 **A. Actually, I'm not sure this is the one I had in mind.**
 17 Q. This is the one quoted in your witness statement.
 18 **A. Oh, okay, sorry, yes. There's another one on the**
 19 **Margaret Kennedy correspondence which is a separate one,**
 20 **which is the last one I was talking about. But let's**
 21 **have a look at this one first.**
 22 Q. Danny, could you get up 167 as well?
 23 **A. Yes.**
 24 Q. This is the one I think you were referring to. It is on
 25 167:

Page 32

1 "... some very searching questions are going to be
 2 asked if Peter returns to some form of public ministry
 3 during the autumn ...
 4 "(a) Is this the kind of length of punishment that
 5 other clergy ...
 6 "(b) Why has a bishop who has admitted such a grave
 7 offence been treated so leniently?
 8 "(c) What are the signals the church is sending to
 9 a society as a whole about how it views ..."
 10 Those questions that you were referring to?
 11 **A. Yes, yes.**
 12 Q. Again, is it unfair, Dr Purkis, to say that
 13 Colin Fletcher seems like a bit of a lone voice on this?
 14 We don't have any other references to this, do we?
 15 **A. Yeah, I mean, I don't think that at the time he was, or**
 16 **felt like, a voice crying in the wilderness on these**
 17 **issues. He had a different job than Lesley Perry's or**
 18 **even mine. You know, his focus was not specifically on**
 19 **media reaction. Obviously, that's the thing that**
 20 **Lesley Perry and, to a lesser extent, perhaps, I would**
 21 **focus on. This is a view of somebody very close to**
 22 **the archbishop, the archbishop's chaplain, so I don't**
 23 **think they should be minimised.**
 24 Q. Again, not to overstate this point, but there aren't
 25 a lot of memos from lots of different people making

Page 33

1 these points within Lambeth Palace?
 2 **A. Okay.**
 3 Q. This is the one which is referred to, in fact, isn't it?
 4 **A. Well, okay, but we are going to talk about another one**
 5 **soon, aren't we, the Margaret Kennedy one? Sorry,**
 6 **whenever you want to. It wasn't just a flash in the**
 7 **pan, that's the point I'm making.**
 8 Q. Hopefully it is within the same bundle, but if it is
 9 not, Dr Purkis, we will bear in mind that there is
 10 another reference from Colin Fletcher.
 11 **A. Sure.**
 12 Q. The private ministry for Peter Ball. I'd like to ask
 13 you a few questions about that. You were involved,
 14 I think, in trying to find him some private ministry as
 15 a prison visitor from the beginning of 1994; is that
 16 right?
 17 **A. Yes.**
 18 Q. Is it right to say that this was partly an attempt to
 19 distract him from public ministry?
 20 **A. Yes, and from constant letter writing to the archbishop.**
 21 Q. I think you saw him on 15 February 1994 to talk to him
 22 about an offer from Stephen Pryor, the governor of
 23 a prison --
 24 **A. Yes.**
 25 Q. -- for him to meet in fact with the governor of Dartmoor

Page 34

1 Prison?
 2 **A. Yes.**
 3 Q. Danny, ACE000763. It is tab 18. Again, Dr Purkis, I'm
 4 not going to take you to every part of this. Please
 5 have a look through it, if you want to remind yourself
 6 about this. But, really, what you do is paint
 7 a picture, I think, of how Peter Ball was feeling at
 8 this point, in 1994. You describe him, I think, as
 9 "a strange mixture of penitence and resentment
 10 concerning what has happened to him" --
 11 **A. Yes.**
 12 Q. -- do you recall that? Is that a feature of how
 13 Peter Ball was during your time at Lambeth Palace?
 14 **A. Yes.**
 15 Q. Do you think, Dr Purkis, the fact that he never appeared
 16 to accept that he was guilty of anything at the time
 17 influenced the way he was dealt with at Lambeth Palace?
 18 **A. We need to unpack that question, actually. Certainly it**
 19 **was very annoying to some of us that he -- although he**
 20 **would, out of one side of the mouth, express great**
 21 **penitence, he would then, out of the other side of**
 22 **the mouth, start saying he had been stitched up and**
 23 **hadn't really done anything, and so on and so forth.**
 24 **That was a matter of great concern to us, that the**
 25 **archbishop was getting drawn into all of that and**

Page 35

1 **spending much too much time on it.**
 2 Q. Perhaps the better question, then, is, in 2000, after
 3 you'd left, I know, in 1998, there was an internal
 4 Lambeth Palace memo which described Archbishop Carey in
 5 fact as always having believed in Peter Ball's
 6 innocence. Was that the impression you had at the time?
 7 **A. Not entirely. I think -- I'm not sure, I think, that**
 8 **there was an entirely consistent attitude on that.**
 9 Q. So for some of the time?
 10 **A. Well, maybe some of the time, yes. But I think at other**
 11 **times he was perfectly clear that the admission of guilt**
 12 **was an admission of guilt and that, you know, something**
 13 **very wrong had happened.**
 14 Q. Danny, if we could get up WWS000212_004. Dr Purkis,
 15 this is the witness statement of Colin Fletcher. It is
 16 in your bundle behind tab 6 at the end.
 17 **A. Yes.**
 18 Q. I just want to have a look at paragraph 17 of that
 19 statement, which describes the position of advisers to
 20 Archbishop Carey at the time. The first part of
 21 paragraph 17 describes the fact that, with better things
 22 in place, it might have been possible to give better
 23 advice at the time, but then he says:
 24 "But, as it was, the archbishop's problems in
 25 internalising the reality of what Peter Ball had

Page 36

<p>1 admitted he had done in accepting a police caution did 2 skew things significantly. The result was that we were 3 working all the time, in my time as chaplain, in 4 a framework set by the archbishop that assumed that 5 Peter Ball would return to ministry at some stage in the 6 future. The question was not whether but when. I could 7 have argued against a return to any ministry, but I do 8 not think that that would have made for any change in 9 the course of action that the archbishop had already 10 decided on ..."</p> <p>11 Do you see that?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you agree with Colin Fletcher that the direction of 14 travel had been set?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, and I think that we were doing our very best to 16 make it as gradual and pushed as far as possible into 17 the future as we could.</p> <p>18 Q. Finally, Dr Purkis, in your statement, you set out 19 a variety of what I think might be called mitigating 20 factors, to a certain degree, which are certainly fair 21 to a degree. Everyone was very busy. There was a lot 22 going on in the church in terms of constitutional 23 issues, issues about approach to homosexuality, and in 24 terms of Peter Ball you set out a sort of certain lack 25 of knowledge. But even in that context, Dr Purkis, do</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p>	<p>1 URN INQ001762_001. It is dated 26 June 2018: 2 "I, Lady Alice Renton, will say as follows: 3 "I make this statement in connection with the 4 inquiry's Anglican Church investigation and, in 5 particular, the Peter Ball case study. I am an author 6 and have published several books. My husband, Tim, the 7 Right Honourable the Lord Renton of Mount Harry PC was a 8 conservative member of parliament from Mid Sussex from 9 1974 to 1997. He served as a Minister of State in both 10 the Foreign Office and the Home Office, and served as 11 Margaret Thatcher's Chief Whip, Parliamentary Secretary 12 to the Treasury, between 1989 and 1990. After 13 Mrs Thatcher's resignation in 1990, he served in 14 John Major's government as Minister for the Arts between 15 1990 and 1992. He continued to serve as an MP until 16 1997. 17 "Tim and I have known Peter Ball and his brother 18 Michael for a long time. We first met them around 19 40 years ago when Peter was the suffragan Bishop of 20 Lewes. I remember that we went to supper with them, 21 perhaps in 1979. We met Michael first, in about 1978, 22 when he was chaplain at Sussex University. He advised 23 us on a family matter and through him we met Peter. 24 "Our meeting Michael Ball had nothing to do with 25 Tim's role as an MP. Peter Ball, as the local bishop,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p>
<p>1 you accept now that the church's response was inadequate 2 at that time, in the wake of the resignation and 3 caution?</p> <p>4 A. I do think that the church's response, you know, with 5 everything that we know now, was clearly inadequate, 6 yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Just to pin it down, there should have been a proper 8 review of the letter, shouldn't there, in 1993?</p> <p>9 A. I think that would have been a very good move, yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And the public-facing statement should have placed more 11 of an emphasis on the abusive wrongs which had been 12 done, shouldn't they?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 MS BICARREGUI: Thank you, Mr Purkis. Chair and panel, 15 I don't have any further questions.</p> <p>16 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms Bicarregui. Thank you very much, 17 Dr Purkis. We have no questions. 18 (The witness withdrew)</p> <p>19 MS BICARREGUI: Chair, I think what we might do now, given 20 the time, is read out Lady Renton's statement, rather 21 than go straight to Mr Murdock's evidence, if that is 22 convenient to the chair and panel.</p> <p>23 Statement of LADY ALICE RENTON (read)</p> <p>24 MR FULLBROOK: Thank you, chair. I am now going to read the 25 witness statement of Lady Alice Renton.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p>	<p>1 confirmed our twins in 1980. Peter and Michael both 2 came to the wedding of our youngest daughter in 2005, 3 when Michael Ball officiated. 4 "I do not recall Peter or Michael Ball ever visiting 5 us at our home, though it may have happened. I am sure 6 we never saw them at Polecat or Litlington, but 7 I remember we had a meal much later than the one 8 mentioned above with Peter once in a house also, I think 9 on the Firlie Estate, just north of the A27. 10 "I think Michael was there too. We maintained our 11 friendship with Peter and Michael on social occasions 12 and I telephoned Peter on one occasion to consult him 13 when I had a moral dilemma. 14 "I would say that we knew Peter Ball quite well, but 15 not closely. But since around 2015, we have become 16 closer friends. I don't remember knowing anything about 17 the 'Give a year to God' scheme and we were certainly 18 not involved in it. We never went to Litlington Priory. 19 I don't think we were aware of people living with 20 Peter Ball. 21 "I do not think Tim was involved in anything to do 22 with Peter's appointment as Bishop of Gloucester or was 23 consulted by anyone about it, certainly not to my 24 knowledge. Tim was MP for Mid Sussex. Therefore, not 25 immediately local.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p>

<p>1 "We knew that the Ball brothers had founded 2 a religious community but knew nothing about it and were 3 not involved in any way. 4 "I recall that in around late 1992, Tim and I became 5 aware that Peter Ball had been arrested as a result of 6 allegations that he had been involved in naked praying 7 with young males. I remember that Tim and I thought 8 that this was silly of Peter and an odd thing to do. We 9 imagined it to be an overzealous church thing rather 10 than something sexual in nature. 11 "I do not believe that we had any other information 12 at that time and cannot specifically recall the source 13 of this information. 14 "Tim and I wanted to support Peter in the criminal 15 investigation at that time, and I remember that 16 I telephoned our friend who lives near us, 17 Lord Anthony Lloyd, to seek his advice on what we could 18 do to help Peter. 19 "I think it was Lord Lloyd who suggested that we 20 could write to the Director of Public Prosecutions (the 21 DPP) at the time, Barbara Mills. Following the 22 conversation with Lord Lloyd, Tim and I wrote a letter 23 to Ms Mills on 2 February 1992 in her capacity as DPP. 24 I have been shown a copy of this letter, referred to as 25 ACE021184_002."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p>	<p>1 the judge. I do not have a copy of this letter and 2 I understand that the inquiry does not have a copy 3 either. 4 "When we wrote to the judge before Peter was 5 sentenced, we explained that we knew about the nature of 6 Peter Ball's offending from what we had read in the 7 papers. We suggested that the judge be lenient in 8 sentencing him as he was old and ill. He was, and still 9 is, a passionate Christian and, as a result, would 10 suffer from guilt and remorse far more than 11 a non-Christian would. 12 "He had already had two years of mental torture at 13 that stage, between being charged and the trial, and 14 many years had passed since his resignation as 15 Bishop of Gloucester. We have maintained our friendship 16 with Peter during the recent times of adversity and are 17 still in contact with him. I would describe our actions 18 in maintaining this friendship primarily as acts of 19 loyalty and compassion rather than being primarily 20 Christian in nature." 21 Lady Renton then attested her signature, stating 22 that she believes the facts stated in this witness 23 statement are true. 24 Unless I can assist you, further, chair, I wonder if 25 this might be a convenient time to break?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p>
<p>1 Danny, could we bring that up? I won't read it out. 2 Lady Renton says of this letter: 3 "To the best of my recollection, I believe this is 4 a copy of the letter we sent. The letter was written on 5 House of Commons headed paper and in my husband's name 6 as a member of parliament. However, my recollection is 7 that Tim and I prepared the contents of the letter 8 together. 9 "The reason for writing to the DPP in Tim's name and 10 on headed paper was to give additional weight and 11 authority to the letter and its contents. We felt that 12 the DPP was more likely to take notice of it in this 13 way. We wanted the letter and our views to be read by 14 the DPP and taken seriously. Whilst we obviously wanted 15 the correspondence to have maximum impact, I personally 16 was not aware that, as it came from an MP, the DPP would 17 have a different process for responding to the letter 18 (see ANG000017_036-039)." 19 I think it is page 37 that is the particular page 20 which demonstrates that there was a particular process 21 for responding to letters from members of parliament: 22 "Tim may have been aware of that, although I cannot 23 be sure one way or another. I also recall that at the 24 time of Peter Ball's criminal trial and sentencing in 25 2015, Tim and I sent a character reference for Peter to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p>	<p>1 THE CHAIR: Yes, we will return at 11.15 am. 2 (11.00 am) 3 (A short break) 4 (11.15 am) 5 MS SCOLDING: Good morning, chair and panel. We are now to 6 hear evidence from retired Detective Superintendent 7 Wayne Murdock. 8 MR WAYNE MURDOCK (sworn) 9 Examination by MS SCOLDING 10 MS SCOLDING: Good morning, Mr Murdock. A few preliminary 11 issues. Firstly, this isn't a test of memory. We have 12 a witness statement in front of you, and we also have 13 copies within the two volumes that you've got in front 14 of you and also on the screen of relevant documents. 15 Secondly, we can have as many breaks as you like. 16 I know you have had probably extensive experience of 17 giving evidence, but that was obviously a while ago, so 18 we will be having breaks roughly once every hour and 19 a half, in any event. 20 Again, just to identify, there are two bundles in 21 front of you. They have got your witness statement and 22 other possible relevant documents. At various points in 23 time, I may well refer you to them, but also to 24 documents which come up on screen. 25 Are there any glasses or anything else you may need</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p>

1 to read things which come up on screen?
 2 **A. I have a pair, yes, thank you.**
 3 Q. Can I firstly take you to your witness statement. It is
 4 behind tab A of volume 1 and it is behind the big "1".
 5 It is a 69-page witness statement. Could I ask you to
 6 turn to the back page, that's page 69. There are some
 7 annexes to your witness statement, so it is not quite at
 8 the end of tab 1. There would have been a signature
 9 there, but it has obviously been covered up for the
 10 purposes of data protection. Could you identify, have
 11 you had an opportunity to read this witness statement
 12 recently?
 13 **A. I have, yes.**
 14 Q. Is it true, to the best of your knowledge and belief?
 15 **A. Yes, it is.**
 16 Q. This witness statement will be put on the website, as
 17 well as two other witness statements from
 18 Gloucestershire Police -- that of Chief Constable
 19 Porter, GSP000005_026 and former Chief Constable
 20 Albert Pacey, GSP000009. All of those will be up on the
 21 website before the end of today.
 22 As you have submitted such a detailed witness
 23 statement, we are not going to take you through it line
 24 by line, but what I am going to do is run through the
 25 most significant events as far as this inquiry is

Page 45

1 concerned.
 2 Firstly, a little bit about your career. You worked
 3 for Gloucestershire Police throughout your time as
 4 a police officer, so that's between 1967 and 2004; is
 5 that correct?
 6 **A. That's correct.**
 7 Q. You retired at the rank of detective superintendent.
 8 Can you tell us, or, rather, tell the public, what that
 9 means in terms of how far up the police hierarchy you
 10 were upon your retirement?
 11 **A. It's probably the higher side of it, but not sort of**
 12 **chief officer rank, below the rank of chief**
 13 **superintendent. So above the rank of inspector and**
 14 **chief inspector. So -- yes. Quite high up.**
 15 Q. In 1992 and 1993, you investigated the offending of
 16 Bishop Peter Ball?
 17 **A. That's correct, yes.**
 18 Q. You were a detective inspector at that time?
 19 **A. Mmm.**
 20 Q. But would you consider yourself to have been the lead
 21 officer in that investigation?
 22 **A. Yes, I would. The terminology would be -- the**
 23 **abbreviation is SIO, which is senior investigating**
 24 **officer.**
 25 Q. So you would have been the SIO on this investigation?

Page 46

1 **A. Yes, but I would have had another officer overseeing**
 2 **what I did, who acted at that stage -- someone I could**
 3 **turn to for advice, I obviously kept him informed about**
 4 **the investigation, and who I saw as a go-between my**
 5 **rank, if you like, and the chief officer rank.**
 6 Q. So was that Detective Superintendent John Bennett who
 7 fulfilled that role for you?
 8 **A. Yes, it was.**
 9 Q. So at the time of the arrest of Peter Ball, what
 10 experience had you had of running cases which concerned
 11 sexual offending, whether against children or vulnerable
 12 adults?
 13 **A. It's difficult to put -- I dealt with lots of cases**
 14 **involving children. It's difficult to recall a lot of**
 15 **them.**
 16 **If it helps, in 1984, I think it was '84, I was**
 17 **a detective sergeant, and I was sent on a three-day**
 18 **residential course relating to child abuse. It was**
 19 **a course involving CID officers and social workers. It**
 20 **was probably, in all my years, the most intense course**
 21 **that I'd ever been on. Three days. Join the course --**
 22 **we did a lot of play roles, and one of the social**
 23 **workers on that course actually broke down and spoke**
 24 **about their own experiences of having been abused.**
 25 **So the whole of that course -- I came away -- all**

Page 47

1 **I know, I came away feeling drained, but it left -- it**
 2 **was a good course and it sort of stayed with me in that**
 3 **respect.**
 4 **To go back to what you asked, yes, I have lots of**
 5 **experience of dealing with child-related victims,**
 6 **particularly, sadly, suicides. I have investigated --**
 7 **over the years, investigated infant deaths. Later in my**
 8 **service, I headed up -- or oversaw two child abuse**
 9 **inquiries involving institutions, and in between that --**
 10 **I mean, for example, I dealt with, on one occasion,**
 11 **a 4-year-old boy who'd been subject to buggery by his**
 12 **12-year-old cousin, and then that led me to the uncle,**
 13 **who in turn had committed the offence of buggery on him.**
 14 **So there's a degree of understanding, and through**
 15 **the years, you do build up this, I guess -- I don't say**
 16 **"expertise", but you have a feeling for the victims and**
 17 **for the cases, and they do leave an impact on you.**
 18 Q. You have said in your witness statement that it was
 19 relatively unusual because you were an operational
 20 detective for the vast majority of your career. Can you
 21 explain a little bit about what you mean by that?
 22 **A. Well, I joined the force in '67. I think it was about**
 23 **three/four years, I went on to the CID and I remained on**
 24 **it, which was unusual. Sometimes you go back into**
 25 **uniform, promotion or whatever, but I remained on the**

Page 48

1 **CID and went through the various ranks. I think at the**
 2 **time of -- well, I know at the time of coming across the**
 3 **bishop, I had 25 years' police service. 21 of those**
 4 **would have been on the CID.**
 5 Q. You also say that from 2001 onwards, you ran the
 6 anticorruption unit on behalf of the Gloucestershire
 7 Constabulary?
 8 **A. Yes.**
 9 Q. You have set out at appendix A of your witness statement
 10 a number of times when you say you have shown sort of
 11 integrity, probity and ethics during the conduct of your
 12 investigations?
 13 **A. Yes. It was a sample. I think I was trying to show the**
 14 **inquiry that, you know, I've had to make some tough**
 15 **decisions and I don't shy away and I'm an officer who**
 16 **spoke up, and if I had to, I would go against senior**
 17 **management. That's something that comes with your**
 18 **experience and, yeah, I'm not an officer that's going to**
 19 **be pushed around or influenced, and that's the message**
 20 **I wanted to get across.**
 21 Q. We obviously have heard a little bit about your
 22 experience. As far as Gloucestershire Constabulary's
 23 experience of investigating sexual offences was
 24 concerned, were there any form of specialist sexual
 25 offending teams? Now there would be such things, but

Page 49

1 were they in existence at that time?
 2 **A. No, I don't believe there were.**
 3 Q. Were there any specialist officers who worked with those
 4 who were victims or complainants at the time, providing
 5 them with specific support?
 6 **A. No, there weren't.**
 7 Q. Other than a referral to Victim Support, were there any
 8 specialist counselling or other services available to
 9 those who were complainants at the time?
 10 **A. No, but we would utilise Victim Support. That was the**
 11 **main source we'd go to.**
 12 Q. Was there a specialist manual at that time, as far as
 13 you can remember, on running serious sexual offending
 14 inquiries or --
 15 **A. Not that I'm aware of.**
 16 Q. -- where there were multiple complainants?
 17 **A. No.**
 18 Q. When preparing your statement -- I know obviously it was
 19 25 years ago that the investigation took place -- you
 20 have had access to your CID diary and documents.
 21 **A. Yes.**
 22 Q. And also you kept a copy, as I understand it, of
 23 the caution file, is that right --
 24 **A. Yes.**
 25 Q. -- or of parts of your file?

Page 50

1 **A. Well, hopefully all the file. The file is like books.**
 2 **The main report, I think that was about 300-odd pages.**
 3 Q. It is about 600 pages, the report.
 4 **A. The whole lot together. What I did, I had -- because**
 5 **I think I was at the level of chief inspector, I was**
 6 **lucky enough to have a big storage area in my office, so**
 7 **I kept it there. So until -- well, in fact, until**
 8 **I retired, I negotiated to keep it there, and then -- we**
 9 **didn't have any storage facilities prior to that. It**
 10 **was -- but then they introduced a building, a separate**
 11 **building, specifically for keeping stuff. When**
 12 **I retired, I -- because of the fact it was a file**
 13 **relating to the bishop, and you have a gut feeling, you**
 14 **know, "This is one that ..." in fact, I say "Go away",**
 15 **I had contact -- or the bishop contacted me in about**
 16 **2001. So even after nine years, it had come back in one**
 17 **shape or form.**
 18 **So what I did, I took all my stuff, and particularly**
 19 **the bishop file, I delivered it personally, and I put**
 20 **notes all around it saying, "Please do not destroy".**
 21 **I have to say, when -- I was contacted when**
 22 **Sussex Police became involved, and they couldn't find**
 23 **the file. I was able to guide them towards it, and,**
 24 **I have to say, I was surprised myself that it was still**
 25 **there after all those years. I thought somebody would**

Page 51

1 **have probably just ignored it and chucked it away or**
 2 **something. But it was there.**
 3 Q. So it wasn't usual in those days -- because obviously
 4 Sussex Police, we heard in the Chichester investigation
 5 there were investigations of Sussex Police who said they
 6 would have routinely thrown those sorts of documents
 7 away.
 8 **A. That's right. The policy probably was you didn't throw**
 9 **away murder cases, obviously, but a case such as this,**
 10 **if I'd just left it there, I have no doubt it would**
 11 **probably have gone.**
 12 Q. Now, the first time you heard of the case was -- I think
 13 this probably ages everybody -- via telex on
 14 12 December 1993?
 15 **A. Telex and fax.**
 16 Q. So there was a fax from the Met Police, because, as
 17 I understand it, Neil Todd's parents had in fact
 18 complained to the Brixton Police Force?
 19 **A. Yes.**
 20 Q. They had then got into contact with you. Now, you went
 21 that day, that very day, to interview Neil Todd.
 22 Firstly, how did it end up -- were you deliberately
 23 assigned to this or was this something that just
 24 happened?
 25 **A. I was what you would term the duty detective, senior**

Page 52

1 detective. You had two main divisions: Cheltenham and
 2 Gloucester. I would have been the detective inspector
 3 for Gloucester and there would have been somebody in
 4 Cheltenham of that rank.
 5 There would have been probably -- well, two oncall
 6 superintendents, one a detective, who was Mr Bennett;
 7 and there would be probably a uniformed officer.
 8 So I was effectively the senior rank, certainly at
 9 Gloucester.
 10 Q. So you went to interview Neil Todd, who wasn't staying
 11 in the Gloucester area at that time?
 12 A. No.
 13 Q. Was it unusual for you to interview the complainant the
 14 same day that the Met Police had come -- identified that
 15 there had been such a complaint?
 16 A. Not unusual, but probably would have said, "We'll come
 17 and see you tomorrow", make arrangements. I was
 18 anxious, having decided that I would deal with the
 19 matter, I got a detective sergeant who came with me,
 20 Mr Wasley, and I wanted to get to Neil pretty quickly.
 21 I rang his mum.
 22 Q. Why did you want to get to him quickly?
 23 A. Having been in the job so long, it's not every day that
 24 a bishop is accused of an offence, and I was -- part of
 25 me was concerned that -- I knew that there was going to

Page 53

1 be some sort of reaction, if I can put it that way.
 2 Also, I felt that I had the level of experience, and
 3 I knew -- and this sounds as if I'm sort of boasting,
 4 but I knew I would do a thorough job, and I was probably
 5 better equipped than many officers to resist, if it
 6 came, any temptations to interfere.
 7 Q. So right from the off, you knew that this was the kind
 8 of investigation that could be the subject of influence
 9 from the outside?
 10 A. Yeah. The jungle drums will start going and the phone
 11 calls will start. So -- you have to understand,
 12 I think, then there were -- mobile phones were very much
 13 in their infancy. I certainly don't think I had one, so
 14 I knew that if I got in the car and went off, I could
 15 get there. Once I started, then nobody --
 16 Q. Nobody would be able to stop you?
 17 A. -- could get hold of me. Absolutely, absolutely.
 18 Q. How did Neil Todd present to you when you first
 19 interviewed him?
 20 A. Well, I went to the house and his mother was there.
 21 I have to say that the whole -- the family, the parents,
 22 they were very decent people and Neil as well.
 23 The father was a very quiet man, if I remember. The
 24 mother was the sort of -- the support for Neil. So we
 25 just got him into -- my -- well, I'm the type of officer

Page 54

1 that I like to be hands-on. Although I could have stood
 2 back, I was always hand-on. It was important that Neil
 3 got to meet me, and it was something I wanted to deal
 4 with personally, so I could make sure that he had the
 5 confidence to talk to me and he would know that the
 6 police would support him, and I recall when we got there
 7 Mrs Todd was -- the first words she said to me,
 8 basically, were, "The church -- I'm worried the church
 9 are going to cover this up".
 10 I said to her, "I'll tell you one thing, the police
 11 will not cover this up". I remember that. Then we sat
 12 down and we obviously interviewed Neil, took notes. He
 13 came across to me as an intelligent lad. He was
 14 articulate. But you could see there was a lot of
 15 discomfort when it came to talking about the really
 16 intimate details, and I described it in my statement at
 17 the time -- it's a reaction of sort of rubbing your
 18 knees, your trousers, in hesitation. It's
 19 understandable. You know, he was talking about the most
 20 intimate details, and our job was to try and coax him
 21 and get along with it.
 22 By the time I'd finished -- because we went back the
 23 next day. We had to break off. By the time we finished
 24 the following day, I didn't have any reason to have any
 25 doubts about him. I wasn't getting any alarm bells sort

Page 55

1 of coming out and hitting me. Yeah, I thought he was
 2 a decent lad.
 3 Q. Were his accounts consistent as between the initial
 4 account of the complaint that he made to you and his
 5 subsequent written statements, as far as you can
 6 remember?
 7 A. Yes. I mean, there was nothing to suggest that he was
 8 struggling to -- or he was changing his story, which
 9 is -- you know, when you revisit, which you do, he
 10 didn't deviate, as far as I can -- from the original
 11 story he was telling us.
 12 Q. You say at paragraph 38 of your witness statement:
 13 "Throughout my dealings with Neil Todd, he stated
 14 that he would support whatever action the police decided
 15 to take."
 16 And that his main concern was that Bishop Ball be
 17 removed from office and that, by virtue of his removal,
 18 he would no longer be in a position to influence other
 19 people?
 20 A. Yes, he was quite emphatic, in a way. He wanted the
 21 bishop to admit that he'd done wrong. He wanted him
 22 removed from office. But he seemed to be really keen
 23 that nobody else should go through what he did.
 24 Q. Were you able to offer any sort of psychological support
 25 to him? I mean, he had obviously very shortly before

Page 56

1 you saw him attempted to take his own life on two
 2 occasions?
 3 **A. Yes.**
 4 Q. So he was obviously mentally fragile at that particular
 5 point in time?
 6 **A. Absolutely.**
 7 Q. What services were you able to offer him?
 8 **A. During the course of interviewing him, we became aware**
 9 **that I believe he -- his parish priest, whom he had**
 10 **a good relationship with, his wife -- he apparently**
 11 **babysat for them. She was, by chance, a professional**
 12 **counsellor. So she'd become involved, and she was there**
 13 **to support him. He had his mother.**
 14 **On the Monday morning, I made a point of telephoning**
 15 **the local Victim Support, a lady, and asked her to**
 16 **become involved and to offer Neil all the support she**
 17 **could. I also, quite obviously, gave my details and**
 18 **told him to contact me or Sergeant Wasley if he had any**
 19 **problems or concerns, and that went to his mother as**
 20 **well.**
 21 Q. So after interviewing Neil Todd, you then went to
 22 interview Mr and Mrs Moss.
 23 **A. Yes.**
 24 Q. Why did you go to interview Mr and Mrs Moss and what did
 25 they tell you?

Page 57

1 **A. What I wanted to do -- the process then, sexual**
 2 **offences, you tried to get -- well, any offence up to**
 3 **a point, you try to get early corroboration to back up**
 4 **what was being said. Also, I wanted to move quickly to**
 5 **arrest the bishop. So what I did, I got**
 6 **Mr and Mrs Moss -- or one or both of them into the**
 7 **station on the Monday, and I went through what they**
 8 **could say about the case, and they backed up what Neil**
 9 **was saying, and then, later that day, I got a Reverend**
 10 **in, who --**
 11 Q. Stephen Eldridge, who was the chaplain to Peter Ball at
 12 the time?
 13 **A. That's right. I should say, although I didn't know him,**
 14 **or know of him, he was actually an actual --**
 15 Q. He had been a policeman?
 16 **A. -- police officer.**
 17 Q. He had been a police officer before --
 18 **A. As I say in my statement, I didn't know him, but I had**
 19 **him in and I went through his story, and, again, there**
 20 **was a little bit more to it, but he corroborated,**
 21 **basically, what Neil was saying.**
 22 **So that then -- I had what I viewed to be the --**
 23 **well, the reasonable suspicion that I could arrest**
 24 **Peter Ball, and the sooner it was done, the better,**
 25 **really.**

Page 58

1 Q. After you'd made the decision that there were reasonable
 2 grounds for suspicion, which is the relevant test in
 3 order to arrest someone, you then say that you had to
 4 telephone Detective Superintendent Bennett. Would it be
 5 usual for you to seek authorisation from a more senior
 6 officer for an arrest for an offence of this nature?
 7 **A. Well, I had to refer to him because it was a bishop.**
 8 **I mean, it's not every day that you -- well, number one,**
 9 **get a complaint regarding a bishop; and it's not every**
 10 **day that you arrest a bishop.**
 11 Q. Yes.
 12 **A. I don't think -- well, in 1992, let's put it that way.**
 13 Q. Yes.
 14 **A. I think it's fair to say that Mr Bennett was kept up to**
 15 **date with what I was doing.**
 16 Q. So as well, because obviously of the fact that it was
 17 a bishop, you therefore needed to brief the divisional
 18 commander, his deputy and everybody else?
 19 **A. Yes.**
 20 Q. That was simply because of the nature of who it was you
 21 were about to arrest?
 22 **A. Yes, and you did brief on a regular basis what was going**
 23 **on, because they wanted to know, but certainly because**
 24 **he was a bishop, yes.**
 25 Q. Did anybody try and stop you arresting the bishop at

Page 59

1 that stage?
 2 **A. No. I mean -- no, they didn't.**
 3 Q. But you were accompanied by Detective Chief
 4 Inspector Goodrich --
 5 **A. Yes.**
 6 Q. -- which I'm assuming is not usual?
 7 **A. No. I'd like to think that it was out of respect for**
 8 **the bishop. I can recall that evening sitting in my**
 9 **office and seeing along the line the ranks against the**
 10 **wall.**
 11 Q. Was that the top brass coming down?
 12 **A. The top brass. I did shout out to one of the officers**
 13 **who was going to accompany me, "Don't forget the**
 14 **handcuffs and the truncheon", because I got the**
 15 **impression they thought I was going to go in --**
 16 Q. You were going to go in mob handed?
 17 **A. Absolutely. But I like to think it was probably a mark**
 18 **of respect for the bishop.**
 19 Q. What knowledge did you have of Bishop Peter before you
 20 arrested him?
 21 **A. I didn't have a lot of knowledge. What it was, I was**
 22 **educated at the Central Technical School in Gloucester.**
 23 **One of my teachers was Brother Ken, as we knew him, in**
 24 **fact Kenneth Penfold. He was of the same order --**
 25 Q. He was a member of the CGA. In fact, he became the

Page 60

1 prior of the CGA after Peter Ball had become Bishop of
 2 Lewes?
 3 **A. That's right. What it was, there was a whole load of**
 4 **them and they were teaching at grammar schools and**
 5 **whatever, but Brother Ken was my English teacher,**
 6 **O level and A level. So I knew of the order.**
 7 **What had happened at school, along with others, we**
 8 **became involved through gospel and -- gospel singing**
 9 **and, you know, playing guitar in the church and that,**
 10 **and I do remember on one occasion -- I'm talking about**
 11 **when I was probably 15 -- a whole load of us went to**
 12 **Stratford House, which was situated in a park, and that**
 13 **was their community base. There were people in that**
 14 **room -- I don't know whether the bishop was one of them.**
 15 **That's how I came to know the order, but I hadn't had**
 16 **any dealings with Brother Ken for 25 years. I left**
 17 **school at 18 and a half. Until I went to interview him,**
 18 **I didn't see him.**
 19 Q. Were you aware that Bishop Peter Ball was a member of
 20 the CGA --
 21 **A. Yes --**
 22 Q. -- before you went to arrest him?
 23 **A. -- yes, because of the monastic side of it, I knew**
 24 **that -- I didn't know what his position was then.**
 25 **I knew the history up to a point. But I determined that**

Page 61

1 **I would use that background to my advantage.**
 2 Q. Now, some people have criticised you for not recusing
 3 yourself on the basis that because you knew
 4 Brother Kenneth -- I believe as well you'd gone on
 5 a pilgrimage with him to Rome and, in fact, Assisi,
 6 which is obviously where St Francis came from, when you
 7 were at school?
 8 **A. Yes.**
 9 Q. That you should have recused yourself from the
 10 investigation because you had too much inside
 11 information or you were too -- you would be too soft
 12 because you were obviously quite fond of
 13 Brother Kenneth?
 14 **A. I respected Brother Kenneth. Absolutely no way.**
 15 **I mean, I probably made the association when it came in,**
 16 **but that made me even more determined to sort this out.**
 17 **Absolutely no influence at all.**
 18 **I took over -- well, I say "I". We took in the**
 19 **region of some 60-odd witness statements, and**
 20 **Brother Ken, as it turned out, was just one of them. He**
 21 **was not going to influence the outcome of the inquiry**
 22 **unless he came up with something really -- but I didn't**
 23 **even think about that aspect, because I knew I was going**
 24 **to do the job straight. So that was it.**
 25 Q. Did you declare the fact --

Page 62

1 **A. Yes.**
 2 Q. -- that you had this relationship --
 3 **A. Yes, I did.**
 4 Q. -- to both your superiors? We know you declared it to
 5 Peter Ball, because you talk about it in interview?
 6 **A. Absolutely, and also I put it in the report to the DPP.**
 7 **Absolutely no -- you know, it makes me smile a little**
 8 **bit. But, no, absolutely no way -- very transparent.**
 9 **I put it in my report. I was very open to everybody**
 10 **I spoke to about that association. I had nothing to**
 11 **hide whatsoever. As I say, in actual fact, I used it**
 12 **very much to my advantage when arresting and when**
 13 **questioning the bishop.**
 14 Q. Right.
 15 **A. I will say that nobody else would have got to**
 16 **Brother Ken and made -- able to get the background and**
 17 **the information from him, because I don't think anybody**
 18 **would have known about him.**
 19 Q. As we understand it, from documentation we have seen, in
 20 fact there wasn't much love lost between Bishop Ball and
 21 Brother Kenneth at various points during their
 22 relationship?
 23 **A. I don't know how I came to -- I had an inkling of that**
 24 **somewhere along the line, but it didn't -- it didn't**
 25 **come across when I subsequently got to Brother Ken. But**

Page 63

1 **Brother Ken's statement didn't -- certainly didn't help**
 2 **the bishop, let's put it that way.**
 3 Q. Well, Brother Ken's statement, if I remember rightly,
 4 certainly doesn't support the view that that was part
 5 and parcel of any spiritual practice?
 6 **A. Absolutely not. I mean, that was the whole point in**
 7 **seeing him, was to determine, was this a normal**
 8 **practice, and of course because I knew about the**
 9 **association, that was why it was important, and he did**
 10 **not support what -- the allegations that were being made**
 11 **against Bishop Ball about naked praying and all that.**
 12 **In fact, I remember he gave me a book on Assisi and**
 13 **said, "Take it away and read it".**
 14 Q. But you did talk to Bishop Ball on the way to the
 15 interview?
 16 **A. Yes.**
 17 Q. You went to arrest him and then you took him to the
 18 police station to be interviewed?
 19 **A. Yes.**
 20 Q. You did chat about Brother Ken and make what you
 21 describe as smalltalk?
 22 **A. Yes.**
 23 Q. Were you attempting at that stage to improperly pressure
 24 Peter Ball into giving up the goods, so to speak?
 25 **A. No, I just was giving him the signal. On the way to the**

Page 64

1 police station, it's quite important from the view of
 2 a detective, you're driving a car, you try and build up
 3 a rapport. You can't talk about the case. That's
 4 improper. But I couldn't talk to the bishop about
 5 rugby, so I talked to him about Brother Ken. I started
 6 the process, if you like, of a dialogue of him talking.
 7 Q. I understand that when you -- that DS Bennett phoned you
 8 whilst you were at Mr and Mrs Todd's.
 9 A. That's correct.
 10 Q. Was it usual for your superior officer to phone you?
 11 I mean, obviously there wasn't a mobile phone, so there
 12 was no other way of getting in contact with you than
 13 doing that, but to tell you that the Archbishop of
 14 Canterbury had spoken to Sir Peter Imbert who was the
 15 then head of the Metropolitan Police. What did
 16 Detective Superintendent Bennett say about this?
 17 A. So far as I know, he just relayed the fact that there
 18 had been this phone call from the archbishop to
 19 Sir Peter Imbert, who in turn had obviously made --
 20 contacted Gloucestershire.
 21 Q. Can you remember the nature of the call? Was it an
 22 attempt to -- sorry, I'm going to use just plain
 23 language -- nobble someone? To say, "Look, the
 24 archbishop's been on the phone, Sir Peter Imbert's been
 25 on" -- I'm assuming it is not usual that

Page 65

1 Sir Peter Imbert phones Gloucestershire Police?
 2 A. Not when you're arresting Joe Bloggs, but it was the
 3 bishop. There was no pressure put on me. My
 4 recollection was I was asked, maybe, had I started
 5 a statement and that. I remember saying out loud that
 6 Mrs Todd's very concerned there is going to be a coverup
 7 by the Church and I told her there would be no coverup
 8 by the police, and I repeated that. There was no
 9 suggestion -- Mr Bennett -- there was nothing improper.
 10 He was relaying -- he wanted to know basically what was
 11 going on. There was a subsequent follow-up phone call
 12 while I was there and he put into motion notifying duty
 13 inspectors, the media, so he'd done exactly what he
 14 should have done. Absolutely nothing improper. I don't
 15 know what the call from Carey to Imbert was. I don't
 16 know. Like everybody else, I could guess, but I suspect
 17 it was, "What's going on?". They were trying to find
 18 out what was happening.
 19 Q. After Peter Ball was arrested, he wasn't in fact placed
 20 in the custody suite but was interviewed on a different
 21 floor?
 22 A. Yes.
 23 Q. Therefore was treated differently from other people.
 24 Was that because he got special treatment and, if so,
 25 why?

Page 66

1 A. No, I mean, it happens with other -- it has happened
 2 with other prisoners. The whole thing -- Gloucester
 3 police station at that time in the cell block was a very
 4 crowded place. You go in, you have to process the
 5 prisoner in, there are people coming out, prisoners
 6 coming out, using the loos, and you would have had the
 7 bishop dressed in his robes there. We were trying to
 8 protect -- stop the media from finding out what had been
 9 going on, and also it happens with, for example, our
 10 military personnel. Anybody wearing a uniform, it was
 11 to, if you like, respect the uniform. You didn't want
 12 prisoners going out of the cells and there'd be comments
 13 flying around, you know, "Hey up" and all this. Let's
 14 be fair, at that stage, the bishop hadn't been
 15 convicted. He was in for questioning. But he was taken
 16 to a room upstairs, an interview room, and we conducted
 17 then a series of interviews with him right the way
 18 through to the early hours of the morning. He had quite
 19 an extensive interview.
 20 Q. From the transcript of the tapes, I think in fact there
 21 were three different periods of time at which he was
 22 interviewed during the course of that evening?
 23 A. Yes.
 24 Q. Although Bishop Ball at first indicated to you that he
 25 was going to undertake a "no comment" interview, in fact

Page 67

1 he did make several comments during the course of that
 2 interview.
 3 A. Yes.
 4 Q. He identified and said that Neil Todd was a fantasist,
 5 in effect, and that this was all either in his mind or
 6 it was part and parcel of a conspiracy, in effect,
 7 between himself and another individual, who we know as
 8 AN-A92.
 9 A. That's correct.
 10 Q. Then in his second interview, he spoke, however, about
 11 the fact that his -- he did have a religious belief in
 12 embracing nudity. He did accept that in the second
 13 interview. Is that right?
 14 A. Yes.
 15 Q. He sort of did a mixture of kind of denials and "no
 16 comment" interviews.
 17 A. Mmm-hmm.
 18 Q. At the end of the third interview -- during the course
 19 of the third interview, he identified that it was
 20 Neil Todd -- he said that it was Neil Todd who had asked
 21 to be beaten, rather than Bishop Peter suggesting the
 22 beating, and that he had sent him a letter about that.
 23 But he also discussed something about the fact that --
 24 he also identified, however, and used the terms "genital
 25 love" and "nongenital love"; is that right?

Page 68

1 **A. Yes.**
 2 Q. He did identify that in October 1992, there had been
 3 nude loose bodily contact, but denied any sort of mutual
 4 masturbation or anything like that; is that right?
 5 **A. Correct.**
 6 Q. He also identified, as I believe it, that if he did
 7 ejaculate, that was because of a familial condition that
 8 made ejaculation happen quickly; is that right?
 9 **A. Yes.**
 10 Q. In fact, I think he uses the term "emission of seed", in
 11 fact, within the context of his interview?
 12 **A. Yes.**
 13 Q. Do you think that you unduly pressurised -- here was
 14 a 60-year-old man who obviously wasn't expecting to be
 15 arrested, was being arrested for an offence which was,
 16 in church terms, probably about as shocking as it got.
 17 Do you think you treated Peter Ball fairly or do you
 18 think you were using the fact that you knew Brother Ken
 19 to get him to talk improperly?
 20 **A. Certainly not. I did use the Brother Ken aspect. Bear**
 21 **in mind we had been told right from the word go he was**
 22 **going to say nothing, so I used the Brother Ken --**
 23 **I kept introducing it, to the point that he -- one**
 24 **minute he's going to say nothing and then he started**
 25 **talking about, you know, the order, and then we'd take**

Page 69

1 **him back to the offence. It was a way of getting him to**
 2 **open up.**
 3 **I believe during the course of break, I went back in**
 4 **and he made a comment. He said, "You're very good.**
 5 **You've put me in a position where I have to speak". But**
 6 **it wasn't -- he had a legal adviser with him. There was**
 7 **no pressure at all. I don't think he was put under**
 8 **undue pressure. In between each interview, he could**
 9 **have a drink. We offered him all the courtesies we**
 10 **could. At the same time, we had to try and put the**
 11 **allegations to him, and if that's seen as pressure,**
 12 **then -- but, yes, it -- we -- apart from taking him to**
 13 **the cell block, he was treated the same as any other**
 14 **prisoner would have been.**
 15 Q. After you had interviewed Peter Ball, did you make
 16 a decision that you considered that there needed to be
 17 further investigation and, if so, what did you do?
 18 **A. Yes, then what you do, you have a custody sergeant, that**
 19 **was the process then, and you would go and make**
 20 **representations, and then you have, you know, options to**
 21 **rebat, effectively. We obviously had lots of enquiries**
 22 **to do. The whole idea of arresting the bishop quickly**
 23 **was to stop the media getting to know about things and**
 24 **maybe interfering, and we wanted to know his**
 25 **explanation. He could have turned around and come up**

Page 70

1 **with a whole host of names and people to prove his**
 2 **innocence. So that was important, that we had his side**
 3 **of the story, and then that's when the process starts of**
 4 **setting about trying to either prove or disprove what**
 5 **he's saying.**
 6 Q. But unfortunately, almost immediately after he was
 7 arrested, the media got hold of the story and it was
 8 the -- he was certainly on, if not the front page, then
 9 it was reported in all the newspapers shortly
 10 thereafter.
 11 **A. Yes.**
 12 Q. Who do you think leaked that?
 13 **A. I would say undoubtedly somebody within the police**
 14 **station. That was the whole thing we wanted to avoid.**
 15 **As I say, you have to go back to -- unless you have been**
 16 **there, there's people coming and going in the cell**
 17 **blocks, and our fear was that someone was going to walk**
 18 **out and then pick the phone up and say, "Hey, they have**
 19 **got the bishop in there". Unfortunately, I can only**
 20 **assume that when we released him, the night shift would**
 21 **have come on and the word would have probably got**
 22 **around. I mean, I'm surprised it actually didn't happen**
 23 **before. Unfortunately, I suspect that somebody in that**
 24 **police station probably picked the phone up and**
 25 **telephoned the local radio or whatever.**

Page 71

1 Q. You identified that the resulting publicity generated --
 2 I think, probably, to summarise it -- a lot of heat but
 3 not a lot of light. It didn't really help. People
 4 didn't come forward to you necessarily as a result of
 5 the fact that it had been publicised in the media?
 6 **A. No.**
 7 Q. What you did get was a number of letters from various
 8 people, some of whom were quite influential. Can we get
 9 up OHY000096_013-014. This was from the headmaster of
 10 Lancing College at the time, James Woodhouse. This is
 11 20 January:
 12 "The basis of my knowledge of the bishop ..."
 13 This was written directly to you. Would you have
 14 seen this or would this have been passed immediately to
 15 those above you?
 16 **A. No, no, if it had been addressed to me, it would have**
 17 **come to me. I think there were a number of letters.**
 18 **Some were to the chief constable --**
 19 Q. Yes.
 20 **A. -- and some were to me.**
 21 Q. It says at the bottom -- can we go to the bottom of
 22 the first page, please, Danny? -- "From conversations
 23 with him":
 24 "From conversations with him, I know that the bishop
 25 is acutely distressed by some aspects of late

Page 72

1 20th century culture, including its accent on
 2 materialism and sexual permissiveness which makes it so
 3 much harder for people to realise their spiritual
 4 vocation. In this context, I understand that he has
 5 used acts of penitence and contrition that are an
 6 expression of that deep concern. To the extent that
 7 these young people have been involved in such
 8 penitential exercises, I can see that these may have
 9 been open to misunderstanding and misrepresentation,
 10 especially by any who have not grasped the 'absolute'
 11 nature of the bishop's religious commitment. The bishop
 12 may have failed to judge the appropriateness of such
 13 exercises for some of the young people whom he is so
 14 anxious to help. But ... certain is that his intention
 15 towards all people, young or old, is wholly that of
 16 Christian concern ... and that to harm any person would
 17 be completely abhorrent and alien to his nature. He may
 18 be guilty of an innocence that fails to anticipate how
 19 his teaching and example might be construed ... of his
 20 integrity, compassion and respect ..."
 21 What did you think about that at the time? In
 22 particular, the implication that the acts of which
 23 Neil Todd -- and by this time, in fact, you had
 24 interviewed a couple of other individuals, one of whom
 25 we heard from on Tuesday, that they may well simply have

Page 73

1 misunderstood spiritual or penitential exercises?
 2 **A. I mean, what he said -- I'm not saying it would have**
 3 **been dismissed. But at the end of the day, my reaction**
 4 **to all these letters coming in -- as I understand, they**
 5 **obviously -- they had a belief that the bishop was**
 6 **a good man, et cetera, et cetera, but I remember**
 7 **thinking, well, if these people knew what I knew at the**
 8 **time about the allegations and about the way the things**
 9 **were unfolding, they wouldn't have written those**
 10 **letters.**
 11 Q. Can we get up another letter, if you wouldn't mind,
 12 OHY000096_036. This is a letter from Tim Rathbone MP
 13 identifying that he would have been the relevant
 14 constituency MP for Bishop Ball when he was the Bishop
 15 of Lewes:
 16 "I find it literally inconceivable that he would
 17 have ever become involved in the way the newspapers have
 18 described or insinuated."
 19 I would imagine that it is not usual that the local
 20 MP -- well, that even the local MP writes to protest the
 21 innocence of an individual who has been arrested by the
 22 Gloucestershire Constabulary. How unusual was it to
 23 receive these sorts of letters?
 24 **A. You did -- through my career, you did get letters from**
 25 **MPs. Somebody would go and see them, so you would get**

Page 74

1 **letters, probably to the chief constable. I didn't see**
 2 **it as unusual, in the sense that the bishop and the**
 3 **circles in which he moved -- okay, if you were going to**
 4 **get letters, I would expect these type of letters. But,**
 5 **again, I go back to the fact that, as I said, it cut no**
 6 **ice, and I mean that. It didn't cut any ice with me,**
 7 **and I remember thinking, you know, they have written**
 8 **these letters in good faith, perhaps -- I say "perhaps",**
 9 **you know, I don't know what their intentions -- like**
 10 **people, I can speculate --**
 11 Q. It is not for us to speculate on their intentions.
 12 **A. Absolutely. But if they really knew what the**
 13 **allegations were, they wouldn't be writing them, I don't**
 14 **think -- or they wouldn't have written them.**
 15 Q. Can we just get up, because you also, as well as an MP
 16 and various other individuals, received a letter from
 17 Lord Lloyd of Berwick. OHY000096_069-070:
 18 "I enclose a copy of this letter ..."
 19 Chief constable from the Right Honourable
 20 Lord Justice:
 21 "Dear Inspector Murdock, I send you a copy of
 22 the letter that I have sent to the chief constable."
 23 So it has been sent to the chief constable but also
 24 to you personally. I'd like you to note the fact that,
 25 although the address is out, the seal means it was sent

Page 75

1 on Court of Appeal headed notepaper. If we could look,
 2 it is dated 2 February 1993:
 3 "... I have known him much longer. I am not going
 4 to write about the case, since I know nothing about what
 5 he may have done, and it would in any event be quite
 6 improper for me to be seen to be influencing the
 7 decision which must rest with the DPP ...
 8 "I must pass on what you must know about him. He is
 9 the most gentle, upright and saintly man. Because of
 10 his nature, he has obviously suffered far more than any
 11 of us can imagine and far more than an ordinary human
 12 being. I find it difficult to accept that such an awful
 13 fate could have befallen so good a man. I express these
 14 views as my own."
 15 Could we go on to the next page, Danny. No, that's
 16 the letter that George Carey sent, which you would also
 17 have seen.
 18 It is one thing having MPs and individuals from
 19 various public schools writing to you, it is another
 20 thing to have someone -- I believe, in fact, we are
 21 going to come on to the fact that Lord Lloyd in fact
 22 telephoned you?
 23 **A. That's correct.**
 24 Q. And the policeman that put him through said it is the
 25 Lord Chief Justice on the telephone. I would imagine

Page 76

1 the Lord Chief Justice writing to you or telephoning
 2 you -- you thought in fact that he wasn't the Lord Chief
 3 Justice, but a senior member of the judiciary writing to
 4 you about an individual was an unusual situation?
 5 **A. It was, but I probably directed him to write the letter**
 6 **following the phone call.**
 7 Q. Okay.
 8 **A. I can recall -- you know, obviously the memory over the**
 9 **years, some things you remember, and I remember I was**
 10 **walking through the town, the city, rather, and I had**
 11 **a radio message from the detective who ran the office**
 12 **telling me that I was going to get a phone call at**
 13 **3 o'clock from the Lord Chief Justice, which I thought,**
 14 **well, here we go, and in fact the call came in at 4.40.**
 15 **He was a bit late phoning me. Well, I just --**
 16 Q. How would you describe -- what did he say to you and
 17 what did you say to him?
 18 **A. It is difficult to remember exactly.**
 19 Q. Yes.
 20 **A. He said something along the lines of -- sort of, you**
 21 **know, very posh, obviously, and he went on about the**
 22 **bishop and he might have said "You're taking**
 23 **testimonials", and all that. But if it was me -- and he**
 24 **agreed -- I said, "You realise I'm the investigating**
 25 **officer and you shouldn't be" -- he said, "Maybe**

Page 77

1 **I shouldn't be talking to you then". And I said, "No".**
 2 **We didn't discuss the case, but I might have told**
 3 **him that the report was going in, but --**
 4 Q. But this was not long before the report went to the CPS?
 5 **A. I wanted to end that call, because I actually found it**
 6 **embarrassing.**
 7 Q. Why did you find it embarrassing?
 8 **A. Well, you know, I mean, this man is -- I thought, well,**
 9 **a Justice of Appeal, and I remember thinking, if this is**
 10 **a Justice of Appeal, you know, we have got no chance.**
 11 **I mean, the naivety of ringing to speak to me -- I mean,**
 12 **that's what hit me, you know, what does this man think**
 13 **he's doing? I have to say, I will say he made no**
 14 **improper suggestions. He did say -- I made a note in my**
 15 **diary. He did say, "I know the DPP, Barbara Mills.**
 16 **I would like to influence her, but I won't", and I think**
 17 **maybe, if I'm generous, he was thinking out loud. But**
 18 **my overall impression of that call was, you know,**
 19 **"I really can't believe this, that a man in your**
 20 **position is actually speaking to me".**
 21 **So what I said to him was, "I suggest you write to**
 22 **the chief constable", and I think that's how that letter**
 23 **came to be written.**
 24 Q. Did anyone, as far as you were aware, contact you from
 25 the office of His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales or

Page 78

1 from a member of the Royal protection staff about
 2 Peter Ball at this time?
 3 **A. Absolutely not.**
 4 Q. You spoke to the CPS on the Wednesday after the arrest
 5 on the Monday?
 6 **A. Yes.**
 7 Q. If we can go back, now that we have dealt with the
 8 various letters from the various individuals, you spoke
 9 to the CPS on the Wednesday?
 10 **A. Yes.**
 11 Q. So Peter Ball was arrested on the Monday. The phone
 12 call comes in on the Saturday. You see Neil Todd on the
 13 Saturday and the Sunday?
 14 **A. Yes.**
 15 Q. On the Monday, you see Peter Ball. On the Wednesday,
 16 you spoke to the CPS?
 17 **A. Yes.**
 18 Q. They said to you that the matter needed to go to central
 19 office, I think were the words they used?
 20 **A. Yes.**
 21 Q. Did you understand what they meant by that, what that
 22 was?
 23 **A. Yes. What the process was, the CPS had come into being**
 24 **I think '86, and although the police still had the**
 25 **investigative role, the CPS role was, really, you put**

Page 79

1 **a file in normally at the end of your investigations,**
 2 **that was the way it started to develop from '86 on, and**
 3 **then they would make a decision as to whether or not**
 4 **there was evidence to charge.**
 5 **Now, what evolved was the fact that they didn't want**
 6 **to wait until you put a file in, which -- okay, if it**
 7 **was total rubbish, you'd have to go back and start the**
 8 **process. So what they encouraged was, they wanted early**
 9 **involvement in the case. Obviously, given the fact that**
 10 **it was a bishop, you know, I was anxious that we got**
 11 **them involved right from the word go, and that's what**
 12 **happened, and then they decided -- it was their decision**
 13 **that they couldn't deal with it in Gloucester and that**
 14 **it was more appropriate to go to the DPP.**
 15 Q. Why was that? Was that because of the nature of
 16 the offending or because it was a bishop or both?
 17 **A. I've got a feeling -- I'm a bit rusty on it all -- that**
 18 **there were certain, in the end, proposed charges that**
 19 **would have to go --**
 20 Q. You needed the consent. The charge of gross indecency
 21 would have required the consent of the DPP?
 22 **A. I think the bottom line for them probably was, "Let's**
 23 **take it to the top and let them decide".**
 24 Q. Did they suggest to you at any time what the ultimate
 25 disposal of the case should be?

Page 80

1 A. No. I mean, as I say, we were responsible for the
 2 investigation. They could guide you. They could say,
 3 "We suggest you go and do this or that". The way it was
 4 in 1992, you tried to prove offences. You went back and
 5 you tried to get the evidence. So, no, they didn't at
 6 that stage, but there would come a point towards the end
 7 when obviously decisions had to be made, and that's when
 8 it went up the line to the DPP eventually.

9 Q. We will talk about that later. But you also say in your
 10 witness statement that on the Sunday or the following
 11 weekend you were given six officers. Now, forgive me,
 12 but was that a lot to be assigned to an enquiry of this
 13 nature?

14 A. Yes, it was for Gloucestershire. It was up to six
 15 officers. Yes. So, I mean, it would be -- I didn't
 16 utilise all six. Probably in the end, you'd ring
 17 around -- you had to negotiate in those days, you know,
 18 with divisional commanders, "Can I have this officer?",
 19 so I think I ended up with myself -- as I say, I was
 20 very much hands-on. I went out there, and
 21 Sergeant Wasley, and I think there were two DCs and one
 22 who came on later. So probably about five.

23 Q. You said you wanted to be very hands-on. You say in
 24 your witness statement that's in order to minimise the
 25 risk of failure. Some people would say, maybe that was

Page 81

1 in order so that you could noble everyone, to stop the
 2 truth coming out?

3 A. No, absolutely -- hang on a minute. No way. Anybody
 4 who knows me knows what I'm like. I think I had a good
 5 enough reputation then. The thing -- the point to make
 6 with that is that that decision to give me those
 7 officers was made at chief officer level. So what the
 8 significance, if you like, maybe for the inquiry, is
 9 that was a form of backing for the investigation.
 10 That's the important thing.

11 If there had been any interference or anything, they
 12 wouldn't have volunteered that. If I needed the
 13 officers, I would have said, "I want extra help". You
 14 don't turn it down. You don't turn it down when it's
 15 offered.

16 Q. Shortly afterwards -- so shortly before Christmas, so
 17 I think it was 22 December, you went to Lambeth Palace
 18 and spoke to Bishop John Yates. What was the nature of
 19 your discussion and why did you go and speak to him?

20 A. I believe the arrangement had been made by Detective
 21 Superintendent Bennett, he would have spoken to
 22 John Yates, and I believe that Mr Bennett had said to
 23 him, you know, "We want everything you've got on the
 24 bishop -- correspondence and that". I telephoned the
 25 bishop -- yes, Bishop John Yates myself, to make the

Page 82

1 arrangements to go and see him, and would have -- well,
 2 I did reiterate the fact that I was coming to pick up
 3 anything he had and obviously to discuss the case with
 4 him. We needed to know what the church could do.
 5 I mean, it was part -- part of the enquiry in the sense
 6 that I needed to be able to go back to the DPP and say,
 7 "This is what the church could do in terms of
 8 discipline".

9 Q. Why was that relevant?

10 A. It is relevant because it's a fallback, and to know --
 11 because the question would have been asked by obviously
 12 the CPS, "Well, what can the church do about this?" So
 13 I pre-empted that one. I was surprised, actually,
 14 that -- I learnt that I don't think the church could do
 15 very much at all, which surprised me.

16 Q. You say in your witness statement that you told him you
 17 wanted to take possession of any letters which related
 18 to Bishop Peter Ball?

19 A. That's right.

20 Q. How did you know that there were any letters?

21 A. What had happened, as a result of the publicity
 22 following the arrest of the bishop, one witness had got
 23 in touch.

24 Q. That's somebody we know as AN93?

25 A. That's correct. And sent a letter. I think they sent

Page 83

1 it originally to Brixton Police and then it got
 2 forwarded to us. So I'd had that letter. I think it
 3 had been copied in to Lambeth, from what I understood.
 4 So I went to Lambeth, and -- to collect anything that
 5 they had, really.

6 Q. Okay.

7 A. And my intention then -- because that person lived in
 8 London, I was going to go straight from Lambeth to
 9 interview that person in another part of London.

10 Q. So you went to Lambeth. You went to see
 11 Bishop John Yates.

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Firstly, what did he tell you about Bishop Ball and
 14 about the investigation?

15 A. I really can't remember too much. I think he did say
 16 something about he'd hoped the church could have done
 17 this investigation, and I put that in my report.
 18 I remember saying, "Well, I don't think the church are
 19 really the best equipped", and by that I meant
 20 resource-wise and, you know, we were the appropriate
 21 people to investigate those allegations.
 22 I think he was maybe expressing his views.

23 Q. He was -- what letters, if any, did he hand over to you?

24 A. He talked about a guy called [redacted]. I also talked
 25 about trying to research the background of

Page 84

1 **St Francis ...**
 2 MS SCOLDING: Can we break the feed, please?
 3 Chair, may we have a restriction order in respect of
 4 that person?
 5 THE CHAIR: Yes, I make that order.
 6 **A. Sorry.**
 7 MS SCOLDING: It's fine. It's usually me.
 8 You said he'd spoken to you about a person -- he
 9 mentioned a certain person?
 10 **A. That's correct, yes.**
 11 Q. He passed over a letter from that person; is that right?
 12 **A. Yes, and I also asked him about who could I go to in the**
 13 **church who is an authority on St Francis of Assisi.**
 14 **Because obviously I wanted to check out if there was any**
 15 **sort of religious reasons why the bishop -- or**
 16 **justification, if you like, why the bishop had done what**
 17 **had been alleged, and so he -- I think he named two**
 18 **people, or suggested two people, that I could go and**
 19 **speak to.**
 20 Q. Did you subsequently go and speak to the people?
 21 **A. Yes, I believe we did. In the witness bundle --**
 22 Q. What you have said is, you knew, because you were going
 23 on to interview AN93. Why didn't you say to
 24 Bishop Yates at the time, "Well, you know, you haven't
 25 been straight with me here, Bishop Yates, because I know

Page 85

1 that AN93 has written to you. I'm about to go and
 2 interview him. Where is his letter? Why haven't you
 3 given me his letter?"
 4 **A. No, I wouldn't have done that then. I had the letter**
 5 **and I was going to go and see AN93. By the time**
 6 **I finished that, I had a very full account from that**
 7 **witness. I didn't require that letter and I wasn't**
 8 **going to challenge him at that point.**
 9 Q. Did you ask for Lambeth Palace to sort of keep you
 10 updated and, if there were any further letters, to send
 11 them to you or to send you a copy of the file? Did you
 12 know -- did Bishop Yates say to you, "Actually, we keep
 13 a file on every bishop" --
 14 **A. No, nothing like that.**
 15 Q. -- which is where the bodies were buried, so to speak?
 16 **A. I've got to be honest, I would have expected -- it**
 17 **happens -- loads of investigations I have been on where**
 18 **you go to businesses' premises, whatever, you are going**
 19 **there for a particular reason maybe regarding**
 20 **a particular person, normally people turn around and**
 21 **say, "Well, you should be aware of this", and that**
 22 **didn't happen. I would have expected that to have been**
 23 **volunteered, even -- we asked on two occasions, but,**
 24 **really, we shouldn't have had to ask. That's the bottom**
 25 **line of it. If anything was held on the bishop to the**

Page 86

1 **detriment, then that should have been volunteered.**
 2 Q. But what the police thought was that you were going
 3 around and getting all the information anyway -- what
 4 the church thought, I mean, not what the police.
 5 What the church thought, and what Lord Carey told us
 6 yesterday, was that the church's expectation was that
 7 you would come to them and say, "Can we have some more
 8 information?", that it wasn't their job to volunteer it,
 9 it was your job to interrogate it, so to speak?
 10 **A. I'm sorry, but that's a very strange way of looking at**
 11 **things, especially from an Archbishop of Canterbury.**
 12 **I mean, I have to say, and -- you know, if you've got**
 13 **information on somebody and you know that -- and they**
 14 **knew an awful lot that was going on, not necessarily**
 15 **from me. There were various people acting for the**
 16 **church, one of them being, I believe, somebody you may**
 17 **talk about later, but there was a lot of activity going**
 18 **on, and they knew -- they knew what was going on. So my**
 19 **expectation would have been that, if you have got**
 20 **anything, you hand it over, "You should be made aware of**
 21 **this". That's, as I say, from the church, you don't**
 22 **expect information to be withheld.**
 23 **You know, really, that's commonsense.**
 24 Q. I want to ask you about two things next. One is about
 25 the other letters that the church did in fact hold, but

Page 87

1 before I do that, Danny, could you get up
 2 CPS002513_048-049. Chair and panel, it is not in your
 3 bundle. I don't think it is, anyway. This is
 4 a memorandum -- in fact, we looked at it chair and panel
 5 yesterday -- from Bishop Yates to George Carey, written
 6 the day after your visit from Lambeth Palace. Could you
 7 highlight the second paragraph on the first page,
 8 please, Danny. A bit further up, if you wouldn't mind.
 9 A couple of sentences up:
 10 "The police seemed to be hinting yesterday that the
 11 CPS may suggest that they do not prosecute 'in the
 12 public interest' but that Peter's resignation would help
 13 them to come to that decision. If so, he should not
 14 resign until the CPS approach us, for otherwise we 'lose
 15 our bargaining chip'. Neil Todd's mother is said by the
 16 police to be implacable in her enmity to the church [you
 17 have already told us about this] over the affair, not
 18 that she demands prosecution, but that Peter needs to be
 19 seen to be punished somehow if there is no prosecution."
 20 The only person the inquiry is thinking that this
 21 information would have come from is you?
 22 **A. It's quite possible. I mean, we would have discussed --**
 23 **he would have asked me, I'm sure, what could happen; the**
 24 **same as I asked him, what can the church do about it?**
 25 **So I was quite open, the options that could happen. So**

Page 88

1 **there was nothing to hide on that.**
 2 Q. But what's interesting is, you seem almost to be saying,
 3 "Well, what we really need to do is probably just get
 4 rid of this somehow". How some people would read that
 5 memo is you saying, "Look, if he resigns, it can all go
 6 away?"
 7 **A. Absolutely not. People ask you what can happen. You**
 8 **tell them. If he interprets that in a way -- I mean,**
 9 **public interest was one factor. He could be charged.**
 10 **He undoubtedly asked me what will happen, and I gave him**
 11 **the possible options.**
 12 Q. But did you, in effect -- I mean, whether or not
 13 Bishop Ball resigned or not was really irrelevant to
 14 whether or not you decided to prosecute him, I'm
 15 assuming?
 16 **A. Yes. If you're going to get prosecuted, then that's it.**
 17 **You know, there's no need -- if he's found guilty at the**
 18 **end of it, then one assumes he would have to resign.**
 19 Q. Can we talk about, now, there were some letters that the
 20 church had that Bishop Yates didn't give you on that
 21 day, whether or not he knew they were there, whether or
 22 not they were in different places, we just don't know.
 23 Bishop Yates is no longer with us to say, and
 24 Bishop Carey can't shed any light on that either.
 25 But you said to Dame Moira Gibb that you would have

Page 89

1 been in a different position and that there could have
 2 been other charges had you had that information?
 3 **A. It's possible, yes. It depends what the information**
 4 **was, and the extent of the allegations. But --**
 5 Q. I mean, but is that really true? At least quite a lot
 6 of the information that was in the letters would have
 7 been known to you anyway, so you've got the letter from
 8 AN93.
 9 **A. Yes.**
 10 Q. And he obviously gave you a full statement which was
 11 supportive of Neil Todd. You also had AN98 and AN117,
 12 who were two individuals who came from Cambridge --
 13 **A. Mmm-hmm.**
 14 Q. -- via Ros Hunt. I'm just trying to say that so that we
 15 don't name them. But those individuals. They'd both
 16 individually, in fact, identified matters which were as
 17 serious as Neil Todd's and consistent with Neil Todd's
 18 account.
 19 You also had A99 and A108, who had come forward.
 20 So, in a way, you had sort of six or seven different
 21 people, all of whom were presenting a consistent picture
 22 over a number of years. So did you really need those
 23 letters?
 24 **A. Yes.**
 25 Q. Because Dame Moira Gibb makes quite a lot of this in her

Page 90

1 review and says, well, you had said to her, "It would
 2 have been different and there would have been more
 3 charges" --
 4 **A. I was led to believe, when I went to that inquiry, that**
 5 **these letters were far more damaging. If there's an**
 6 **overlap, fine. The bottom line was, those letters**
 7 **should have been passed on for us to look at and for us**
 8 **to make the judgment in terms of what their evidential**
 9 **value was and where we could take the enquiry. It's**
 10 **possible, if we'd had a couple more allegations, that**
 11 **the individuals making the allegations might have had**
 12 **stronger feelings and perhaps not so affected mentally,**
 13 **stronger people who might have been prepared to give**
 14 **evidence, and the more you have as a detective, the more**
 15 **you have -- the better chance you have of pressing**
 16 **charges.**
 17 **But we should have been the judge of that. Those**
 18 **letters should have been handed over, and they could**
 19 **have made a difference. That's the bottom line of it.**
 20 **I haven't seen the letters. I don't know what the**
 21 **allegations were. But we should have seen them at the**
 22 **time, and it was for us, the CPS, having followed up the**
 23 **enquiries, to make the decision as to what their worth**
 24 **was in terms of evidential value.**
 25 Q. If I can just indicate, at least two of those letters

Page 91

1 were what I would describe as cryptic, in terms of what
 2 they say. All they say is, "Well, there were some funny
 3 goings on", but they don't really say, "and this is what
 4 happened". One of the letters you already had, and the
 5 other letter identifies naked prayer. I think probably
 6 what I should put to you on behalf of Lord Carey of
 7 Clifton's team is: well, you therefore had everything
 8 that the church had, albeit maybe from slightly
 9 different participants. There wasn't in fact anything
 10 materially different in that information?
 11 **A. I go back to what I said: we should have been the judge**
 12 **of that, not the archbishop. He knew that we were**
 13 **carrying out an investigation and, for some reason, he**
 14 **withheld that information. Now, had we had names of**
 15 **people, we would have followed it up, gone and**
 16 **interviewed them, and perhaps we'd have got far more out**
 17 **of those people than had been revealed in the letters.**
 18 Q. The other thing to say is, obviously, a lot of the
 19 offending took place in Sussex. Did you try and contact
 20 Sussex Police during the course -- I know afterwards you
 21 had some contact with Sussex Police. Did you try and
 22 contact Sussex Police during the course of
 23 the investigation to get them to investigate what was
 24 going on in Sussex?
 25 **A. I can't remember all the details, but if I tell you that**

Page 92

1 we would have phoned Sussex Police right from the word
 2 go, because the police forces then were very parochial.
 3 I couldn't go into Sussex and start making enquiries or
 4 the officers involved in it without notifying that
 5 force. If it worked the other way around, if we found
 6 out then, in '92, that Sussex officers had come to
 7 Gloucestershire and were going around making enquiries,
 8 we would be pretty miffed that they hadn't bothered to
 9 contact. So I'm pretty sure that we would have spoken
 10 and we would have taken, if you like, the lead. But
 11 that could have changed, but we took the lead.
 12 Yes, some of the offences, I believe, were in Sussex
 13 and then you had the offences at the bishop's residence
 14 in Gloucester.
 15 Q. Why didn't you work together -- I know you said it was
 16 all quite territorial. I don't know what it is like
 17 now. But, I mean, why didn't you sort of phone Sussex
 18 up and say, "Right. We have got a number of
 19 individuals". You had at least five individuals other
 20 than Neil Todd making serious sexual allegations. Why
 21 didn't you say, "Right, we need a sort of joint task
 22 force and we need you, Sussex, to go and interview
 23 everyone who has ever been at Litlington Priory and try
 24 to work out what has happened"?
 25 A. We tried to do that ourselves. We would've spoken to

Page 93

1 them and we would've agreed that we could carry out the
 2 enquiry. If at any stage that had changed -- I think in
 3 fact we probably did utilise officers from Sussex to
 4 carry out some of the enquiries. During the course of
 5 the investigation, and it is documented in my diary,
 6 there was contact with Sussex at a very high level
 7 between chief officers. I was assigned a detective
 8 superintendent that I could go to. That was arranged by
 9 Mr Bennett, who in turn had spoken to his counterpart.
 10 So Sussex, during the course of the investigation, were
 11 aware that we were carrying out these enquiries, and
 12 that became even more so after the enquiry had finished.
 13 Q. So my understanding is that, as a result of the various
 14 investigations you undertook, you had Neil Todd's
 15 allegations, but you also had allegations on behalf of
 16 AN93, who had identified that Peter Ball had asked him
 17 to masturbate himself, ie, the young man, and he was
 18 under 18 at the time. You had AN99, who had spoken
 19 about concerns about Peter Ball. You had AN98, who was
 20 very upset about things but was able to speak about sort
 21 of naked massages and anointing. And AN-A117, who we
 22 heard from on Monday, chair and panel. So you're
 23 familiar with all the different allegations. So you've
 24 got five different young men. But you said that both
 25 AN98 and AN-A117 didn't really want to press charges in

Page 94

1 and of themselves; is that right?
 2 A. That's correct. And also 117.
 3 Q. Yes.
 4 A. Yes, 117. Neil Todd --
 5 Q. And the others?
 6 A. -- was reluctant, if I can put it that way. But he left
 7 it to the police, that was in his statement, he would
 8 leave it to the police to decide what to do. But we
 9 were faced with people -- victims who were clearly very
 10 fragile.
 11 Q. What do you mean by "fragile"?
 12 A. Well, when we interviewed -- I believe it was 117, we
 13 went to Cambridge, and I believe that's when Ros Hunt
 14 was present.
 15 Q. Yes.
 16 A. I went there to make sure they were okay talking to us
 17 and to try and reassure, but 99 was very fragile. We
 18 went to interview him.
 19 Q. You mean fragile mentally or --
 20 A. You know, you've got to sit there and you listen to the
 21 account, and you're dealing with somebody who's clearly
 22 very fragile. That's what we had, really. We had
 23 people -- and the reason -- it's speculation, again, you
 24 could put it down to their experience. Undoubtedly,
 25 there was -- it had to be their experience.

Page 95

1 They were willing to give their stories, but they
 2 wanted to be seen really to support Neil Todd rather
 3 than be complainants themselves. That was their wish.
 4 Q. You say that they were very fragile and that that was
 5 their wish. Why do you think -- did any of them say to
 6 you why that was their wish, or what was the inference
 7 you drew from that?
 8 A. It's possible. I mean, they just were reluctant. It
 9 was a struggle. It was difficult. I mean, recounting
 10 their experiences. That didn't prevent me at the end
 11 treating them as the victims and putting on my report
 12 suggested offences in relation to them. That's the way
 13 it was. But they were very -- they would have come to
 14 court, but they did not want to be seen as the
 15 complainants.
 16 Q. Was that at least in part because some of them were gay
 17 and involved with the church?
 18 A. I'm sure. We met -- I mean, I got around to see a lot
 19 of people, and it was quite apparent that there were
 20 a lot of what I would say gay people -- this is back in
 21 '92, and we picked up sort of issues within the church.
 22 That's me looking from the outside and looking in as
 23 a detective. It was quite clear that there were a lot
 24 of people struggling with their sexuality, and I think
 25 Neil Todd was probably one of them, and you've only got

Page 96

1 to go back to '92 and '95 to know what -- or to
 2 appreciate what the feelings were towards homosexuals.
 3 Now, the church -- it is my understanding, looking
 4 at it, that the church seemed to accept -- from what
 5 I was being told, would accept gay or homosexuals on the
 6 outside, but within the church that seemed to be
 7 a problem. I spoke to vicars, people who would say,
 8 "Well, hang on a minute, why don't they just face up to
 9 the fact, you know, and accept it?"; there were others
 10 who clearly couldn't come to terms. There was one
 11 witness who phoned me at a priory, and he was clearly
 12 struggling. He had been interviewed by the team,
 13 officers from the team, and then he contacted me and
 14 said, "Well, I have been economical with the truth. Can
 15 you manufacture a way of coming back?", and we discussed
 16 it, but by the time the officers had gone back, my
 17 expectation being that he was going to make a statement,
 18 he had been told, "Well, you don't need to do it" --
 19 allegedly by the father running the priory, to say,
 20 "Hang on a minute. You were 21, so don't worry about
 21 it".
 22 And there were other people. Even 117. I tried to
 23 encourage him to bring a friend forward, and we
 24 subsequently spoke on the phone and he rang me and said,
 25 "My friend won't do it". It was quite clear that some

Page 97

1 didn't want to talk to us because of the situation they
 2 might have found themselves in.
 3 Q. Because they didn't want to be exposed as being gay
 4 within the church?
 5 A. Yes. As I say, back in '92/'95, views were different.
 6 But I picked that up as being a factor, and I'm sure --
 7 I say I'm sure -- people probably didn't come forward
 8 maybe because of that. Maybe they didn't want to have
 9 to discuss their sexuality.
 10 Q. Do you think your view in investigating it or others of
 11 your officers -- we are talking about 1992. Do you
 12 think the police force was -- do you think you or any of
 13 your officers may have consciously or subconsciously
 14 discriminated against these individuals because they
 15 were gay?
 16 A. I don't think so. I would hope not. I certainly
 17 didn't. At the time, I had lots of friends who were
 18 gay. I -- you know, no way --
 19 Q. You can have lots of friends who are gay and still be
 20 institutionally homophobic?
 21 A. Hey, you take people on face value. It's not about
 22 their sexuality. But we tried to put these people at
 23 ease. Whenever I got to talk to them, I would try and
 24 put them at ease, and some of them were -- you know,
 25 they didn't say, "I'm gay". I believe at some point,

Page 98

1 what I've seen, Archbishop Carey may have asked
 2 Peter Ball if he was homosexual.
 3 Q. Well, yes --
 4 A. But the problem was, my perception was, if some of them
 5 had said yes, then that would have been --
 6 Q. That would have been the end of it?
 7 A. That would have been the end of it. That's what you
 8 were sensing, and -- you know, with the people you were
 9 talking to.
 10 Q. How did that influence you in terms of the advice or any
 11 circumstances you would have given as to whether
 12 a caution was appropriate or not? Obviously, that would
 13 have avoided them having to come to trial. What was
 14 your view about how the defence may have treated those
 15 witnesses if they'd have come to trial?
 16 A. I think anybody who was around the legal profession --
 17 things have moved on. They have moved on a great deal.
 18 In '92 and before, going to court for rape victims, they
 19 were going to get basically the legal -- the
 20 barristers -- and I'm not being funny, they were going
 21 to have a field day. They would have been taken apart,
 22 no two ways about it. They would have had a rough time.
 23 The problem you had, you were going to get to
 24 a situation maybe where they would start probing and
 25 getting people to talk about their sexuality, and that

Page 99

1 really could have been an issue.
 2 So you had that -- to bear that in mind. There were
 3 also other issues about the fact they were in the
 4 church. You know, I interviewed bishops and vicars.
 5 Now, if you'd gone through the process of going to
 6 court, you had to accept that another feature would be
 7 they'd get into the witness box, or they'd pick a Bible
 8 up and they would swear by Almighty God they were going
 9 to tell the truth. But the time it had finished, it
 10 would be pretty obvious that some of them were lying.
 11 So you had a whole host of -- but I think the main issue
 12 was concern -- you had to look at it and say, "We've got
 13 to be concerned". You didn't want to see anybody going
 14 into a witness box and have their intimate details, if
 15 they didn't want to talk about it, brought into the
 16 open. That was '92 -- in court, there were not the
 17 mechanisms in court -- in fact, I have been out of
 18 the job 14 years, but you have only got to Google or
 19 talk to -- there are a lot of mechanisms now that would
 20 prevent that from happening. But it was something we
 21 had to consider.
 22 I say that was something that was put forward in
 23 discussions and would have been talked about. You
 24 didn't just have these people, the main, if you like,
 25 complainants. You had to think about the collateral

Page 100

1 damage that could have been caused.
 2 Now, some of them might have turned around -- if it
 3 came to the crunch, some would have said, "Yeah, don't
 4 worry, I'm going to do it", but it would have been
 5 a pretty tough ordeal for them.
 6 Q. Right.
 7 A. Make no mistake about that.
 8 Q. The ordeal wasn't possibly made any easier -- as
 9 I understand this, you came to know that
 10 Bishop Michael Ball was phoning various people up.
 11 A. Yes.
 12 Q. What was your response and reaction to that?
 13 A. Well, I found out through -- that he had been
 14 interfering. I would now say that I probably suspect
 15 that he was behind a lot of the letters. But my
 16 response was that I spoke to his solicitor -- or the
 17 bishop's solicitor, Mr Peak, and I warned him about the
 18 actions of Michael Ball and that he was coming very
 19 close to, in my view, perverting the course of justice.
 20 Q. Why didn't you seek to speak to Michael Ball directly
 21 about that?
 22 A. If I'd -- if it had carried on, I would have done. But
 23 I -- well, the damage had probably been done. I don't
 24 know how many people -- and there was another person
 25 working for the church who had, in my view now,

Page 101

1 especially what I know now, but undoubtedly at the time
 2 was also going around probably with the knowledge of
 3 Michael Ball interfering.
 4 Q. What do you mean by "interfering"?
 5 A. Well, Michael Ball had obviously spoken to people, and
 6 it is documented in the statement --
 7 Q. AN98 I think was phoned by Michael Ball and Ros Hunt was
 8 phoned by Michael Ball.
 9 A. He'd been ringing allegedly and saying, "Look, you know,
 10 the police are going to come and see you. Only say good
 11 things. We don't want to say bad things about the
 12 bishop". So when I got the hint of that, that was it.
 13 But, as I say, probably -- I'm maybe not yet to
 14 know, but I don't know how many other people he'd
 15 phoned. The person who was being employed by the church
 16 on what I would say he was a mission, from what I've
 17 subsequently seen, was going about the task of trying to
 18 get to people before the police to dissuade them from
 19 giving evidence.
 20 Q. Did you not investigate that and think, "Well, actually,
 21 this is quite serious"?
 22 A. I didn't know about it.
 23 Q. This is perverting the course --
 24 A. I didn't know about that.
 25 Q. When did you find out about that?

Page 102

1 A. After I got access to the papers, and then it started to
 2 fall in, that this had been going on, and there was the
 3 connection then with Michael Ball and Eric Kemp,
 4 Bishop Eric Kemp.
 5 Q. If we can move on now to -- you had a meeting with
 6 Eric Kemp, and you set this out at paragraphs 151 to 155
 7 of the witness statement, chair and panel, if this would
 8 assist, pages 29 to 30. So you went to interview
 9 Bishop Eric Kemp in early January 1993. In the course
 10 of the interview -- shall we say the interview appears
 11 to have been slightly peculiar? Perhaps you would like
 12 to tell us about this interview?
 13 A. I remember saying, "There's something going on here".
 14 It was a nice day, from recollection. We went into the
 15 room, and the curtains, the heavy curtains, were drawn,
 16 which you think, well, okay -- okay, I know the sun was
 17 shining, but it was a bit over the top. I can see now
 18 Eric Kemp was sat at the end of the table, and he had
 19 his -- I don't know -- aide or church --
 20 Q. A sort of aide or secretary with him?
 21 A. Yes, staff officer, or whatever you want to call him,
 22 sat there present during our conversation. I felt there
 23 was something not right.
 24 Q. It turns out from Reverend Tyler that he was outside in
 25 the car and there was to be some sort of mechanism

Page 103

1 whereby the curtains would twitch if something had been
 2 said that would alert him to burst in. In fact, what
 3 was happening was they were covertly recording your
 4 interview with Bishop Kemp?
 5 A. That's correct. I was told at the meeting I had with
 6 Tyler that he was outside; had I said anything that they
 7 felt was threatening or anything that was going to drop
 8 me in it, if you like, or -- the staff officer was going
 9 to walk off, draw the curtains back, and in would rush
 10 Mr Tyler and confront me. That was it.
 11 Q. You were a detective for sort of 34 years. Had you ever
 12 heard of anything like this happening before or after?
 13 It sounds like the sort of cheapest Agatha Christie
 14 novel, if I may say so?
 15 A. Don't get me wrong, you're always wary that people could
 16 be tape recording you, but I have to say, I didn't
 17 expect the most senior bishop in the church,
 18 a 77-year-old I think he was at the time, to be -- I'm
 19 going to use the word "devious" -- devious enough to
 20 tape record or agree to it. Because the effect, if
 21 I had said anything improper or done anything, the
 22 effect of it would have been -- the bottom line would
 23 have been that I would have been removed probably from
 24 the investigation and it would have been derailed.
 25 That's one way of looking at it. Because that would

Page 104

<p>1 have been the outcome.</p> <p>2 Q. There wouldn't be any other reason to record you unless</p> <p>3 they thought you were going to drop yourself in it and</p> <p>4 then they could get rid of the investigation altogether?</p> <p>5 A. Absolutely. If somebody turns around and says, "Do you</p> <p>6 mind if I tape record what's going on?", that's fair</p> <p>7 enough, that's not a problem, but to be covertly tape</p> <p>8 recorded with that in mind, it's not something that</p> <p>9 I would expect from somebody very senior in the church.</p> <p>10 Q. During the course of that, in fact, they also mentioned</p> <p>11 somebody called Superintendent Horan, who was somebody</p> <p>12 else I understand from Gloucestershire Constabulary.</p> <p>13 Can I just check, did you ever meet him or have</p> <p>14 discussions with him about the Peter Ball case?</p> <p>15 A. Absolutely not. In fact, he was Superintendent</p> <p>16 John Horan, who is -- just to put the record straight,</p> <p>17 his father --</p> <p>18 Q. His father was the Bishop of Tewkesbury?</p> <p>19 A. Yes. But absolutely no way. Mr Horan is a man of</p> <p>20 integrity. I will make it clear: he never, ever</p> <p>21 approached me during the investigation and I didn't</p> <p>22 approach him. That's the end of it. He certainly</p> <p>23 didn't get involved. He was very professional. In</p> <p>24 fact, I only learned recently that he in fact had been</p> <p>25 the duty uniformed superintendent in the county --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 105</p>	<p>1 Q. And did you receive that?</p> <p>2 A. -- and that's what we got. Yes, we did, about his</p> <p>3 relationship with the -- you know, and knowledge of</p> <p>4 the bishop.</p> <p>5 Q. Can I ask you, you had gone and met, by the middle</p> <p>6 of January, AN98 and AN117 in Cambridge. I will call</p> <p>7 them the Cambridge allegations as that probably saves</p> <p>8 you having to check out who they are on the information</p> <p>9 that you have got.</p> <p>10 A. Thank you.</p> <p>11 Q. You then wanted to rearrest Peter Ball --</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. -- for those offences. Your understanding is that the</p> <p>14 chief constable didn't want Peter Ball arrested for</p> <p>15 those other offences?</p> <p>16 A. That's correct.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you know why and what did you do about that?</p> <p>18 A. I don't know why. It was filtered down to me through</p> <p>19 Detective Superintendent Bennett. Both he and I,</p> <p>20 particularly me, were adamant that the bishop would be</p> <p>21 arrested, was going to be arrested, and in fact I went</p> <p>22 to a meeting with the CPS because I was concerned and</p> <p>23 I discussed it, the need for him to be arrested.</p> <p>24 I don't know what the reason was. I suspect it was --</p> <p>25 Q. Did he ever speak to you directly and say, you know --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 107</p>
<p>1 Q. Would he have been able to remove any documents?</p> <p>2 A. No. No, no.</p> <p>3 Q. Would he have been able to remove things, remove things</p> <p>4 from evidence?</p> <p>5 A. Absolutely not.</p> <p>6 Q. Would he have been able to tamper with the</p> <p>7 investigation?</p> <p>8 A. Absolutely not. You know, he is not that type of man.</p> <p>9 But let me make it clear, that if anybody had come to me</p> <p>10 and tried to do that, they would have been shown the</p> <p>11 door. I won't tell you what the second word would have</p> <p>12 been, but they would have been shown the door. As</p> <p>13 I say, Mr Horan is a man of integrity. Indeed, all the</p> <p>14 officers that I worked with on that enquiry had the</p> <p>15 highest integrity and a proven track record as well.</p> <p>16 Q. In fact, you say that you in fact spoke to -- following</p> <p>17 your conversation with Eric Kemp, you in fact asked</p> <p>18 Superintendent Horan to outline a full and frank</p> <p>19 statement of evidence as to what his dealings had been</p> <p>20 with Bishop Ball?</p> <p>21 A. I did that through Mr Bennett.</p> <p>22 Q. Yes.</p> <p>23 A. I explained the situation, that his name had been</p> <p>24 mentioned, and I remember saying, "I want a frank --</p> <p>25 a very frank statement from him" --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 106</p>	<p>1 A. Who is this?</p> <p>2 Q. Chief Constable Pacey.</p> <p>3 A. No, and I didn't have any --</p> <p>4 Q. Did he sort of call you into his wood-panelled office</p> <p>5 and tell you --</p> <p>6 A. No, no --</p> <p>7 Q. -- that in no uncertain terms --</p> <p>8 A. I left that --</p> <p>9 Q. -- were you --</p> <p>10 A. Mr Bennett can deal with that. No, the chief</p> <p>11 constable -- I didn't have any dealings with the chief.</p> <p>12 That was through Mr Bennett. But, no, I don't know the</p> <p>13 reasons. I suspect they're probably operational.</p> <p>14 I have to be careful, without sounding -- sometimes it's</p> <p>15 a fact that chief constables are a little bit distant</p> <p>16 and chief officers are distant from their knowledge of</p> <p>17 investigations and, you know, the day-to-day arresting</p> <p>18 of people, and whatever. So it didn't -- he wasn't</p> <p>19 saying, "Don't do anything", he was merely saying,</p> <p>20 "I don't want him arrested". I didn't agree with that.</p> <p>21 There was no way -- I think, you know, the problem we</p> <p>22 had in those days was, if you invited somebody into</p> <p>23 a police station and you said to them -- and you wanted</p> <p>24 to talk to them and you didn't arrest them, then you had</p> <p>25 a situation where the first thing you had to tell them</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 108</p>

1 was, "You don't have to stay here. You're free to go".
 2 So the dilemma you then had is, if they got up and
 3 walked out, you then had to arrest them, and then you
 4 start getting into -- so you had to come absolutely
 5 clean, straight there, arrest them, they get the
 6 protection, you can properly deal with it.
 7 So to me it was essential that the bishop should be
 8 arrested again and properly questioned about the further
 9 allegations that were being made. And Mr Bennett
 10 supported that and he made the representations, very
 11 strong representations, and in the end they agreed.
 12 Now, what I did -- the CPS also intervened and
 13 emphasised the fact, backing me up, that he should be
 14 arrested.
 15 Q. So he then was arrested, and this was on Sunday,
 16 10 January 1993, you contacted his solicitor and said he
 17 would need to be reinterviewed and probably be
 18 rearrested?
 19 A. Yes.
 20 Q. He asked you to interview certain people at that time.
 21 Was it usual for a defence solicitor to sit there and
 22 say, "Well, I want you to interview X and Y and Z", or
 23 was that him interfering in your investigation?
 24 A. No, right from the word go -- I always class myself as
 25 an investigator. Not a prosecutor; an investigator

Page 109

1 seeking the truth.
 2 If I was in the situation where you'd got to talk to
 3 the defending solicitors, I would always say to them,
 4 "If you have anything at all that you feel would help
 5 your client, please give it to me and I will investigate
 6 it". As I say -- and that was the way I approached and
 7 spoke with Mr Peak. That's the way I dealt with lots of
 8 solicitors. Because the last thing in the world
 9 I wanted was anybody, you know, not to go down an avenue
 10 of investigation, I wanted to -- you know, to help the
 11 client. You don't want a miscarriage of justice. So
 12 that's the way I operated it. That built up quite
 13 a good rapport with the solicitors. But that's what
 14 I would have said, and that was the intention: give it
 15 to me and let me look at it and interview them.
 16 Q. Now, on that same note, on or around 20 -- no, it was
 17 24 January 1993. You were contacted at home on a Sunday
 18 and asked urgently to meet with Peter Ball's solicitors.
 19 Again, how usual was it for somebody to phone the police
 20 station who was a defence solicitor and ask to speak to
 21 you on a Sunday afternoon?
 22 A. They were phoning all the time, solicitors. The unusual
 23 part about it was -- and it fell into place -- the
 24 background to it was that Sunday afternoon there had
 25 been a meeting -- I didn't know this was going on.

Page 110

1 There had been a meeting involving the two solicitors
 2 now who were representing the bishop, Mr Peak and
 3 Mr Read, and also a Queen's Counsel.
 4 Following that, it was a Sunday afternoon, I was off
 5 duty at home, and I received a pager message. That then
 6 causes me to ring police headquarters, who then passed
 7 on a message, could I urgently ring and speak to --
 8 I don't know whether it was Mr Read or Mr Peak. But
 9 they had obviously had their meeting, finished it. They
 10 actually wanted me to drop everything and go and meet
 11 them, but I -- I wasn't having that. I said, "Do it
 12 tomorrow morning".
 13 Q. So this is, chair and panel, just for your reference,
 14 paragraphs 202 onwards, is the meeting on 25 January.
 15 You then had a meeting at the Crest Hotel,
 16 Gloucester -- I don't know how august an establishment
 17 that is. Again, if I can just say, it seems a bit fishy
 18 that you're hanging around a hotel bar with the criminal
 19 defence solicitor and, as it turned out, Reverend Tyler,
 20 who was a sort of private investigator. It seems a bit
 21 fishy, if I can put it that way?
 22 A. Well, I'm glad -- I mean, I've had to read all this, and
 23 quite honestly -- look, we are not talking about
 24 a motel, you know, downtown, I don't know, Los Angeles.
 25 Q. I know. It is Gloucester.

Page 111

1 A. I'm not talking about the solicitor for the Krays. The
 2 Crest Hotel -- it was a new establishment then, or
 3 fairly new. It was a nice hotel on the outskirts of
 4 Gloucester and it had conference facilities. I probably
 5 discussed, "Where are we going to meet?"
 6 Q. I think Reverend Tyler --
 7 A. It could have been the police station --
 8 Q. -- was staying at the hotel?
 9 A. -- it could have been their offices, I left the choice
 10 to them. Tyler was staying at this hotel. I don't know
 11 where Peak lives, I don't know where Read lives. They
 12 might have lived that side of Gloucester. But, yeah,
 13 I went to meet them. That's not unusual. I'm the
 14 senior investigating officer. I meet and deal with
 15 solicitors all the time. There is nothing improper
 16 about it.
 17 Again, I never made any attempt to hide the fact
 18 that that meeting had taken place. It was -- forget the
 19 hotel. Forget -- it was a meeting with the defence
 20 solicitors. They wanted to see me. What, do I turn
 21 around and say, "No, I'm not going to meet you"? You
 22 don't do that. You didn't do that in 1992 --
 23 Q. Now it would probably be seen to be inappropriate
 24 outside the confines --
 25 A. I'm not being funny, but in 1992, you have to

Page 112

1 understand -- I'm not going to go into it all -- the
 2 background of the role of the police and detectives. We
 3 were always, always dealing with solicitors. It was
 4 common practice.
 5 The fact that it was a hotel room, as I say --
 6 I don't know what -- it's a hotel. As I say, does it
 7 sound seedy? I mean, absolutely -- it was a place to
 8 meet and it was convenient to the defence team. At the
 9 end of the day, I'd go anywhere -- if they want
 10 a meeting, that's it. Try and forget this hotel
 11 business. It could have been the office, it could have
 12 been anywhere. If they'd have suggested, "We will come
 13 to the police station", fine, no problem, and, let me
 14 make it quite clear that I took with me my detective
 15 sergeant.
 16 Now, I have seen some things that suggest that
 17 I went there, and this and that -- I did not go on my
 18 own. So I made sure that I had somebody with me.
 19 MS SCOLDING: Chair and panel, I don't know whether this
 20 would be a convenient moment to break for the lunch
 21 adjournment.
 22 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms Scolding. We will return at
 23 2.00 pm.
 24 MS SCOLDING: Thank you very much.
 25 (12.57 pm)

Page 113

1 (The short adjournment)
 2 (2.00 pm)
 3 MS SCOLDING: So, Mr Murdock, we were at the Crest Hotel,
 4 Gloucester. You have told us about how this is a usual
 5 matter. But what the defence wanted to say to you is,
 6 they basically put to you the possibility that if
 7 Peter Ball resigned and that he would accept a caution,
 8 that that was the best way to deal with it. That was,
 9 in effect, what they were saying to you?
 10 **A. It's probably correct, yes. That was their purpose for**
 11 **the meeting. I realised that when I got there and**
 12 **listened to what they said.**
 13 Q. Was it necessary for them to have organised that meeting
 14 in order to have told you that? Surely they just could
 15 have written you a letter saying --
 16 **A. They could have done. They could have done. But**
 17 **they -- I think there was -- they were -- reading**
 18 **between the lines, I think they wanted to get it through**
 19 **because they probably thought I was getting to a point**
 20 **where the report might be going in. I'm trying to read**
 21 **between the lines there. But, yes, they could have**
 22 **written a letter. They didn't. It came in the form of**
 23 **this phone call, urgent -- they wanted to see me**
 24 **urgently.**
 25 Q. Were you surprised by the fact, because although in

Page 114

1 interview there had been admission of the fact that
 2 there had been an ejaculation, there certainly hadn't
 3 been an admission that that had been for any sort of
 4 sexual purpose, and certainly there hadn't been a formal
 5 admission of something which would amount to gross
 6 indecency. That's right, isn't it?
 7 **A. That's correct, yes.**
 8 Q. Were you surprised by the fact that they approached you
 9 saying he will accept a caution?
 10 **A. Yes. Yes, I was.**
 11 Q. Even though, in December, you had discussed with
 12 John Yates the fact that a caution was a distinct way
 13 forward?
 14 **A. I don't know about "a distinct way forward". I would**
 15 **have discussed with Mr Yates possibly -- I can't**
 16 **remember, but a caution would have been one of**
 17 **the options. I would have listed all the possible**
 18 **options. But "a distinct", no, that's not correct.**
 19 Q. You obviously --
 20 **A. I hadn't even considered properly a caution at that**
 21 **stage. The first -- to my recollection, the first was**
 22 **this meeting. That was coming from the defence team.**
 23 **We hadn't thought in those terms at all.**
 24 Q. Brian Tyler was at that meeting, and he made a report of
 25 that meeting. Danny, could I ask you to get that up:

Page 115

1 RTY000001_173; right, "to arrange a meeting" and the
 2 detective inspector investigating. This is taken from
 3 Reverend Tyler's report. Reverend Tyler is still alive,
 4 Mr Murdock, but he is too unwell to be interviewed by
 5 us:
 6 "Whilst Chris Read was out of the room, Mr Peak said
 7 to Bishop Peter, 'If you now admit the offence of gross
 8 indecency, I think you must submit your resignation
 9 ...'. Peter replied, 'Does this mean I will be
 10 defrocked?' We explained to him that if the police were
 11 told he would resign, if cautioned, and agree to leave
 12 the country, he could well visit the Sisters in France."
 13 I'm assuming that's a religious organisation:
 14 "It would get the press off our backs ...",
 15 et cetera, et cetera. Could you go further down the
 16 page, please, Danny:
 17 "On Monday, 25 January ... I met Detective Inspector
 18 Murdock ... at the hotel in which I was staying ... we
 19 discussed the situation ... and the detective inspector
 20 said if he had only realised that Peter would admit the
 21 offence and agree to be cautioned, it would be a much
 22 easier operation as far as he was concerned."
 23 Can you remember saying something like that?
 24 **A. Absolutely not. I mean, I don't quite know what he**
 25 **would mean by that. It would still have required the**

Page 116

1 fullest of investigation to get to that point. This
 2 doesn't make -- it's not logical. It doesn't make
 3 sense.
 4 Q. Why is it not logical?
 5 A. Because you still have to investigate the offence.
 6 I wouldn't -- I wasn't going to cut corners. I'd
 7 already -- we had already gone a long way through --
 8 it's not going to suddenly say, "Oh, great, thanks, I'm
 9 going to stop now". That just does not make sense
 10 coming from a former police officer as well.
 11 Q. Could we go to the next page, please, Danny? He also
 12 said:
 13 "... [as] it was me who was carrying out the
 14 investigation in Sussex he could have saved the County
 15 of Gloucester a lot of money, by (with his tongue in his
 16 cheek) allowing me to take statements for him. We had
 17 a very friendly and helpful meeting and I formed the
 18 definite conclusion that Detective Murdock, who knows
 19 [Brother Ken, as we know] of the Glorious Ascension, and
 20 who speaks very highly of the order, is endeavouring to
 21 help as much as he can to avoid any unpleasantness in
 22 this investigation."
 23 Again, what's your view about that?
 24 A. I'm just trying to go back to the -- there's a bit there
 25 that I --

Page 117

1 Q. Danny, would you mind --
 2 A. When you started, it's -- was it about --
 3 Q. "... endeavouring ... to avoid any unpleasantness in
 4 this investigation."
 5 A. I get that. That's --
 6 Q. It's the bit beforehand?
 7 A. Yes, nobody wants any unpleasantness. But it was how it
 8 started.
 9 Q. About "carrying out the investigation in Sussex"?
 10 I think Reverend Tyler had told you that he had gone and
 11 interviewed a whole load of people?
 12 A. Yes, he did.
 13 Q. The implication of that is, you know, you saying to him,
 14 albeit maybe slightly tongue in cheek, "Oh, well, that's
 15 very good that you've done that"?
 16 A. Just so I can be clear, could you go back to page --
 17 Q. To the previous page.
 18 A. Yes, he was carrying out --
 19 Q. Danny, could you go to page 2?
 20 A. Yes, "me who was carrying out the investigation in
 21 Sussex".
 22 Q. It starts from there:
 23 "He was pleased to meet me, and volunteered that if
 24 he had realised that it was me who was carrying out the
 25 investigation ..."

Page 118

1 A. I would like to take issue on that.
 2 Q. Yes.
 3 A. There is a lot I would like to take issue with. I never
 4 knew this man, I had never met him, I didn't know who
 5 the heck Brian Tyler, until this meeting, was, but he's
 6 clearly giving the impression that I knew him, that we'd
 7 either come across each other or whatever, and that's
 8 just simply not true. I had never met the man.
 9 I didn't have a clue -- I mean, he claimed to be
 10 a former police officer. I didn't even know when that
 11 was.
 12 I mean, I haven't had sight of this until fairly
 13 recently. I had sight of another document that he'd
 14 written, along similar lines, but it's little bits like
 15 that that start to give me worry beads, because I don't
 16 know the man. I didn't have a clue who he was. But,
 17 "If he had [known] that it was me who was carrying out
 18 the investigation". That, I would say, tends to suggest
 19 that we'd come across each other in the past.
 20 Q. Yes. This was all a sort of slapped back, "We are all
 21 old coppers together", or whatever it was that would
 22 have been the right thing?
 23 A. Absolutely.
 24 Q. "Therefore, you give a bit here, I give a bit there, and
 25 we can sort it all out"?

Page 119

1 A. Let me clear that up. I don't know the man. I didn't
 2 know him. As I say, he claimed to be -- I think it was
 3 an ex-CID officer.
 4 Q. A bit further down, it says:
 5 "During the course of our talk, however, the DI
 6 unofficially did say that we should not call the
 7 Reverend Victor House ..."
 8 About halfway down?
 9 A. I do remember --
 10 Q. I think you mean Vickery House:
 11 "... as a character witness because his name had now
 12 been implicated in the investigation."
 13 A. Yes. I think he said he was going to do this, and
 14 I said, well -- something along the lines of, "Well,
 15 I wouldn't rely on him". So I did say that. I can
 16 recall the name Vickery House being mentioned. Yes, it
 17 is more than likely I did say something along those
 18 lines. "Well, you know, I wouldn't call him if I were
 19 you".
 20 Q. Was that a proper thing for you to do?
 21 A. Yes and no. I mean, it was -- you know, yeah, it was
 22 just a remark. I didn't go into any details, great
 23 details, at all. But he brought the subject up, that
 24 name, and that was the reaction he got.
 25 Q. He then says:

Page 120

1 "We talked of his situation and the DI did state he
 2 was going to forward documentation to the Sussex CID on
 3 F11 and the Reverend House. I persuaded him not to do
 4 this, and I assured him that the Bishop of Chichester
 5 would deal with this and that I would carry out the
 6 investigation professionally and properly, and that
 7 there was no need for the Sussex Police to be involved.
 8 Detective Inspector Murdock agreed to this and said he
 9 would give me copies of the statements when the
 10 Peter Ball investigation was concluded."
 11 **A. Rubbish. I will qualify it.**
 12 Q. Did you give him copies of the statements?
 13 **A. No, I didn't, and that's indicated and backed up by**
 14 **a subsequent letter, I would suggest. What I could have**
 15 **said and what I -- and what he's done, he's twisted**
 16 **this. What I would have said more likely is, "When the**
 17 **investigation is finished, you can apply for the**
 18 **statements, and then it is a matter then whether or not**
 19 **they can be released". But certainly -- I mean, don't**
 20 **let's beat about the bush here: for me to have said**
 21 **that, and I identify this, I will be knocking on the**
 22 **door of perverting the course of justice, and I would**
 23 **not do that.**
 24 I have my views on all this, and I know -- but that
 25 is a distortion. This is a guy who's making himself out

Page 121

1 to be -- to have done a wonderful job, and if I may be
 2 allowed to say, and I'm putting my head on -- and I'm
 3 looking at documents afterwards, I didn't have a clue
 4 that this --
 5 Q. You didn't see any of these documents --
 6 **A. No, I didn't.**
 7 Q. -- until we showed them to you?
 8 **A. My reaction, to be quite honest with you, is one of**
 9 **anger that this has been done. Now, this is a man who**
 10 **within the documentation what he says is that he's**
 11 **actually been going out -- it would appear he's acting**
 12 **for -- well, I assumed he was acting for the defence at**
 13 **the time of that meeting.**
 14 Q. His position is somewhat ambiguous.
 15 **A. Yes. Because in the next -- well, in the next breath**
 16 **I think he's working for Mr Kemp -- Bishop Kemp. But**
 17 **this is a man who, by the things he's said, would appear**
 18 **he started off with a clear intention to get to**
 19 **witnesses before me, the police, and, again, to dissuade**
 20 **them from giving evidence, and I don't think there's any**
 21 **nicer way of putting that.**
 22 Now, what I then see developing is a switch,
 23 following, perhaps, this meeting, there then is a switch
 24 from trying to defend or trying to protect, if you like,
 25 whatever word you want to use, the bishop, he's then

Page 122

1 switched to being the good guy who is now persuading me
 2 to go with a caution, and this is a man, I would say,
 3 who's been paid an awful lot of money by the church. To
 4 say I was gobsmacked -- when I saw how much money --
 5 £40 an hour, £6,000 -- now, I have to ask the question,
 6 who was paying him that money? You know, there's lots
 7 of questions that I would want to ask or, if he were
 8 here, that I would be hoping that somebody would ask
 9 him. But certainly I see this -- this is a part --
 10 there's no way, no way, and I did not hold -- if I had
 11 anything, I didn't hold it back, and it's not supported
 12 by the fact that in July 1993 my diary indicates that
 13 Eric Kemp wrote a letter to the then chief constable of
 14 Sussex saying basically, "Where are these statements
 15 relating to", I think House and another person, and that
 16 then prompted a phone call from the staff officer and
 17 chief constable, and then it then ended up with a senior
 18 detective from that force coming in to Gloucestershire.
 19 So if I'd have gone with that, if that's what he
 20 was -- you have to remember that this document is
 21 confidential. Like me, like me with my report, I'm sure
 22 the expectation was that it would never get into the
 23 public arena. I would just say this, if I may, without
 24 going on too much, but all I would say, that his report
 25 was sent to I think it was --

Page 123

1 Q. It was Eric Kemp?
 2 **A. -- Eric Kemp, maybe the archbishop, I don't know. And**
 3 **that was it. No more scrutiny until I'm sat here now**
 4 **trying to defend my position based upon this.**
 5 My report, which I believed to be equally
 6 confidential, was subject to scrutiny by senior
 7 officers, any one of them, by the CPS, by the DPP and,
 8 if it got to it, potentially a court. So this man is --
 9 what I believe he's been doing is embellishing some of
 10 what took place, for his own -- well, for his own --
 11 well, financial gain, I guess. He had to justify,
 12 I believe -- he had to justify. You've got to look at
 13 the documentation and the role that he played.
 14 There's a report somewhere I saw where, to be
 15 perfectly frank, he -- I can't believe the man has
 16 actually written it. But this man, he went to see
 17 a person, a witness, that -- that we, the police, had
 18 apparently just been to see and had taken a statement
 19 off, and he's actively trying to persuade this person to
 20 change his statement, and there's almost a hint of the
 21 threat and blackmail.
 22 What I'm trying to say is, it's the same man who I'm
 23 now looking at and having to defend my corner, and I'm
 24 quite happy to do that, but it's giving a clear
 25 impression that I was prepared to withhold evidence, and

Page 124

1 **that's absolute rubbish, and that's all I can say.**
 2 Q. You made a note in your diary of this meeting, but you
 3 didn't make a full note of the meeting?
 4 **A. No, I mean, diaries, it's just an aide-memoire.**
 5 **I actually probably was one of those who would write**
 6 **more in my diary than many officers. But you didn't**
 7 **have to write anything that you were doing. Many of**
 8 **them would write "on duty", "off duty". I chose to use**
 9 **the diary as an aide-memoire, and I did, I wrote a note**
 10 **there. This meeting, I made it quite clear that I would**
 11 **report back to the DPP the offer of the caution, and**
 12 **I clearly made no promises.**
 13 **I was not in a position, anyway, to actually deliver**
 14 **what he is saying.**
 15 Q. But what he says is that Peter Ball being cautioned "and
 16 eventually Detective Inspector Murdock agreed to
 17 recommend this as the first proposal in dealing with
 18 this matter."
 19 **A. Read my report, please. I know you have, but go back to**
 20 **the recommendations. You will see in those**
 21 **recommendations I have tried to cover everything.**
 22 **I have set out all the charges in respect of everybody**
 23 **we interviewed. My duty was to point out in that report**
 24 **all the possible options. Obviously, the decision was**
 25 **with the DPP. But that's what I did.**

Page 125

1 **But look at what I put about a caution. I mean --**
 2 Q. We will come on to that in a minute.
 3 **A. Okay. But I certainly did not recommend a caution --**
 4 Q. Right.
 5 **A. -- which flies in the face of what he is alleging in**
 6 **that report.**
 7 Q. If I can just -- Danny, would you mind getting up
 8 CPS000792_183. It is behind tab 16, chair and panel, of
 9 the bundle. I think that's going to be in volume 2.
 10 This is a letter from Madge Lloyd & Gibson, dated
 11 9 February 1993, from Madge Lloyd & Gibson, who were
 12 Peter Ball's criminal defence solicitors, to Mr Rhoda,
 13 who was a member of the CPS team, a member of
 14 the central CPS team:
 15 "We understand from Gloucestershire Constabulary
 16 that a file of papers in relation to certain allegations
 17 have been passed to you. The purpose of this letter is
 18 to draw your attention ... which may affect your
 19 decision ... and in particular to urge you to authorise
 20 a caution ... and to identify in any event."
 21 But what -- "We understand", but what it says at the
 22 bottom of 0185, please, the letter goes on to say
 23 various things, make various representations. Were
 24 these sorts of letters commonly written to the CPS?
 25 **A. I believe so. It was open, really, to anybody to write**

Page 126

1 **to them. They were making representations on behalf of**
 2 **their client.**
 3 Q. Right at the bottom it says:
 4 "We understand that the police have recommended
 5 a caution. We believe that this would be the right
 6 course of action in all the circumstances and would meet
 7 the justice of the case."
 8 So this letter was written, in fact, I think the
 9 same day that you sent the file to the CPS, which was on
 10 9 February 1993 --
 11 **A. Yes.**
 12 Q. -- but was, in fact, in advance of any decision being
 13 made by the CPS. Does this not show that you had sort
 14 of said to him, "Look, don't worry, we will just give
 15 him a caution and it will all go away"?
 16 **A. Not at all. It seems to me a bit of a follow-on from**
 17 **Tyler. The report had gone in. As I say, examine. You**
 18 **will see that there is no recommendation for a caution.**
 19 **It's not logical. It's not -- it just does not make**
 20 **sense. It's inaccurate. And the evidence is in my**
 21 **report.**
 22 Q. Obviously you said you weren't very happy about what
 23 Reverend Tyler has written. Did you ever ask to see
 24 Reverend Tyler's investigation notes? I mean, as
 25 a result of your having met him and having --

Page 127

1 **A. No.**
 2 Q. -- known, and him having said to you, "I have been going
 3 around interviewing people" and in fact trying to
 4 persuade them to change their evidence, you could have
 5 done, couldn't you, you could have said --
 6 **A. They wouldn't hand them over, in the same way we**
 7 **wouldn't. I think what he did, he flipped the page, and**
 8 **what I could see was the police caution witness**
 9 **statement, you know, "This statement is made ...", so in**
 10 **that sense I saw that, but, no. And, equally,**
 11 **I wouldn't share any information with him.**
 12 Q. Did you tell him to back off, though? I mean, you could
 13 have said -- I mean, plainly, if he was investigating as
 14 part and parcel of providing legal advice and legal --
 15 that would have been subject to legal professional
 16 privilege because it was in the context of a criminal
 17 investigation, but you could have said to him, just as
 18 he was attempting to, you know, strong arm you into
 19 doing something, did you not attempt to say to him,
 20 "Look, you really shouldn't be going around interviewing
 21 people and, if you do, then I will take further action"?
 22 **A. No. I didn't know whether it still applies today, but**
 23 **there is no property in a witness.**
 24 Q. No.
 25 **A. Correct me if that --**

Page 128

1 Q. No, that is true.
 2 **A. There is no property in a witness. Where the line gets**
 3 **crossed, you can go and see somebody -- I mean, the**
 4 **attitude would be, "Well, hang on, try and wait, you**
 5 **know, let me go and see them", but there's no property.**
 6 **But the line gets crossed if you go and see that person**
 7 **and then you start to say, "Well, don't make**
 8 **a statement", or, "Don't do this". But there's no**
 9 **property in a witness.**
 10 **But most people hang back and let the police see**
 11 **them first and then they will follow up. I mean, again,**
 12 **I would have gone to see these people if he'd**
 13 **volunteered or the defence team had said, "I think you**
 14 **ought to go and see this person", that's what would have**
 15 **happened. But there's no property in a witness.**
 16 Q. Can I also identify that at the end of the entire
 17 process Reverend Tyler wrote you a letter. Danny,
 18 RTY00001_208. It is behind tab 4, chair and panel:
 19 "Dear Wayne ..."
 20 I mean, you're on first-name terms now:
 21 "At long last, now the dust has settled, a letter in
 22 utter confidence ... without the knowledge of
 23 the diocese ... or Mr Peak to express our gratitude and
 24 thanks ... I really appreciated your sympathetic
 25 understanding in preventing a scandal with a trial which

Page 129

1 would have affected the Royal Family and establishment
 2 in this crucial time of turmoil within the Church of
 3 England."
 4 Then he says:
 5 "We both know if I had been wearing a helmet rather
 6 than a biretta, he would have 'gone on the sheet' and
 7 I would have gone down. It is very sad that after being
 8 interviewed by me ... he then welched on his premises."
 9 He says various things:
 10 "... and I told you that Bishop Kemp would look into
 11 this", et cetera, et cetera.
 12 Then, in fact, he tells you of the death of
 13 Brother Kenneth who died very shortly afterwards --
 14 **A. I knew that anyway.**
 15 Q. -- but you would have known that anyway. Then he talks
 16 about somebody being up to his old tricks, A92, because
 17 throughout this process it was being said that in effect
 18 A92 put Neil Todd up to this. That was the line?
 19 **A. He actually -- it is in my diary, I believe --**
 20 Q. Well, he suggested that. He suggested you should --
 21 **A. He phoned me and made suggestions that I should look at**
 22 **it. There was a thing going on, a blackmail, he put**
 23 **a lot of people up to -- this was the allegation he made**
 24 **to me, that the 92 had been going around trying to stir**
 25 **things up to get them to come to us and make complaints**

Page 130

1 **about the bishop.**
 2 Q. The letter conveys a sort of matey camaraderie, would be
 3 the way I would describe it?
 4 **A. Yes. I mean, I'm --**
 5 Q. Can you remember receiving this letter?
 6 **A. I have no recollection of having received it. Bearing**
 7 **in mind -- knowing what I now know, I didn't have a clue**
 8 **that what he was writing in his reports and suggesting**
 9 **happened, and I would have -- if I'd have received this**
 10 **letter, I would have just looked at it and thought,**
 11 **"What the heck is he on about?", and it wouldn't have**
 12 **meant anything to me. Now, when I look at it, it starts**
 13 **to fit in to what I would -- I would see, and it's me,**
 14 **my opinion, as a pattern. This is a man who's trying to**
 15 **justify what -- well, his money, if you like. "What**
 16 **a great bloke I am. You know, I got on well with the**
 17 **detective. You know, I persuaded him to caution", so**
 18 **he's gone on the attack. There was a point when he must**
 19 **have turned. I think that was when he realised,**
 20 **perhaps, that we had interviewed a lot of people and we**
 21 **were building up, you know, quite a file, if you like,**
 22 **and I think he then switched, and that's when we -- you**
 23 **had the meeting and you had the admissions from the**
 24 **bishop.**
 25 Q. But on the afternoon of the day that you had this

Page 131

1 meeting, Bishop Yates then phoned Gloucestershire Police
 2 to say, "What's going on? We need to know what's
 3 happening"?
 4 **A. I don't know about that.**
 5 Q. Okay. You then passed the information to the DPP. You
 6 wrote a report. Chair and panel, you have in your
 7 bundle, starting at tab 10, and it works all the way
 8 through to halfway through volume 2, it's roughly
 9 633 pages in length, so I'm not intending to take you
 10 through all 633 pages.
 11 But could you just identify, this would have been
 12 standard practice for you to have written this kind of
 13 report to the Crown Prosecution Service?
 14 **A. For me, yes. The length of it, the -- the whole idea --**
 15 **the way I look at it, it was -- that report, the**
 16 **first part of it, is a one-stop shop, if you like. So**
 17 **you didn't have to always relate back to the statements.**
 18 **They could look at it, read it, and I would give views**
 19 **on the witnesses, et cetera, et cetera, and, as an SIO,**
 20 **at the end, I was entitled to give any views, opinions,**
 21 **and then summarise what I believed the offences should**
 22 **be.**
 23 Q. Having --
 24 **A. I would like to think it's thorough --**
 25 Q. -- had to read all of it recently, it basically goes

Page 132

1 through every single person you interviewed, sets out
 2 what it is they told you?
 3 **A. Yes.**
 4 Q. What your view was of the witness?
 5 **A. Yes.**
 6 Q. Whether you thought it was good or bad?
 7 **A. Yes.**
 8 Q. Now, right at the beginning -- Danny, would you mind
 9 getting this up -- it sets out what alleged offences you
 10 think -- I'm assuming it sets out the alleged offences
 11 you think should go forward to charge, in effect?
 12 **A. Yes.**
 13 Q. This is OHY003487_012-014. It is 12, 13, 14 and 15 of
 14 those, behind tab 10. It's the first -- it's about half
 15 a dozen pages in, chair and panel, behind tab 10. This
 16 is the report for the information of the DPP that
 17 Peter John Ball has been arrested. "Any charges to be
 18 preferred will be as follows". This is 2(i). So you
 19 recommended that there should be a charge
 20 in September 1992 in respect of an act of gross
 21 indecency -- Danny, could you go down to 2(i) and get
 22 2(i) and 2(ii) up -- against Neil Todd. An offence --
 23 both an offence of gross indecency and an offence of
 24 indecent assault.
 25 **A. Mmm.**

Page 133

1 Q. You also recommended that there should be similar
 2 charges in respect of October. That's in 013. Danny,
 3 it's (iii) and (iv). You can see the top half of that
 4 page:
 5 "You may also wish to consider [below] the
 6 additional offences which have resulted from the police
 7 investigation. It is appreciated that certain of these
 8 offences may be subject to statutory time limits for
 9 proceedings to be taken."
 10 Just to be clear, offences of gross indecency had to
 11 be prosecuted within 12 months of the act occurring, in
 12 effect?
 13 **A. I'm a little bit rusty on it now, but that's right.**
 14 Q. That's what the law was --
 15 **A. Yes.**
 16 Q. -- at the time. So additional offences, you suggested
 17 that there should be an act of gross indecency with
 18 AN98. That's at the bottom, (v), in respect of 1987 and
 19 1988. Then '87 and '88 also an offence under section 18
 20 of the Offences against the Person Act. That's the top
 21 of the next page, 014, against AN98. Now, that wouldn't
 22 have been time barred, and --
 23 **A. No.**
 24 Q. -- a violent offence under section 18:
 25 "On diverse days ... in 1991, an offence under

Page 134

1 section 47."
 2 Section 18 is what's known as GBH. Section 47 is
 3 what's known as ABH. GBH being a more serious offence
 4 than ABH. And ABH against A117. Again, also an offence
 5 of gross indecency.
 6 Now, the reality was that the gross indecency
 7 charges in respect of AN98 and A117 were never going to
 8 be prosecuted because they both were time barred, in
 9 effect.
 10 **A. Yes.**
 11 Q. But what you did recommend was both charges in respect
 12 of gross indecency and indecent assault in respect of
 13 Neil Todd and also sort of violent offences in respect
 14 of AN98 and AN-A117. That's right, isn't it?
 15 **A. Yes. I think -- when you say I'm recommending, I'm**
 16 **asking the DPP to consider that there is evidence --**
 17 Q. To support?
 18 **A. -- to support these charges.**
 19 Q. You're not really recommending them. You're saying the
 20 material shows evidence which could be used --
 21 **A. Yes, I believe, look in the file, you'll find this. So**
 22 **I was asking or inviting the DPP, CPS, to consider these**
 23 **charges, feeling that if they wanted to go ahead and**
 24 **charge, there was potentially evidence to support them.**
 25 Q. You don't mention there misconduct in public office.

Page 135

1 **A. No.**
 2 Q. Now, it was something which was a criminal offence at
 3 that time. Did it ever enter your mind to think about
 4 misconduct in public office?
 5 **A. No. I think, to get it right, misconduct in public**
 6 **office was a common law --**
 7 Q. Yes, it is a common law offence.
 8 **A. Right. It wasn't on the radar in 1992. I believe it**
 9 **had fallen into disrepute and it just --**
 10 Q. Disrepute or disuse?
 11 **A. Well, disuse, whatever. But nobody considered using it.**
 12 **And I have since read that expectation, and it shouldn't**
 13 **have been expected that it would have been charged.**
 14 **But, no, it wasn't on the radar. I think what**
 15 **happened, it came in around about 2011, and it was**
 16 **brought in because, putting it bluntly, too many**
 17 **particularly police officers were getting away without**
 18 **being charged. So, therefore, some -- they revisited,**
 19 **I guess, and that's when they came up with the**
 20 **misconduct, which allowed, I believe, more evidence to**
 21 **be included. But it was not on the radar. Had it been,**
 22 **it would have been in my report, and the DPP would have**
 23 **obviously considered it as well.**
 24 Q. So you then went through in some detail and formed --
 25 certainly the information that you provide, as I read it

Page 136

1 in respect of this report, is that you form a favourable
 2 view of the complainant witnesses, saying they seem to
 3 be intelligent --
 4 **A. Yes.**
 5 Q. -- they are fragile?
 6 **A. Yes.**
 7 Q. But, in effect, the impression I get is, you were
 8 saying, "I believe them" --
 9 **A. Yes.**
 10 Q. -- or "I think that they would be reasonably -- they are
 11 reasonably compelling"?
 12 **A. Yes. I had no reason to doubt that what we were being**
 13 **told was the truth. If you looked at it, there was**
 14 **a pattern emerging, and it emerged very early on, of**
 15 **the system that the bishop was adopting, and that was**
 16 **a pattern throughout.**
 17 **There was what was termed similar fact, potentially,**
 18 **similar fact evidence.**
 19 Q. If I could then turn you to your recommendations,
 20 which -- well, you sort of start -- there is quite
 21 a lengthy conclusion paragraph which really starts at
 22 OHY0003480_023. Chair and panel, it's behind tab 12, so
 23 that's bundle number 2. Just for your reference, chair
 24 and panel, it's 23 pages in. So it is a good chunk in
 25 to tab 12 of volume 2.

Page 137

1 This is background information. So this -- Danny,
 2 have we got that? It is really from this point onwards
 3 to 039 we are going to need.
 4 Just to identify, you say some background things
 5 about media interests, saying, in effect, that
 6 practically every day -- could we have 023 up to start,
 7 please, Danny:
 8 "This investigation has drawn immense media interest
 9 and ... the national media have been telephoning either
 10 the police or the local CPS offices asking for an update
 11 on the bishop's story every day."
 12 I'm assuming you are setting all this out because
 13 you just want the CPS to know this is what's been going
 14 on?
 15 **A. Yes.**
 16 Q. Secondly, if we go to 024, please, Danny, it says "The
 17 church":
 18 "In many respects, the church have displayed
 19 a considerable amount of naivety over this case. In the
 20 first instance ... they have failed to act
 21 positively ... no action was taken ... there have
 22 clearly been those people within the church, I would
 23 estimate the majority, who would have liked these
 24 allegations to have been dealt with solely by the
 25 church. It is apparent to the investigating officers

Page 138

1 that some of these people have now been persuaded
 2 otherwise. There is considerable doubt whether the
 3 mechanism exists within the church to tackle this
 4 particular problem. ... visited Lambeth Palace ...
 5 fairly low key and private ... the only practicable way
 6 is by way of criminal conviction ... naivety ..."
 7 If one goes to 026:
 8 "... has also been displayed in the manner in which
 9 the church have dealt with the media."
 10 At the bottom of 026 you go on to deal with the
 11 victims, and you say:
 12 "One disturbing feature of this case is that all of
 13 the 'victims' have required some form of psychiatric
 14 counselling ... have all required specialist help. The
 15 witnesses ... have all demanded similar assistance."
 16 At the top of 027:
 17 "I would invite you to bear in mind when making your
 18 decision the condition of these people and the effect
 19 that the defendant has allegedly had on their lives.
 20 These are people involved in this case who are saying
 21 that Neil Todd should be publicly vindicated -- the fear
 22 that exists is what will happen to him if this does not
 23 materialise?
 24 "Conversely, it is difficult to predict what effect
 25 a trial and court appearance will have on him or the

Page 139

1 other victims."
 2 That's something you dealt with earlier?
 3 **A. That's correct, yes.**
 4 Q. "Neil Todd has stated he is giving consideration to
 5 contacting the media himself if his allegations are not
 6 supported.
 7 "Public support for the defendant."
 8 Then it identifies the letters that we went through.
 9 Then it is the defence and it says on 028 that you have
 10 had a good working relationship with Mr Peak, following
 11 up any line of enquiry. At an early stage, the
 12 Reverend Tyler interviewing people, and you then
 13 identify that you were sort of concerned about that.
 14 That then -- you then deal in some detail at 031,
 15 please, Danny, with the meeting at the Crest Hotel. So
 16 you tell the CPS all about the fact that this happened.
 17 On 030, chair and panel, you will see it says
 18 "I attended a meeting at the Crest Hotel", et cetera,
 19 et cetera.
 20 It then says:
 21 "The police investigation."
 22 I wanted to ask you about this. 033:
 23 "It would be proper to bring to your attention the
 24 cost of this police investigation."
 25 Is that something that the CPS need to know about?

Page 140

1 **A. Yes. It was standard. It was standard procedure then.**
 2 **It was just to highlight how much the investigation had**
 3 **cost. It was fairly standard.**
 4 Q. Then at 034 through to 039, you set out your opinions
 5 and recommendations. You say at 034:
 6 "... meeting with witnesses and having seen first
 7 hand their reactions, that the complaints are anything
 8 other than genuine. The role played by the witness is
 9 more likely to be one of support for Neil Todd. I would
 10 invite you to look at the chronological sequence of
 11 events."
 12 Over to 035:
 13 "Can all of them be lying? Indeed, many of them say
 14 so. Have they all conspired together? Neil Todd ...
 15 all young men of immense Christian beliefs."
 16 Then if we go here, at 036:
 17 "But what of the defendant himself?"
 18 In effect saying, "I don't really believe
 19 Peter Ball's defence", saying:
 20 "In interview he spoke of there being an enormous
 21 amount of fantasy in Neil Todd. The only possible
 22 element of fantasy to be discovered is when Neil Todd on
 23 one occasion went home to visit his parents. He was
 24 wearing a monk's habit to which it will be claimed he
 25 was not entitled. This is deemed a fantasy. It is the

Page 141

1 only one that has been discovered. I swear before God
 2 I would not beat him'. What of the assertion by
 3 Bishop Peter Ball that it was Neil Todd who desired to
 4 be beaten. Where is the evidence to support this?"
 5 All of this is very, it seems to me, sort of saying,
 6 "We have got a compelling story, we have got cogent
 7 material, we have got cogent evidence", and you say
 8 halfway down 037:
 9 "It is not without sadness, therefore, I would
 10 invite you to consider that Bishop Peter Ball has been
 11 less than truthful. I would ask you to consider that he
 12 is a latent homosexual who stops short of acts such as
 13 buggery. I would invite you to consider he is a man who
 14 on his own admission finds it easy to ejaculate when in
 15 the slightest physical contact with someone else.
 16 Perhaps he is even able to ejaculate without this
 17 context. Perhaps the defendant gains sexual
 18 gratification from voyeurism. Naked flagellation."
 19 Then it says:
 20 "I would invite you to consider that the defendant
 21 has been quite calculating in the manner in which he was
 22 served his ends. He has hidden his sexual desires."
 23 You then recommend at 038 that there is
 24 a prima facie case to answer in respect of gross
 25 indecency and that there is a prima facie case in

Page 142

1 respect of GBH and ABH, and you then go through the
 2 various stages. This is at 039 through to 043.
 3 You then set out the advantages and disadvantages of
 4 those three matters.
 5 Given that -- I mean, the conclusion -- one could
 6 say, reading what I have just read out at some length,
 7 for which I apologise, the inevitable conclusion is, he
 8 should have been charged. So why on earth was he only
 9 ever given a caution? Because what you are saying is,
 10 Peter Ball is a liar, the other people are telling the
 11 truth, there is strong prima facie evidence, you know,
 12 these are serious offences?
 13 **A. I was inviting the DPP to consider all these offences.**
 14 **At the end of the day, the whole reason for putting that**
 15 **report in was so that the DPP -- the CPS/DPP, they could**
 16 **reach their decision, and that's a matter for them.**
 17 **They then could look at the legal -- take legal**
 18 **considerations, et cetera, but that was for them to**
 19 **decide.**
 20 Q. But you say in this part, at 039:
 21 "If the defendant is charged, I believe that despite
 22 the claims of his defending solicitors, he will plead
 23 guilty."
 24 So do you think that --
 25 **A. I think -- I don't know. That was --**

Page 143

1 Q. That was --
 2 **A. That was me trying to say, "Well, look, you could go**
 3 **with this. You could call" -- really, you could call**
 4 **the bluff and, you know, call his bluff and go with it,**
 5 **and hopefully he would plead guilty. It happens a lot**
 6 **of the time, people say they're not going to -- "I'm**
 7 **going to plead not guilty", this and that, and then,**
 8 **when it gets to the crunch time, they plead guilty.**
 9 **I was saying there's evidence there to -- and**
 10 **I think he might, he might, have -- he might have**
 11 **pleaded guilty. So it was just trying to point out my**
 12 **feelings, having met and dealt with him, that that was**
 13 **a possibility, that --**
 14 Q. But one of the disadvantages you give to charging is at
 15 the top of 040, and this is something which Mr Porter,
 16 who I understand, I have to correct, is not in fact the
 17 chief constable, he is the detective chief
 18 superintendent. He was apparently pleased to have been
 19 promoted by myself, albeit mistakenly. He says that
 20 these days this wouldn't be a relevant consideration
 21 within his witness statement. But you say at the top of
 22 040:
 23 "... will have a devastating effect on the
 24 church ..."
 25 **A. Yes.**

Page 144

1 Q. The church doesn't really matter, does it, in this?
 2 **A. What I was doing -- it is my role to point out what**
 3 **I perceive could be any problems. The reason for me to**
 4 **be so thorough is, I didn't want the CPS or the DPP to**
 5 **turn around and say, "Ah, if we'd have known that, we**
 6 **might have come up with a different decision", so my**
 7 **role was to point out, quite properly, the advantages**
 8 **and disadvantages. Then they'd got the full picture,**
 9 **they can make an informed decision, and they can't come**
 10 **back and say, "You didn't tell us that".**
 11 Q. Yes.
 12 **A. So that's the reason. Yes, that's the reason.**
 13 Q. If I remember rightly, this was something that we sought
 14 some clarification on within the context of the witness
 15 statement, and you indicated that you kind of stuck by
 16 your view that you felt that this was relevant. So the
 17 answer --
 18 **A. Oh, yes.**
 19 Q. -- you have just given me -- the answer you gave in your
 20 witness statement is at paragraph 265, if you want to
 21 get it up. That's 048?
 22 **A. Sorry, paragraph?**
 23 Q. Paragraph 265. Page 48, Mr Murdock.
 24 **A. Yes.**
 25 Q. GSP000012_048. Why was the effect on the church to be

Page 145

1 considered to be relevant to the public interest in
 2 prosecution?
 3 So you gave the examples that you have given. Now,
 4 I think that might be relevant --
 5 **A. I think you've got to -- Mr Porter is talking about now.**
 6 Q. Yes.
 7 **A. We are talking about 25 years ago. So I think you've**
 8 **got to get out of this now things have moved on.**
 9 **I agree. I agree with that. But it was relevant as an**
 10 **SIO, it was relevant in 1992, and the church was viewed,**
 11 **I would suggest, in a different light to perhaps it is**
 12 **today. It was quite proper, and I accept what he's**
 13 **trying to say, that nowadays probably you wouldn't care**
 14 **about it. We've moved on. But then it was**
 15 **a consideration.**
 16 **The church -- I grew up -- I didn't have any special**
 17 **background. I grew up council estate, good parents.**
 18 **I was made to go to church. That was the way it was**
 19 **when I was growing up. And the church was the rock, the**
 20 **bed of society. It stood for good.**
 21 **If you destroyed that, or got into it, you were**
 22 **looking at the whole, as I say, the fabric of society.**
 23 **So in 1992/93, it was relevant to consider what the**
 24 **effect might have been. So I accept what Mr Porter says**
 25 **about now, because we've moved on, and things like the**

Page 146

1 **public interest, everything's moved on. So I don't**
 2 **think you can necessarily judge the two --**
 3 Q. Can I just put this to you, in that there was a play by
 4 David Hare, which was written in 1990, called
 5 "Racing Demon" which was all about the state of
 6 the church at that time and that dealt specifically with
 7 the difficulties with gay ordination, problems with
 8 evangelism, problems with the schisms in the church, the
 9 fact that it was very politically unpopular and the fact
 10 people were no longer attending it. So I think some
 11 people may say that the high esteem you say the church
 12 was held in the late '80s and '90s wasn't necessarily
 13 reflective of society?
 14 **A. That's one -- all I did -- we go back to the crux of it,**
 15 **and this is my, if you like, defending it. The crux of**
 16 **the matter is Mr Porter said, "I believe it shouldn't**
 17 **have been in there", or something. Well, I'm sorry,**
 18 **Mr Porter was a junior police officer, I think he'd not**
 19 **long joined. I was an SIO and I was dealing with the**
 20 **situation in that year, and I had every right, it was my**
 21 **duty, to point out to the DPP exactly what might -- and**
 22 **I say "might" -- have been the effect.**
 23 **As an SIO, I was quite entitled to give that view**
 24 **and opinion. That may have changed now, but that was in**
 25 **1992, and that was my role as an SIO.**

Page 147

1 Q. You then attended a case conference with, I think, the
 2 DPP herself --
 3 **A. No, I didn't.**
 4 Q. No, you didn't attend that case conference?
 5 **A. I did. I can't remember the actual --**
 6 Q. There is no note of -- well, there is no contemporaneous
 7 minute of -- there is some contemporaneous minutes
 8 within the CPS --
 9 **A. The CPS would have --**
 10 Q. But you didn't take any --
 11 **A. I can't remember it. For example, Mr Rhoda was there.**
 12 Q. Can you remember the DPP being there?
 13 **A. She wasn't. She was in --**
 14 Q. I think there was a dispute as to who was there and
 15 whether she was on holiday?
 16 **A. My diary set it out. She was in New Zealand. So**
 17 **Mr Rhoda -- we delivered the file personally, drove up**
 18 **sometime before, I think you quoted the date. Then we**
 19 **went back to have a case conference. But the DPP wasn't**
 20 **there. She was in New Zealand.**
 21 Q. Right.
 22 **A. That's coming from my diary.**
 23 Q. Yes.
 24 **A. So, yes, we did.**
 25 Q. When you told Neil Todd -- and the decision at that

Page 148

1 meeting was that there should be an offer that if
 2 a caution -- if an admission of guilt was -- if a formal
 3 admission of guilt was made, then a caution should be
 4 administered; is that right?
 5 **A. The offer of a caution -- sorry, a resignation, came**
 6 **from the defence team.**
 7 Q. No, a caution. The resignation and the caution came
 8 from the defence team, on your account?
 9 **A. I see what you're saying. They brought it up at that**
 10 **meeting and they said he would resign his position if he**
 11 **was cautioned. So, effectively, put it -- we ran with**
 12 **that. That was, if you like, their offer. Then that**
 13 **became, I don't know, the benchmark or whatever. So**
 14 **that was what he was saying. He was cautioned and**
 15 **resigned.**
 16 Q. Do you think that the administration of the caution was
 17 therefore conditional upon his resignation?
 18 **A. No, I wouldn't say that. Then again, I saw somewhere**
 19 **where they were saying if he didn't resign -- no,**
 20 **rather, if he didn't accept the caution, then they would**
 21 **look at prosecution. I suppose it's how you look at it.**
 22 **It came from the defence, and we ran with it. So, you**
 23 **know, you're not going to say, "Well, don't resign".**
 24 **The object was that, really, Neil Todd -- we are going**
 25 **back to Neil Todd again. He wanted him to admit that**

Page 149

1 **he'd done wrong, resign his position and then kept away**
 2 **from young people. But they volunteered it. That then**
 3 **became, if you like, the condition that was set by the**
 4 **defence.**
 5 Q. How did Neil Todd react to the fact that a caution --
 6 you telephoned him.
 7 **A. Yes.**
 8 Q. You say you telephoned him shortly after the caution was
 9 administered -- shortly before the caution was
 10 administered and suggested it. How did he react to
 11 that?
 12 **A. He was fine. It's in my diary.**
 13 Q. Paragraph 273, chair and panel, if that helps, of
 14 the witness statement?
 15 **A. He was fine. I can deal with it, if you wish, what**
 16 **happened after the caution.**
 17 Q. What did happen after the caution then?
 18 **A. I phoned him and explained what the decision was, and he**
 19 **was --**
 20 Q. You didn't speak to him beforehand, then? That wasn't
 21 common practice in those days?
 22 **A. It could have been, but it didn't happen. I phoned him**
 23 **and explained it. I've got a feeling that somewhere**
 24 **along the line it's -- I contacted to say what was**
 25 **happening, and it was going to the DPP, but I then**

Page 150

1 **phoned and said, "This is the proposal", and he was**
 2 **happy. He was content with that.**
 3 Q. I've got a question that I need to ask you on behalf of
 4 Switalskis, who are representing a group of victim
 5 complainants and survivors. When you carried out your
 6 investigation, why didn't you consider asking all
 7 the Schemers, those who had been on The Scheme, to come
 8 and give evidence to you? Because had you sought all
 9 those out, you would have had a number more allegations?
 10 **A. We did try to get to them. I think you will find in the**
 11 **evidence we saw something like -- from memory, there**
 12 **were about 15 people, Schemers, who actually spoke**
 13 **nothing but good, very highly of the bishop, and then we**
 14 **saw others. But we tried to get as many as we could.**
 15 **Hopefully, the word had got around what was going on and**
 16 **people would come to us.**
 17 MS SCOLDING: Thank you very much. I have no further
 18 questions. Chair and panel, do you have any questions
 19 for this witness?
 20 THE CHAIR: Mr Frank?
 21 Questions by THE PANEL
 22 MR FRANK: Just on that last point, if I may, in your
 23 assessment -- we have looked at this document already,
 24 which is where you are weighing up the pros and cons of
 25 your recommendations to charge or not. When you look at

Page 151

1 the disadvantages of a charge, one of the things -- if
 2 we can bring that up, it's OHY003480_040. You looked at
 3 it a moment ago, in fact, but one of the things you say
 4 is:
 5 "To charge and receive will probably have
 6 a devastating effect on the church."
 7 We saw that bit. Then if we look at the rest of it:
 8 "There could also be a knock-on effect with all the
 9 people who have passed through The Scheme and who have
 10 believed that what they were doing had some spiritual
 11 meaning."
 12 Bearing in mind that, when you said that, had you
 13 already contacted others -- you call them Schemers?
 14 **A. I think from memory, 15 or whatever it was, people who**
 15 **didn't want to make a complaint, they were happy with,**
 16 **I guess, what had happened, but it was the effect of**
 17 **those people then realising that maybe, to put it**
 18 **colloquially, duped, they'd been duped by the bishop.**
 19 **Yeah, what effect would that have, if you suddenly**
 20 **realised that, you know, you'd been thinking all the**
 21 **time that you were, I don't know, whatever, undressing**
 22 **or doing whatever and it was part of the religious**
 23 **process, and then you then find out that in fact there**
 24 **were other motives for that, what effect would it have?**
 25 **I really don't know.**

Page 152

1 MR FRANK: Thank you. That's all I ask.
 2 THE CHAIR: Ms Sharpling?
 3 MS SHARPLING: Thank you, Mr Murdock, just a question from
 4 me, if I may. Did you think there was a reasonable
 5 prospect of conviction for the charges that could have
 6 been proceeded with, leaving aside the gross indecency?
 7 **A. Well, it wasn't for me to decide. The CPS put up the**
 8 **arguments. There were issues -- for example, I believe**
 9 **consent was one of them, that in lots of the cases there**
 10 **had been consent. But, then again, conversely, they**
 11 **were stated cases at the time. So they weighed all that**
 12 **up.**
 13 In the end, the primary thing was the victims, and
 14 Neil Todd in particular. I mean, this is a young man
 15 who'd tried to commit suicide twice, and they were
 16 genuine attempts. We interviewed the hospital staff and
 17 everything. So we were concerned about his mental
 18 state, and I have to say that -- and then -- well, now
 19 we sadly know that Neil committed suicide.
 20 I would say this, that once the DPP had decided
 21 about the legal side of it and the fact that we weren't
 22 going to charge the offences, it then came back to the
 23 police, and the DPP gave the green light for the police
 24 to administer a caution.
 25 The reality of that was, once the DPP had

Page 153

1 effectively dismissed the criminal charges, it then fell
 2 back for a caution. The fact of the matter is, the
 3 police had two options: one was to caution; and the
 4 other one was for the bishop to walk away. So that's
 5 the reality. Once you accept the police were the ones
 6 who cautioned, then there was only two options: caution
 7 or let him walk. What's the best option?
 8 MS SHARPLING: I'm going to press you a bit, if I may,
 9 Mr Murdock.
 10 **A. Yes.**
 11 MS SHARPLING: It would be, of course, quite unusual in 1992
 12 for reports to go to the DPP.
 13 **A. Yes.**
 14 MS SHARPLING: Because usually the police would charge,
 15 themselves, and the CPS would then consider whether the
 16 case would proceed.
 17 **A. It was a hot potato.**
 18 MS SHARPLING: I'm sure.
 19 **A. It was a hot potato. It had to go. It was proper that**
 20 **it went to the CPS.**
 21 MS SHARPLING: I understand that. What --
 22 **A. They then make the decision, the hot potato gets passed**
 23 **up to the DPP. The process --**
 24 MS SHARPLING: I'm sorry to interrupt, Mr Murdock. Let me
 25 finish my question.

Page 154

1 **A. Yes.**
 2 MS SHARPLING: What I'm asking is that, in your
 3 circumstances, the police should be responsible for
 4 charging, and I'm interested in your opinion --
 5 **A. I see.**
 6 MS SHARPLING: -- as to whether you would have charged
 7 Bishop Ball had it not been referred to the DPP in the
 8 way that it had? I appreciate it is hypothetical.
 9 **A. It is hypothetical, and, again, you'd have to take in**
 10 **the same factors. The overriding thing was -- in the**
 11 **end, was the -- these people we have heard about, they**
 12 **did not want to be complainants in the court. They were**
 13 **prepared to help and support Neil Todd, but they didn't**
 14 **want to be seen as complainants. So that was an issue.**
 15 So to go back to your question, we would still, as
 16 the police -- hypothetically, we would have to look at
 17 that and see, are we going to -- you know, what's going
 18 to happen with the charges? Then you go back to, well,
 19 what's the effect going to be if it goes to court? So
 20 you go back again.
 21 So the police, in a way, would have had to have
 22 adopted the position of the DPP, and the same rationale,
 23 I guess, would have been considered. But technically,
 24 the police could have charged, I accept that. But it
 25 was only fit and proper that it should go to the CPS who

Page 155

1 have the -- you know, the legal background and knowledge
 2 to make the decision, proper decision.
 3 You know, I -- yes, I mean, that's really all I can
 4 say to you on that.
 5 MS SHARPLING: Thank you.
 6 THE CHAIR: Sir Malcolm?
 7 PROF SIR MALCOLM EVANS: Thank you. Forgive me for
 8 returning once again to a question that was considered
 9 in some detail a little earlier, but I'm still lacking
 10 a little clarity on just one issue.
 11 **A. Right.**
 12 PROF SIR MALCOLM EVANS: This goes back to the question of
 13 the letters that were not brought to your attention by
 14 Lambeth Palace. Could you just at this point clarify
 15 precisely which allegations they contained that you did
 16 not know of at the time?
 17 **A. I don't know. I haven't seen them. Well, I haven't --**
 18 **I don't know. Sorry.**
 19 PROF SIR MALCOLM EVANS: Okay. You clearly had seen some.
 20 What happened in relation to the allegations contained
 21 in those that you were aware of?
 22 **A. That person at the time was a witness -- offered to be**
 23 **a witness. They'd read -- one had read in the paper**
 24 **about it and believed -- because the way the newspaper**
 25 **article had been written suggested that Neil Todd might**

Page 156

1 have been lying, and this person, very -- I would say
 2 bravely, came forward, made a statement about their
 3 experiences with the bishop, but because of the very
 4 intimate nature of what that person was saying, they
 5 asked if it could be avoided that they went to court,
 6 but if they had to, they would. But to -- well, the
 7 embarrassment, they wished to avoid it.
 8 So that person, that wasn't subject then to a charge
 9 or a recommendation, but that person could have been
 10 used, it was in the bundle, to be a supporting witness
 11 to Neil Todd or any other charges that we -- well, the
 12 DPP may have made.
 13 PROF SIR MALCOLM EVANS: Thank you.
 14 A. Does that help?
 15 PROF SIR MALCOLM EVANS: Yes, thank you.
 16 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr Murdock.
 17 MS SCOLDING: Chair and panel, I don't know whether it would
 18 be proper to maybe have a short break at this time and
 19 then come back when we will hear from DS Carwyn Hughes?
 20 Thank you very much.
 21 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Murdock. We will return at 3.20.
 22 (3.05 pm)
 23 (A short break)
 24 (3.21 pm)
 25 MS McNEILL: Chair, we are now going to hear from Detective

Page 157

1 Superintendent Carwyn Hughes.
 2 MR CARWYN HUGHES (affirmed)
 3 Examination by MS McNEILL
 4 MS McNEILL: Can I confirm, then, you are Detective
 5 Superintendent Carwyn Hughes of Sussex Police?
 6 A. That is correct.
 7 Q. You have provided two witness statements to the inquiry.
 8 Your first was dated 22 June 2018?
 9 A. Correct.
 10 Q. That runs to 58 pages. Its reference is OHY005027. You
 11 provided a second statement, dated 19 July 2018, which
 12 runs to two pages, and its reference is OHY005772. Can
 13 I confirm -- did you have an opportunity to read both of
 14 those statements to confirm they were true, to the best
 15 of your knowledge and belief at the time?
 16 A. I have, thank you.
 17 Q. And are they still true, to the best of your knowledge
 18 and belief?
 19 A. Yes.
 20 Q. Chair, can we have those statements uploaded onto the
 21 website? For the avoidance of doubt, I don't propose to
 22 take Detective Superintendent Hughes through his
 23 statements in full, but to try to draw some of
 24 the themes out from Operation Dunhill.
 25 I am going to call you Mr Hughes, if that is okay --

Page 158

1 we have talked outside; "detective superintendent" is
 2 a bit of a mouthful. Is that okay?
 3 A. Of course it is.
 4 Q. Is it right that you were the officer in charge of
 5 the case of Operation Dunhill?
 6 A. That is correct, from its outset to its conclusion.
 7 Q. That was the investigation into the offending of
 8 Peter Ball and Vickery House?
 9 A. That's correct.
 10 Q. How long did that investigation take in total?
 11 A. It commenced six years to the day today, in fact. It
 12 commenced on 25 July 2012 -- in doing so, I look at my
 13 policy books that I heavily rely on -- through to when
 14 Peter Ball pleaded guilty at the Old Bailey
 15 in October 2015.
 16 Q. Chair, I should say, for the avoidance of doubt,
 17 Mr Hughes has with him his contemporaneous policy
 18 notebooks. They have been provided to the inquiry and
 19 they have been disclosed. He may well refer to them
 20 throughout, but the relevant portions are in his witness
 21 statement.
 22 A. Thank you.
 23 Q. Is it right that Operation Dunhill commenced in part, or
 24 largely, as a result of information provided to
 25 Sussex Police by Lambeth Palace?

Page 159

1 A. Very much so.
 2 Q. Was that information relating to their own internal
 3 reviews, which we will hear were conducted by
 4 a Ms Kate Wood?
 5 A. That is correct.
 6 Q. When you set up the major investigation team for
 7 Operation Dunhill, did that include any involvement by
 8 the church?
 9 A. Very much so. I believe that because Lambeth Palace,
 10 via Kate Wood, had submitted those files to us, it made
 11 sense to me, as SIO, senior investigating officer, to
 12 this case to have Kate Wood on the team because I would
 13 learn so quickly about how the church works, the
 14 internal dynamics, the upper echelons, what that looked
 15 like, who to speak to, how to go in their personnel
 16 files, et cetera. To me, she was a stepping stone to
 17 utilise to the benefit of the investigation. Otherwise,
 18 it would have been operating in the dark somewhat about
 19 church practices.
 20 Q. Could we say she was essentially seconded to your team
 21 during the investigation, albeit not full time?
 22 A. I think that's a very good way of putting it.
 23 Q. Was there also some close cooperation with the church in
 24 other aspects of the investigation?
 25 A. Yes, I would say there was also close cooperation with

Page 160

1 **Colin Perkins, who was the Chichester diocese**
 2 **safeguarding officer, to make sure the current**
 3 **safeguarding concerns were also being considered, and**
 4 **obviously to glean any information that the Diocese of**
 5 **Chichester may have as well.**
 6 Q. At any stage, did you find any difficulties in the
 7 cooperation you received from the church?
 8 **A. No. I considered in terms of the -- both Lambeth Palace**
 9 **and the Diocese of Chichester during the lifetime of**
 10 **Operation Dunhill, I had full cooperation.**
 11 Q. As part of your investigation, I understand that you
 12 obtained information from the Gloucestershire Police
 13 investigation in 1993?
 14 **A. I did, but it took -- you have to remember, the lifetime**
 15 **of this investigation is three years. At the outset of**
 16 **Dunhill, I was under the impression that the caution**
 17 **file did not exist. It was lost. Therefore, you know,**
 18 **that information was not known to me for a long time.**
 19 Q. Ultimately, you did manage to get a copy of the full
 20 caution file from Gloucestershire?
 21 **A. Yes, Wayne Murdock himself produced the file, in effect.**
 22 Q. You also spoke with Northamptonshire Police and received
 23 information about their investigation in 2008/2009?
 24 **A. That is correct.**
 25 Q. And information was received from Lambeth Palace and

Page 161

1 Chichester diocese directly, I understand?
 2 **A. Yes, and we also made inquiries with other dioceses as**
 3 **well.**
 4 Q. What you say in your witness statement is that your
 5 first and most important line of enquiry was to identify
 6 potential complainants, victims and survivors of
 7 Peter Ball. I want to ask you how you did so. Is it
 8 right that you spoke to the individuals who had also
 9 spoken to Gloucestershire Police in 1993?
 10 **A. Once we'd established who they were, yes.**
 11 Q. Is it right that at least one of the complainants who
 12 had been considered by Gloucester in 1993 didn't
 13 actually want to participate in Operation Dunhill?
 14 **A. Yes.**
 15 Q. An individual we know as AN-A98?
 16 **A. That is correct.**
 17 Q. Did they say why they didn't want to be part of
 18 Operation Dunhill?
 19 **A. I can't remember that now.**
 20 Q. That's okay. Did you also obtain copies of the letters
 21 that were sent to Lambeth Palace in 1992 and 1993?
 22 **A. Yes, I knew about those letters right from the outset.**
 23 Q. Did you make contact with the authors of those letters?
 24 **A. Every single one.**
 25 Q. Indeed, one of those was AN-A10, from whom we heard on

Page 162

1 Monday. Is it right that he ended up actually forming
 2 part of the misconduct in a public office charge?
 3 **A. That is correct. He did not feature in the 1993**
 4 **investigation.**
 5 Q. He hadn't featured in 1993. In terms of identifying
 6 complainants, we heard that Mr Murdock was asked, "Did
 7 you track down all of the former Schemers and speak to
 8 them?"; was that something your team did?
 9 **A. Very much so. That was the initial focus in the lines**
 10 **of enquiry document that I have produced to the inquiry.**
 11 **That featured heavily. That was the cohort of people**
 12 **I considered to be the most vulnerable to abuse by**
 13 **Peter Ball. Therefore, it was a natural initial**
 14 **strategy to find out exactly who the Schemers and**
 15 **approach them to find out if they had been abused.**
 16 Q. Can we look at page 11 of your witness statement.
 17 Danny, we don't necessarily need to bring it up just
 18 yet. Chair, it is page 11, I'm looking at,
 19 paragraph 3.12.
 20 What you said is that you wanted to reach out and
 21 protect complainants before the Ball brothers or their
 22 supporters had the opportunity to do so, and you can't
 23 want to run the risk of them unduly influencing
 24 decisions or bringing pressure to bear within the
 25 church. Why was that a risk you identified?

Page 163

1 **A. Because I was -- in these early days of**
 2 **the investigation, I quickly came to understand that**
 3 **Peter Ball had a swathe of supporters within the church,**
 4 **also a swathe of people that opposed him within the**
 5 **church. But it was a case of making sure that we got to**
 6 **potential victims first to ensure they weren't unduly**
 7 **influenced. It seemed a natural thing to do. I would**
 8 **also say that Operation Dunhill started beyond my**
 9 **control in the glare of publicity. The BBC were**
 10 **reporting widely on the subject, even as the papers were**
 11 **being handed over to the police. That was not initiated**
 12 **by the police. Therefore, I had to -- because**
 13 **Peter Ball would have been aware of that publicity,**
 14 **I then had to make sure that we were expeditious in**
 15 **getting to people quickly so that they weren't unduly**
 16 **influenced.**
 17 Q. Before I ask you about the press, out of fairness, was
 18 there any evidence during your investigation that
 19 anybody tried to unduly influence potential witnesses,
 20 victims or survivors?
 21 **A. No.**
 22 Q. Staying with identifying potential victims, you
 23 mentioned the press interest. Was one of the ways in
 24 which complainants, victims and survivors were
 25 identified in Dunhill actually through press publicity

Page 164

1 or the effect of the press publicity?
 2 **A. There is no doubt about it that there was a variety of**
 3 **bookmarks, I suppose, in the enquiry. As I stated,**
 4 **Dunhill started beyond my control with BBC reporting on**
 5 **the matter, and so, when I took receipt of the reports**
 6 **from Lambeth Palace, actually, that publicity got good**
 7 **corroboration from my perspective, because a victim**
 8 **unknown to the church came forward straight away to me.**
 9 **So I've instantly got corroboration that is not in the**
 10 **public realm. That obviously for me is quite an**
 11 **exciting line of enquiry because we have that instant**
 12 **corroboration.**
 13 **Then if you fast forward a few months, at the time**
 14 **we arrested Peter Ball and Vickery House, that generated**
 15 **further publicity, at which point seven new victims came**
 16 **forward for Peter Ball and then fast forward again years**
 17 **to the actual time that he pleaded guilty, upon**
 18 **conviction and sentence, more publicity, another four**
 19 **victims came forward. So it's -- in the life span of**
 20 **the enquiry, you know, multiple people came forward.**
 21 **Q. I will come back certainly to the last category. But**
 22 **the thematic question, therefore, I have is, how did**
 23 **Operation Dunhill balance the potential benefit of press**
 24 **coverage, in encouraging potential victims to come**
 25 **forward, with also managing it to make sure it didn't**

Page 165

1 damage the ongoing investigation or any trial?
 2 **A. It's really interesting from my perspective as well,**
 3 **because use of the media, obviously it had a lot of**
 4 **attention outside of this public inquiry only last week.**
 5 **Because it was beyond my control, I had to relax about**
 6 **it. There was nothing I could do about it. It's also**
 7 **in public knowledge that this enquiry is taking place.**
 8 **So from my perspective as a senior investigating**
 9 **officer, I think, well, how do I maximise the**
 10 **opportunity out of this, and of course the opportunity**
 11 **to bring victims forward to the investigation to**
 12 **generate victims so that we could be -- rest assure**
 13 **ourselves we made every effort in that regard, that**
 14 **became really quite important and a very useful tool, in**
 15 **all honesty.**
 16 **Q. Whilst we are talking a little bit about the press**
 17 **coverage and allied to that, we are aware that**
 18 **in July 2012 Neil Todd tried to take his own life and**
 19 **subsequently did die a month later, in August. At the**
 20 **time of Neil Todd's death, what contact had**
 21 **Operation Dunhill had with him?**
 22 **A. Well, obviously, Neil Todd's death was very, very tragic**
 23 **and almost set the tone for the beginning of**
 24 **Operation Dunhill. It is also fair to say that**
 25 **Neil Todd committed suicide, although he did not die for**

Page 166

1 **another month, he was in a medically-induced coma. He**
 2 **committed suicide on 17 July. As I said, I commenced**
 3 **Operation Dunhill officially on 25 July, so it was very**
 4 **early days. The officer who had made contact with**
 5 **Neil Todd via the church, I think it was one or two**
 6 **conversations, possibly two conversations at most that**
 7 **he had had, and obviously with the publicity that had**
 8 **already been generated, I can only make the assumption**
 9 **that it was too much for him and, sadly, he made that**
 10 **decision.**
 11 **Q. Did you or your force arrange any support for Neil?**
 12 **A. I don't think we'd had time to really consider that. It**
 13 **was initial contact with him before he made that tragic**
 14 **decision for himself. So I can't say we'd put support**
 15 **measures in place at that stage.**
 16 **Q. Going forward, once the investigation was in full flow,**
 17 **for want of a better word, and you did start tracking**
 18 **down more and more complainants and victims, what**
 19 **measures were put in place then to protect those**
 20 **individuals or to give them support?**
 21 **A. Well, we had a bespoke victim witness strategy. So**
 22 **making sure -- as I put in my statement in relation to**
 23 **that about, you know, what are we trying to achieve with**
 24 **our victims in terms of (a) their testimony, (b) their**
 25 **ongoing counselling, you know, and their access to those**

Page 167

1 **services to look after their long-term welfare. And**
 2 **I like to think that, again, working with the**
 3 **safeguarding team at Chichester diocese, we were very**
 4 **successful in this regard in many ways. I'm**
 5 **particularly proud of -- we got an independent sexual**
 6 **violence adviser into that team, so that they were able**
 7 **to give that counsel and advice and give -- you know,**
 8 **put them on pathways to further counselling paid for by**
 9 **the church.**
 10 **Q. Her name was, at the time, Gemma Wordsworth?**
 11 **A. That's correct.**
 12 **Q. She was employed by the Diocese of Chichester but**
 13 **I understand worked very closely with your team in**
 14 **providing that support?**
 15 **A. Very closely with the team. There has to be the**
 16 **distinction: what is the police role; and then, what is**
 17 **the support role? The police role was to be that**
 18 **contact, to make sure that, victims and witnesses, not**
 19 **only do we secure their testament, but they have**
 20 **confidence in what we are doing. So they have always**
 21 **got a point of contact within the investigation, but**
 22 **also that wider counselling needs specialist support and**
 23 **hence we facilitated that.**
 24 **Q. I want to talk about the contact, if any, the**
 25 **investigation had from other individuals. We heard from**

Page 168

1 Mr Murdock about 1993, there was sort of an avalanche of
 2 letters coming in from individuals outside of
 3 the investigation. Did you receive anything like that
 4 volume of contact during your investigation?
 5 **A. Nothing like that volume, no.**
 6 Q. It is right, isn't it, you did receive letters from
 7 Lord Lloyd of Berwick?
 8 **A. That is correct.**
 9 Q. Can you tell us, in what circumstances did he contact
 10 Sussex Police and what was the tenor of his letters?
 11 **A. He wrote Sussex Police asking about why we'd manhandled**
 12 **Peter Ball on arrest, why we were investigating it in**
 13 **the first place, I would suggest, and then somewhat**
 14 **bizarrely to my mind, he wrote to us stating, "I have**
 15 **heard the decision is that you are not going to**
 16 **prosecute, so why is it taking so long? What are you**
 17 **doing about it?", at which point I rang him to put him**
 18 **right on the subject.**
 19 Q. So he wrote on three occasions. He wrote as soon as
 20 Peter Ball was arrested, or soon after, to complain
 21 about the manner of his arrest; is that fair?
 22 **A. Yes.**
 23 Q. It was the response to say it was all handled correctly?
 24 **A. Yes.**
 25 Q. There was a further letter soon afterwards again about

Page 169

1 the manner of the arrest in particular and Peter Ball's
 2 ill-health?
 3 **A. That's correct.**
 4 Q. And then there was a further letter from him a bit
 5 further down the road asking for the progress, why it
 6 was taking so long?
 7 **A. Yes.**
 8 Q. Did the contact you received from Lord Lloyd have any
 9 effect on the investigation, as far as you're concerned?
 10 **A. None whatsoever. None whatsoever.**
 11 Q. What you have said, if you can look at paragraph 4.15 of
 12 your witness statement, please. Danny, I think we are
 13 going to bring this up. It is OHY005027_015.
 14 On 22 October 2010 -- I'm starting from
 15 paragraph 4.15 -- you wrote a policy file, entry
 16 number 60 about the Prince of Wales. First of all, what
 17 is a policy file?
 18 **A. These are my policy files. They, to me, are a big**
 19 **diary, in effect. It records all decisions I make,**
 20 **policy decisions, and also gives me an opportunity to**
 21 **provide a rationale for why I've made that decision,**
 22 **knowing an investigation like this, how complicated it**
 23 **is, how big it is and how long it takes, so it also**
 24 **refreshes your memory. So it is about making a decision**
 25 **and justifying that decision and having a record of it.**

Page 170

1 Q. I'm not going to read it in full, but is the gist of
 2 this policy entry that you are made aware that
 3 Peter Ball had a friendship with Prince Charles and you
 4 said that, whilst that may be interesting of itself,
 5 "I don't think it is relevant to this investigation, and
 6 I'm declaring that here and now"?
 7 **A. Yes, but I would go slightly further than that. I would**
 8 **say that is also about knowing there is a large amount**
 9 **of correspondence between Prince Charles and Peter Ball**
 10 **and therefore you've got to work out, well, a friendship**
 11 **is fine, letters of friendship, that's fine, but**
 12 **obviously if that crossed into potential evidence, ie,**
 13 **disclosure of offences, then I would have to consider**
 14 **that sort of thing.**
 15 Q. In addition to your policy file, you kept a sensitive
 16 policy file, didn't you?
 17 **A. That's correct.**
 18 Q. What's the sensitive policy file?
 19 **A. The sensitive policy is that it's subject to different**
 20 **disclosure in relation to making sure that -- I might**
 21 **not want people within my own team to know that I'm**
 22 **making certain decisions because it is sensitive, it may**
 23 **involve police tactics or sensitivities around any issue**
 24 **that I consider to be delicate.**
 25 **Still subject to disclosure rules in criminal**

Page 171

1 **proceedings, and -- but it's fair to say that you**
 2 **probably take extra care to those issues that you**
 3 **consider to be particularly sensitive at any one time.**
 4 Q. Can we turn to page 16 of the witness statement. You
 5 made an entry dated 13 April 2013, again about the
 6 Prince of Wales. What this says -- if we can do
 7 everything down to paragraph 4.18, please, Danny, zoomed
 8 out. Again, I won't read it in full, but in essence,
 9 somebody, a staff officer to Prince Charles, contacted
 10 your chief constable, I understand --
 11 **A. That's correct.**
 12 Q. -- to ask during the course of this investigation, they
 13 believed from Michael Ball, that you might have
 14 collected some information which would be embarrassing,
 15 or was potentially embarrassing, to Prince Charles and
 16 they wanted to check whether that was true or not?
 17 **A. That's correct.**
 18 Q. You recorded and said, "I will have a look". Is that
 19 fair?
 20 **A. That is fair.**
 21 Q. What you say is:
 22 "For the record, as SIO to this investigation,
 23 I have felt no pressure whatsoever as to my conduct or
 24 the conduct of my team being influenced to make any
 25 decision that could be considered less than of

Page 172

1 the highest ethical standards. For the record, nothing
 2 in my conversations with the chief constable changes
 3 that view ..."
 4 Did you then go off and check whether you had any
 5 material that might be embarrassing?
 6 **A. Yes.**
 7 Q. You did. Was there any?
 8 **A. No.**
 9 Q. My question is about this contact, was this a rather
 10 unusual contact to receive?
 11 **A. Highly unusual. This is the Prince of Wales.**
 12 Q. Well, not just that. If it wasn't the Prince of Wales,
 13 what would the response have been if just an individual
 14 off the street had contacted the chief constable and
 15 said, "I understand that you are investigating somebody
 16 I know. Do you have any material in your possession
 17 that might be embarrassing to me?". What would the
 18 answer have been if it was just a normal individual off
 19 the street?
 20 **A. I can see where you're going, but for me this is**
 21 **a question of relevance. If I had material within**
 22 **Operation Dunhill that was relevant to the**
 23 **investigation, then Prince Charles potentially becomes**
 24 **a witness to this, so the man on the street, if there**
 25 **was evidence within the investigation that was relevant**

Page 173

1 to that person on the street, they would also be
 2 a potential witness. So what I'm saying is, because
 3 it's embarrassing -- it might be embarrassing on
 4 a personal level. That's got nothing to do with
 5 Operation Dunhill. If it is material relevant to
 6 Operation Dunhill, highly relevant, that person becomes
 7 a witness.
 8 Q. What you are saying is, this triggered for you to go and
 9 check that you hadn't missed a line of enquiry?
 10 **A. Yes, in effect.**
 11 Q. My question is, if there was material relevant to an
 12 ongoing investigation or even in possession of an
 13 ongoing investigation, would that have been provided to
 14 or disclosed to the Prince of Wales whilst that
 15 investigation was ongoing?
 16 **A. I may well have disclosed it -- this is hypothetical --**
 17 **but I would certainly have kept it if it was material**
 18 **relevant to Operation Dunhill.**
 19 Q. So he might have been informed, "We have some
 20 information about you"?
 21 **A. Yes.**
 22 Q. Again, if it had not been the Prince of Wales, if it had
 23 just been the man on the street, would the same have
 24 happened? Would you have said, "We do have some
 25 information about you"?"

Page 174

1 **A. I would say, yes, because they potentially become**
 2 **a witness to the investigation.**
 3 Q. We should ask, out of completeness, was your
 4 investigation in any way influenced by the fact that you
 5 knew that Peter Ball was friendly with or at least had
 6 a relationship of some kind with the Prince of Wales?
 7 **A. Not influenced at all. But what I would say is that**
 8 **that first policy entry you made, the nonsensitive one,**
 9 **that was very important to me and my team. The same**
 10 **also with a similar policy entry around the Archbishop**
 11 **of Canterbury. You know, it was declaring overtly in**
 12 **our investigation that these people exist, that they**
 13 **are -- this is about the establishment. They exist.**
 14 **But it doesn't change the very fact that what we are**
 15 **trying to do is secure testimony regarding abuse of**
 16 **victims.**
 17 Q. We can take that down now, thanks, Danny.
 18 I want to look at the work you did looking into
 19 Gloucestershire Police. I want to say at the outset, it
 20 wasn't your job to rerun the Gloucestershire
 21 investigation, was it?
 22 **A. No.**
 23 Q. Nor did your investigation conduct an enquiry into
 24 whether or not Gloucestershire Police had done things
 25 correctly?

Page 175

1 **A. No. I had liaison with the Professional Standards**
 2 **Department in Gloucester and I consider they felt if**
 3 **there was anything that was inappropriate, that was down**
 4 **to them. It is also fair to say that obviously on**
 5 **commencement of Operation Dunhill, there is no caution**
 6 **file, the Brian Tyler report suggests meetings in**
 7 **hotels, et cetera, so I was quite wary of**
 8 **the Gloucestershire investigation, it is fair to say.**
 9 Q. What you said in your statement was you started with
 10 some mistrust of DI Murdock and some misgivings about
 11 the investigation?
 12 **A. That's correct.**
 13 Q. Was that as a result of -- you had the Brian Tyler
 14 material but you didn't have the Gloucestershire side of
 15 the story, as it were?
 16 **A. That's correct. I had one side of the story at**
 17 **commencement of Operation Dunhill.**
 18 Q. What you said in your witness statement is ultimately
 19 you concluded that you were not concerned about
 20 DI Murdock. You thought he'd made some brave decisions?
 21 **A. Yes. To repeat, you know, it wasn't a reinvestigation**
 22 **of what Gloucester had done, but in terms of the way**
 23 **they had unearthed a significant amount of victims, the**
 24 **efforts they'd made, the size of the report to the Crown**
 25 **Prosecution Service, the DPP at the time. My initial**

Page 176

1 **concerns regarding that investigation disappeared.**
 2 Q. I want to ask you a little bit about Brian Tyler. This
 3 is at paragraph 7.5 of your witness statement. Chair,
 4 that's page 22 of the witness statement.
 5 Not you personally, I assume, but a member of your
 6 team went to speak with Brian Tyler?
 7 **A. That's correct.**
 8 Q. What was the purpose of the meeting?
 9 **A. Well, obviously his reports were mentioned in**
 10 **Kate Wood's initial reports that commenced**
 11 **Operation Dunhill. He obviously had a relationship of**
 12 **sorts with Peter Ball. I did not have the caution file**
 13 **in Gloucester, so by going to see him, he could**
 14 **illuminate exactly what we were dealing with and**
 15 **possibly give us some testimony as to what Peter Ball**
 16 **had said himself.**
 17 Q. What you say in your statement is that, having taken
 18 a statement from him, you thought that his account was
 19 unconvincing?
 20 **A. That's correct.**
 21 Q. And you said that his testimony was always going to be
 22 treated with due caution, even if he hadn't been unwell.
 23 As we know, he is too unwell to come and give us
 24 evidence.
 25 **A. That's correct.**

Page 177

1 Q. Why did you find him to be unconvincing, having spoken
 2 to him and looked at his file?
 3 **A. I had concerns that here was an ex-police officer, an**
 4 **ex-vicar, a private investigator, he's in the middle of**
 5 **all these negotiations in relation to a caution or not**
 6 **in 1993, and I suppose it's fair to say that I was very**
 7 **wary of any testimony he may give in relation to that.**
 8 **That's not to say that he would not provide useful**
 9 **information or intelligence in any statement to us and**
 10 **give us some head starts on some subjects, but I was**
 11 **always going to be wary of his testimony.**
 12 Q. Certainly in the initial stages of your investigation,
 13 was it a feature that it was hard to determine what
 14 exactly Peter Ball had been cautioned for in 1993, the
 15 circumstances of the caution?
 16 **A. We'd been told in a variety of reports what had**
 17 **happened, but, yeah, I had nothing on a concrete**
 18 **evidence basis that a caution file would have provided.**
 19 **No, so I would consider it was anecdotal as opposed to**
 20 **evidential.**
 21 Q. Even once you received the caution file, is it right
 22 that there was never a record created that said,
 23 "Peter Ball is cautioned for one count of gross
 24 indecency, the circumstances or brief facts of which
 25 are ..."? There was no document to that effect?

Page 178

1 **A. No, it is not clear to me even today exactly how that**
 2 **caution was administered.**
 3 Q. You weren't actually a police officer in the UK in 1993,
 4 so I'm not going you for your opinion on 1993. But what
 5 the panel might be interested to know is, what would
 6 happen now if somebody were to receive a caution? Might
 7 we still be in the same difficulty?
 8 **A. Yes. I mean, I can only talk for Sussex and**
 9 **Surrey Police here. I can't talk nationally. But**
 10 **standards are considerably higher. I'm just referring**
 11 **to my own notebook on this subject.**
 12 A caution in today's standards, we have to make sure
 13 that we consider this will prevent the person who gets
 14 the caution from committing further offences, we have to
 15 consider it is appropriate to the circumstances, we have
 16 to check that there is a clear and reliable admission in
 17 a Police and Criminal Evidence Act interview, we have to
 18 think there's a realistic prospect of conviction should
 19 the matter have gone to trial, we should make a public
 20 interest consideration, the suspect has to sign a form
 21 admitting the circumstances and the offence in question.
 22 And they also sign a further form in which it says --
 23 explains to them the implications of what it means in
 24 their life by accepting the caution. So standards are
 25 considerably higher.

Page 179

1 Q. You say there's got to be admission in a police
 2 interview. Again, I'm not asking you to comment on what
 3 did or didn't happen in '93, but to know what would
 4 happen now. If an individual didn't make admissions in
 5 an interview now and their representative said, "But
 6 he'd like a caution", how would you go about getting the
 7 admissions necessary?
 8 **A. I'd say, "That's fine, but in that case, we will have**
 9 **another interview to make sure we do get a clear and**
 10 **explicit admission".**
 11 Q. In a caution file now, would you expect -- you said
 12 there's a form signed. Would you expect the form to
 13 clearly mark, "This individual has accepted a caution
 14 for ... the facts of which ..." and a brief summary of
 15 the facts?
 16 **A. Very much so.**
 17 Q. I'm going to move on to talk about Peter Ball's
 18 interview. Is it right that Peter Ball was never
 19 formally arrested or interviewed under caution?
 20 **A. Yes. Yes, and I can say that because I personally was**
 21 **there at the time of his arrest. It was my decision**
 22 **to -- well, I was not the arresting officer, I asked**
 23 **a colleague of mine to be the arresting officer, but**
 24 **I was in the car journey going to the custody block when**
 25 **I felt that he turned unwell, and therefore I turned the**

Page 180

1 car around and took him back home.
 2 Q. So this was in November 2012. You went to go and arrest
 3 Peter Ball. He was very unwell, so he wasn't taken to
 4 the police station.
 5 **A. He was extremely frail, extremely old. That was clear**
 6 **even before we turned up that day. But I arranged for**
 7 **him to go to the local custody -- station to be**
 8 **interviewed there, as opposed to bringing him back to**
 9 **Sussex, again, out of respect for his frailty, really,**
 10 **as opposed to -- relieve him of a long, strenuous and**
 11 **stressful car journey. But, yes, in effect, in that**
 12 **journey -- well, it is going into real detail. His left**
 13 **arm --**
 14 Q. We don't need to hear about his health concerns. I can
 15 summarise, the long and short of it was that Peter Ball
 16 wasn't well, he was returned to his home and
 17 between November 2012 to about March 2013, there was
 18 a lot of to and fro about how well he was and whether he
 19 would be well enough to be interviewed?
 20 **A. Yes, there was a real consideration he may never be fit**
 21 **to be interviewed, yes.**
 22 Q. What you ultimately decided to do was sort of interview
 23 him on paper, for want of a better word. You put some
 24 questions and his solicitors provided a written
 25 statement?

Page 181

1 **A. Yes, that was in negotiation with his solicitor's**
 2 **company, yes. But to me, getting an account was better**
 3 **than no account at all.**
 4 Q. In Peter Ball's written statement, what were the key
 5 issues raised in response to the disclosure he had
 6 received from you at that point?
 7 **A. Again, so the context of this is, I'm not in possession**
 8 **of the Gloucester caution file. So the key**
 9 **considerations were that all offences considered in 1993**
 10 **had been involved in the decision to caution him, and**
 11 **that all future offences were also embroiled within the**
 12 **caution, ie, some form of immunity from prosecution.**
 13 Q. We are going to come back to that issue in a minute.
 14 I want to move to talk about the CPS. Is it right that
 15 you engaged with the CPS at quite an early stage, so
 16 even before you formally referred it for some advice?
 17 **A. Yes.**
 18 Q. We see from the papers that it was formally referred to
 19 the CPS for advice in January 2013?
 20 **A. Correct.**
 21 Q. At that point, had the police conducted the bulk of
 22 their investigations, the evidence-gathering part?
 23 **A. Yes.**
 24 Q. Although, to be fair, there were a couple of new
 25 complainants came forward after that?

Page 182

1 **A. Yes, there was a trickle of complainants throughout the**
 2 **lifetime of the investigation.**
 3 Q. We are going to hear from Mr McGill for the CPS tomorrow
 4 about what was happening on their side of things once
 5 the case file had been referred to them. But from your
 6 point of view, I would like to talk about what happened
 7 after the case file went to the CPS. Can we take up
 8 your statement, please, at page 30; OHY005027_030.
 9 Right at the top, 25 January, "Commenced
 10 communication and legal advice from the Crown
 11 Prosecution Service."
 12 There are some entries thereafter telling us about
 13 additional investigations that carried out. Over the
 14 page, Danny, if we can, you have a meeting on
 15 18 June 2013.
 16 **A. Correct.**
 17 Q. It is made clear at that point -- "Simon made it clear
 18 that this case was subject to intense scrutiny within
 19 the CPS". Down at the bottom:
 20 "During that meeting, Simon Drew was in possession
 21 of a file retrieved internally to the CPS that related
 22 to the 1992/93 investigation. Slightly odd that Simon
 23 acknowledges ..."
 24 Essentially they had a 1992/93 file in their
 25 possession but it wasn't shared with the police?

Page 183

1 **A. Yes.**
 2 Q. You thought that was something which was unusual for
 3 you?
 4 **A. Yes.**
 5 Q. Did you ask for a copy of it?
 6 **A. I did.**
 7 Q. Then we were told, on 18 July 2013, at the bottom, that
 8 it had moved to the principal legal adviser?
 9 **A. Correct.**
 10 Q. If I can skip, please, to the bottom of page 32, can we
 11 look at 9.20. This is your policy entry on 14 October.
 12 So bearing in mind it's been with the CPS now for nine
 13 months or so:
 14 "As of today, I am still awaiting a reply from CPS.
 15 It is now apparent that victims and their families are
 16 worried about what is going on in the background.
 17 Personally I have had a difficult conversation with the
 18 father of Neil Todd who believes some sort of conspiracy
 19 is taking place as to the delay in advice coming
 20 forward. Not for one moment do I think this is the
 21 case, but whilst the legal situation is complicated, the
 22 return of the advice is taking too long. Simon Drew has
 23 passed the advice to the principal legal adviser, but if
 24 I hear nothing from a chasing email today then I will
 25 invoke the escalation procedure."

Page 184

1 Actually, you did ultimately escalate it, didn't
 2 you, and there was a letter written?
 3 **A. Yes, and my Deputy Chief Constable Giles York wrote**
 4 **a letter to Keir Starmer.**
 5 Q. Then we have a meeting on 21 November between yourself
 6 and the CPS, which again I will come back to in
 7 a moment. Over the page, to 9 January, please, Danny,
 8 page 34, this is another note where you are saying you
 9 are concerned about the length of time this is taking.
 10 What you say:
 11 "Gold -- Nev Kemp -- updated in this regard. Due to
 12 timeframes involved ..."
 13 Skip down:
 14 "As a note, I must be prepared to face significant
 15 criticism should Peter Ball die before a decision is
 16 reached and I am concerned about maintaining the trust
 17 and confidence of victims and their families. There is
 18 talk from the meeting that people believe there is a CPS
 19 delay in decision making in order to allow Peter Ball to
 20 die without facing justice. This is not true of course
 21 but is an issue that must be confronted and needs to be
 22 noted here. It remains fair, however, to state that
 23 I have not been provided with the contents of the file
 24 from the 1993 decision ..."
 25 What we know is that, ultimately, a decision is made

Page 185

1 in March, and the CPS will tell us the reason for the
 2 delay in between, but what I want to ask you is, what
 3 effect did that delay have on your ability to maintain
 4 the confidence of victims and survivors?
 5 **A. It was very, very difficult. I'm not saying all of our**
 6 **victims, and when I say "all our victims", I consider it**
 7 **to be 19 people that we had to manage along with other**
 8 **witnesses. But there was a growing concern that we, the**
 9 **police, were involved in some sort of conspiracy to**
 10 **evade Peter Ball being brought to justice. The CPS were**
 11 **involved, and it was -- as time went past, that was an**
 12 **increasing concern, you know, and I don't know who, if**
 13 **anybody, was instigating this, but there was certainly**
 14 **a growing opinion that there was some sort of**
 15 **establishment coverup.**
 16 Q. What I want you to have a look at for me is tab 6 of
 17 your bundle. Danny, CPS001622. We will start at
 18 page 2. We have an email here from Operation Dunhill to
 19 AN-A99, who was one of your complainants.
 20 **A. Yes.**
 21 Q. We can see that it is essentially an update.
 22 Vickery House has been charged but there are still
 23 ongoing legal issues in relation to Peter Ball?
 24 **A. Yes.**
 25 Q. Danny, can we go back to page 1 of that document. Zoom

Page 186

1 in, please, just at the bottom. We have got here an
 2 email from one of your complainants, AN-A99:
 3 "Jane, I send this email to inform you that I will
 4 not be appearing in court.
 5 "It is clear to me that the CPS are dragging their
 6 feet because of Ball's connections and his former
 7 status, although you can dress it up as legal
 8 complications. I suspect he will be let off again.
 9 I have once before stuck my neck above the parapet and
 10 writing my statement for you took some courage since
 11 I am aware that it has already damaged me.
 12 "This has gone on too long. It has put enormous
 13 strain on me and it is not fair. My current
 14 circumstances mean I am withdrawing."
 15 Then he goes on to explain his problems:
 16 "I have done my bit. That's it. End."
 17 The long and short of it is you were losing one of
 18 your complainants at this point?
 19 **A. That's correct.**
 20 Q. Was this the only complainant considering withdrawing,
 21 or were there others?
 22 **A. If memory serves me correct on this, and I don't answer**
 23 **that particular question with 100 per cent certainty,**
 24 **but I do believe there were others and certainly the**
 25 **father of Neil Todd was saying he was very unhappy,**

Page 187

1 **although not a direct victim himself.**
 2 Q. What did your team do to try to deal with this?
 3 **A. Our best to respond to allay their concerns.**
 4 Q. Again, the CPS will tell us the reason for the delay.
 5 If it is inevitable, as they have explained it was, what
 6 do you think can be done to make sure that the delay in
 7 reaching a decision doesn't impact victims and survivors
 8 and their willingness to continue with a prosecution?
 9 **A. That's a very good question. In terms of this being**
 10 **a case study, then I can't help thinking some sort of**
 11 **conference, some sort of invite, to give a sort of an**
 12 **interim position. If the CPS or the police or us**
 13 **together were able to do some sort of statement, an**
 14 **interim position, explaining as I did in that email you**
 15 **have just referred to, really, that it is legally**
 16 **complicated, and, you know, whilst I am critical of**
 17 **the CPS, I'm also very supportive of the CPS as well,**
 18 **you know, in relation to the legal complications in this**
 19 **case. It was truly complicated. Therefore,**
 20 **I understand the time delays, but of course I don't know**
 21 **what the competing demands were within CPS as well in**
 22 **relation to the people involved and what other work they**
 23 **were doing.**
 24 Q. What we will hear is that one of the most complicated
 25 areas around this was looking at the administration of

Page 188

<p>1 the caution. I would like to take a look -- I'm asked 2 to bring you to your policy note of a meeting of 3 21 November 2013. Page 33 of the statement. If you can 4 just zoom in for me on paragraph 9.21. You went on 5 a case conference with the CPS? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. What you say sort of five lines down is: 8 "For the first time in this meeting I was made aware 9 of the existence of a letter addressed to Peter Ball in 10 1993 at the same time as he received his caution. I was 11 not shown the letter so do not know its full contents. 12 I have asked to see the letter ..." 13 And the CPS try and get permission: 14 "I understand that in effect the letter stated that 15 other offences included within the 1992/93 16 Gloucestershire investigation were enrolled in the 17 caution and that he was told it would make him immune 18 from prosecution for those offences. Tim made it clear 19 that this case causes significant issues both legally 20 and reputationally, although it is not to say the issues 21 are not insurmountable." 22 I am asked by those representing Peter Ball to ask 23 you, what were the reputational and legal issues you 24 were referring to there? 25 A. I don't know. I couldn't see the letter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 189</p>	<p>1 "Police request. 2 "Copy of letter sent to Ball 1993 to police. TT 3 [Tim Thompson] will check, but of view need to see it 4 and should have it." 5 There is a series of questions I would like to ask 6 you on behalf of the Peter Ball team. You have said you 7 didn't see this letter. Can you help us as to whether 8 it was one particular letter granting immunity to 9 Peter Ball or whether there was more than one letter 10 discussed at that meeting? 11 A. I can assist with this, but it is also fair to say that 12 I can only assist in terms of my knowledge -- 13 Q. Absolutely. 14 A. -- because of preparation for today. So within the last 15 few days I now understand, because I have seen 16 a statement from Simon Drew that explains the 17 circumstances. Because this question was never answered 18 to my mind and no-one from the CPS ever said, "Carwyn, 19 here you are, here is the material that is relevant", 20 I therefore didn't realise that two letters written to 21 the solicitors of Peter Ball back in 1993 were actually 22 the letters in question. It's fair to say -- I now know 23 that I have, in the last few months, seen those letters, 24 but I never drew the conclusion, having seen those two 25 letters, that that was the issue in hand.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 191</p>
<p>1 Q. Is that the crux of it: you didn't see the letter in 2 this meeting, did you? 3 A. No. 4 Q. The letter that may or may not have given Peter Ball 5 immunity from future prosecution? 6 A. Absolutely, but his own defence, when we wrote to him 7 and the defence responded, indicated that he had some 8 form of immunity from prosecution. So this to me was, 9 you know, a significant factor, but the Crown 10 Prosecution Service had information that was highly 11 material to the investigation within their knowledge. 12 Q. There's another note of that meeting which was kept by 13 I believe Simon Drew or Tim Thompson at CPS001593 in 14 tab 5 of your bundle. Can we look at point 6, please, 15 Danny: 16 "However, letter sent off stating GI [gross 17 indecency] and IA [indecent assault] considered viable, 18 offer made to caution for GI [gross indecency] only. 19 "Difficulty with letter contrary to Home Office 20 guidelines. 21 "The 'stick' of IA [indecent assault] despite review 22 saying IA not made out. 23 "Could cause us problem." 24 Over the page, please, page 5. At the bottom it 25 says:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 190</p>	<p>1 Q. So is it that when you wrote your policy note, having in 2 mind Peter Ball's interview and what you had heard in 3 the meeting, you noted down there was a letter which may 4 have granted Peter Ball immunity, but you didn't see it? 5 A. I didn't see it, no. 6 Q. So you can't help us as to what it did or did not say 7 and that's something we should take with the CPS? 8 A. Absolutely. 9 Q. Is it right that you said you pressed for disclosure of 10 that but you weren't given it. Were you given a reason 11 as to why you couldn't see those letters? 12 A. The reason I was given was it was sensitive. This is -- 13 no doubt about it, this was a frustration to me. I'm 14 the senior investigating officer for this. I think it's 15 highly, you know, relevant to the investigation. 16 I couldn't really -- I was very frustrated that I wasn't 17 given sight of this letter. 18 Q. I want to move to the decision on charging; okay? If we 19 can look at your witness statement, please, and can we 20 please bring it up, Danny, at page 36. A decision was 21 ultimately reached by the CPS on or around 22 26 March 2014. This is your policy note 140. I'm not 23 going to read it in full. But does this boil down to, 24 you received a telephone call from Simon Drew at the CPS 25 to tell you, "We have reached a decision and we will</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 192</p>

1 tell you tomorrow at 1.30 what our decision is"?

2 **A. Yes.**

3 Q. "And at 2.30, we are going to make a press statement or

4 a public statement about it"?

5 **A. That's correct.**

6 Q. What you note underneath it is:

7 "Should criticism of this time period ever come to

8 fruition, this very much lives with the CPS, as I am now

9 powerless to do anything ... even Simon Drew mentioned

10 he was frustrated about the process."

11 Was your concern, therefore, that this only gave you

12 one hour to try and inform all of your complainants

13 about the CPS decision before it was published?

14 **A. It was exactly that. I would hate for victims in a case**

15 **like this to hear of the decisions in the media when we**

16 **have been managing them for such a long time and giving**

17 **assurances to them.**

18 Q. Was this an unusual course, as far as you were concerned

19 or was this common?

20 **A. As far as I was concerned, this was highly unusual,**

21 **although it was explained to me that in major cases this**

22 **is the protocol.**

23 Q. Did they tell you why Simon Drew couldn't just tell you

24 on 26 March, when he phoned you, what the decision was?

25 **A. I certainly can't remember if that discussion took**

Page 193

1 **place.**

2 Q. On 27 March, when you got the information to say, "We

3 are going to charge", were you able to get in touch with

4 all of the victims and survivors before the press

5 statement was made?

6 **A. Yes, we did successfully do it, but only because**

7 **I assembled a team of people to put those calls in.**

8 Q. So in terms of our recommendations going forward, would

9 it have assisted you to have a little bit more time to

10 get in contact with victims and survivors?

11 **A. Absolutely. I mean, as I have said in my statement, you**

12 **know, I work in partnership with the CPS. I am not**

13 **being critical in this regard. I'm very complimentary**

14 **of them in many other ways. But in this particular**

15 **regard, the one-hour window, that's about serving the**

16 **needs of their organisation, not the needs of victims.**

17 Q. In terms of the charges ultimately put in place -- we

18 are going to hear again from the CPS tomorrow --

19 misconduct in a public office was chosen. There was

20 a charge and ultimately some legal argument. Then there

21 came a time where the defence approached the prosecution

22 in relation to potential pleas to the charges.

23 **A. Yes.**

24 Q. What was the role of the police in considering the

25 potential pleas to the charges?

Page 194

1 **A. Well, we were obviously a prosecution partner, you know,**

2 **we had obviously taken responsibility for the actual**

3 **investigation itself prior to presenting the evidence to**

4 **CPS. We had good contact with the victims and I would**

5 **say that we were a critical partner to those**

6 **conversations, although recognising that the Crown**

7 **Prosecution Service are the decision makers in this**

8 **regard.**

9 Q. Because you actually had a conference, didn't you, with

10 the CPS lawyers, with the prosecution counsel and the

11 police, all got together?

12 **A. More than one.**

13 Q. Once the offers came in?

14 **A. Yes.**

15 Q. At what stage were the victims and survivors consulted

16 about the potential pleas?

17 **A. Yeah, please don't be under the impression this is**

18 **a quick process. This started off as a series of**

19 **emails. It started off with, "Carwyn, what do you think**

20 **about this?" It was a blank refusal to get into**

21 **negotiations to start off with because we don't have**

22 **a defence statement, we don't know actually what they**

23 **are stating. So we weren't going to get into**

24 **negotiations when we had gone through so many legal**

25 **barriers to get to this point. It is also fair to say**

Page 195

1 **that in that email correspondence I had with the CPS and**

2 **Bobbie Cheema, who was the QC who was leading**

3 **negotiations for the prosecution on this, we made the**

4 **point that we don't want to be collectively manipulated**

5 **by Peter Ball, you know, using that word advisedly, to**

6 **make sure he could wheedle his way out of anything**

7 **significant.**

8 **Then to actually answer your question, with the**

9 **decisions that were made, it affected two of our**

10 **victims. The vast majority obviously were -- their**

11 **particular involvement meant there was a plea of guilty.**

12 **So in terms of the consultation, physical consultation,**

13 **it was with two people, those people whose cases would**

14 **lie on the file.**

15 Q. Paragraph 10.5 of your witness statement, chair. There

16 were 21 individuals at that stage from whom you were

17 considering allegations and, as a result of the pleas

18 offered, there were 17 of those would be captured either

19 by misconduct in a public office or stand-alone counts

20 of indecent assault; is that right?

21 **A. Yes. I probably would like to probably double check the**

22 **numbers, but 17 certainly sounds about right.**

23 Q. Paragraph 10.5 of your statement is what I'm looking at,

24 if it helps?

25 **A. Okay, yes.**

Page 196

1 Q. But there were two charges, which were -- for which no
 2 pleas were forthcoming in relation to an individual
 3 AN-A2 and Mr Philip Johnson.
 4 **A. That is correct.**
 5 Q. Those individuals were children at the time of
 6 the allegations?
 7 **A. That is correct.**
 8 Q. So are they the two individuals you refer to who were
 9 specifically consulted?
 10 **A. Yes.**
 11 Q. What were their views?
 12 **A. Phil Johnson was unhappy, very unhappy.**
 13 Q. What about the individual AN-A2?
 14 **A. He was accepting of the decision, would be my response**
 15 **to that; understood -- wasn't happy, but accepting of**
 16 **the decision.**
 17 Q. Bearing in mind that you have got two complainants for
 18 whom there will be no guilty pleas, who are unhappy or
 19 unhappy and accepting, what does the police do with
 20 that? To what extent does that go into your
 21 consideration?
 22 **A. I feel really sorry for those two people, it is fair to**
 23 **say. You know, I can see from their perspective that**
 24 **they did not get justice in this case. But I would go**
 25 **back to the charge of misconduct in public office.**

Page 197

1 **Because the CPS were brave enough to charge that,**
 2 **setting a legal precedent somewhat, that meant the vast**
 3 **majority of our victims were involved in criminal**
 4 **charges, and to me, that was a really important**
 5 **consideration.**
 6 **The other huge consideration for me was the health**
 7 **of Peter Ball. I personally was involved in his arrest**
 8 **and saw his frailties. I was involved in the**
 9 **negotiations to get him to write that letter of evidence**
 10 **and knew how difficult that was in terms of the amount**
 11 **of medical involvement in that process and understanding**
 12 **his fitness to be interviewed, and it's also fair to**
 13 **say, in the -- before Peter Ball pleaded guilty, there**
 14 **were a number of court hearings at the Old Bailey, and**
 15 **it was clear from those proceedings that if we had had**
 16 **a trial, you were looking at 20 minutes of evidence**
 17 **before breaks, if memory serves me correctly. There was**
 18 **going to be all sorts of different things that would**
 19 **have to take place in terms of his welfare at trial, and**
 20 **I had real concerns that he would not be fit to be**
 21 **tried. So the idea of him pleading guilty and actually**
 22 **declaring to the world that in fact he was guilty, to me**
 23 **there was greater good in that, and hence that very,**
 24 **very difficult decision being made in my two cases lying**
 25 **on the file. The CPS agreed with that, Bobbie Cheema**

Page 198

1 **agreed with that, but it's also fair to say that I was**
 2 **a full supporter of what was a very difficult decision.**
 3 Q. Peter Ball entered his guilty pleas and he was
 4 sentenced. You indicated at the beginning of your
 5 evidence that the press coverage of that resulted in
 6 some additional complainants coming forward.
 7 **A. That's correct.**
 8 Q. You mentioned four.
 9 **A. Correct.**
 10 Q. Is it right that for three of those individuals no
 11 further action was determined in the public interest by
 12 you?
 13 **A. Yes, eventually, after what I would describe as**
 14 **a proportionate investigation and consulting with them,**
 15 **yes.**
 16 Q. Can you explain to us, of the three individuals who came
 17 forward, why was it not considered in the public
 18 interest to go ahead with their allegations against
 19 Peter Ball?
 20 **A. Because Peter Ball had just been incarcerated for**
 21 **32 months. He'd received that sentence. The**
 22 **investigations would not necessarily have been**
 23 **straightforward, in terms of the evidential**
 24 **opportunities. The fact that they -- from a victim**
 25 **perspective, they felt their voice had been heard, and**

Page 199

1 **when we spoke to them, sat down and explained to them**
 2 **what Operation Dunhill had entailed and the results of**
 3 **it, they were content with that decision. I wrote the**
 4 **cases -- NFA as we call it, "no further action", in**
 5 **those cases, but knowing I had victim consent to that**
 6 **decision.**
 7 Q. You mentioned there was a fourth individual who came
 8 forward, and he is the individual who has been given the
 9 cipher AN-A119. His allegation was as a summary that he
 10 had played squash with Peter Ball when he was 16 or 17.
 11 **A. Correct.**
 12 Q. And that Peter Ball had exposed his penis to him whilst
 13 they were playing squash and that on another occasion
 14 Peter Ball had touched his genital area in the changing
 15 rooms.
 16 **A. Correct.**
 17 Q. This allegedly occurred in 1996?
 18 **A. 1995, I would say.**
 19 Q. It might be a typo on my behalf.
 20 **A. 1995. I would say he was 17. 1995, there was evidence**
 21 **of him driving. So I'm satisfied to say that. But it's**
 22 **also really important to note that 1995 was**
 23 **post caution. So this inquiry needs to understand that**
 24 **this allegation was post the caution and suggests that**
 25 **he may have offended again.**

Page 200

1 Q. What was your view on the public interest in relation to
 2 that allegation?
 3 **A. That was completely -- if the victim in that case or the**
 4 **complainant, I should say, in that case had wanted to**
 5 **pursue an investigation, which he didn't, but if he had**
 6 **wanted to, we would very much have done so.**
 7 Q. So why was there no investigation?
 8 **A. Because he did not want to involve his family in**
 9 **providing testimony and giving evidence and knowing**
 10 **again that Peter Ball was in jail.**
 11 Q. How far did the investigation get with the individual
 12 AN-A119?
 13 **A. We took statements from him.**
 14 Q. But he said, "I don't want to pursue it"?
 15 **A. Yes.**
 16 Q. In terms of the difference in approach between him and
 17 the other three individuals, was it just, in your view,
 18 on public interest because this one postdated the
 19 caution?
 20 **A. Yes, absolutely.**
 21 Q. My final question is in relation to Lord Carey. Is it
 22 right that there came a time when you were asked to
 23 consider whether there were any criminal offences
 24 committed by Lord Carey?
 25 **A. Yes, I was asked that question.**

Page 201

1 Q. You carried out a review of the material of his
 2 decision -- sorry, I should have said -- not "of his
 3 decision", of the fact that there were letters at
 4 Lambeth Palace which had not been provided to the
 5 police?
 6 **A. That's correct.**
 7 Q. What was the outcome of that investigation?
 8 **A. Well, I made reports -- I attended meetings on this**
 9 **subject, and then I was commissioned by**
 10 **Operation Hydrant and the Crown Prosecution Service to**
 11 **write a report on my understanding of what had happened**
 12 **in 1993 based on what I had gleaned from being the**
 13 **senior investigating officer on Operation Dunhill.**
 14 **The actual decision was to be owned by the**
 15 **Metropolitan Police, who were taking complaints of**
 16 **misconduct in public office against Lord Carey.**
 17 **So I was asked to provide a report on what I knew,**
 18 **which wasn't everything because the Met had the whole**
 19 **investigation, on what I knew about the six letters, and**
 20 **the issues that existed at that time, and, ultimately,**
 21 **was I of the view or not that it passed the criminal**
 22 **threshold.**
 23 Q. What was your view?
 24 **A. It did not meet the criminal threshold.**
 25 Q. Why was that? I understand the issues are complex, but

Page 202

1 if you can just assist us with sort of the headlines?
 2 **A. Well, the headlines were that I think there was**
 3 **incompetence within the Church of England,**
 4 **Lambeth Palace at that time; I think there was misguided**
 5 **loyalties; I think there was -- I think the head of any**
 6 **organisation, when allegations are made against**
 7 **somebody, should take a position of neutrality, whereby**
 8 **I would suggest that the Archbishop of Canterbury at the**
 9 **time was -- became somewhat of a supporter; there was --**
 10 **the advice given to George Carey by, in particular,**
 11 **Eric Kemp and John Yates was, in my view, extremely**
 12 **poor. So there was a variety of reasons.**
 13 Q. You considered all of these, but ultimately you thought
 14 it didn't cross any criminal threshold?
 15 **A. That's correct.**
 16 MS McNEILL: They are all the questions that I have for you.
 17 Chair, do you or the panel have any questions for this
 18 witness?
 19 THE CHAIR: No, thank you. We have no questions. Thank you
 20 very much, Mr Hughes.
 21 MS McNEILL: Chair, that concludes Mr Hughes' evidence.
 22 (The witness withdrew)
 23 MS McNEILL: With an eye on the time, we don't think we are
 24 going to make much progress with our next witness, who
 25 was going to be the Reverend Ros Hunt.

Page 203

1 What we propose to do, chair, is to quickly read the
 2 statement of Mr Beer, which is a relatively short
 3 statement, I am told, so that we have a bit more time
 4 tomorrow to hear all of the live evidence.
 5 MR FULLBROOK: Thank you, chair. I am now going to read the
 6 statement of Ian David Stafford Beer. You can find that
 7 behind tab C in the core bundle. It is URN ANG000286-1.
 8 Statement of IAN DAVID STAFFORD BEER (read)
 9 MR FULLBROOK: "I Ian David Stafford Beer, will state as
 10 follows ..."
 11 He then gives a brief synopsis of his career.
 12 Between 1955 and 1961, he was the assistant master
 13 (biology) and then housemaster of Marlborough College.
 14 Between 1961 and 1969, he was the headmaster of
 15 Ellesmere College, Shropshire, and the JP for
 16 Shropshire.
 17 Between 1969 and 1981, he was the headmaster of
 18 Lancing College, Sussex, and JP for West Sussex.
 19 In 1980, he was the chairman of HMC, the chairman of
 20 the RFU Coaching, and Player Safety Committees.
 21 Between 1981 and 1991, he was the headmaster of
 22 Harrow School and the JP for Middlesex. He was not
 23 active in that role.
 24 Between 1990 and 1991, he was the chairman PE
 25 working group for the national curriculum.

Page 204

<p>1 Between 1990 and 2006, he was the chairman of 2 the Winston Churchill Memorial Trust. 3 In 1992, or thereabouts, he was appointed JP for 4 Gloucestershire, but was not active. 5 Between 1993 and 1994, he was the chairman of 6 the RFU; he founded and chaired SPIRE Charity, later 7 called the Injured Players Foundation. 8 Between 1994 and 2001, he was the chairman of 9 the Independent Schools Council. 10 Between 1992 and 1994, the England sports council. 11 He says that throughout those years he was also 12 a governor of countless schools in the UK and the 13 Resident Governor for British School in Colombo in 1996. 14 In 1992, he was appointed CBE for services to 15 education. 16 He goes on to say: 17 "I believe I first met Peter Ball through my 18 mother-in-law who was a governor of both the Stroud High 19 School for girls and Marling School for Boys where the 20 Ball twins taught, and she hosted a religious discussion 21 group attended by the twins in her house. The Ball 22 twins created The Society of the Glorious Ascension in 23 the priory at Stratford Park, Stroud. When I was 24 appointed to Ellesmere College, just after my marriage, 25 the governing body needed some younger recruits and</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 205</p>	<p>1 elsewhere in the country. Members of the society had to 2 continue with their normal job whilst retiring at the 3 end of the day to the priory and living the disciplined 4 life of a friar. It was to one of these priories -- 5 I believe Alum Rock in Birmingham -- that we sent 6 a pupil or pupils, I forget, to receive Christian 7 influence and a disciplined lifestyle for one week. The 8 parents were consulted, and readily agreed, but to my 9 knowledge, no-one visited them from the school nor 10 inspected the priory. We trusted the organisation and 11 our governor, Peter Ball. The chaplain of the college 12 was, of course, closely involved. The boys returned 13 after a week of tough, yet kind, discipline, never to be 14 naughty again at school, fed up, from what I remember, 15 of having to get up at 3 am each morning to stay 16 prayers. 17 "At Ellesmere and Lancing, as at many boarding 18 schools at that time, we often had staying with us 19 during Holy Week a priest -- often a monk or friar -- 20 who conducted some services and held seminars and 21 private discussions with pupils and staff. I believe 22 that Father Peter one year may have been one of those, 23 but I have no record. These committed Christian men 24 usually attracted individuals to themselves and the 25 school allowed private discussion. Indeed, if it had</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 207</p>
<p>1 I suggested both the Reverend Peter Ball and Dr David 2 Harrison -- later Sir David Harrison -- who was 3 a science Don at Cambridge. They both served Ellesmere 4 admirably. 5 "When I was asked to go to Lancing as head, they 6 both volunteered to come with me if Lancing would have 7 them. Ellesmere is a Woodard School in the Midland 8 Division; Lancing the premier school of the whole 9 foundation, which was founded in 1848 to provide 10 Christian education to the middle classes. It is now 11 one of the largest educational charities in the UK. The 12 Woodard Foundation transferred their appointment to the 13 southern division. It was never suggested by anyone, 14 then or later, that Peter Ball should become a governor 15 of Harrow. However, when I became chairman of HMC in 16 1990, I suggested to the committee that it might be 17 a good idea were he to be asked to become honorary 18 chaplain to the conference with no specific duties other 19 than his presence at the AGM during my year in office, 20 as he was involved with so many schools. The committee 21 readily agreed. When I left Lancing, he remained as 22 a governor there. Later, I believe he became a governor 23 of Radley and of Wellington. 24 "Whilst at Ellesmere, The Society of the Glorious 25 Ascension grew very rapidly and opened priories</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 206</p>	<p>1 not been private, it would have been of little use. The 2 resident chaplains kept a close eye on pupils meeting 3 visitors. We watched what was going on, but I never had 4 any inkling of wrongdoing. As Peter Ball was a governor 5 of both Ellesmere and Lancing, he was usually in the 6 school for official business meetings and had less time 7 to be with individual boys than, say, the resident 8 Lenten visitor or the full-time chaplains. 9 "I should record that in a long headmastering 10 career, I had reason to report some members of staff to 11 the Department for Education and they were removed from 12 the teaching profession. Moreover, boys themselves 13 would report misgivings to me or to members of staff 14 they felt they could trust. Everything depended on 15 creating a proper atmosphere in the school and that was 16 a major priority within the task of running a boys 17 boarding school. 18 "I now turn to events after my retirement, which 19 have taken place since Ball's resignation as bishop of 20 Gloucester. 21 "I know that I was asked by Peter Ball's solicitor 22 to write to someone, and that person may well have been 23 Chief Inspector Murdock. I do not have the record. 24 I had no idea then of the nature of the allegations 25 against Peter Ball, except that they were of a sexual</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 208</p>

<p>1 nature. Today, I am little the wiser, except that he 2 was, at a later date, found guilty. However, I have no 3 reason to withdraw the comments made to Murdock in my 4 letter (ACE021184 dated 28 January 1993 as I believed 5 them to be true at that time. 6 "In 1995, having been told by Peter Ball that he had 7 a letter from the Archbishop of Canterbury allowing him 8 to officiate anywhere in the UK provided that the 9 diocesan bishop gave approval, I wrote to Bishop Bentley 10 requesting that he, Peter, be allowed to marry our 11 younger son. The local people wished Peter to do so as 12 there was no incumbent at that time. Permission, 13 however, was not granted and so we turned to the 14 ex-chaplain of Ellesmere who had been present at the 15 baptism of the boy. 16 "My real problem was that, on the one hand, I had 17 Peter Ball telling me that the archbishop had apparently 18 written him a letter of support, whilst on the other 19 hand, the Bishop of Gloucester was telling me he was 20 guilty." 21 I will read the URNs but we won't bring them up for 22 want of time: ACE001002; 1004; 1008; and 1021. 23 "Of course I accepted the Bishop of Gloucester's 24 refusal to allow Peter to officiate, but I did ask him 25 why he had made that decision, but he refused to tell</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 209</p>	<p>1 not know what it means. I had and have no reason to 2 believe that Peter Ball abused his position or access to 3 make improper contact with the boys at Ellesmere or 4 Lancing. Had I done so, I would have taken appropriate 5 action, as I did in other cases." 6 Then there is a statement of truth which attests to 7 the truth of the facts stated, and the statement is 8 dated 18 May 2018. 9 Unless I can assist you any further, chair, 10 I propose that we adjourn until 10.00 am tomorrow. 11 THE CHAIR: Thank you, we will do that. 12 (4.28 pm) 13 (The hearing was adjourned to 14 Thursday, 26 July 2018 at 10.00 am) 15 I N D E X 16 17 Welcome and opening remarks by THE1 18 CHAIR 19 20 DR ANDREW PURKIS (sworn)1 21 22 Examination by MS BICARREGUI1 23 24 Statement of LADY ALICE RENTON38 25 (read)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 211</p>
<p>1 me. Instead, he told me in confidence what the police 2 thought (INQ000311). I do not recall ever telling the 3 bishop that I thought Peter was innocent, as I had not 4 been told what he might be guilty of doing. For me, at 5 that stage, in April 1995, no court of law had passed 6 judgment and I was not prepared to assume Peter was 7 guilty of whatever until I heard details of what he had 8 allegedly done. No-one I knew would tell me. I have 9 since read document INQ0001347 [basis of plea] and I am 10 upset and saddened by the contents. 11 "During this period, I was once telephoned by the 12 police in Sussex, but I do not recall them contacting me 13 again. I simply wanted to hear from someone to be told 14 exactly what Peter Ball had done wrong. But I had to 15 wait until his court case very much later. Even then, 16 it has not been easy as he pleaded guilty to several 17 charges and so the details were never made public. 18 "Your letter of 1 May 2018 states: 19 "... Bishop Ball is said to have abused both young 20 adults and children in a similar manner, in the same 21 context and setting, with the same modus operandi and 22 similar responses by the institutions concerned and so 23 seeks to avoid an artificial distinction in this 24 particular case'. 25 "This is the first time I have read of this and I do</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 210</p>	<p>1 2 MR WAYNE MURDOCK (sworn)44 3 4 Examination by MS SCOLDING44 5 6 Questions by THE PANEL151 7 8 MR CARWYN HUGHES (affirmed)158 9 10 Examination by MS McNEILL158 11 12 Statement of IAN DAVID STAFFORD BEER204 13 (read) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 212</p>

A				
A108 90:19	38:1 68:12 76:12	acknowledges	admissions 131:23	ago 7:4 39:19 44:17
A117 135:4,7	97:4,5,9 100:6	183:23	180:4,7	50:19 146:7 152:3
A27 40:9	114:7 115:9	act 25:19 133:20	admit 56:21 116:7	agree 7:23 21:13,14
A92 130:16,18	146:12,24 149:20	134:11,17,20	116:20 149:25	26:10 37:13
A99 90:19	154:5 155:24	138:20 179:17	admitted 33:6 37:1	104:20 108:20
abbreviation 46:23	acceptable 7:18	acted 47:2	admitting 179:21	116:11,21 146:9,9
ABC's 9:15	25:20	acting 87:15	adopted 155:22	agreed 11:5,18
ABH 135:3,4,4	acceptance 18:3	122:11,12	adopting 137:15	20:11 77:24 94:1
143:1	accepted 17:5,16	action 37:9 56:14	adults 47:12	109:11 121:8
abhorrent 73:17	18:22 22:2 25:10	127:6 128:21	210:20	125:16 198:25
ability 186:3	29:1 180:13	138:21 199:11	advance 127:12	199:1 206:21
able 51:23 54:16	209:23	200:4 211:5	advantage 62:1	207:8
56:24 57:7 63:16	accepting 28:3 37:1	actions 43:17	63:12	Ah 145:5
83:6 94:20 106:1	179:24 197:14,15	101:18	advantages 143:3	ahead 135:23
106:3,6 142:16	197:19	active 204:23 205:4	145:7	199:18
168:6 188:13	access 50:20 103:1	actively 124:19	adversity 43:16	aide 103:19,20
194:3	167:25 211:2	activity 87:17	advice 9:20 36:23	aide-memoire
absent 6:12	accompanied 60:3	acts 43:18 73:5,22	41:17 47:3 99:10	125:4,9
absolute 125:1	accompany 60:13	142:12	128:14 168:7	alarm 55:25
absolute' 73:10	account 16:13 56:4	actual 58:14 63:11	182:16,19 183:10	albeit 6:4 92:8
absolutely 2:9 31:9	86:6 90:18 95:21	148:5 165:17	184:19,22,23	118:14 144:19
54:17,17 57:6	149:8 177:18	195:2 202:14	203:10	160:21
60:17 62:14,17	182:2,3	acutely 72:25	advise 27:3	Albert 45:20
63:6,7,8 64:6	accounts 56:3	adamant 107:20	advised 39:22	alert 104:2
66:14 75:12 79:3	accusation 6:22	addition 171:15	advisedly 196:5	Alice 38:23,25 39:2
82:3 89:7 105:5	accusations 7:17	additional 42:10	adviser 3:4 11:18	211:24
105:15,19 106:5,8	accused 6:22 7:17	134:6,16 183:13	70:6 168:6 184:8	alien 73:17
109:4 113:7	53:24	199:6	184:23	alive 116:3
116:24 119:23	ACE000003 20:2	address 75:25	advisers 14:10	allay 188:3
190:6 191:13	ACE000166 17:20	addressed 72:16	36:19	allegation 130:23
192:8 194:11	ACE000255 5:24	189:9	advising 3:9,18	200:9,24 201:2
201:20	ACE000267 7:24	adjourn 211:10	affair 88:17	allegations 4:21
abuse 27:7,22 30:1	ACE000281 9:5	adjourned 211:13	Affairs 2:19 3:3	8:20,25 10:7
31:12,14,21 47:18	ACE000518 22:11	adjournment	affect 126:18	22:24 23:19 24:2
48:8 163:12	ACE000763 35:3	113:21 114:1	affirmed 158:2	24:13 26:9 28:6
175:15	ACE001002 209:22	administer 153:24	212:8	29:2 31:16 41:6
abused 27:17 28:24	ACE002104 4:11	administered 149:4	afraid 32:13	64:10 70:11 74:8
47:24 163:15	ACE003038_022	150:9,10 179:2	afternoon 110:21	75:13 84:21 90:4
210:19 211:2	26:13	administration	110:24 111:4	91:10,11,21 93:20
abusive 19:19	ACE003298_165	149:16 188:25	131:25	94:15,15,23 107:7
38:11	32:11	admirably 206:4	Agatha 104:13	109:9 126:16
accent 73:1	ACE021184 209:4	admission 36:11,12	ages 52:13	138:24 140:5
accept 19:16 21:19	ACE021184_002	115:1,3,5 142:14	agitating 14:20	151:9 156:15,20
25:24 27:1 35:16	41:25	149:2,3 179:16	16:20	196:17 197:6
	achieve 167:23	180:1,10	AGM 206:19	199:18 203:6

208:24 alleged 85:17 133:9 133:10 allegedly 97:19 102:9 139:19 200:17 210:8 alleging 126:5 allied 166:17 allow 185:19 209:24 allowed 122:2 136:20 207:25 209:10 allowing 117:16 209:7 Almighty 100:8 altogether 105:4 Alum 207:5 ambiguous 122:14 amount 115:5 138:19 141:21 171:8 176:23 198:10 AN-A10 162:25 AN-A117 94:21,25 135:14 AN-A119 200:9 201:12 AN-A2 197:3,13 AN-A92 68:8 AN-A98 162:15 AN-A99 186:19 187:2 AN117 90:11 107:6 AN93 83:24 85:23 86:1,5 90:8 94:16 AN98 90:11 94:19 94:25 102:7 107:6 134:18,21 135:7 135:14 AN99 94:18 ANDREW 1:14 211:20 Andy 7:7,10 anecdotal 178:19	ANG000017_036... 42:18 ANG000286-1 204:7 Angeles 111:24 anger 122:9 Anglican 39:4 angry 8:15 annexes 45:7 annoying 35:19 anointing 94:21 answer 142:24 145:17,19 173:18 187:22 196:8 answered 191:17 Anthony 41:17 anticipate 73:18 anticorruption 49:6 anxiety 21:2 anxious 53:18 73:14 80:10 anybody 22:10 59:25 63:17 67:10 82:3 99:16 100:13 106:9 110:9 126:25 164:19 186:13 anyway 87:3 88:3 90:7 125:13 130:14,15 apart 19:25 70:12 99:21 apologise 143:7 apparent 96:19 138:25 184:15 apparently 26:8 28:19 57:10 124:18 144:18 209:17 Appeal 76:1 78:9 78:10 appear 18:24 122:11,17 appearance 139:25	appeared 35:15 appearing 187:4 appears 103:10 appendix 49:9 applies 128:22 apply 121:17 appointed 205:3,14 205:24 appointment 40:22 206:12 appreciate 7:14 97:2 155:8 appreciated 129:24 134:7 approach 37:23 88:14 105:22 163:15 201:16 approached 105:21 110:6 115:8 194:21 appropriate 5:3 22:8 25:7 80:14 84:20 99:12 179:15 211:4 appropriateness 73:12 approval 209:9 April 172:5 210:5 archbishop 2:18 5:1,5 6:10,22 8:1 9:15,20 13:3,15 17:24 18:2 22:25 23:4 26:18 33:22 34:20 35:25 36:4 36:20 37:4,9 65:13,18 87:11 92:12 99:1 124:2 175:10 203:8 209:7,17 archbishop's 3:4 3:13 5:19 33:22 36:24 65:24 area 51:6 53:11 200:14 areas 10:20 188:25	arena 123:23 argued 37:7 argument 194:20 arguments 153:8 arm 128:18 181:13 arrange 116:1 167:11 arranged 94:8 181:6 arrangement 82:20 arrangements 53:17 83:1 arrest 47:9 58:5,23 59:3,6,10,21 61:22 64:17 79:4 83:22 108:24 109:3,5 169:12,21 170:1 180:21 181:2 198:7 arrested 4:18 23:3 41:5 60:20 66:19 69:15,15 71:7 74:21 79:11 107:14,21,21,23 108:20 109:8,14 109:15 133:17 165:14 169:20 180:19 arresting 59:25 63:12 66:2 70:22 108:17 180:22,23 article 156:25 articulate 55:14 artificial 210:23 Arts 39:14 Ascension 117:19 205:22 206:25 aside 153:6 asked 33:2 48:4 57:15 66:4 68:20 83:11 85:12 86:23 88:23,24 89:10 94:16 99:1 106:17 109:20 110:18 157:5 163:6	180:22 189:1,12 189:22 201:22,25 202:17 206:5,17 208:21 asking 135:16,22 138:10 151:6 155:2 169:11 170:5 180:2 asks 6:22 aspect 62:23 69:20 aspects 72:25 160:24 assault 133:24 135:12 190:17,21 196:20 assembled 194:7 assertion 142:2 assessing 16:21 assessment 12:10 18:19 151:23 assigned 52:23 81:12 94:7 Assisi 62:5 64:12 85:13 assist 43:24 103:8 191:11,12 203:1 211:9 assistance 139:15 assistant 204:12 assisted 194:9 association 62:15 63:10 64:9 assume 23:4 71:20 177:5 210:6 assumed 5:20 37:4 122:12 assumes 89:18 assuming 60:6 65:25 89:15 116:13 133:10 138:12 assumption 9:25 28:25 167:8 assurances 193:17 assure 166:12
--	---	--	---	--

assured 121:4	31:12,21,24,25	Bailey 159:14	200:14 201:10	212:12
atmosphere 208:15	32:1 40:19 41:5	198:14	205:17,20,21	befallen 76:13
attack 131:18	42:16,22 50:15	balance 165:23	206:1,14 207:11	beginning 2:1
attempt 10:8 26:4	57:8 61:19 78:24	balancing 18:20,24	208:4,25 209:6,17	34:15 133:8
34:18 65:22	86:21 87:20 94:11	Ball 1:5 4:2,17 8:12	210:14,19 211:2	166:23 199:4
112:17 128:19	156:21 164:13	8:20 9:1 11:8	Ball's 4:7 15:14	behalf 9:15,16
attempted 57:1	166:17 171:2	12:3,19 14:4,14	18:4 20:5 36:5	17:24 22:8 49:6
attempting 64:23	187:11 189:8	14:20,25 15:18,24	42:24 43:6 110:18	92:6 94:15 127:1
128:18	awareness 29:2	16:5,10,19 17:23	126:12 141:19	151:3 191:6
attempts 153:16	32:6	18:8 22:4,9 23:2	170:1 180:17	200:19
attend 148:4	awful 76:12 87:14	27:10,15 28:2	182:4 187:6 192:2	behaviour 23:19
attended 140:18	123:3	29:12 34:12 35:7	208:19,21	belief 2:8 45:14
148:1 202:8		35:13 36:25 37:5	baptism 209:15	68:11 74:5 158:15
205:21	B	37:24 39:5,17,24	bar 111:18	158:18
attending 147:10	b 33:6 167:24	39:25 40:3,4,14	Barbara 41:21	beliefs 141:15
attention 126:18	babysat 57:11	40:20 41:1,5	78:15	believe 3:12 5:12
140:23 156:13	back 1:23,25 45:6	46:16 47:9 56:16	bargaining 88:15	41:11 42:3 50:2
166:4	48:4,24 51:16	58:11,24 61:1,19	barred 134:22	57:9 62:4 69:6
attested 43:21	55:2,22 58:3 70:1	63:5,20 64:11,14	135:8	70:3 76:20 78:19
attests 211:6	70:3 71:15 75:5	64:24 66:19 67:24	barriers 195:25	82:20,22 85:21
attitude 24:24 36:8	79:7 80:7 81:4	69:17 70:15 74:14	barristers 99:20	87:16 91:4 93:12
129:4	83:6 92:11 96:20	79:2,11,15 83:18	base 61:13	95:12,13 98:25
attracted 207:24	97:1,15,16 98:5	84:13 89:13 94:16	based 14:13 124:4	124:9,12,15
august 15:11	104:9 117:24	94:19 99:2 101:10	202:12	126:25 127:5
111:16 166:19	118:16 119:20	101:18,20 102:3,5	basically 55:8	130:19 135:21
author 39:5	123:11 125:11,19	102:7,8 103:3	58:21 66:10 99:19	136:8,20 137:8
authorisation 59:5	128:12 129:10	105:14 106:20	114:6 123:14	141:18 143:21
authorise 126:19	132:17 145:10	107:11,14 114:7	132:25	147:16 153:8
authority 42:11	147:14 148:19	121:10 125:15	basing 28:20	160:9 185:18
85:13	149:25 153:22	133:17 142:3,10	basis 25:4,6,14	187:24 190:13
authors 162:23	154:2 155:15,18	143:10 155:7	59:22 62:3 72:12	205:17 206:22
autumn 33:3	155:20 156:12	159:8,14 162:7	178:18 210:9	207:5,21 211:2
available 50:8	157:19 165:21	163:13,21 164:3	BBC 164:9 165:4	believed 36:5 124:5
avalanche 169:1	181:1,8 182:13	164:13 165:14,16	beads 119:15	132:21 152:10
avenue 110:9	185:6 186:25	169:12,20 171:3,9	bear 16:3 18:14	156:24 172:13
avoid 71:14 117:21	191:21 197:25	172:13 175:5	34:9 69:20 100:2	209:4
118:3 157:7	backed 58:8 121:13	177:12,15 178:14	139:17 163:24	believes 4:16 43:22
210:23	background 62:1	178:23 180:18	bearing 131:6	184:18
avoidance 158:21	63:16 84:25	181:3,15 185:15	152:12 184:12	bells 55:25
159:16	110:24 113:2	185:19 186:10,23	197:17	benchmark 149:13
avoided 99:13	138:1,4 146:17	189:9,22 190:4	beat 121:20 142:2	benefit 30:17
157:5	156:1 184:16	191:2,6,9,21	beaten 68:21 142:4	160:17 165:23
awaiting 184:14	backing 82:9	192:4 196:5 198:7	beating 68:22	Bennett 47:6 53:6
aware 6:21 8:18,23	109:13	198:13 199:3,19	bed 146:20	59:4,14 65:7,16
15:3 24:21 28:5,9	backs 116:14	199:20 200:10,12	Beer 204:2,6,8,9	66:9 82:21,22
	bad 102:11 133:6			

94:9 106:21 107:19 108:10,12 109:9 Bentley 209:9 Berwick 75:17 169:7 bespoke 167:21 best 2:8 37:15 42:3 45:14 84:19 114:8 154:7 158:14,17 188:3 better 36:2,21,22 54:5 58:24 91:15 167:17 181:23 182:2 beyond 25:20 164:8 165:4 166:5 Bible 100:7 Bicarregui 1:9,11 1:13,15,16 38:14 38:16,19 211:22 big 14:18 45:4 51:6 170:18,23 biology 204:13 biretta 130:6 Birmingham 207:5 bishop 3:7,8 4:21 4:22 5:2,5,20 6:12 6:23 8:1,7,12 10:24 11:3,14 12:1,12 13:4 17:23 22:16 26:7 27:17 28:24 29:23 30:11 33:6 39:19 39:25 40:22 43:15 46:16 49:3 51:13 51:15,19 53:24 56:16,21 58:5 59:7,9,10,17,24 59:25 60:8,18,19 61:1,14,19 63:13 63:20 64:2,11,14 65:4 66:3 67:7,14 67:24 68:21 70:22 71:19 72:12,24	73:11 74:5,14,14 75:2 77:22 80:10 80:16 82:18,24,25 82:25 83:18,22 84:11,13 85:15,16 85:24,25 86:12,13 86:25 88:5 89:13 89:20,23,24 101:10 102:12 103:4,9 104:4,17 105:18 106:20 107:4,20 109:7 111:2 116:7 121:4 122:16,25 130:10 131:1,24 132:1 137:15 142:3,10 151:13 152:18 154:4 155:7 157:3 208:19 209:9,9,19 209:23 210:3,19 bishop's 73:11 93:13 101:17 138:11 bishops 23:1 100:4 bit 4:12 33:13 46:2 48:21 49:21 58:20 63:8 77:15 80:17 88:8 103:17 108:15 111:17,20 117:24 118:6 119:24,24 120:4 127:16 134:13 152:7 154:8 159:2 166:16 170:4 177:2 187:16 194:9 204:3 bits 119:14 bizarrely 169:14 blackmail 124:21 130:22 blank 195:20 block 67:3 70:13 180:24 blocks 71:17 Blogs 66:2	bloke 131:16 bluff 144:4,4 bluntly 136:16 boarding 207:17 208:17 boasting 54:3 Bobbie 196:2 198:25 bodies 13:23 86:15 bodily 69:3 body 205:25 boil 192:23 book 64:12 bookmarks 165:3 books 39:6 51:1 159:13 bothered 93:8 bottom 11:4 26:14 72:21,21 80:22 86:24 91:6,19 104:22 126:22 127:3 134:18 139:10 183:19 184:7,10 187:1 190:24 bound 31:13 boundaries 25:21 box 17:10 100:7,14 boy 48:11 209:15 boys 205:19 207:12 208:7,12,16 211:3 brass 60:11,12 brave 176:20 198:1 bravely 157:2 breach 31:7 breaches 29:25 break 43:25 44:3 55:23 70:3 85:2 113:20 157:18,23 breaks 44:15,18 198:17 breath 122:15 Brian 115:24 119:5 176:6,13 177:2,6 brief 59:17,22	178:24 180:14 204:11 bring 42:1 97:23 140:23 152:2 163:17 166:11 170:13 189:2 192:20 209:21 bringing 163:24 181:8 British 205:13 Brixton 52:18 84:1 broadly 3:7 broke 47:23 brother 39:17 60:23 61:5,16 62:4,13,14,20 63:16,21,25 64:1 64:3,20 65:5 69:18,20,22 117:19 130:13 brothers 41:1 163:21 brought 4:21 8:13 16:3 22:25 100:15 120:23 136:16 149:9 156:13 186:10 buggery 48:11,13 142:13 build 48:15 65:2 building 51:10,11 131:21 built 110:12 bulk 182:21 bundle 1:16 2:1,12 2:13 4:10,15 5:23 7:25 9:6 20:3 26:12 32:12 34:8 36:16 85:21 88:3 126:9 132:7 137:23 157:10 186:17 190:14 204:7 bundles 17:21 44:20	buried 86:15 burst 104:2 bush 121:20 business 113:11 208:6 businesses' 86:18 busy 37:21 <hr/> C <hr/> c 33:8 204:7 calculating 142:21 call 65:18,21 66:11 66:15 77:6,12,14 78:5,18 79:12 103:21 107:6 108:4 114:23 120:6,18 123:16 144:3,3,4 152:13 158:25 192:24 200:4 called 17:22 37:19 84:24 105:11 147:4 205:7 calling 10:17 calls 54:11 194:7 camaraderie 131:2 Cambridge 90:12 95:13 107:6,7 206:3 Canterbury 5:1 6:11 8:2 17:24 18:2 22:25 26:18 65:14 87:11 175:11 203:8 209:7 Canterbury's 2:18 9:16 capacity 41:23 captured 196:18 car 54:14 65:2 103:25 180:24 181:1,11 care 31:15 146:13 172:2 career 46:2 48:20 74:24 204:11
---	--	--	--	---

208:10 careful 108:14 Carey 2:4 36:4,20 66:15 76:16 87:5 88:5 89:24 92:6 99:1 201:21,24 202:16 203:10 caring 30:13,18 carried 101:22 151:5 183:13 202:1 carry 9:14 94:1,4 121:5 carrying 92:13 94:11 117:13 118:9,18,20,24 119:17 Carwyn 157:19 158:1,2,5 191:18 195:19 212:8 case 1:5 4:3 11:2,5 16:22 28:1 39:5 52:9,12 58:8 65:3 76:4 78:2 80:9,25 83:3 105:14 127:7 138:19 139:12,20 142:24,25 148:1,4 148:19 154:16 159:5 160:12 164:5 180:8 183:5 183:7,18 184:21 188:10,19 189:5 189:19 193:14 197:24 201:3,4 210:15 case' 210:24 cases 16:17 27:10 47:10,13 48:17 52:9 153:9,11 193:21 196:13 198:24 200:4,5 211:5 category 165:21 cause 18:11 190:23 caused 20:22 22:1	101:1 causes 111:6 189:19 caution 4:7 14:4 19:6,19 21:6,12 22:14 23:22 25:6 27:24 28:3 29:7 37:1 38:3 50:23 99:12 114:7 115:9 115:12,16,20 123:2 125:11 126:1,3,20 127:5 127:15,18 128:8 131:17 143:9 149:2,3,5,7,7,16 149:20 150:5,8,9 150:16,17 153:24 154:2,3,6 161:16 161:20 176:5 177:12,22 178:5 178:15,18,21 179:2,6,12,14,24 180:6,11,13,19 182:8,10,12 189:1 189:10,17 190:18 200:23,24 201:19 cautioned 28:18 116:11,21 125:15 149:11,14 154:6 178:14,23 CBE 205:14 cell 67:3 70:13 71:16 cells 67:12 cent 187:23 central 60:22 79:18 126:14 century 73:1 certain 16:17 37:20 37:24 73:14 80:18 85:9 109:20 126:16 134:7 171:22 certainly 4:1 14:18 14:24 16:18 17:14	19:4 23:7,11 24:15 26:2 28:16 31:25 35:18 37:20 40:17,23 53:8 54:13 59:23 64:1 64:4 69:20 71:8 98:16 105:22 115:2,4 121:19 123:9 126:3 136:25 165:21 174:17 178:12 186:13 187:24 193:25 196:22 certainty 187:23 cetera 74:6,6 116:15,15 130:11 130:11 132:19,19 140:18,19 143:18 160:16 176:7 CGA 60:25 61:1,20 chair 1:3,4,11,12 32:12 38:14,16,19 38:22,24 43:24 44:1,5 85:3,5 88:2 88:4 94:22 103:7 111:13 113:19,22 126:8 129:18 132:6 133:15 137:22,23 140:17 150:13 151:18,20 153:2 156:6 157:16,17,21,25 158:20 159:16 163:18 177:3 196:15 203:17,19 203:21 204:1,5 211:9,11,18 chaired 205:6 chairman 204:19 204:19,24 205:1,5 205:8 206:15 challenge 86:8 challenging 25:21 chance 1:20 57:11 78:10 91:15	change 37:8 124:20 128:4 175:14 changed 93:11 94:2 147:24 changes 173:2 changing 56:8 200:14 chaplain 32:8 33:22 37:3 39:22 58:11 206:18 207:11 chaplains 208:2,8 character 42:25 120:11 charge 80:4,20 133:11,19 135:24 151:25 152:1,5 153:22 154:14 157:8 159:4 163:2 194:3,20 197:25 198:1 charged 43:13 89:9 136:13,18 143:8 143:21 155:6,24 186:22 charges 4:20 8:13 80:18 90:2 91:3 91:16 94:25 125:22 133:17 134:2 135:7,11,18 135:23 153:5 154:1 155:18 157:11 194:17,22 194:25 197:1 198:4 210:17 charging 144:14 155:4 192:18 charities 26:19,23 206:11 charity 2:25 205:6 Charles 171:3,9 172:9,15 173:23 chasing 184:24 chat 64:20 cheapest 104:13	cheated 8:15 check 85:14 105:13 107:8 172:16 173:4 174:9 179:16 191:3 196:21 cheek 117:16 118:14 Cheema 196:2 198:25 Cheltenham 53:1,4 Chichester 52:4 121:4 161:1,5,9 162:1 168:3,12 chief 39:11 45:18 45:19 46:12,12,14 47:5 51:5 60:3 72:18 75:1,19,22 75:23 76:25 77:1 77:2,13 78:22 82:7 94:7 107:14 108:2,10,11,15,16 123:13,17 144:17 144:17 172:10 173:2,14 185:3 208:23 child 47:18 48:8 child-related 48:5 children 47:11,14 197:5 210:20 chip' 88:15 choice 112:9 chose 125:8 chosen 194:19 Chris 116:6 Christian 6:19 18:14 20:24 27:7 31:20 43:9,20 73:16 141:15 206:10 207:6,23 Christie 104:13 Christmas 82:16 chronological 141:10 chucked 52:1
--	--	---	---	---

<p>chunk 137:24 church 3:5,10,19 10:22 11:18 12:2 12:24,25 13:8,16 13:22 16:9 22:14 25:15 29:21,24 30:13 31:10 32:4 33:8 37:22 39:4 41:9 55:8,8 61:9 66:7 69:16 83:4,7 83:12,14 84:16,18 85:13 87:4,5,16 87:21,25 88:16,24 89:20 92:8 96:17 96:21 97:3,4,6 98:4 100:4 101:25 102:15 103:19 104:17 105:9 123:3 130:2 138:17,18,22,25 139:3,9 144:24 145:1,25 146:10 146:16,18,19 147:6,8,11 152:6 160:8,13,19,23 161:7 163:25 164:3,5 165:8 167:5 168:9 203:3 church's 19:17 24:18 38:1,4 87:6 Churchill 205:2 CID 47:19 48:23 49:1,4 50:20 121:2 cipher 200:9 circles 75:3 circumstances 7:14 12:23 19:16 21:6 21:12,21 31:14 99:11 127:6 155:3 169:9 178:15,24 179:15,21 187:14 191:17 cite 30:8,11 cited 30:25</p>	<p>city 77:10 Civil 2:24 claimed 119:9 120:2 141:24 claims 143:22 clarification 145:14 clarify 3:22 156:14 clarity 156:10 class 109:24 classes 206:10 clean 109:5 clear 6:17 14:19 16:19,25 22:19 25:14 31:9 32:3 36:11 96:23 97:25 105:20 106:9 113:14 118:16 120:1 122:18 124:24 125:10 134:10 179:1,16 180:9 181:5 183:17,17 187:5 189:18 198:15 clearly 5:18 8:23 31:9 38:5 95:9,21 97:10,11 119:6 125:12 138:22 156:19 180:13 clergy 33:5 clergyman 29:24 client 110:5,11 127:2 Clifton's 92:7 close 33:21 101:19 160:23,25 208:2 closely 3:12 40:15 168:13,15 207:12 closer 40:16 clue 119:9,16 122:3 131:7 Coaching 204:20 coax 55:20 cogent 142:6,7 cohort 163:11</p>	<p>Colin 29:19 30:10 32:2 33:13 34:10 36:15 37:13 161:1 collateral 100:25 colleague 180:23 colleagues 17:4 collect 84:4 collected 172:14 collectively 196:4 college 72:10 204:13,15,18 205:24 207:11 colloquially 152:18 Colombo 205:13 coma 167:1 come 2:11 10:4 29:6 44:24 45:1 51:16 53:14,16 63:25 70:25 71:21 72:4,17 76:21 79:23 81:6 87:7 88:13,21 90:19 93:6 96:13 97:10 98:7 99:13,15 102:10 106:9 109:4 113:12 119:7,19 126:2 130:25 145:6,9 151:7,16 157:19 165:21,24 177:23 182:13 185:6 193:7 comes 49:17 79:12 coming 49:2 56:1 60:11 67:5,6 71:16 74:4 82:2 83:2 97:15 101:18 115:22 117:10 123:18 148:22 169:2 184:19 199:6 commander 59:18 commanders 81:18 commenced 159:11 159:12,23 167:2</p>	<p>177:10 183:9 commencement 176:5,17 comment 32:2 67:25 68:16 70:4 180:2 comments 11:4 67:12 68:1 209:3 commissioned 202:9 commit 153:15 commitment 20:24 73:11 committed 48:13 153:19 166:25 167:2 201:24 207:23 committee 206:16 206:20 Committees 204:20 committing 179:14 common 113:4 136:6,7 150:21 193:19 commonly 126:24 Commons 42:5 commonsense 87:23 communication 183:10 community 41:2 61:13 company 182:2 compassion 43:19 73:20 compelling 137:11 142:6 competing 188:21 complain 169:20 complainant 53:13 137:2 187:20 201:4 complainants 12:20 50:4,9,16 96:3,15 100:25</p>	<p>151:5 155:12,14 162:6,11 163:6,21 164:24 167:18 182:25 183:1 186:19 187:2,18 193:12 197:17 199:6 complained 52:18 complaint 53:15 56:4 59:9 152:15 complaints 12:16 130:25 141:7 202:15 completely 73:17 201:3 completeness 175:3 complex 202:25 complicated 170:22 184:21 188:16,19,24 complications 187:8 188:18 complimentary 194:13 conceived 13:9 17:2 concern 19:23 21:16 29:17,18 30:12,19 31:4,7 35:24 56:16 73:6 73:16 100:12 186:8,12 193:11 concerned 25:8 46:1 47:10 49:24 53:25 66:6 100:13 107:22 116:22 140:13 153:17 170:9 176:19 185:9,16 193:18 193:20 210:22 concerning 35:10 concerns 30:1 57:19 94:19 161:3 177:1 178:3 181:14 188:3</p>
--	---	--	--	--

198:20 concluded 121:10 176:19 concludes 203:21 concluding 23:22 conclusion 117:18 137:21 143:5,7 159:6 191:24 concrete 178:17 condition 69:7 139:18 150:3 conditional 149:17 conduct 49:11 172:23,24 175:23 conducted 5:3 23:20 26:3 67:16 160:3 182:21 207:20 conference 112:4 148:1,4,19 188:11 189:5 195:9 206:18 confidence 55:5 129:22 168:20 185:17 186:4 210:1 confidential 123:21 124:6 confines 112:24 confirm 158:4,13 158:14 confirmed 40:1 confront 104:10 confronted 185:21 conjunction 2:15 connection 39:3 103:3 connections 187:6 cons 151:24 conscious 30:22,23 consciously 98:13 consent 80:20,21 153:9,10 200:5 conservative 39:8 consider 46:20	100:21 134:5 135:16,22 142:10 142:11,13,20 143:13 146:23 151:6 154:15 167:12 171:13,24 172:3 176:2 178:19 179:13,15 186:6 201:23 considerable 138:19 139:2 considerably 179:10,25 consideration 25:16 140:4 144:20 146:15 179:20 181:20 197:21 198:5,6 considerations 143:18 182:9 considered 70:16 115:20 136:11,23 146:1 155:23 156:8 161:3,8 162:12 163:12 172:25 182:9 190:17 199:17 203:13 considering 187:20 194:24 196:17 consistent 36:8 56:3 90:17,21 conspiracy 68:6 184:18 186:9 conspired 141:14 constable 45:18,19 72:18 75:1,19,22 75:23 78:22 107:14 108:2,11 123:13,17 144:17 172:10 173:2,14 185:3 constables 108:15 Constabulary 49:7 74:22 105:12	126:15 Constabulary's 49:22 constant 34:20 constituency 74:14 constitutional 37:22 construed 73:19 consult 26:8 40:12 consultation 196:12,12 consulted 3:25 7:3 40:23 195:15 197:9 207:8 consulting 199:14 contact 3:24 43:17 51:15 52:20 57:18 65:12 69:3 78:24 92:19,21,22 93:9 94:6 142:15 162:23 166:20 167:4,13 168:18 168:21,24 169:4,9 170:8 173:9,10 194:10 195:4 211:3 contacted 51:15,21 65:20 97:13 109:16 110:17 150:24 152:13 172:9 173:14 contacting 140:5 210:12 contained 156:15 156:20 contemporaneous 4:5 17:17 20:8 148:6,7 159:17 content 151:2 200:3 contents 1:20 2:7 42:7,11 185:23 189:11 210:10 context 6:10,14 21:24 26:18 37:25	69:11 73:4 128:16 142:17 145:14 182:7 210:21 continue 6:23 188:8 207:2 continued 39:15 continuing 24:19 contrary 190:19 contrition 73:5 control 164:9 165:4 166:5 controversial 4:2 convenient 38:22 43:25 113:8,20 conventional 6:1 conversation 41:22 103:22 106:17 184:17 conversations 72:22,24 167:6,6 173:2 195:6 conversely 139:24 153:10 conveys 131:2 convicted 67:15 conviction 139:6 153:5 165:18 179:18 cooperation 160:23 160:25 161:7,10 copied 84:3 copies 44:13 121:9 121:12 162:20 coppers 119:21 copy 1:18 26:14 41:24 42:4 43:1,2 50:22 75:18,21 86:11 161:19 184:5 191:2 core 204:7 corner 124:23 corners 117:6 correct 2:13,20 3:6 15:20,23 46:5,6 46:17 65:9 68:9	69:5 76:23 83:25 85:10 95:2 104:5 107:12,16 114:10 115:7,18 128:25 140:3 144:16 158:6,9 159:6,9 160:5 161:24 162:16 163:3 168:11 169:8 170:3 171:17 172:11,17 176:12 176:16 177:7,20 177:25 182:20 183:16 184:9 187:19,22 193:5 197:4,7 199:7,9 200:11,16 202:6 203:15 correctly 169:23 175:25 198:17 correspondence 14:24 32:19 42:15 82:24 171:9 196:1 corroborated 58:20 corroboration 58:3 165:7,9,12 cost 140:24 141:3 council 146:17 205:9,10 counsel 111:3 168:7 195:10 counselling 50:8 139:14 167:25 168:8,22 counsellor 57:12 count 178:23 counterpart 94:9 countless 205:12 country 116:12 207:1 counts 196:19 county 105:25 117:14 couple 21:17 29:17
--	--	---	---	---

73:24 88:9 91:10 182:24 courage 187:10 course 6:11 37:9 47:18,19,20,21,23 47:25 48:2 57:8 64:8 67:22 68:1 68:18 70:3 92:20 92:22 94:4,10 101:19 102:23 103:9 105:10 120:5 121:22 127:6 154:11 159:3 166:10 172:12 185:20 188:20 193:18 207:12 209:23 court 76:1 96:14 99:18 100:6,16,17 124:8 139:25 155:12,19 157:5 187:4 198:14 210:5,15 courtesies 70:9 courts 28:20 cousin 48:12 cover 55:9,11 125:21 coverage 3:18 165:24 166:17 199:5 covered 45:9 covertly 104:3 105:7 coverup 23:2,9 66:6,7 186:15 CPS 78:4 79:4,9,16 79:23,25 83:12 88:11,14 91:22 107:22 109:12 124:7 126:13,14 126:24 127:9,13 135:22 138:10,13 140:16,25 145:4 148:8,9 153:7	154:15,20 155:25 182:14,15,19 183:3,7,19,21 184:12,14 185:6 185:18 186:1,10 187:5 188:4,12,17 188:17,21 189:5 189:13 191:18 192:7,21,24 193:8 193:13 194:12,18 195:4,10 196:1 198:1,25 CPS/DPP 143:15 CPS000792_183 126:8 CPS001593 190:13 CPS001622 186:17 CPS002513_048-... 88:2 created 178:22 205:22 creating 208:15 credence 31:15 Crest 111:15 112:2 114:3 140:15,18 criminal 23:13,19 41:14 42:24 111:18 126:12 128:16 136:2 139:6 154:1 171:25 179:17 198:3 201:23 202:21,24 203:14 critical 188:16 194:13 195:5 criticised 62:2 criticism 185:15 193:7 criticisms 22:22 cross 203:14 crossed 129:3,6 171:12 crowded 67:4 Crown 132:13 176:24 183:10	190:9 195:6 202:10 crucial 130:2 crudely 10:25 crunch 101:3 144:8 crux 147:14,15 190:1 crying 33:16 cryptic 92:1 culture 73:1 current 161:2 187:13 curriculum 204:25 curtains 103:15,15 104:1,9 custody 66:20 70:18 180:24 181:7 cut 75:5,6 117:6 <hr/> D D 211:15 damage 101:1,23 166:1 damaged 187:11 damaging 91:5 Dame 2:16 89:25 90:25 danger 8:8 Danny 4:10 5:23 7:24 8:3 9:5 11:2 17:20 22:11 26:12 27:15 30:7 32:14 32:22 35:3 36:14 42:1 72:22 76:15 88:1,8 115:25 116:16 117:11 118:1,19 126:7 129:17 133:8,21 134:2 138:1,7,16 140:15 163:17 170:12 172:7 175:17 183:14 185:7 186:17,25 190:15 192:20 dark 160:18	Dartmoor 34:25 data 45:10 date 59:15 148:18 209:2 dated 1:24 39:1 76:2 126:10 158:8 158:11 172:5 209:4 211:8 daughter 40:2 David 147:4 204:6 204:8,9 206:1,2 212:12 day 1:4 16:22 17:22 52:21,21 53:14,23 55:23,24 58:9 59:8,10 74:3 88:6 89:21 99:21 103:14 113:9 127:9 131:25 138:6,11 143:14 159:11 181:6 207:3 day-to-day 108:17 days 47:21 52:3 81:17 108:22 134:25 144:20 150:21 164:1 167:4 191:15 DCs 81:21 deal 1:9 53:18 55:3 80:13 99:17 108:10 109:6 112:14 114:8 121:5 139:10 140:14 150:15 188:2 dealing 10:11 15:24 48:5 95:21 113:3 125:17 147:19 177:14 dealings 16:1 56:13 61:16 106:19 108:11 dealt 16:5 25:6 29:22 35:17 47:13	48:10 79:7 110:7 138:24 139:9 140:2 144:12 147:6 Dear 75:21 129:19 death 130:12 166:20,22 deaths 48:7 December 4:18 28:7 52:14 82:17 115:11 decent 54:22 56:2 decide 80:23 95:8 143:19 153:7 decided 13:3 37:10 53:18 56:14 80:12 89:14 153:20 181:22 decipher 11:3 decision 18:4,12,21 59:1 70:16 76:7 80:3,12 82:6 88:13 91:23 125:24 126:19 127:12 139:18 143:16 145:6,9 148:25 150:18 154:22 156:2,2 167:10,14 169:15 170:21,24,25 172:25 180:21 182:10 185:15,19 185:24,25 188:7 192:18,20,25 193:1,13,24 195:7 197:14,16 198:24 199:2 200:3,6 202:2,3,14 209:25 decisions 49:15 81:7 163:24 170:19,20 171:22 176:20 193:15 196:9 declare 62:25 declared 63:4
--	--	---	--	--

<p>declaring 171:6 175:11 198:22</p> <p>deemed 141:25</p> <p>deep 73:6</p> <p>deeper 20:23</p> <p>defence 99:14 109:21 110:20 111:19 112:19 113:8 114:5 115:22 122:12 126:12 129:13 140:9 141:19 149:6,8,22 150:4 190:6,7 194:21 195:22</p> <p>defend 122:24 124:4,23</p> <p>defendant 139:19 140:7 141:17 142:17,20 143:21</p> <p>defending 110:3 143:22 147:15</p> <p>defensive 23:8</p> <p>defined 13:6</p> <p>definite 117:18</p> <p>definitely 11:1</p> <p>definition 13:19</p> <p>defrocked? 116:10</p> <p>degree 37:20,21 48:14</p> <p>delay 184:19 185:19 186:2,3 188:4,6</p> <p>delays 188:20</p> <p>deliberately 52:22</p> <p>delicate 171:24</p> <p>deliver 125:13</p> <p>delivered 51:19 148:17</p> <p>demanded 139:15</p> <p>demands 88:18 188:21</p> <p>Demon 147:5</p> <p>demonstrates 42:20</p>	<p>denials 68:15</p> <p>denied 69:3</p> <p>Department 176:2 208:11</p> <p>depended 208:14</p> <p>depends 90:3</p> <p>deputy 59:18 185:3</p> <p>derailed 104:24</p> <p>describe 3:2 8:6 9:24 15:7 18:8 26:17 35:8 43:17 64:21 77:16 92:1 131:3 199:13</p> <p>described 22:14 36:4 55:16 74:18</p> <p>describes 36:19,21</p> <p>describing 22:4</p> <p>desired 142:3</p> <p>desires 142:22</p> <p>despite 143:21 190:21</p> <p>destroy 51:20</p> <p>destroyed 146:21</p> <p>detail 136:24 140:14 156:9 181:12</p> <p>detailed 45:22</p> <p>details 55:16,20 57:17 92:25 100:14 120:22,23 210:7,17</p> <p>detective 44:6 46:7 46:18 47:6,17 48:20 52:25 53:1 53:2,6,19 59:4 60:3 65:2,16 77:11 82:20 91:14 94:7 96:23 104:11 107:19 113:14 116:2,17,19 117:18 121:8 123:18 125:16 131:17 144:17 157:25 158:4,22 159:1</p>	<p>detectives 113:2</p> <p>determine 64:7 178:13</p> <p>determined 61:25 62:16 199:11</p> <p>detriment 87:1</p> <p>devastating 6:21 7:16 144:23 152:6</p> <p>develop 80:2</p> <p>developing 122:22</p> <p>deviate 56:10</p> <p>devious 104:19,19</p> <p>DI 120:5 121:1 176:10,20</p> <p>dialogue 65:6</p> <p>diaries 125:4</p> <p>diary 50:20 78:15 94:5 123:12 125:2 125:6,9 130:19 148:16,22 150:12 170:19</p> <p>die 166:19,25 185:15,20</p> <p>died 130:13</p> <p>difference 91:19 201:16</p> <p>different 33:17,25 42:17 66:20 67:21 89:22 90:1,20 91:2 92:9,10 94:23,24 98:5 145:6 146:11 171:19 198:18</p> <p>differently 66:23</p> <p>difficult 5:6 25:7 47:13,14 76:12 77:18 96:9 139:24 184:17 186:5 198:10,24 199:2</p> <p>difficulties 27:21 147:7 161:6</p> <p>difficulty 179:7 190:19</p> <p>dilemma 40:13 109:2</p>	<p>diocesan 209:9</p> <p>diocese 6:4,13 129:23 161:1,4,9 162:1 168:3,12</p> <p>dioceses 162:2</p> <p>direct 188:1</p> <p>directed 77:5</p> <p>direction 37:13</p> <p>directly 6:6 72:13 101:20 107:25 162:1</p> <p>Director 41:20</p> <p>disadvantages 143:3 144:14 145:8 152:1</p> <p>disappeared 177:1</p> <p>disciplinary 29:23</p> <p>discipline 10:22 83:8 207:13</p> <p>disciplined 207:3,7</p> <p>disclosed 159:19 174:14,16</p> <p>disclosure 171:13 171:20,25 182:5 192:9</p> <p>discomfort 55:15</p> <p>discovered 141:22 142:1</p> <p>discriminated 98:14</p> <p>discuss 78:2 83:3 98:9</p> <p>discussed 9:12 15:13 68:23 88:22 97:15 107:23 112:5 115:11,15 116:19 191:10</p> <p>discussion 82:19 193:25 205:20 207:25</p> <p>discussions 100:23 105:14 207:21</p> <p>dismissed 74:3 154:1</p> <p>displayed 138:18</p>	<p>139:8</p> <p>disposal 80:25</p> <p>disprove 71:4</p> <p>dispute 148:14</p> <p>disrepute 136:9,10</p> <p>dissuade 102:18 122:19</p> <p>distant 108:15,16</p> <p>distinct 115:12,14 115:18</p> <p>distinction 168:16 210:23</p> <p>distortion 121:25</p> <p>distract 34:19</p> <p>distress 20:22 22:1</p> <p>distressed 72:25</p> <p>disturbing 139:12</p> <p>disuse 136:10,11</p> <p>diverse 134:25</p> <p>division 206:8,13</p> <p>divisional 59:17 81:18</p> <p>divisions 53:1</p> <p>document 27:16 32:11 119:13 123:20 151:23 163:10 178:25 186:25 210:9</p> <p>documentation 63:19 121:2 122:10 124:13</p> <p>documented 94:5 102:6</p> <p>documents 1:17 2:10 4:5 7:6 11:25 17:17 20:9 44:14,22,24 50:20 52:6 106:1 122:3 122:5</p> <p>Dodd 30:15</p> <p>doing 37:15 59:15 65:13 78:13 124:9 125:7 128:19 145:2 152:10,22 159:12 168:20</p>
--	--	--	--	---

169:17 188:23 210:4 domain 10:24 11:17 24:20 Don 206:3 door 106:11,12 121:22 double 196:21 doubt 52:10 137:12 139:2 158:21 159:16 165:2 192:13 doubts 55:25 downtown 111:24 dozen 133:15 DPP 41:21,23 42:9 42:12,14,16 63:6 76:7 78:15 80:14 80:21 81:8 83:6 124:7 125:11,25 132:5 133:16 135:16,22 136:22 143:13,15 145:4 147:21 148:2,12 148:19 150:25 153:20,23,25 154:12,23 155:7 155:22 157:12 176:25 Dr 1:6,8,13,14,16 1:25 2:10,18 4:11 5:16 6:25 7:21 9:6 14:23 15:21 17:15 18:16 19:23 22:19 24:21 25:10 26:13 30:6 33:12 34:9 35:3,15 36:14 37:18,25 38:17 206:1 211:20 draft 4:6 drafted 5:17 13:11 drafting 5:9 7:2 17:18 20:10 dragging 187:5	drained 48:1 draw 104:9 126:18 158:23 drawn 35:25 103:15 138:8 dress 187:7 dressed 67:7 drew 96:7 183:20 184:22 190:13 191:16,24 192:24 193:9,23 drink 70:9 driving 65:2 200:21 drop 104:7 105:3 111:10 drove 148:17 drums 54:10 DS 65:7 157:19 due 177:22 185:11 Dunhill 158:24 159:5,23 160:7 161:10,16 162:13 162:18 164:8,25 165:4,23 166:21 166:24 167:3 173:22 174:5,6,18 176:5,17 177:11 186:18 200:2 202:13 duped 152:18,18 dust 129:21 duties 206:18 duty 52:25 66:12 105:25 111:5 125:8,8,23 147:21 dynamics 160:14	ease 98:23,24 easier 101:8 116:22 easy 142:14 210:16 ecclesiastical 3:10 echelons 160:14 economical 97:14 educated 60:22 education 205:15 206:10 208:11 educational 206:11 effect 6:21 7:17 14:24 68:5,6 89:12 104:20,22 114:9 130:17 133:11 134:12 135:9 137:7 138:5 139:18,24 141:18 144:23 145:25 146:24 147:22 152:6,8,16,19,24 155:19 161:21 165:1 170:9,19 174:10 178:25 181:11 186:3 189:14 effectively 53:8 70:21 149:11 154:1 effort 166:13 efforts 176:24 egg 9:24 either 5:13 43:3 68:5 71:4 89:24 119:7 138:9 196:18 ejaculate 69:7 142:14,16 ejaculation 69:8 115:2 Eldridge 58:11 element 13:14,21 141:22 Ellesmere 204:15 205:24 206:3,7,24 207:17 208:5	209:14 211:3 Ely 11:14 email 30:11 184:24 186:18 187:2,3 188:14 196:1 emails 195:19 embarrassing 78:6 78:7 172:14,15 173:5,17 174:3,3 embarrassment 157:7 embellishing 124:9 embracing 68:12 embroiled 182:11 emerged 17:11 137:14 emerging 137:14 emission 69:10 emphasis 19:18,24 38:11 emphasised 4:20 109:13 emphatic 56:20 employed 102:15 168:12 enclose 75:18 encourage 18:14 97:23 encouraged 80:8 encouraging 165:24 endeavouring 117:20 118:3 ended 14:4 81:19 123:17 163:1 ends 142:22 engaged 182:15 England 130:3 203:3 205:10 English 61:5 enmity 88:16 enormous 27:20 141:20 187:12 enquiries 5:3 9:21 70:21 91:23 93:3	93:7 94:4,11 enquiry 10:17 13:10 14:3 81:12 83:5 91:9 94:2,12 106:14 140:11 162:5 163:10 165:3,11,20 166:7 174:9 175:23 enrolled 189:16 ensure 164:6 entailed 200:2 enter 136:3 entered 199:3 entire 129:16 entirely 20:18 22:19 31:5 36:7,8 entitled 132:20 141:25 147:23 entries 183:12 entry 170:15 171:2 172:5 175:8,10 184:11 equally 124:5 128:10 equipped 54:5 84:19 Eric 103:3,4,6,9,18 106:17 123:13 124:1,2 203:11 escalate 185:1 escalation 184:25 especially 28:12 30:15 73:10 87:11 102:1 espouse 32:5 essence 172:8 essential 109:7 essentially 3:4 10:24 17:24 160:20 183:24 186:21 established 162:10 establishment 111:16 112:2 130:1 175:13
	E			
	E 211:15 earlier 140:2 156:9 early 58:3 67:18 80:8 103:9 137:14 140:11 164:1 167:4 182:15 earth 143:8			

186:15 estate 40:9 146:17 esteem 147:11 estimate 138:23 et 74:6,6 116:15,15 130:11,11 132:19 132:19 140:18,19 143:18 160:16 176:7 ethical 173:1 ethics 49:11 evade 186:10 evangelism 147:8 EVANS 156:7,12 156:19 157:13,15 even-handed 29:22 evening 60:8 67:22 event 44:19 76:5 126:20 events 45:25 141:11 208:18 eventually 81:8 125:16 199:13 ever-increasing 21:1 everybody 14:9 52:13 59:18 63:9 66:16 125:22 everything's 147:1 evidence 1:6 29:16 38:21 44:6,17 80:4 81:5 91:14 102:19 106:4,19 122:20 124:25 127:20 128:4 135:16,20,24 136:20 137:18 142:4,7 143:11 144:9 151:8,11 164:18 171:12 173:25 177:24 178:18 179:17 195:3 198:9,16 199:5 200:20 201:9 203:21	204:4 evidence-gatheri... 182:22 evidential 91:8,24 178:20 199:23 evolved 80:5 ex-chaplain 209:14 ex-CID 120:3 ex-police 178:3 ex-vicar 178:4 exactly 2:22 13:4 28:17 66:13 77:18 147:21 163:14 177:14 178:14 179:1 193:14 210:14 Examination 1:15 44:9 158:3 211:22 212:4,10 examine 127:17 example 7:8 12:15 19:6 27:8 31:6,20 48:10 67:9 73:19 148:11 153:8 examples 29:17 146:3 exciting 165:11 excuse 31:18 exercises 73:8,13 74:1 exist 161:17 175:12 175:13 existed 9:2 28:9 202:20 existence 50:1 189:9 exists 139:3,22 exonerated 8:13 expect 30:20 75:4 87:22 104:17 105:9 180:11,12 expectation 87:6 87:19 97:17 123:22 136:12 expected 86:16,22	136:13 expecting 69:14 expeditious 164:14 experience 44:16 47:10 48:5 49:18 49:22,23 54:2 95:24,25 experiences 8:7 47:24 96:10 157:3 expertise 10:20 48:16 explain 48:21 187:15 199:16 explained 43:5 106:23 116:10 150:18,23 188:5 193:21 200:1 explaining 188:14 explains 179:23 191:16 explanation 70:25 explicit 19:5,18 180:10 exposed 98:3 200:12 exposing 13:22 express 19:5,9 35:20 76:13 129:23 expressed 18:2 29:18 32:7 expresses 20:18 expressing 30:19 84:22 expression 21:23 73:6 extensive 44:16 67:19 extent 4:6 26:9 31:3,5,12,12,15 33:20 73:6 90:4 197:20 external 13:1,17,23 extra 82:13 172:2 extremely 7:21	181:5,5 203:11 eye 203:23 208:2 <hr/> F <hr/> F11 121:3 fabric 146:22 face 97:8 98:21 126:5 185:14 faced 95:9 facie 142:24,25 143:11 facilitated 168:23 facilities 51:9 112:4 facing 185:20 fact 4:5 11:24 12:9 14:13 15:4,21 16:16 17:10 29:16 34:3,25 35:15 36:5,21 51:7,12 51:14 52:17 59:16 60:24,25 62:5,25 63:11,20 64:12 65:17 66:19 67:20 67:25 68:11,23 69:10,11,18 72:5 73:23 75:5,24 76:20,21,21 77:2 77:14 80:5,9 83:2 87:25 88:4 90:16 92:9 94:3 97:9 100:3,17 104:2 105:10,15,24,24 106:16,16,17 107:21 108:15 109:13 112:17 113:5 114:25 115:1,8,12 123:12 127:8,12 128:3 130:12 137:17,18 140:16 144:16 147:9,9 150:5 152:3,23 153:21 154:2 159:11 175:4,14 198:22 199:24 202:3 factor 16:2,11 89:9	98:6 190:9 factors 14:17,18 18:20,25 37:20 155:10 facts 43:22 178:24 180:14,15 211:7 failed 73:12 138:20 fails 73:18 failure 81:25 fair 12:21 18:18 22:1 23:10,11,11 24:4 26:4 29:12 29:15 30:21 31:3 32:9 37:20 59:14 67:14 105:6 166:24 169:21 172:1,19,20 176:4 176:8 178:6 182:24 185:22 187:13 191:11,22 195:25 197:22 198:12 199:1 fairly 21:22 69:17 112:3 119:12 139:5 141:3 fairness 164:17 faith 75:8 fall 103:2 fallback 83:10 fallen 136:9 familial 69:7 familiar 94:23 families 184:15 185:17 family 39:23 54:21 130:1 201:8 fantasist 68:4 fantasy 141:21,22 141:25 far 8:23 24:21 37:16 43:10 45:25 46:9 49:22 50:12 56:5,10 65:17 76:10,11 78:24 91:5 92:16 116:22
---	--	--	--	---

170:9 193:18,20 201:11 fast 165:13,16 fate 76:13 father 54:23 97:19 105:17,18 184:18 187:25 207:22 favourable 137:1 fax 52:15,16 fear 71:17 139:21 feature 15:24 35:12 100:6 139:12 163:3 178:13 featured 163:5,11 February 34:21 41:23 76:2 126:11 127:10 fed 207:14 feed 85:2 feel 8:14 13:13 110:4 197:22 feeling 25:19,22,23 29:13 35:7 48:1 48:16 51:13 80:17 135:23 150:23 feelings 91:12 97:2 144:12 feet 187:6 fell 110:23 154:1 felt 29:11 33:16 42:11 54:2 103:22 104:7 145:16 172:23 176:2 180:25 199:25 208:14 field 99:21 file 1:13 27:6 50:23 50:25 51:1,1,12 51:19,23 80:1,6 86:11,13 126:16 127:9 131:21 135:21 148:17 161:17,20,21 170:15,17 171:15 171:16,18 176:6	177:12 178:2,18 178:21 180:11 182:8 183:5,7,21 183:24 185:23 196:14 198:25 files 160:10,16 170:18 filtered 107:18 final 201:21 Finally 37:18 financial 124:11 find 10:3,11 25:7 32:13 34:14 51:22 66:17 74:16 76:12 78:7 102:25 135:21 151:10 152:23 161:6 163:14,15 178:1 204:6 finding 10:7 67:8 finds 142:14 fine 85:7 91:6 113:13 150:12,15 171:11,11 180:8 finish 154:25 finished 9:21 55:22 55:23 86:6 94:12 100:9 111:9 121:17 Firle 40:9 firm 29:25 first 1:17 2:1 4:19 8:2 9:16,17 18:1 18:10 20:18 22:14 32:21 36:20 39:18 39:21 52:12 54:18 55:7 67:24 72:22 88:7 108:25 115:21,21 125:17 129:11 132:16 133:14 138:20 141:6 158:8 162:5 164:6 169:13 170:16 175:8 189:8 205:17	210:25 first-name 129:20 firstly 44:11 45:3 46:2 52:22 84:13 fishy 111:17,21 fit 131:13 155:25 181:20 198:20 fitness 198:12 five 81:22 93:19 94:24 189:7 flagellation 142:18 flash 34:6 Fletcher 32:2 33:13 34:10 36:15 37:13 Fletcher's 29:19 30:10 flies 126:5 flipped 128:7 floor 66:21 flow 167:16 flying 67:13 focus 7:16 33:18,21 163:9 follow 129:11 follow-on 127:16 follow-up 66:11 followed 91:22 92:15 following 4:7 9:12 41:21 55:24 77:6 81:10 83:22 106:16 111:4 122:23 140:10 follows 39:2 133:18 204:10 fond 62:12 force 48:22 52:18 93:5,22 98:12 123:18 167:11 forces 93:2 Foreign 39:10 forget 60:13 112:18 112:19 113:10 207:6 forgive 81:11 156:7	form 6:15 33:2 49:24 51:17 82:9 114:22 137:1 139:13 179:20,22 180:12,12 182:12 190:8 formal 115:4 149:2 formally 180:19 182:16,18 formed 117:17 136:24 former 1:6 45:19 117:10 119:10 163:7 187:6 forming 163:1 forth 16:14 29:20 35:23 forthcoming 197:2 fortune 5:19 7:23 forward 31:16 72:4 90:19 97:23 98:7 100:22 115:13,14 121:2 133:11 157:2 165:8,13,16 165:16,19,20,25 166:11 167:16 182:25 184:20 194:8 199:6,17 200:8 forwarded 84:2 found 25:7 78:5 89:17 93:5 98:2 101:13 209:2 foundation 205:7 206:9,12 founded 41:1 205:6 206:9 four 165:18 199:8 fourth 20:19 21:24 200:7 fragile 57:4 95:10 95:11,17,19,22 96:4 137:5 frail 181:5 frailties 198:8	frailty 181:9 framework 37:4 France 116:12 Francis 62:6 85:1 85:13 frank 12:1,13 106:18,24,25 124:15 151:20,22 153:1 free 109:1 friar 207:4,19 friend 15:18 41:16 97:23,25 friendly 117:17 175:5 friends 15:25 40:16 98:17,19 friendship 15:22 40:11 43:15,18 171:3,10,11 fro 181:18 front 1:17 44:12,13 44:21 71:8 fruition 193:8 frustrated 192:16 193:10 frustration 192:13 fulfilled 47:7 full 86:6 90:10 106:18 125:3 145:8 158:23 160:21 161:10,19 167:16 171:1 172:8 189:11 192:23 199:2 full-time 208:8 FULLBROOK 38:24 204:5,9 fullest 117:1 fully 31:24,25 funny 92:2 99:20 112:25 further 11:8 22:11 22:15,17 38:15 43:24 70:17 86:10
---	---	--	--	--

88:8 109:8 116:15 120:4 128:21 151:17 165:15 168:8 169:25 170:4,5 171:7 179:14,22 199:11 200:4 211:9 future 37:6,17 182:11 190:5	give 6:9 25:16 26:17 36:22 40:17 42:10 82:6 89:20 91:13 96:1 110:5 110:14 119:15,24 119:24 121:9,12 127:14 132:18,20 144:14 147:23 151:8 167:20 168:7,7 177:15,23 178:7,10 188:11 given 31:15 38:19 80:9 81:11 86:3 99:11 143:5,9 145:19 146:3 190:4 192:10,10 192:12,17 200:8 203:10 gives 170:20 204:11 giving 44:17 64:24 64:25 102:19 119:6 122:20 124:24 140:4 193:16 201:9 glad 111:22 glare 164:9 glasses 44:25 glean 161:4 gleaned 202:12 Glorious 117:19 205:22 206:24 Gloucester 6:4,12 17:23 20:19 22:16 27:17 28:24 40:22 43:15 53:2,3,9,11 60:22 67:2 80:13 93:14 111:16,25 112:4,12 114:4 117:15 162:12 176:2,22 177:13 182:8 208:20 209:19 Gloucester's 209:23	Gloucestershire 45:18 46:3 49:6 49:22 65:20 66:1 74:22 81:14 93:7 105:12 123:18 126:15 132:1 161:12,20 162:9 175:19,20,24 176:8,14 189:16 205:4 go 2:10 5:22 6:9 8:3 9:19 11:2 14:23 18:21 26:14 27:15 30:10 38:21 48:4 48:24 49:16 50:11 51:14 56:23 57:24 60:15,16 67:4 69:21 70:19 71:15 72:21 74:25 75:5 76:15 77:14 79:7 79:18 80:7,11,14 80:19 81:3 82:19 83:1,6 84:8 85:12 85:18,20 86:1,5 86:18 89:5 92:11 93:2,3,22 94:8 97:1 109:1,24 110:9 111:10 113:1,9,17 116:15 117:11,24 118:16 118:19 120:22 123:2 125:19 127:15 129:3,5,6 129:14 133:11,21 135:23 138:16 139:10 141:16 143:1 144:2,4 146:18 147:14 154:12,19 155:15 155:18,20,25 160:15 171:7 173:4 174:8 180:6 181:2,7 186:25 197:20,24 199:18 206:5	go-between 47:4 gobsmacked 123:4 God 100:8 142:1 God' 40:17 goes 4:25 18:8 23:3 126:22 132:25 139:7 155:19 156:12 187:15 205:16 going 5:4 14:23 25:5 26:11 32:13 33:1 34:4 35:4 37:22 38:24 45:23 45:24 49:18 53:25 54:10 55:9 59:22 60:13,15,16 62:21 62:23 65:22 66:6 66:11,17 67:9,12 67:25 69:22,24 71:16,17 75:3 76:3,21 77:12 78:3 84:8 85:22 86:5,8,18 87:2,14 87:17,18 89:16 92:24 93:7 97:17 99:18,19,20,23 100:5,8,13 101:4 102:2,10,17 103:2 103:13 104:7,8,19 105:3,6 107:21 110:25 112:5,21 113:1 114:20 117:6,8,9 120:13 121:2 122:11 123:24 126:9 128:2,20 130:22 130:24 132:2 135:7 138:3,13 144:6,7 149:23,24 150:25 151:15 153:22 154:8 155:17,17,19 157:25 158:25 167:16 169:15 170:13 171:1	173:20 177:13,21 178:11 179:4 180:17,24 181:12 182:13 183:3 184:16 192:23 193:3 194:3,8,18 195:23 198:18 203:24,25 204:5 208:3 goings 92:3 Gold 185:11 good 1:4 11:18 16:8 38:9 44:5,10 48:2 57:10 70:4 74:6 75:8 76:13 82:4 102:10 110:13 118:15 123:1 133:6 137:24 140:10 146:17,20 151:13 160:22 165:6 188:9 195:4 198:23 206:17 Goodrich 60:4 goods 64:24 Google 100:18 Gordon 12:1,12 26:7 gospel 61:8,8 governing 205:25 government 39:14 governor 34:22,25 205:12,13,18 206:14,22,22 207:11 208:4 gradual 37:16 grammar 61:4 granted 192:4 209:13 granting 191:8 grasped 73:10 gratification 142:18 gratitude 129:23 grave 33:6 great 6:18 16:8
G				
gain 124:11 gains 142:17 gay 96:16,20 97:5 98:3,15,18,19,25 147:7 GBH 135:2,3 143:1 Gemma 168:10 generalised 20:1 generally 29:11 generate 166:12 generated 72:1 165:14 167:8 generous 78:17 genital 68:24 200:14 gentle 76:9 genuine 141:8 153:16 George 76:16 88:5 203:10 getting 35:25 55:25 65:12 70:1,23 87:3 99:25 109:4 114:19 126:7 133:9 136:17 164:15 180:6 182:2 GI 190:16,18 Gibb 2:16 89:25 90:25 Gibson 126:10,11 gifted 18:8 Giles 185:3 girls 205:19 gist 171:1				

18:3,12,17 21:5 21:11,22 35:20,24 99:17 117:8 120:22 131:16 greater 198:23 greatest 17:11 green 153:23 grew 146:16,17 206:25 gross 17:5 25:11 80:20 115:5 116:7 133:20,23 134:10 134:17 135:5,6,12 142:24 153:6 178:23 190:16,18 grossly 31:11 grounds 59:2 group 151:4 204:25 205:21 growing 146:19 186:8,14 growth 20:24 GSP000005_026 45:19 GSP000009 45:20 GSP000012_048 145:25 guess 48:15 66:16 124:11 136:19 152:16 155:23 guide 51:23 81:2 guidelines 190:20 guilt 17:5 22:2 25:10 29:1 36:11 36:12 43:10 149:2 149:3 guilty 7:15 23:5 35:16 73:18 89:17 143:23 144:5,7,8 144:11 159:14 165:17 196:11 197:18 198:13,21 198:22 199:3 209:2,20 210:4,7 210:16	guitar 61:9 gut 51:13 guy 84:24 121:25 123:1 <hr/> H <hr/> habit 141:24 half 11:4 44:19 61:17 133:14 134:3 half-truths 27:9 halfway 27:4 120:8 132:8 142:8 hand 84:23 87:20 128:6 141:7 191:25 209:16,19 hand-on 55:2 handcuffs 60:14 handed 60:16 91:18 164:11 handled 169:23 hands-on 55:1 81:20,23 hang 82:3 97:8,20 129:4,10 hanging 111:18 happen 10:9 12:25 17:12 25:5 69:8 71:22 86:22 88:23 88:25 89:7,10 139:22 150:17,22 155:18 179:6 180:3,4 happened 10:3 11:24 12:10 16:22 24:12 35:10 36:13 40:5 52:24 61:7 67:1 80:12 83:21 92:4 93:24 129:15 131:9 136:15 140:16 150:16 152:16 156:20 174:24 178:17 183:6 202:11 happening 66:18 100:20 104:3,12	132:3 150:25 183:4 happens 30:12 67:1 67:9 86:17 144:5 happy 124:24 127:22 151:2 152:15 197:15 hard 26:13 178:13 harder 11:3 73:3 Hare 147:4 harm 73:16 Harrison 206:2,2 Harrow 204:22 206:15 Harry 39:7 hate 193:14 head 65:15 122:2 178:10 203:5 206:5 headed 42:5,10 48:8 76:1 headlines 203:1,2 headmaster 72:9 204:14,17,21 headmastering 208:9 headquarters 111:6 health 181:14 198:6 hear 1:5 44:6 157:19,25 160:3 181:14 183:3 184:24 188:24 193:15 194:18 204:4 210:13 heard 8:5 49:21 52:4,12 73:25 94:22 104:12 155:11 162:25 163:6 168:25 169:15 192:2 199:25 210:7 hearing 1:10 211:13	hearings 198:14 heat 72:2 heavily 159:13 163:11 heavy 103:15 heck 119:5 131:11 held 86:25 147:12 207:20 helmet 130:5 help 9:20 41:18 64:1 72:3 73:14 82:13 88:12 110:4 110:10 117:21 139:14 155:13 157:14 188:10 191:7 192:6 helped 4:6 20:9 helpful 117:17 helps 47:16 150:13 196:24 hesitation 55:18 Hey 67:13 71:18 98:21 hidden 142:22 hide 63:11 89:1 112:17 hierarchy 46:9 high 16:10,17 46:14 94:6 147:11 205:18 higher 46:11 179:10,25 highest 106:15 173:1 highlight 88:7 141:2 highlights 27:18,20 highly 18:8 117:20 151:13 173:11 174:6 190:10 192:15 193:20 Highness 78:25 him' 142:2 hindsight 5:16,18 19:3 30:17	hint 21:9,16 102:12 124:20 hinting 88:10 history 61:25 hit 78:12 hitting 56:1 HMC 204:19 206:15 hold 54:17 71:7 87:25 123:10,11 holiday 148:15 Holy 207:19 home 39:10 40:5 110:17 111:5 141:23 181:1,16 190:19 homoerotic 25:19 homophobic 98:20 homosexual 99:2 142:12 homosexuality 37:23 homosexuals 97:2 97:5 honest 86:16 122:8 honestly 111:23 honesty 166:15 honorary 206:17 Honourable 39:7 75:19 hope 6:17 98:16 hoped 84:16 hopefully 34:8 51:1 144:5 151:15 hoping 123:8 Horan 105:11,16 105:19 106:13,18 hospital 153:16 host 71:1 100:11 hostage 5:18 7:23 hosted 205:20 hot 154:17,19,22 hotel 111:15,18 112:2,3,8,10,19 113:5,6,10 114:3
---	--	---	--	--

116:18 140:15,18 hotels 176:7 hour 44:18 123:5 193:12 hours 67:18 house 40:8 42:5 54:20 61:12 120:7 120:10,16 121:3 123:15 159:8 165:14 186:22 205:21 housemaster 204:13 huge 198:6 Hughes 157:19 158:1,2,5,22,25 159:17 203:20 212:8 Hughes' 203:21 human 76:11 Hunt 1:8 90:14 95:13 102:7 203:25 hurry 17:8 husband 39:6 husband's 42:5 Hydrant 202:10 hypothetical 155:8 155:9 174:16 hypothetically 155:16	140:8 identify 44:20 45:10 69:2 121:21 126:20 129:16 132:11 138:4 140:13 162:5 identifying 74:13 163:5 164:22 ignore 32:5 ignored 52:1 iii 134:3 ill 43:8 ill-health 170:2 illuminate 177:14 imagine 74:19 76:11,25 imagined 41:9 Imbert 65:14,19 66:1,15 Imbert's 65:24 immediately 40:25 71:6 72:14 immense 138:8 141:15 immune 189:17 immunity 182:12 190:5,8 191:8 192:4 impact 16:4 42:15 48:17 188:7 implacable 88:16 implicated 120:12 implication 19:19 73:22 118:13 implications 179:23 importance 29:21 important 3:25 30:3 32:4 55:2 64:9 65:1 71:2 82:10 162:5 166:14 175:9 198:4 200:22 imprecise 21:20,22 impression 24:6	36:6 60:15 78:18 119:6 124:25 137:7 161:16 195:17 impressive 27:8 improper 65:4 66:9 66:14 76:6 78:14 104:21 112:15 211:3 improperly 64:23 69:19 impulse 25:20 inaccurate 127:20 inadequate 18:24 19:1,3 26:10 38:1 38:5 inappropriate 17:7 22:10 112:23 176:3 incarcerated 199:20 include 160:7 included 136:21 189:15 including 73:1 incompetence 203:3 inconceivable 74:16 increasing 186:12 incumbent 209:12 indecency 17:5 25:11 80:20 115:6 116:8 133:21,23 134:10,17 135:5,6 135:12 142:25 153:6 178:24 190:17,18 indecent 133:24 135:12 190:17,21 196:20 indent 9:16 independent 11:21 13:9,14 168:5 205:9	indicate 91:25 indicated 67:24 121:13 145:15 190:7 199:4 indicates 123:12 individual 68:7 74:21 77:4 162:15 173:13,18 180:4 180:13 197:2,13 200:7,8 201:11 208:7 individually 90:16 individuals 73:24 75:16 76:18 79:8 90:12,15 91:11 93:19,19 98:14 162:8 167:20 168:25 169:2 196:16 197:5,8 199:10,16 201:17 207:24 inevitable 143:7 188:5 infancy 54:13 infant 48:7 inference 96:6 influence 54:8 56:18 62:17,21 78:16 99:10 164:19 207:7 influenced 35:17 49:19 164:7,16 172:24 175:4,7 influencing 76:6 163:23 influential 15:25 72:8 inform 187:3 193:12 information 41:11 41:13 62:11 63:17 87:3,8,13,22 88:21 90:2,3,6 92:10,14 107:8 128:11 132:5	133:16 136:25 138:1 159:24 160:2 161:4,12,18 161:23,25 172:14 174:20,25 178:9 190:10 194:2 informed 5:1 47:3 145:9 174:19 initial 56:3 163:9 163:13 167:13 176:25 177:10 178:12 initiated 164:11 Injured 205:7 inkling 63:23 208:4 innocence 36:6 71:2 73:18 74:21 innocent 5:20 7:15 210:3 input 7:1 INQ0001347 210:9 INQ000311 210:2 INQ001762_001 39:1 inquiries 48:9 50:14 162:2 inquiry 1:5 4:16 9:15 12:22 23:8 24:25 29:5,8 43:2 45:25 49:14 62:21 82:8 88:20 91:4 158:7 159:18 163:10 166:4 200:23 inquiry's 39:4 inside 12:24 62:10 insinuated 74:18 inspected 207:10 inspector 46:13,14 46:18 51:5 53:2 60:4 75:21 116:2 116:17,19 121:8 125:16 208:23 inspectors 66:13 inspired 18:9
I				
IA 190:17,21,22 Ian 204:6,8,9 212:12 ice 75:6,6 idea 11:18 24:1 70:22 132:14 198:21 206:17 208:24 identified 53:14 68:4,19,24 69:6 72:1 90:16 94:16 163:25 164:25 identifies 92:5				

instance 138:20	internal 14:11 36:3	46:15 48:6,7	94:14 108:17	23:22
instant 165:11	160:2,14	investigating 46:23	182:22 183:13	issues 33:17 37:23
instantly 165:9	internalising 36:25	49:23 77:24 98:10	199:22	37:23 44:11 96:21
instigating 186:13	internally 183:21	112:14 116:2	investigative 79:25	100:3 153:8 172:2
institution 31:18	interprets 89:8	128:13 138:25	investigator 109:25	182:5 186:23
institutionally	interrogate 87:9	160:11 166:8	109:25 111:20	189:19,20,23
98:20	interrupt 154:24	169:12 173:15	178:4	202:20,25
institutions 31:11	intervened 109:12	192:14 202:13	invite 139:17	iv 134:3
48:9 210:22	interview 2:15	investigation 6:17	141:10 142:10,13	
insufficiently 31:11	52:21 53:10,13	8:9 23:1,21 24:22	142:20 188:11	J
31:12	57:22,24 61:17	39:4 41:15 46:21	invited 108:22	jail 201:10
insurmountable	63:5 64:15 67:16	46:25 47:4 50:19	inviting 135:22	James 72:10
189:21	67:19,25 68:2,10	52:4 54:8 62:10	143:13	Jane 187:3
integrity 49:11	68:13,18,19 69:11	70:17 81:2 82:9	invoke 184:25	January 8:2 9:9,13
73:20 105:20	70:8 84:9 85:23	84:14,17 92:13,23	involve 171:23	16:23 28:7 72:11
106:13,15	86:2 93:22 95:18	94:5,10 104:24	201:8	103:9 107:6
intelligence 178:9	103:8,10,10,12	105:4,21 106:7	involved 5:9 7:8	109:16 110:17
intelligent 55:13	104:4 109:20,22	109:23 110:10	8:23 17:18 18:15	111:14 116:17
137:3	110:15 115:1	117:1,14,22 118:4	18:22 19:8,13	182:19 183:9
intending 132:9	141:20 179:17	118:9,20,25	20:13 34:13 40:18	185:7 209:4
intense 47:20	180:2,5,9,18	119:18 120:12	40:21 41:3,6	job 2:24 26:25
183:18	181:22 192:2	121:6,10,17	51:22 57:12,16	33:17 53:23 54:4
intention 20:21	interviewed 30:15	127:24 128:17	61:8 73:7 74:17	55:20 62:24 87:8
21:25 73:14 84:7	54:19 55:12 64:18	134:7 138:8	80:11 93:4 96:17	87:9 100:18 122:1
110:14 122:18	66:20 67:22 70:15	140:21,24 141:2	105:23 121:7	175:20 207:2
intentions 22:4	73:24 92:16 95:12	151:6 159:7,10	139:20 182:10	Joe 66:2
75:9,11	97:12 100:4 116:4	160:6,17,21,24	185:12 186:9,11	John 3:8 8:1 12:24
interest 89:9 138:8	118:11 125:23	161:11,13,15,23	188:22 198:3,7,8	20:11,12 30:11
146:1 147:1	130:8 131:20	163:4 164:2,18	206:20 207:12	39:14 47:6 82:18
164:23 179:20	133:1 153:16	166:1,11 167:16	involvement 80:9	82:22,25 84:11
199:11,18 201:1	180:19 181:8,19	168:21,25 169:3,4	160:7 196:11	105:16 115:12
201:18	181:21 198:12	170:9,22 171:5	198:11	133:17 203:11
interest' 88:12	interviewing 57:8	172:12,22 173:23	involving 47:14,19	Johnson 197:3,12
interested 12:22	57:21 128:3,20	173:25 174:12,13	48:9 111:1	Join 47:21
15:4 24:25 29:8,9	140:12	174:15 175:2,4,12	irrelevant 89:13	joined 48:22
155:4 179:5	interviews 67:17	175:21,23 176:8	isolation 21:2	147:19
interesting 89:2	68:16	176:11 177:1	issue 15:13 16:21	joint 93:21
166:2 171:4	intimate 55:16,20	178:12 183:2,22	16:24 17:3,4 31:7	journey 180:24
interests 138:5	100:14 157:4	189:16 190:11	31:21 32:2,4	181:11,12
interfere 54:6	introduced 51:10	192:15 195:3	100:1,11 119:1,3	JP 204:15,18,22
interference 82:11	introducing 69:23	199:14 201:5,7,11	155:14 156:10	205:3
interfering 70:24	investigate 84:21	202:7,19	171:23 182:13	judge 9:22 11:13
101:14 102:3,4	92:23 102:20	investigations	185:21 191:25	11:21 12:11 43:1
109:23	110:5 117:5	23:14 24:19 49:12	issued 4:7,17 5:11	43:4,7 73:12
interim 188:12,14	investigated 24:3	52:5 80:1 86:17	6:4,15 22:8,13	91:17 92:11 147:2
				judgment 19:2

30:21 91:8 210:6 judiciary 77:3 July 1:1 123:12 158:11 159:12 166:18 167:2,3 184:7 211:14 June 39:1 158:8 183:15 jungle 54:10 junior 147:18 junior' 27:19 justice 75:20 76:25 77:1,3,13 78:9,10 101:19 110:11 121:22 127:7 185:20 186:10 197:24 justification 85:16 justify 124:11,12 131:15 justifying 170:25	kept 16:10 47:3 50:22 51:7 59:14 69:23 150:1 171:15 174:17 190:12 208:2 key 3:23 139:5 182:4,8 kind 33:4 54:7 68:15 132:12 145:15 175:6 207:13 kinds 29:22 knees 55:18 knew 8:24 16:17 40:14 41:1,2 43:5 53:25 54:3,4,7,14 60:23 61:6,23,25 62:3,23 64:8 69:18 74:7,7 75:12 85:22 87:14 87:18,18 89:21 92:12 119:4,6 130:14 162:22 175:5 198:10 202:17,19 210:8 knock-on 152:8 knocking 121:21 know 2:6 4:5 5:15 7:6,13 8:25 9:2 10:13 12:12 13:2 13:4,18,24 14:6 16:12 17:6,10,17 19:25 20:8 22:5 22:10 24:8,10,10 24:23,23 25:9 26:6,6 27:11,25 28:5,22 29:20,21 33:18 36:3,12 38:4,5 44:16 48:1 49:2,14 50:18 51:14 55:5,19 56:9 58:13,14,18 59:23 61:9,14,15 61:24 63:4,7,23 65:17 66:10,15,16	67:13 68:7 69:25 70:20,23,24 72:24 75:7,9,9 76:4,8 77:8,21 78:8,10 78:12,15,18 80:10 81:17 82:23 83:4 83:10,20,24 84:20 85:24,25 86:12 87:12,13,23 89:17 89:22 91:20 92:20 93:15,16 95:20 97:1,9 98:18,24 99:8 100:4 101:9 101:24 102:1,9,14 102:14,22,24 103:16,19 106:8 107:3,17,18,24,25 108:12,17,21 110:9,10,25 111:8 111:16,24,24,25 112:10,11 113:6 113:19 115:14 116:24 117:19 118:13 119:4,10 119:16 120:1,2,18 120:21 121:24 123:6 124:2 125:19 128:9,18 128:22 129:5 130:5 131:7,16,17 131:21 132:2,4 138:13 140:25 143:11,25 144:4 149:13,23 152:20 152:21,25 153:19 155:17 156:1,3,16 156:17,18 157:17 161:17 162:15 165:20 167:23,25 168:7 171:21 173:16 175:11 176:21 177:23 179:5 180:3 185:25 186:12,12 188:16,18,20	189:11,25 190:9 191:22 192:15 194:12 195:1,22 196:5 197:23 208:21 211:1 knowing 17:10 24:16 28:10,17 40:16 131:7 170:22 171:8 200:5 201:9 knowledge 2:8 37:25 40:24 45:14 60:19,21 72:12 102:2 107:3 108:16 129:22 156:1 158:15,17 166:7 190:11 191:12 207:9 known 15:22 16:7 16:12 24:5 39:17 63:18 76:3 90:7 119:17 128:2 130:15 135:2,3 145:5 161:18 knows 82:4,4 117:18 Krays 112:1	160:9 161:8,25 162:21 165:6 202:4 203:4 Lancing 72:10 204:18 206:5,6,8 206:21 207:17 208:5 211:4 language 65:23 large 3:17 171:8 largely 159:24 largest 206:11 late 41:4 72:25 77:15 147:12 latent 142:12 launched 23:1 law 134:14 136:6,7 210:5 lawyer 11:11 12:2 12:13 lawyers 195:10 lead 12:9 31:14 46:20 93:10,11 leading 196:2 leaked 71:12 learn 160:13 learned 105:24 learnt 83:14 leave 48:17 95:8 116:11 leaving 153:6 led 21:6,12 48:12 91:4 left 36:3 48:1 52:10 61:16 95:6 108:8 112:9 181:12 206:21 legal 70:6 99:16,19 128:14,14,15 143:17,17 153:21 156:1 183:10 184:8,21,23 186:23 187:7 188:18 189:23 194:20 195:24 198:2	
K					
Kate 160:4,10,12 177:10 keen 56:22 keep 13:21 51:8 86:9,12 keeping 51:11 Keir 185:4 Kemp 103:3,4,6,9 103:18 104:4 106:17 122:16,16 123:13 124:1,2 130:10 185:11 203:11 Ken 60:23 61:5,16 62:20 63:16,25 64:20 65:5 69:18 69:20,22 117:19 Ken's 64:1,3 Kennedy 32:19 34:5 Kenneth 60:24 62:4,13,14 63:21 130:13					
				L	
			lack 37:24 lacking 156:9 lad 55:13 56:2 lady 38:20,23,25 39:2 42:2 43:21 57:15 211:24 Lambeth 3:8 4:15 5:12 6:6 8:6,19,25 15:22 16:5,8 20:9 22:7 24:1,15,24 25:4,22,25 28:7 29:11,14 30:2 31:4,23 32:7 34:1 35:13,17 36:4 82:17 84:3,4,8,10 86:9 88:6 139:4 156:14 159:25		

legally 188:15 189:19	91:5,6,18,20,25 92:4,17 101:15 126:24 140:8 156:13 162:20,22 162:23 169:2,6,10 171:11 191:20,22 191:23,25 192:11 202:3,19	little 4:12 27:20 46:2 48:21 49:21 58:20 63:7 108:15 119:14 134:13 156:9,10 166:16 177:2 194:9 208:1 209:1	35:5 36:18 65:23 76:1 89:5 91:7 100:12 102:9 110:15 111:23 124:12 126:1 127:14 128:20 130:10,21 131:12 132:15,18 135:21 141:10 143:17 144:2 149:21,21 151:25 152:7 155:16 159:12 163:16 168:1 170:11 172:18 175:18 184:11 186:16 189:1 190:14 192:19	47:14,22 51:4 55:14 60:21 72:2 72:3 81:12 87:14 87:17 90:5,25 92:18 96:18,20,23 100:19 101:15 117:15 119:3 123:3 130:23 131:20 144:5 166:3 181:18
length 25:2 33:4 132:9,14 143:6 185:9	level 51:5 54:2 61:6 61:6 82:7 94:6 174:4	live 16:24 17:2 204:4	155:16 159:12 163:16 168:1 170:11 172:18 175:18 184:11 186:16 189:1 190:14 192:19	lots 26:22 33:25 47:13 48:4 70:21 98:17,19 110:7 123:6 153:9
lengthy 137:21	Lewes 39:20 61:2 74:15	lived 84:7 112:12	170:11 172:18 175:18 184:11 186:16 189:1 190:14 192:19	loud 66:5 78:17
lenient 43:7	liason 176:1	lives 41:16 112:11 112:11 139:19 193:8	looked 5:12 12:2 26:3 27:11 31:1 88:4 131:10 137:13 151:23 152:2 160:14 178:2	love 63:20 68:25,25
leniently 33:7	liar 143:10	living 40:19 207:3	looking 5:15,15 11:22 17:9 23:9 87:10 96:22,22 97:3 104:25 122:3 124:23 146:22 163:18 175:18 188:25 196:23 198:16	loved 18:9
Lenten 208:8	lie 196:14	Lloyd 41:17,19,22 75:17 76:21 126:10,11 169:7 170:8	looks 6:1	low 139:5
Lesley 3:13,23 5:13 7:7,11,13,25 33:17,20	life 57:1 165:19 166:18 179:24 207:4	loads 16:9,9 86:17	loos 67:6	loyalties 203:5
lesser 33:20	lifetime 161:9,14 183:2	local 39:25 40:25 57:15 71:25 74:19 74:20 138:10 181:7 209:11	loose 69:3	loyalty 43:19
let's 5:22 10:3,11 13:21 16:18 30:5 32:20 59:12 64:2 67:13 80:22 121:20	light 10:4 72:3 89:24 146:11 153:23	logical 117:2,4 127:19	Lord 2:4 39:7 41:17,19,22 75:17 75:20 76:21,25 77:1,2,13 87:5 92:6 169:7 170:8 201:21,24 202:16	luck 10:17
letter 34:20 38:8 41:22,24 42:2,4,4 42:7,11,13,17 43:1 68:22 74:11 74:12 75:16,18,22 76:16 77:5 78:22 83:25 84:2 85:11 86:2,3,4,7 90:7 92:5 114:15,22 121:14 123:13 126:10,17,22 127:8 129:17,21 131:2,5,10 169:25 170:4 185:2,4 189:9,11,12,14,25 190:1,4,16,19 191:2,7,8,9 192:3 192:17 198:9 209:4,7,18 210:18	liked 138:23	London 84:8,9	Los 111:24	lunch 113:20
letter's 5:22 10:3,11 13:21 16:18 30:5 32:20 59:12 64:2 67:13 80:22 121:20	limits 134:8	lone 33:13	lose 88:14	lying 100:10 141:13 157:1 198:24
letter 34:20 38:8 41:22,24 42:2,4,4 42:7,11,13,17 43:1 68:22 74:11 74:12 75:16,18,22 76:16 77:5 78:22 83:25 84:2 85:11 86:2,3,4,7 90:7 92:5 114:15,22 121:14 123:13 126:10,17,22 127:8 129:17,21 131:2,5,10 169:25 170:4 185:2,4 189:9,11,12,14,25 190:1,4,16,19 191:2,7,8,9 192:3 192:17 198:9 209:4,7,18 210:18	line 45:23,24 60:9 63:24 80:22 81:8 86:25 91:6,19 104:22 129:2,6 130:18 140:11 150:24 162:5 165:11 174:9	long 39:18 53:23 78:4 117:7 129:21 147:19 159:10 161:18 169:16 170:6,23 181:10 181:15 184:22 187:12,17 193:16 208:9	lost 63:20 161:17	lucky 51:6
letters 12:2 16:11 24:2,8,10,13 28:16 42:21 72:7 72:17 74:4,10,23 74:24 75:1,4,4,8 79:8 83:17,20 84:23 86:10 87:25 89:19 90:6,23	lines 77:20 114:18 114:21 119:14 120:14,18 163:9 189:7	long-term 168:1	lot 33:25 37:21	lunch 113:20
letter 34:20 38:8 41:22,24 42:2,4,4 42:7,11,13,17 43:1 68:22 74:11 74:12 75:16,18,22 76:16 77:5 78:22 83:25 84:2 85:11 86:2,3,4,7 90:7 92:5 114:15,22 121:14 123:13 126:10,17,22 127:8 129:17,21 131:2,5,10 169:25 170:4 185:2,4 189:9,11,12,14,25 190:1,4,16,19 191:2,7,8,9 192:3 192:17 198:9 209:4,7,18 210:18	listed 115:17	longer 14:14 56:18 76:3 89:23 147:10		lying 100:10 141:13 157:1 198:24
letter 34:20 38:8 41:22,24 42:2,4,4 42:7,11,13,17 43:1 68:22 74:11 74:12 75:16,18,22 76:16 77:5 78:22 83:25 84:2 85:11 86:2,3,4,7 90:7 92:5 114:15,22 121:14 123:13 126:10,17,22 127:8 129:17,21 131:2,5,10 169:25 170:4 185:2,4 189:9,11,12,14,25 190:1,4,16,19 191:2,7,8,9 192:3 192:17 198:9 209:4,7,18 210:18	listen 95:20	look 2:22 3:16 4:18 6:8 8:11 12:14 17:15 18:1 20:17 22:21,23 24:12 26:11,25 27:14 30:10 32:13,14,21		making 8:20 12:16 26:9 33:25 34:7
letter 34:20 38:8 41:22,24 42:2,4,4 42:7,11,13,17 43:1 68:22 74:11 74:12 75:16,18,22 76:16 77:5 78:22 83:25 84:2 85:11 86:2,3,4,7 90:7 92:5 114:15,22 121:14 123:13 126:10,17,22 127:8 129:17,21 131:2,5,10 169:25 170:4 185:2,4 189:9,11,12,14,25 190:1,4,16,19 191:2,7,8,9 192:3 192:17 198:9 209:4,7,18 210:18	literally 74:16			major 5:18 160:6 193:21 208:16
letter 34:20 38:8 41:22,24 42:2,4,4 42:7,11,13,17 43:1 68:22 74:11 74:12 75:16,18,22 76:16 77:5 78:22 83:25 84:2 85:11 86:2,3,4,7 90:7 92:5 114:15,22 121:14 123:13 126:10,17,22 127:8 129:17,21 131:2,5,10 169:25 170:4 185:2,4 189:9,11,12,14,25 190:1,4,16,19 191:2,7,8,9 192:3 192:17 198:9 209:4,7,18 210:18	literature 27:6			Major's 39:14
letter 34:20 38:8 41:22,24 42:2,4,4 42:7,11,13,17 43:1 68:22 74:11 74:12 75:16,18,22 76:16 77:5 78:22 83:25 84:2 85:11 86:2,3,4,7 90:7 92:5 114:15,22 121:14 123:13 126:10,17,22 127:8 129:17,21 131:2,5,10 169:25 170:4 185:2,4 189:9,11,12,14,25 190:1,4,16,19 191:2,7,8,9 192:3 192:17 198:9 209:4,7,18 210:18	Litlington 40:6,18 93:23			majority 48:20 138:23 196:10 198:3
letter 34:20 38:8 41:22,24 42:2,4,4 42:7,11,13,17 43:1 68:22 74:11 74:12 75:16,18,22 76:16 77:5 78:22 83:25 84:2 85:11 86:2,3,4,7 90:7 92:5 114:15,22 121:14 123:13 126:10,17,22 127:8 129:17,21 131:2,5,10 169:25 170:4 185:2,4 189:9,11,12,14,25 190:1,4,16,19 191:2,7,8,9 192:3 192:17 198:9 209:4,7,18 210:18				makers 195:7
letter 34:20 38:8 41:22,24 42:2,4,4 42:7,11,13,17 43:1 68:22 74:11 74:12 75:16,18,22 76:16 77:5 78:22 83:25 84:2 85:11 86:2,3,4,7 90:7 92:5 114:15,22 121:14 123:13 126:10,17,22 127:8 129:17,21 131:2,5,10 169:25 170:4 185:2,4 189:9,11,12,14,25 190:1,4,16,19 191:2,7,8,9 192:3 192:17 198:9 209:4,7,18 210:18				making 8:20 12:16 26:9 33:25 34:7

M

Madge 126:10,11
main 50:11 51:2
53:1 56:16 100:11
100:24
maintain 186:3
maintained 40:10
43:15
maintaining 43:18
185:16
major 5:18 160:6
193:21 208:16
Major's 39:14
majority 48:20
138:23 196:10
198:3
makers 195:7
making 8:20 12:16
26:9 33:25 34:7

91:11 93:3,7,20 121:25 127:1 139:17 164:5 167:22 170:24 171:20,22 185:19 Malcolm 156:6,7 156:12,19 157:13 157:15 male-to-male 27:21 males 41:7 man 18:18 22:3 54:23 69:14 74:6 76:9,13 78:8,12 78:19 94:17 105:19 106:8,13 119:4,8,16 120:1 122:9,17 123:2 124:8,15,16,22 131:14 142:13 153:14 173:24 174:23 manage 10:9 161:19 186:7 management 49:17 managing 165:25 193:16 manhandled 169:11 manipulated 196:4 manner 139:8 142:21 169:21 170:1 210:20 manual 50:12 manufacture 97:15 March 4:8 7:8 14:7 14:19 17:15 22:12 26:12 31:1 181:17 186:1 192:22 193:24 194:2 Margaret 32:19 34:5 39:11 mark 60:17 180:13 Marlborough 204:13 Marling 205:19	marriage 205:24 marry 209:10 massages 94:21 master 204:12 masturbate 94:17 masturbation 69:4 material 135:20 142:7 173:5,16,21 174:5,11,17 176:14 190:11 191:19 202:1 materialise 139:23 materialism 73:2 materially 92:10 matey 131:2 matter 19:2 35:24 39:23 53:19 79:18 114:5 121:18 125:18 143:16 145:1 147:16 154:2 165:5 179:19 matters 1:9 3:9,10 23:20 29:23 90:16 143:4 maximise 166:9 maximum 42:15 McGill 183:3 McNEILL 157:25 158:3,4 203:16,21 203:23 212:10 meal 40:7 mean 12:7,11 19:13 22:5 33:15 48:10 48:21 56:7,25 59:8 60:2 62:15 64:6 65:11 67:1 71:22 74:2 75:6 78:8,11,11 81:1 81:15 83:5 87:4 87:12 88:22 89:8 89:12 90:5 93:17 95:11,19 96:8,9 96:18 102:4 111:22 113:7	116:9,24,25 119:9 119:12 120:10,21 121:19 125:4 126:1 127:24 128:12,13 129:3 129:11,20 131:4 143:5 153:14 156:3 179:8 187:14 194:11 meaning 152:11 means 46:9 75:25 179:23 211:1 meant 79:21 84:19 131:12 196:11 198:2 measures 167:15 167:19 mechanism 103:25 139:3 mechanisms 100:17,19 media 3:18,23,24 4:1 29:20 33:19 66:13 67:8 70:23 71:7 72:5 138:5,8 138:9 139:9 140:5 166:3 193:15 medical 198:11 medically-induced 167:1 meet 34:25 55:3 105:13 110:18 111:10 112:5,13 112:14,21 113:8 118:23 127:6 202:24 meeting 12:3 15:8 39:24 103:5 104:5 107:22 110:25 111:1,9,14,15 112:18,19 113:10 114:11,13 115:22 115:24,25 116:1 117:17 119:5 122:13,23 125:2,3	125:10 131:23 132:1 140:15,18 141:6 149:1,10 177:8 183:14,20 185:5,18 189:2,8 190:2,12 191:10 192:3 208:2 meetings 176:6 202:8 208:6 member 39:8 42:6 60:25 61:19 77:3 79:1 126:13,13 177:5 members 8:25 42:21 207:1 208:10,13 memo 7:25 8:24 9:9,13,13 13:12 16:23 26:11 27:4 27:11,12 29:9,19 32:9 36:4 89:5 memorandum 88:4 Memorial 205:2 memory 44:11 77:8 151:11 152:14 170:24 187:22 198:17 memos 33:25 men 27:18,19 30:14 94:24 141:15 207:23 mental 43:12 153:17 mentally 57:4 91:12 95:19 mention 135:25 mentioned 40:8 85:9 105:10 106:24 120:16 164:23 177:9 193:9 199:8 200:7 merely 108:19 message 6:10 7:22 18:17 49:19 77:11 111:5,7	met 39:18,21,23 52:16 53:14 96:18 107:5 116:17 119:4,8 127:25 144:12 202:18 205:17 Metropolitan 65:15 202:15 Michael 14:25 39:18,21,24 40:1 40:3,4,10,11 101:10,18,20 102:3,5,7,8 103:3 172:13 mid 16:18 39:8 40:24 middle 2:4 107:5 178:4 206:10 Middlesex 204:22 Midland 206:7 miffed 93:8 mighty 16:17 military 67:10 Mills 41:21,23 78:15 mind 12:5,8 13:11 32:16 34:9 68:5 69:21 74:11 88:8 100:2 105:6,8 118:1 126:7 131:7 133:8 136:3 139:17 152:12 169:14 184:12 191:18 192:2 197:17 mine 33:18 180:23 minimise 81:24 minimised 33:23 Minister 39:9,14 ministry 6:19 14:15,21 15:3,5 15:14 16:20 17:1 17:8 33:2 34:12 34:14,19 37:5,7 minute 69:24 82:3
--	---	---	---	---

97:8,20 126:2 148:7 182:13 minutes 148:7 198:16 miscarriage 110:11 misconduct 135:25 136:4,5,20 163:2 194:19 196:19 197:25 202:16 misgivings 176:10 208:13 misguided 203:4 misrepresentation 73:9 missed 174:9 mission 3:19 102:16 mistake 101:7 mistakenly 144:19 mistrust 176:10 misunderstanding 73:9 misunderstood 74:1 misusing 31:8 mitigating 37:19 mixture 35:9 68:15 Mmm 12:4 46:19 133:25 Mmm-hmm 15:12 68:17 90:13 mob 60:16 mobile 54:12 65:11 modus 210:21 Moira 2:16 89:25 90:25 moment 113:20 152:3 184:20 185:7 monastic 61:23 Monday 57:14 58:7 79:5,11,15 94:22 116:17 163:1 money 117:15 123:3,4,6 131:15	monk 207:19 monk's 141:24 month 166:19 167:1 months 134:11 165:13 184:13 191:23 199:21 moral 40:13 morning 1:4 44:5 44:10 57:14 67:18 111:12 207:15 Moss 57:22,24 58:6 motel 111:24 mother 54:20,24 57:13,19 88:15 mother-in-law 205:18 motion 66:12 motivation 20:23 motives 152:24 Mount 39:7 mouth 35:20,22 mouthful 159:2 move 38:9 58:4 103:5 180:17 182:14 192:18 moved 75:3 99:17 99:17 146:8,14,25 147:1 184:8 MP 39:15,25 40:24 42:16 74:12,14,20 74:20 75:15 MPs 74:25 76:18 multiple 50:16 165:20 mum 53:21 murder 52:9 Murdock 44:7,8,10 75:21 114:3 116:4 116:18 117:18 121:8 125:16 145:23 153:3 154:9,24 157:16 157:21 161:21 163:6 169:1	176:10,20 208:23 209:3 212:2 Murdock's 38:21 muses 11:10 mutation 12:7 mutual 69:3 <hr/> N <hr/> N 211:15 naivety 78:11 138:19 139:6 naked 41:6 64:11 92:5 94:21 142:18 name 6:18 27:10 42:5,9 90:15 106:23 120:11,16 120:24 168:10 named 85:17 names 71:1 92:14 narrative 30:4 national 138:9 204:25 nationally 179:9 natural 163:13 164:7 nature 11:12 41:10 43:5,20 59:6,20 65:21 73:11,17 76:10 80:15 81:13 82:18 157:4 208:24 209:1 naughty 207:14 near 41:16 necessarily 72:4 87:14 147:2,12 163:17 199:22 necessary 114:13 180:7 neck 187:9 need 3:17 10:13 26:14 35:18 44:25 89:3,17 90:22 93:21,22 97:18 107:23 109:17 121:7 132:2 138:3 140:25 151:3	163:17 181:14 191:3 needed 59:17 70:16 79:18 80:20 82:12 83:4,6 205:25 needs 88:18 168:22 185:21 194:16,16 200:23 negative 8:14 10:9 negotiate 81:17 negotiated 51:8 negotiation 182:1 negotiations 178:5 195:21,24 196:3 198:9 Neil 22:24 25:9,16 29:17 30:15 52:17 52:21 53:10,20 54:18,22,24 55:2 55:12 56:13 57:16 57:21 58:8,21 68:4,20,20 73:23 79:12 88:15 90:11 90:17,17 93:20 94:14 95:4 96:2 96:25 130:18 133:22 135:13 139:21 140:4 141:9,14,21,22 142:3 148:25 149:24,25 150:5 153:14,19 155:13 156:25 157:11 166:18,20,22,25 167:5,11 184:18 187:25 neutrality 203:7 Nev 185:11 never 12:18 20:21 21:25 35:15 40:6 40:18 105:20 112:17 119:3,4,8 123:22 135:7 178:22 180:18 181:20 191:17,24	206:13 207:13 208:3 210:17 new 6:14 112:2,3 148:16,20 165:15 182:24 news 17:22 newspaper 156:24 newspapers 71:9 74:17 NFA 200:4 nice 103:14 112:3 nicer 122:21 night 71:20 nine 51:16 184:12 no-one 191:18 207:9 210:8 nobble 65:23 82:1 nodes 8:17 9:10 14:22 15:6 non-Christian 43:11 nongenital 68:25 nonsensitive 175:8 normal 64:7 173:18 207:2 normally 3:24 80:1 86:20 north 40:9 Northamptonshire 161:22 note 75:24 78:14 110:16 125:2,3,9 148:6 185:8,14 189:2 190:12 192:1,22 193:6 200:22 notebook 179:11 notebooks 159:18 noted 185:22 192:3 notepaper 76:1 notes 51:20 55:12 127:24 notice 42:12 notifying 66:12 93:4
--	--	---	--	---

novel 104:14	57:2 86:23 169:19	206:19	OHY005027	operating 28:24
November 181:2	occurred 200:17	officer 1:7,7 46:4	158:10	160:18
181:17 185:5	occurring 134:11	46:12,21,24 47:1	OHY005027_015	operation 116:22
189:3	October 69:2 134:2	47:5 49:15,18	170:13	158:24 159:5,23
novices 27:17	159:15 170:14	53:7 54:25 58:16	OHY005027_030	160:7 161:10
28:23	184:11	58:17 59:6 65:10	183:8	162:13,18 164:8
nowadays 146:13	odd 41:8 183:22	77:25 81:18 82:7	OHY005772	165:23 166:21,24
nude 69:3	offence 17:5 22:2	103:21 104:8	158:12	167:3 173:22
nudity 68:12	23:23 25:11 27:25	112:14 117:10	okay 14:8 32:18	174:5,6,18 176:5
number 8:12 24:12	28:3,19 29:7 33:7	119:10 120:3	34:2,4 75:3 77:7	176:17 177:11
49:10 59:8 72:7	48:13 53:24 58:2	123:16 147:18	80:6 84:6 95:16	186:18 200:2
72:17 90:22 93:18	59:6 69:15 70:1	159:4 160:11	103:16,16 126:3	202:10,13
137:23 151:9	116:7,21 117:5	161:2 166:9 167:4	132:5 156:19	operational 48:19
170:16 198:14	133:22,23,23	172:9 178:3 179:3	158:25 159:2	108:13
numbers 196:22	134:19,24,25	180:22,23 192:14	162:20 192:18	operator 3:23
	135:3,4 136:2,7	202:13	196:25	opinion 16:10 22:5
O	179:21	officers 47:19 50:3	old 43:8 73:15	131:14 147:24
O 61:6	offences 49:23 58:2	54:5 60:12 81:11	119:21 130:16	155:4 179:4
o'clock 77:13	81:4 93:12,13	81:15 82:7,13	159:14 181:5	186:14
object 149:24	96:12 107:13,15	93:4,6 94:3,7	198:14	opinions 132:20
obtain 162:20	132:21 133:9,10	97:13,16 98:11,13	oncall 53:5	141:4
obtained 161:12	134:6,8,10,16,20	106:14 108:16	once 14:3 16:25	opportunities
obvious 100:10	135:13 143:12,13	124:7 125:6	40:8 44:18 54:15	199:24
obviously 16:11	153:22 171:13	136:17 138:25	153:20,25 154:5	opportunity 45:11
33:19 42:14 44:17	179:14 182:9,11	offices 112:9	156:8 162:10	158:13 163:22
45:9 47:3 49:21	189:15,18 201:23	138:10	167:16 178:21	166:10,10 170:20
50:18 52:3,9	offended 200:25	official 208:6	183:4 187:9	opposed 164:4
55:12 56:25 57:4	offending 43:6	officially 167:3	195:13 210:11	178:19 181:8,10
57:17 59:16 62:6	46:15 47:11 49:25	officiate 209:8,24	one-hour 194:15	option 154:7
62:12 65:11,19	50:13 80:16 92:19	officiated 40:3	one-sided 7:20 30:4	options 70:20
69:14 70:21 74:5	159:7	Oh 26:2 32:18	one-stop 132:16	88:25 89:11
76:10 77:8,21	offer 34:22 56:24	117:8 118:14	ones 154:5	115:17,18 125:24
80:9 81:7 83:3,11	57:7,16 125:11	145:18	ongoing 24:22	154:3,6
85:14 90:10 92:18	149:1,5,12 190:18	OHY000096_013...	166:1 167:25	ordeal 101:5,8
99:12 102:5 111:9	offered 70:9 82:15	72:9	174:12,13,15	order 59:3 60:24
115:19 125:24	156:22 196:18	OHY000096_036	186:23	61:6,15 69:25
127:22 136:23	offers 195:13	74:12	onwards 49:5	81:24 82:1 85:3,5
161:4 165:10	office 39:10,10 51:6	OHY000096_069...	111:14 138:2	114:14 117:20
166:3,22 167:7	56:17,22 60:9	75:17	open 63:9 70:2 73:9	185:19
171:12 176:4	77:11 78:25 79:19	OHY0003480_023	88:25 100:16	ordinary 76:11
177:9,11 195:1,2	108:4 113:11	137:22	126:25	ordination 147:7
196:10	135:25 136:4,6	OHY003480_040	opened 206:25	organisation 27:14
occasion 40:12	163:2 190:19	152:2	opening 1:3 211:17	116:13 194:16
48:10 61:10	194:19 196:19	OHY003487_012...	operandi 210:21	203:6 207:10
141:23 200:13	197:25 202:16	133:13	operated 110:12	organised 114:13
occasions 40:11				

original 18:9 56:10	132:9,10 133:15	150:13 163:19	partly 34:18	85:18,18,20 86:20
originally 84:1	137:24 158:10,12	170:11,15 172:7	partner 195:1,5	87:15 89:4,7
ought 129:14	paid 123:3 168:8	177:3 189:4	partnership 194:12	90:21 91:13 92:15
outcome 62:21	paint 35:6	196:15,23	parts 50:25	92:17 95:9,23
105:1 202:7	pair 45:2	paragraphs 21:17	pass 76:8	96:19,20,24 97:7
outline 106:18	Palace 4:15 5:12	103:6 111:14	passed 24:9,10	97:22 98:7,21,22
outset 159:6 161:15	6:6 8:6,19,25	parapet 187:9	43:14 72:14 85:11	99:8,25 100:24
162:22 175:19	15:22 16:5,8 20:9	parcel 64:5 68:6	91:7 111:6 126:17	101:10,24 102:5
outside 3:5 12:23	22:7 24:1,15,24	128:14	132:5 152:9	102:14,18 104:15
24:19 54:9 96:22	25:4,22,25 28:7	parents 52:17	154:22 184:23	108:18 109:20
97:6 103:24 104:6	29:11,14 30:2	54:21 141:23	202:21 210:5	118:11 128:3,21
112:24 159:1	31:4,23 32:7 34:1	146:17 207:8	passionate 43:9	129:10,12 130:23
166:4 169:2	35:13,17 36:4	parish 57:9	pastoral 4:23 9:14	131:20 138:22
outskirts 112:3	82:17 86:9 88:6	park 61:12 205:23	13:6,7,19 24:19	139:1,18,20
outstanding 4:23	139:4 156:14	parliament 39:8	pathways 168:8	140:12 143:10
23:13	159:25 160:9	42:6,21	patron 26:19,22	144:6 147:10,11
overall 78:18	161:8,25 162:21	Parliamentary	pattern 131:14	150:2 151:12,16
overlap 91:6	165:6 202:4 203:4	39:11	137:14,16	152:9,14,17
overriding 18:17	pan 34:7	parochial 93:2	paying 123:6	155:11 163:11
155:10	panel 38:14,22 44:5	part 3:18 13:24	PB 20:11	164:4,15 165:20
oversaw 48:8	88:2,4 94:22	14:13 26:25 35:4	PC 39:7	171:21 175:12
overseeing 47:1	103:7 111:13	36:20 53:24 64:4	PE 204:24	185:18 186:7
overstate 33:24	113:19 126:8	68:6 83:5,5 84:9	Peak 101:17 110:7	188:22 194:7
overstating 28:2	129:18 132:6	96:16 110:23	111:2,8 112:11	196:13,13 197:22
overtly 175:11	133:15 137:22,24	123:9 128:14	116:6 129:23	209:11
overzealous 41:9	140:17 150:13	132:16 143:20	140:10	perceive 145:3
owned 202:14	151:18,21 157:17	152:22 159:23	peculiar 103:11	perception 99:4
	179:5 203:17	161:11 162:17	Penfold 60:24	perfectly 36:11
	212:6	163:2 182:22	penis 200:12	124:15
P	paper 42:5,10	participants 92:9	penitence 21:5,11	period 193:7
Pacey 45:20 108:2	156:23 181:23	participate 162:13	21:22 35:9,21	210:11
page 1:23,23 8:3,3	papers 43:7 103:1	particular 20:16	73:5	periods 67:21
8:4 11:3,5 23:16	126:16 164:10	25:8 39:5 42:19	penitential 73:8	Perkins 161:1
26:14 27:16 32:14	182:18	42:20 57:4 73:22	74:1	permission 189:13
42:19,19 45:6,6	paragraph 3:2,17	86:19,20 126:19	penultimate 23:18	209:12
71:8 72:22 76:15	4:19,25 5:4 6:16	139:4 153:14	people 4:24 6:23	permissiveness
88:7 116:16	6:20 8:4 9:17,19	170:1 187:23	8:5,19,23 12:16	73:2
117:11 118:16,17	14:1 15:7 18:1,10	191:8 194:14	16:9,9,16 18:9,14	permutation 8:9
118:19 128:7	18:11,13 19:15	196:11 203:10	26:9 29:6 31:8,16	Perry 3:13,23 5:13
134:4,21 145:23	20:18,20,25 21:10	210:24	33:25 40:19 54:22	7:7,11,13,25
163:16,18 172:4	21:18,24 23:18	particularly 4:24	56:19 61:13 62:2	33:20
177:4 183:8,14	24:18 25:3 30:5	16:15 22:6 24:5	66:23 67:5 71:1	Perry's 33:17
184:10 185:7,8	36:18,21 56:12	48:6 51:18 107:20	71:16 72:3,8 73:3	person 3:9 9:14
186:18,25 189:3	88:7 137:21	136:17 168:5	73:7,13,15 74:7	19:10,20 30:24
190:24,24 192:20	145:20,22,23	172:3	75:10 81:25 84:21	73:16 84:7,9 85:4
paper 111:5				
pages 51:2,3 103:8				

85:8,9,11 86:20 88:20 101:24 102:15 123:15 124:17,19 129:6 129:14 133:1 134:20 156:22 157:1,4,8,9 174:1 174:6 179:13 208:22 person's 9:20 personal 6:10 13:7 22:5 174:4 personally 42:15 51:19 55:4 75:24 148:17 177:5 180:20 184:17 198:7 personnel 67:10 160:15 perspective 10:8 165:7 166:2,8 197:23 199:25 persuade 124:19 128:4 persuaded 121:3 131:17 139:1 persuading 123:1 pervverting 101:19 102:23 121:22 Peter 1:5 4:2,7,17 5:2,5 6:23 8:7,12 8:20 9:1 11:8 12:3,19 14:4,14 14:20 15:2,14,18 15:24 16:5,10,19 17:23 18:4,8 20:5 22:4,9 23:2 27:10 27:15 28:2 29:12 33:2 34:12 35:7 35:13 36:5,25 37:5,24 39:5,17 39:19,23,25 40:1 40:4,8,11,12,14 40:20 41:5,8,14 41:18 42:24,25	43:4,6,16 46:16 47:9 58:11,24 60:19 61:1,19 63:5 64:24 65:14 65:19,24 66:1,19 68:21 69:17 70:15 79:2,11,15 83:18 88:18 94:16,19 99:2 105:14 107:11,14 110:18 114:7 116:7,9,20 121:10 125:15 126:12 133:17 141:19 142:3,10 143:10 159:8,14 162:7 163:13 164:3,13 165:14 165:16 169:12,20 170:1 171:3,9 175:5 177:12,15 178:14,23 180:17 180:18 181:3,15 182:4 185:15,19 186:10,23 189:9 189:22 190:4 191:6,9,21 192:2 192:4 196:5 198:7 198:13 199:3,19 199:20 200:10,12 200:14 201:10 205:17 206:1,14 207:11,22 208:4 208:21,25 209:6 209:10,11,17,24 210:3,6,14 211:2 Peter's 40:22 88:12 Phil 197:12 Philip 197:3 phone 54:10 65:10 65:11,18,24 66:11 71:18,24 77:6,12 79:11 93:17 97:24 110:19 114:23 123:16 phoned 65:7 93:1	97:11 102:7,8,15 130:21 132:1 150:18,22 151:1 193:24 phones 54:12 66:1 phoning 77:15 101:10 110:22 phrase 28:13 physical 142:15 196:12 pick 71:18 83:2 100:7 picked 71:24 96:21 98:6 picture 35:7 90:21 145:8 pilgrimage 62:5 pin 38:7 place 15:11 36:22 50:19 67:4 92:19 110:23 112:18 113:7 124:10 166:7 167:15,19 169:13 184:19 194:1,17 198:19 208:19 placed 38:10 66:19 places 89:22 plain 65:22 plainly 128:13 play 47:22 147:3 played 13:24 124:13 141:8 200:10 Player 204:20 Players 205:7 playing 61:9 200:13 plea 196:11 210:9 plead 143:22 144:5 144:7,8 pleaded 144:11 159:14 165:17 198:13 210:16 pleading 198:21	pleas 194:22,25 195:16 196:17 197:2,18 199:3 please 1:12 2:17 35:4 51:20 72:22 85:2 88:8 110:5 116:16 117:11 125:19 126:22 138:7,16 140:15 170:12 172:7 183:8 184:10 185:7 187:1 190:14,24 192:19 192:20 195:17 pleased 118:23 144:18 plural 28:23 pm 113:23,25 114:2 157:22,24 211:12 point 2:11 3:8 6:12 10:5 12:21 16:24 24:4,15,22 26:8 26:18 28:15 33:24 34:7 35:8 57:5,14 58:3 61:25 64:6 69:23 81:6 82:5 86:8 98:25 114:19 117:1 125:23 131:18 138:2 144:11 145:2,7 147:21 151:22 156:14 165:15 168:21 169:17 182:6,21 183:6,17 187:18 190:14 195:25 196:4 points 34:1 44:22 63:21 Polecat 40:6 police 1:6,7 9:21 14:3 21:6,12 23:20 24:3,6,20 29:4 30:15 37:1 45:18 46:3,4,9	49:3 51:22 52:4,5 52:16,18 53:14 55:6,10 56:14 58:16,17 64:18 65:1,15 66:1,8 67:3 71:13,24 79:24 84:1 87:2,4 88:10,16 92:20,21 92:22 93:1,2 95:7 95:8 98:12 102:10 102:18 108:23 110:19 111:6 112:7 113:2,13 116:10 117:10 119:10 121:7 122:19 124:17 127:4 128:8 129:10 132:1 134:6 136:17 138:10 140:21,24 147:18 153:23,23 154:3,5,14 155:3 155:16,21,24 158:5 159:25 161:12,22 162:9 164:11,12 168:16 168:17 169:10,11 171:23 175:19,24 179:3,9,17 180:1 181:4 182:21 183:25 186:9 188:12 191:1,2 194:24 195:11 197:19 202:5,15 210:1,12 policeman 58:15 76:24 policy 52:8 159:13 159:17 170:15,17 170:18,20 171:2 171:15,16,18,19 175:8,10 184:11 189:2 192:1,22 politically 147:9 poor 203:12
---	---	---	---	---

poorly 29:9	powerless 193:9	pressure 64:23	privilege 128:16	157:13,15
Porter 45:19	practicable 139:5	66:3 70:7,8,11	probably 23:11	profession 99:16
144:15 146:5,24	practically 138:6	163:24 172:23	31:10 44:16 46:11	208:12
147:16,18	practice 25:21 64:5	pressurised 69:13	47:20 52:1,8,11	professional 57:11
portions 159:20	64:8 113:4 132:12	presumably 26:25	52:13 53:5,7,16	105:23 128:15
posh 77:21	150:21	pretty 14:9 53:20	54:4 60:17 61:11	176:1
position 27:19	practices 160:19	93:8,9 100:10	62:15 69:16 71:21	professionally
36:19 56:18 61:24	pray 6:17	101:5	71:24 72:2 75:1	121:6
70:5 78:20 90:1	prayer 92:5	prevent 96:10	77:5 80:22 81:16	progress 170:5
122:14 124:4	prayers 5:5 6:24	100:20 179:13	81:22 89:3 92:5	203:24
125:13 149:10	18:15,23 207:16	preventing 129:25	94:3 96:25 98:7	promises 125:12
150:1 155:22	praying 41:6 64:11	previous 118:17	101:14,23 102:2	promoted 144:19
188:12,14 203:7	pre-empted 83:13	previously 20:14	102:13 104:23	promotion 48:25
211:2	precedent 198:2	priest 11:11 57:9	107:7 108:13	prompted 123:16
positions 31:8	precisely 156:15	207:19	109:17 112:4,23	proper 12:9 23:4
positive 8:8	predict 139:24	prima 142:24,25	114:10,19 125:5	38:7 120:20
positively 138:21	preferred 133:18	143:11	146:13 152:5	140:23 146:12
possession 83:17	preliminary 44:10	primarily 43:18,19	172:2 196:21,21	154:19 155:25
173:16 174:12	premier 206:8	primary 153:13	probing 99:24	156:2 157:18
182:7 183:20,25	premises 86:18	Prince 15:8,19	probity 49:11	208:15
possibility 114:6	130:8	78:25 170:16	problem 97:7 99:4	properly 109:6,8
144:13	preparation 191:14	171:3,9 172:6,9	99:23 105:7	115:20 121:6
possible 9:21 30:8	prepare 10:12	172:15 173:11,12	108:21 113:13	145:7
36:22 37:16 44:22	prepared 42:7	173:23 174:14,22	139:4 190:23	property 128:23
88:22 89:11 90:3	91:13 124:25	175:6	209:16	129:2,5,9,15
91:10 96:8 115:17	155:13 185:14	principal 15:8	problems 29:20	proportionate
125:24 141:21	210:6	184:8,23	36:24 57:19 145:3	199:14
possibly 101:8	preparing 50:18	prior 1:10 2:24	147:7,8 187:15	proposal 125:17
115:15 167:6	presence 206:19	51:9 61:1 195:3	procedure 141:1	151:1
177:15	present 54:18	priorities 206:25	184:25	propose 158:21
post 200:23,24	95:14 103:22	207:4	proceed 1:12	204:1 211:10
postdated 201:18	209:14	prioritising 14:3,11	154:16	proposed 80:18
potato 154:17,19	presenting 90:21	priority 208:16	proceeded 153:6	pros 151:24
154:22	195:3	priory 40:18 93:23	proceedings 134:9	prosecute 88:11
potential 162:6	press 3:13,25 4:6	97:11,19 205:23	172:1 198:15	89:14 169:16
164:6,19,22	4:17 5:22 6:1,8,15	207:3,10	process 42:17,20	prosecuted 28:20
165:23,24 171:12	7:8,11 17:16,18	prison 34:15,23	58:1 65:6 67:4	89:16 134:11
174:2 194:22,25	20:13 22:11,14	35:1	70:19 71:3 79:23	135:8
195:16	23:8 30:25 94:25	prisoner 67:5	80:8 100:5 129:17	prosecution 25:5
potentially 124:8	116:14 154:8	70:14	130:17 152:23	88:18,19 132:13
135:24 137:17	164:17,23,25	prisoners 67:2,5,12	154:23 193:10	146:2 149:21
172:15 173:23	165:1,23 166:16	private 15:8 24:20	195:18 198:11	176:25 182:12
175:1	193:3 194:4 199:5	34:12,14 111:20	produced 161:21	183:11 188:8
power 27:19,20	pressed 192:9	139:5 178:4	163:10	189:18 190:5,8,10
31:8,13	pressing 91:15	207:21,25 208:1	PROF 156:7,12,19	194:21 195:1,7,10

196:3 202:10 Prosecutions 41:20 prosecutor 109:25 prospect 153:5 179:18 protect 67:8 122:24 163:21 167:19 protection 45:10 79:1 109:6 protest 74:20 protocol 193:22 proud 28:13 168:5 prove 71:1,4 81:4 proved 5:21 proven 4:23 7:15 106:15 provenance 4:11 provide 136:25 170:21 178:8 202:17 206:9 provided 158:7,11 159:18,24 174:13 178:18 181:24 185:23 202:4 209:8 providing 50:4 128:14 168:14 201:9 prudent 9:25 Pryor 34:22 psychiatric 139:13 psychological 56:24 public 2:19 3:3,19 8:15 10:1 14:14 14:21 15:2,4 16:20,23,25 17:8 19:17 25:4 33:2 34:19 41:20 46:8 76:19 88:12 89:9 123:23 135:25 136:4,5 140:7 146:1 147:1 163:2 165:10 166:4,7 179:19 193:4	194:19 196:19 197:25 199:11,17 201:1,18 202:16 210:17 public-facing 25:15 38:10 publicised 72:5 publicity 72:1 83:21 164:9,13,25 165:1,6,15,18 167:7 publicly 16:14 139:21 published 39:6 193:13 punished 88:19 punishment 33:4 pupil 207:6 pupils 207:6,21 208:2 purely 29:1 Purkis 1:6,14,16,25 2:10,18 4:11 5:16 6:25 7:21 9:6 14:23 15:21 17:15 18:16 19:23 22:19 24:21 25:10 26:13 30:6 33:12 34:9 35:3,15 36:14 37:18,25 38:14,17 211:20 Purkis's 1:13 purpose 114:10 115:4 126:17 177:8 purposes 45:10 pursue 201:5,14 pursuing 11:8 pursuit 20:23 pushed 37:16 49:19 pushing 10:16 put 10:25 45:16 47:13 51:19 54:1 59:12 63:6,9 64:2 66:3,12 70:5,7,10	76:24 79:25 80:6 84:17 92:6 95:6 95:24 98:22,24 100:22 105:16 111:21 114:6 126:1 130:18,22 147:3 149:11 152:17 153:7 167:14,19,22 168:8 169:17 181:23 187:12 194:7,17 putting 96:11 122:2,21 136:16 143:14 160:22	164:2,15 204:1 quiet 54:23 quite 12:8 17:6 23:8 31:9 40:14 45:7 46:14 56:20 57:17 62:12 65:1 67:18 72:8 76:5 88:22,25 90:5,25 93:16 96:19,23 97:25 102:21 110:12 111:23 113:14 116:24 122:8 124:24 125:10 131:21 137:20 142:21 145:7 146:12 147:23 154:11 165:10 166:14 176:7 182:15 quote 18:10 quoted 32:17 148:18	reach 143:16 163:20 reached 185:16 192:21,25 reaching 188:7 react 150:5,10 reaction 33:19 54:1 55:17 74:3 101:12 120:24 122:8 reactions 141:7 read 2:15 6:11,14 9:3 12:12 20:17 23:7 28:5,16 38:20,23,24 42:1 42:13 43:6 45:1 45:11 64:13 89:4 111:3,8,22 112:11 114:20 116:6 125:19 132:18,25 136:12,25 143:6 156:23,23 158:13 171:1 172:8 192:23 204:1,5,8 209:21 210:9,25 211:25 212:13 readily 206:21 207:8 reading 18:16 114:17 143:6 real 181:12,20 198:20 209:16 realise 73:3 77:24 191:20 realised 114:11 116:20 118:24 131:19 152:20 realising 152:17 realistic 179:18 reality 36:25 135:6 153:25 154:5 really 2:23 12:18 13:6 16:2 22:8 28:17 35:6,23 55:15 56:22 58:25 62:22 72:3 75:12
		Q		
		QC 196:2 qualify 121:11 quarters 30:13 Queen's 111:3 question 7:1,5 21:14 23:2 29:25 35:18 36:2 37:6 83:11 123:5 151:3 153:3 154:25 155:15 156:8,12 165:22 173:9,21 174:11 179:21 187:23 188:9 191:17,22 196:8 201:21,25 questioned 109:8 questioning 63:13 67:15 questions 22:13,15 22:17,18 33:1,10 34:13 38:15,17 123:7 151:18,18 151:21 181:24 191:5 203:16,17 203:19 212:6 quick 30:10 195:18 quickly 26:11 53:20,22 58:4 69:8 70:22 160:13	R	Racing 147:5 radar 136:8,14,21 Radford 7:7,10 radio 71:25 77:11 Radley 206:23 raised 15:14 22:13 182:5 raising 32:4 ran 49:5 77:11 149:11,22 rang 53:21 97:24 169:17 rank 46:7,12,12,13 47:5,5 53:4,8 ranks 49:1 60:9 rape 99:18 rapidly 206:25 rapport 65:3 110:13 Rathbone 74:12 rationale 155:22 170:21

78:19 79:25 84:5 84:15,19 86:24 87:23 89:3,13 90:5,22 92:3 94:25 95:22 96:2 100:1 126:25 128:20 129:24 135:19 137:21 138:2 141:18 144:3 145:1 149:24 152:25 156:3 166:2,14 167:12 181:9 188:15 192:16 197:22 198:4 200:22 realm 165:10 rearrest 107:11 rearrested 109:18 reason 42:9 55:24 86:19 92:13 95:23 105:2 107:24 137:12 143:14 145:3,12,12 186:1 188:4 192:10,12 208:10 209:3 211:1 reasonable 58:23 59:1 153:4 reasonably 137:10 137:11 reasoning 13:5 reasons 20:8 85:15 108:13 203:12 reassure 95:17 rebuild 70:21 recall 2:21,22 5:9 7:1 15:11,15 19:21 35:12 40:4 41:4,12 42:23 47:14 55:6 60:8 77:8 120:16 210:2 210:12 receipt 165:5 receive 74:23 107:1	152:5 169:3,6 173:10 179:6 207:6 received 24:2 75:16 111:5 131:6,9 161:7,22,25 170:8 178:21 182:6 189:10 192:24 199:21 receiving 131:5 recognising 195:6 recollection 42:3,6 66:4 103:14 115:21 131:6 recommend 125:17 126:3 135:11 142:23 recommendation 127:18 157:9 recommendations 125:20,21 137:19 141:5 151:25 194:8 recommended 127:4 133:19 134:1 recommending 135:15,19 record 4:23 104:20 105:2,6,16 106:15 170:25 172:22 173:1 178:22 207:23 208:9,23 recorded 105:8 172:18 recording 104:3,16 records 170:19 recounting 96:9 recruits 205:25 recused 62:9 recusing 62:2 redacted 2:3,5 27:10 84:24 refer 2:11,12 44:23 59:7 159:19 197:8	reference 19:5,6,9 19:11,12,13 20:1 34:10 42:25 111:13 137:23 158:10,12 references 33:14 referral 50:7 referred 34:3 41:24 155:7 182:16,18 183:5 188:15 referring 28:15 30:6 32:12,24 33:10 179:10 189:24 reflection 22:1 reflective 147:13 reflects 5:19 refreshes 170:24 refusal 195:20 209:24 refused 209:25 regard 166:13 168:4 185:11 194:13,15 195:8 regarding 59:9 86:19 175:15 177:1 region 62:19 regret 21:5 regrets 21:11 regular 59:22 reinterviewed 109:17 reinvestigation 176:21 reiterate 83:2 reiterates 18:11 relate 132:17 related 83:17 183:21 relating 47:18 51:13 123:15 160:2 relation 4:2 23:22 96:12 126:16	156:20 167:22 171:20 178:5,7 186:23 188:18,22 194:22 197:2 201:1,21 relations 31:13 relationship 57:10 63:2,22 107:3 140:10 175:6 177:11 relatively 48:19 204:2 relax 166:5 relayed 65:17 relaying 66:10 release 4:17 5:22 6:2,9,15 7:11 22:11,15 23:8 30:25 released 17:22 71:20 121:19 releases 4:1,6 7:8 17:16,18,22 20:13 relevance 173:21 relevant 44:14,22 59:2 74:13 83:9 83:10 144:20 145:16 146:1,4,9 146:10,23 159:20 171:5 173:22,25 174:5,6,11,18 191:19 192:15 reliable 179:16 relieve 181:10 religious 41:2 68:11 73:11 85:15 116:13 152:22 205:20 relooking 11:13 reluctant 95:6 96:8 rely 120:15 159:13 remain 24:20 remained 48:23,25 206:21 remains 185:22	remark 120:22 remarks 1:3 211:17 remember 2:23 6:23 7:3 20:15 22:18 28:14 29:18 39:20 40:7,16 41:7,15 50:13 54:23 55:11 56:6 61:10 64:3,12 65:21 66:5 74:6 75:7 77:9,9,18 78:9 84:15,18 92:25 103:13 106:24 115:16 116:23 120:9 123:20 131:5 145:13 148:5,11 148:12 161:14 162:19 193:25 207:14 remind 1:20 35:5 remorse 21:9,16 43:10 removal 56:17 remove 106:1,3,3 removed 56:17,22 104:23 208:11 Renton 38:23,25 39:2,7 42:2 43:21 211:24 Renton's 38:20 repeat 176:21 repeated 66:8 repercussions 10:9 replied 116:9 reply 184:14 report 51:2,3 63:6 63:9 78:3,4 84:17 96:11 114:20 115:24 116:3 123:21,24 124:5 124:14 125:11,19 125:23 126:6 127:17,21 132:6
---	---	---	--	---

132:13,15 133:16 136:22 137:1 143:15 176:6,24 202:11,17 208:10 208:13 reported 71:9 reporting 164:10 165:4 reports 131:8 154:12 165:5 177:9,10 178:16 202:8 representations 70:20 109:10,11 126:23 127:1 representative 180:5 representing 111:2 151:4 189:22 reputation 3:19 82:5 reputational 189:23 reputationally 189:20 request 26:23 191:1 requesting 209:10 requests 26:22 27:1 require 86:7 required 80:21 116:25 139:13,14 rerun 175:20 research 84:25 resentment 35:9 residence 93:13 resident 205:13 208:2,7 residential 47:18 resign 18:5,18 88:14 89:18 116:11 149:10,19 149:23 150:1 resignation 4:7 38:2 39:13 43:14	88:12 116:8 149:5 149:7,17 208:19 resigned 14:4,14 17:23 20:6 89:13 114:7 149:15 resigns 89:5 resist 54:5 resource-wise 84:20 respect 27:3 28:1 48:3 60:7,18 67:11 73:20 85:3 125:22 133:20 134:2,18 135:7,11 135:12,13 137:1 142:24 143:1 181:9 respected 62:14 respects 138:18 respond 188:3 responded 190:7 responding 42:17 42:21 response 9:11 38:1 38:4 101:12,16 169:23 173:13 182:5 197:14 responses 210:22 responsibilities 30:23 responsibility 13:7 13:7,20 31:19 195:2 responsible 18:12 30:14 81:1 155:3 rest 76:7 152:7 166:12 restored 6:18 restriction 85:3 result 8:9 37:2 41:5 43:9 72:4 83:21 94:13 127:25 159:24 176:13 196:17 resulted 134:6	199:5 resulting 72:1 results 200:2 retired 11:13,14,21 44:6 46:7 51:8,12 retirement 46:10 208:18 retiring 207:2 retrieved 183:21 return 15:4,14 16:20 17:7 37:5,7 44:1 113:22 157:21 184:22 returned 181:16 207:12 returning 15:2 16:25 156:8 returns 33:2 revealed 92:17 Reverend 1:7 18:4 58:9 103:24 111:19 112:6 116:3,3 118:10 120:7 121:3 127:23,24 129:17 140:12 203:25 206:1 review 12:5 13:1 14:3,11 17:12 26:3 38:8 91:1 190:21 202:1 reviews 160:3 revisit 56:9 revisited 136:18 RFU 204:20 205:6 Rhoda 126:12 148:11,17 rid 89:4 105:4 right 2:16 3:7,20 4:3,8 6:7 10:22 11:22 14:6 15:21 18:4 20:10 23:7 23:15 24:17 25:17 26:15,24 30:21 32:6 34:16,18	39:7 50:23 52:8 54:7 58:13 61:3 63:14 67:17 68:13 68:25 69:4,8,21 75:19 80:11 83:19 85:11 93:1,18,21 95:1 101:6 103:23 109:24 115:6 116:1 119:22 126:4 127:3,5 133:8 134:13 135:14 136:5,8 147:20 148:21 149:4 156:11 159:4,23 162:8,11 162:22 163:1 169:6,18 178:21 180:18 182:14 183:9 192:9 196:20,22 199:10 201:22 rightly 64:3 145:13 ring 81:16 111:6,7 ringing 78:11 102:9 risk 27:18 81:25 163:23,25 road 170:5 robes 67:7 Robson 12:1 rock 146:19 207:5 role 3:3,18 39:25 47:7 79:25,25 113:2 124:13 141:8 145:2,7 147:25 168:16,17 168:17 194:24 204:23 roles 47:22 Rome 62:5 room 61:14 67:16 67:16 103:15 113:5 116:6 rooms 200:15 Ros 1:8 90:14	95:13 102:7 203:25 rough 99:22 roughly 44:18 132:8 routinely 52:6 Royal 78:25 79:1 130:1 RTY000001_173 116:1 RTY00001_208 129:18 rubbing 55:17 rubbish 80:7 121:11 125:1 rugby 65:5 rules 171:25 rumours 8:5 run 45:24 163:23 running 47:10 50:13 97:19 208:16 runs 158:10,12 rush 104:9 rusty 80:17 134:13
				S
				sad 130:7 saddened 210:10 sadly 25:6 48:6 153:19 167:9 sadness 20:18 142:9 safeguarding 161:2 161:3 168:3 Safety 204:20 saintly 76:9 sample 49:13 sat 55:11 103:18,22 124:3 200:1 satisfied 200:21 Saturday 79:12,13 saved 117:14 saves 107:7 saw 34:21 40:6 47:4 57:1 123:4

124:14 128:10 149:18 151:11,14 152:7 198:8 saying 10:2 14:2,10 16:11 23:7 27:14 35:22 51:20 58:9 58:21 66:5 71:5 74:2 84:18 89:2,5 102:9 103:13 106:24 108:19,19 114:9,15 115:9 116:23 118:13 123:14 125:14 135:19 137:2,8 138:5 139:20 141:18,19 142:5 143:9 144:9 149:9 149:14,19 157:4 174:2,8 185:8 186:5 187:25 190:22 says 11:7 18:13 22:15,17,23 23:9 24:18 36:23 42:2 72:21 91:1 105:5 120:4,25 122:10 125:15 126:21 127:3 130:4,9 138:16 140:9,17 140:20 142:19 144:19 146:24 172:6 179:22 190:25 205:11 scandal 129:25 scenario 8:10,12 scheme 40:17 151:7 152:9 Schemers 151:7,12 152:13 163:7,14 schisms 147:8 school 60:22 61:7 61:17 62:7 204:22 205:13,19,19 206:7,8 207:9,14 207:25 208:6,15	208:17 schools 61:4 76:19 205:9,12 206:20 207:18 science 206:3 Scolding 44:5,9,10 85:2,7 113:19,22 113:24 114:3 151:17 157:17 212:4 screen 2:11 4:14 7:24 26:15 30:7 44:14,24 45:1 scrutiny 13:17,23 124:3,6 183:18 seal 75:25 searching 33:1 second 18:11 19:11 20:19 32:1 68:10 68:12 88:7 106:11 158:11 seconded 160:20 Secondly 44:15 138:16 secretary 2:19 3:3 3:13 15:9 39:11 103:20 section 134:19,24 135:1,2,2 sector 2:25 secure 168:19 175:15 security 13:15 see 1:24,25 2:10 4:25 5:7 6:2,25 8:2,16 9:17 10:2 11:7,15,20,24 13:5 17:25 18:6 18:16 20:11,25 21:7,17,18 22:16 23:16,24 27:1,16 31:20 37:11 42:18 53:17 55:14 61:18 73:8 74:25 75:1 79:12,15 83:1	84:10 86:5 96:18 100:13 102:10 103:17 112:20 114:23 122:5,22 123:9 124:16,18 125:20 127:18,23 128:8 129:3,5,6 129:10,12,14 131:13 134:3 140:17 149:9 155:5,17 173:20 177:13 182:18 186:21 189:12,25 190:1 191:3,7 192:4,5,11 197:23 seed 69:10 seedy 113:7 seeing 60:9 64:7 seek 41:17 59:5 101:20 seeking 110:1 seeks 210:23 seen 2:5 10:21 13:20 29:21,25 30:13,18 63:19 70:11 72:14 76:6 76:17 88:19 91:20 91:21 96:2,14 99:1 102:17 112:23 113:16 141:6 155:14 156:17,19 191:15 191:23,24 seminars 207:20 send 75:21 86:10 86:11 187:3 sending 7:22 33:8 senior 3:4,9 8:24 9:14 11:17 12:23 12:24 14:10 46:23 49:16 52:25 53:8 59:5 77:3 104:17 105:9 112:14 123:17 124:6 160:11 166:8	192:14 202:13 sense 9:11 10:17 13:16 28:10 75:2 83:5 117:3,9 127:20 128:10 160:11 sensing 99:8 sensitive 171:15,18 171:19,22 172:3 192:12 sensitivities 171:23 sent 8:1 42:4,25 47:17 68:22 75:22 75:23,25 76:16 83:25,25 123:25 127:9 162:21 190:16 191:2 207:5 sentence 27:5 165:18 199:21 sentenced 43:5 199:4 sentences 88:9 sentencing 42:24 43:8 separate 32:19 51:10 September 133:20 September/Octo... 16:19 sequence 141:10 sergeant 47:17 53:19 57:18 70:18 81:21 113:15 series 67:17 191:5 195:18 serious 10:4 26:4 28:19,22 29:14 50:13 90:17 93:20 102:21 135:3 143:12 seriously 25:24,25 26:6 28:15 42:14 seriousness 9:22 12:10,18 16:21	17:12 26:5 serve 39:15 served 39:9,10,13 142:22 206:3 serves 187:22 198:17 service 2:24 48:8 49:3 132:13 176:25 183:11 190:10 195:7 202:10 services 50:8 57:7 168:1 205:14 207:20 servicing 1:7 194:15 set 37:4,14,18,24 49:9 103:6 125:22 141:4 143:3 148:16 150:3 160:6 166:23 sets 133:1,9,10 setting 13:8 71:4 138:12 198:2 210:21 settled 129:21 seven 90:20 165:15 sexual 27:7 31:21 41:10 47:11 49:23 49:24 50:13 58:1 73:2 93:20 115:4 142:17,22 168:5 208:25 sexuality 96:24 98:9,22 99:25 shady 4:12 shame 17:12 shape 51:17 share 31:19 128:11 shared 183:25 Sharpling 153:2,3 154:8,11,14,18,21 154:24 155:2,6 156:5 she'd 57:12 shed 89:24
--	---	---	---	--

<p>sheet' 130:6 shift 71:20 shining 103:17 shocking 69:16 shop 132:16 short 31:17 44:3 114:1 142:12 157:18,23 181:15 187:17 204:2 shortly 56:25 71:9 82:16,16 130:13 150:8,9 shout 60:12 show 49:13 127:13 showed 122:7 shown 41:24 49:10 106:10,12 189:11 shows 135:20 Shropshire 204:15 204:16 shy 49:15 sic 30:16 side 35:20,21 46:11 61:23 71:2 112:12 153:21 176:14,16 183:4 sight 119:12,13 192:17 sign 179:20,22 signal 64:25 signals 33:8 signature 2:3,5 43:21 45:8 signed 1:24 180:12 significance 82:8 significant 16:2 45:25 176:23 185:14 189:19 190:9 196:7 significantly 37:2 silly 41:8 similar 119:14 134:1 137:17,18 139:15 175:10 210:20,22</p>	<p>Simon 183:17,20 183:22 184:22 190:13 191:16 192:24 193:9,23 simply 59:20 73:25 119:8 210:13 simultaneously 23:3 singing 61:8 single 133:1 162:24 sinned 19:9 SIO 46:23,25 132:19 146:10 147:19,23,25 160:11 172:22 Sir 65:14,19,24 66:1 156:6,7,12 156:19 157:13,15 206:2 Sisters 116:12 sit 95:20 109:21 sitting 17:9 60:8 situated 61:12 situation 77:4 98:1 99:24 106:23 108:25 110:2 116:19 121:1 147:20 184:21 six 81:11,14,16 90:20 159:11 202:19 size 176:24 skew 37:2 skip 184:10 185:13 slapped 119:20 slightest 142:15 slightly 92:8 103:11 118:14 171:7 183:22 slipped 25:20 smalltalk 64:21 smile 63:7 social 40:11 47:19 47:22 society 33:9 146:20</p>	<p>146:22 147:13 205:22 206:24 207:1 soft 62:11 solely 138:24 solicitor 101:16,17 109:16,21 110:20 111:19 112:1 208:21 solicitor's 182:1 solicitors 110:3,8 110:13,18,22 111:1 112:15,20 113:3 126:12 143:22 181:24 191:21 somebody 11:21 13:1 33:21 51:25 53:3 71:13,23 74:25 83:24 87:13 87:16 95:21 105:5 105:9,11,11 108:22 110:19 113:18 123:8 129:3 130:16 172:9 173:15 179:6 203:7 somewhat 122:14 160:18 169:13 198:2 203:9 son 209:11 soon 9:21 14:19 22:24 34:5 169:19 169:20,25 sooner 58:24 sorrow 18:3,12,17 21:5,11 sorry 1:24 2:4,22 2:23 23:16 26:17 32:18 34:5 65:22 85:6 87:10 145:22 147:17 149:5 154:24 156:18 197:22 202:2 sort 11:10 12:5,7</p>	<p>13:9 14:20 17:8 28:7,23 30:19 37:24 46:11 48:2 49:10 54:1,3,24 55:17,25 56:24 62:16 68:15 69:3 77:20 85:15 86:9 90:20 93:17,21 94:20 96:21 103:20,25 104:11 104:13 108:4 111:20 115:3 119:20,25 127:13 131:2 135:13 137:20 140:13 142:5 169:1 171:14 181:22 184:18 186:9,14 188:10,11,11,13 189:7 203:1 sorts 29:6 52:6 74:23 126:24 177:12 198:18 sought 145:13 151:8 sound 113:7 sounding 108:14 sounds 54:3 104:13 196:22 source 41:12 50:11 southern 206:13 span 165:19 speak 12:15 64:24 70:5 78:11 82:19 85:19,20 86:15 87:9 94:20 101:20 107:25 110:20 111:7 150:20 160:15 163:7 177:6 speaking 3:7 78:20 speaks 117:20 special 66:24 146:16 specialist 49:24</p>	<p>50:3,8,12 139:14 168:22 specific 50:5 206:18 specifically 16:7 33:18 41:12 51:11 147:6 197:9 speculate 75:10,11 speculated 13:6 speculation 13:25 95:23 spending 36:1 SPIRE 205:6 spiritual 20:24 25:21 64:5 73:3 74:1 152:10 spoke 7:12 12:14 47:23 49:16 63:10 68:10 79:4,8,16 82:18 97:7,24 101:16 106:16 110:7 141:20 151:12 161:22 162:8 200:1 spoken 7:10 65:14 82:21 85:8 93:9 93:25 94:9,18 102:5 162:9 178:1 sports 205:10 squash 200:10,13 St 62:6 85:1,13 staff 8:24 79:1 103:21 104:8 123:16 153:16 172:9 207:21 208:10,13 Stafford 204:6,8,9 212:12 stage 8:21 9:25 10:14 11:20 13:17 14:9 16:21 24:1 37:5 43:13 47:2 60:1 64:23 67:14 81:6 94:2 115:21 140:11 161:6</p>
---	---	--	--	--

167:15 182:15 195:15 196:16 210:5 stages 143:2 178:12 stamp 4:15 stand-alone 196:19 standard 132:12 141:1,1,3 standards 173:1 176:1 179:10,12 179:24 standing 29:24 Starmer 185:4 start 35:22 54:10 54:11 80:7 93:3 99:24 109:4 119:15 129:7 137:20 138:6 167:17 186:17 195:21 started 2:21 14:10 14:11 54:15 65:5 66:4 69:24 80:2 103:1 118:2,8 122:18 164:8 165:4 176:9 195:18,19 starting 132:7 170:14 starts 27:5 71:3 118:22 131:12 137:21 178:10 state 39:9 121:1 147:5 153:18 185:22 204:9 stated 43:22 56:13 140:4 153:11 165:3 189:14 211:7 statement 1:13,18 1:21 2:5,7,15 3:2 3:16,22 4:19 8:18 10:16,20 11:25 13:5 14:1 15:7,17 19:15,21,24 20:5	21:9 22:21 25:3 25:18 26:20 27:24 28:12 29:16 30:5 32:17 36:15,19 37:18 38:10,20,23 38:25 39:3 43:23 44:12,21 45:3,5,7 45:11,16,23 48:18 49:9 50:18 55:16 56:12 58:18 64:1 64:3 66:5 81:10 81:24 83:16 90:10 95:7 97:17 102:6 103:7 106:19,25 124:18,20 128:9,9 129:8 144:21 145:15,20 150:14 157:2 158:11 159:21 162:4 163:16 167:22 170:12 172:4 176:9,18 177:3,4 177:17,18 178:9 181:25 182:4 183:8 187:10 188:13 189:3 191:16 192:19 193:3,4 194:5,11 195:22 196:15,23 204:2,3,6,8 211:6 211:7,24 212:12 statements 19:17 25:15 27:9 28:14 45:17 56:5 62:19 117:16 121:9,12 121:18 123:14 132:17 158:7,14 158:20,23 201:13 states 210:18 stating 43:21 169:14 190:16 195:23 station 58:7 64:18 65:1 67:3 71:14 71:24 108:23	110:20 112:7 113:13 181:4,7 status 27:19 187:7 statutory 134:8 stay 109:1 207:15 stayed 48:2 staying 53:10 112:8 112:10 116:18 164:22 207:18 Stephen 34:22 58:11 stepping 160:16 stick' 190:21 stir 130:24 stitched 35:22 stone 160:16 stood 55:1 146:20 stop 54:16 59:25 67:8 70:23 82:1 117:9 stopped 14:3 stops 142:12 storage 51:6,9 stories 96:1 story 56:8,11 58:19 71:3,7 138:11 142:6 176:15,16 straight 38:21 62:24 84:8 85:25 105:16 109:5 165:8 straightforward 199:23 strain 187:13 strange 35:9 87:10 strategy 4:1 163:14 167:21 Stratford 61:12 205:23 street 173:14,19,24 174:1,23 strenuous 181:10 stressful 181:11 strong 21:23 109:11 128:18	143:11 stronger 19:18 91:12,13 Stroud 205:18,23 struck 16:16 struggle 96:9 struggling 56:8 96:24 97:12 stuck 145:15 187:9 study 1:5 39:5 188:10 stuff 51:11,18 subconsciously 98:13 subject 19:10 48:11 54:8 120:23 124:6 128:15 134:8 157:8 164:10 169:18 171:19,25 179:11 183:18 202:9 subjects 178:10 submit 116:8 submitted 45:22 160:10 subsequent 56:5 66:11 121:14 subsequently 63:25 85:20 97:24 102:17 166:19 successful 168:4 successfully 194:6 suddenly 117:8 152:19 suffer 43:10 suffered 21:3 76:10 sufficient 17:4 31:4 sufficiently 28:19 suffragan 39:19 suggest 17:7 22:7 56:7 78:21 80:24 81:3 88:11 113:16 119:18 121:14 146:11 169:13 203:8	suggested 41:19 43:7 85:18 96:12 113:12 130:20,20 134:16 150:10 156:25 206:1,13 206:16 suggesting 68:21 131:8 suggestion 66:9 suggestions 78:14 130:21 suggests 176:6 200:24 suicide 153:15,19 166:25 167:2 suicides 48:6 suite 66:20 summarise 72:2 132:21 181:15 summary 180:14 200:9 summer 16:18 sun 103:16 Sunday 79:13 81:10 109:15 110:17,21,24 111:4 superintendent 44:6 46:7,13 47:6 59:4 65:16 82:21 94:8 105:11,15,25 106:18 107:19 144:18 158:1,5,22 159:1 superintendents 53:6 superior 65:10 superiors 63:4 supper 39:20 support 41:14 50:5 50:7,10 54:24 55:6 56:14,24 57:13,15,16 64:4 64:10 96:2 135:17 135:18,24 140:7
--	---	--	--	---

141:9 142:4	59:2	128:21 132:9	teacher 61:5	term 52:25 69:10
155:13 167:11,14	Sussex 39:8,22	143:17 148:10	teachers 60:23	termed 137:17
167:20 168:14,17	40:24 51:22 52:4	155:9 158:22	teaching 61:4	terminology 46:22
168:22 209:18	52:5 92:19,20,21	159:10 166:18	73:19 208:12	terms 6:8 7:16
supported 109:10	92:22,24 93:1,3,6	172:2 175:17	team 92:7 97:12,13	37:22,24 46:9
123:11 140:6	93:12,17,22 94:3	183:7 189:1 192:7	113:8 115:22	68:24 69:16 83:7
supporter 199:2	94:6,10 117:14	198:19 203:7	126:13,14 129:13	91:8,24 92:1
203:9	118:9,21 121:2,7	taken 42:14 67:15	149:6,8 160:6,12	97:10 99:10 108:7
supporters 163:22	123:14 158:5	93:10 99:21	160:20 163:8	115:23 129:20
164:3	159:25 169:10,11	112:18 116:2	168:3,6,13,15	161:8 163:5
supporting 157:10	179:8 181:9	124:18 134:9	171:21 172:24	167:24 176:22
supportive 90:11	204:18,18 210:12	138:21 177:17	175:9 177:6 188:2	188:9 191:12
188:17	swathe 164:3,4	181:3 195:2	191:6 194:7	194:8,17 196:12
suppose 7:5,12	swear 100:8 142:1	208:19 211:4	teams 49:25	198:10,19 199:23
17:9 19:23 25:10	swift 9:14	takes 170:23	Technical 60:22	201:16
149:21 165:3	Switalskis 151:4	talk 25:2,18 34:4	technically 155:23	territorial 93:16
178:6	switch 122:22,23	34:21 55:5 63:5	telephone 59:4	test 44:11 59:2
sure 2:13 13:2	switched 123:1	64:14 65:3,4	76:25 192:24	testament 168:19
32:10,16 34:11	131:22	69:19 81:9 87:17	telephoned 8:6	testimonials 77:23
36:7 40:5 42:23	sworn 1:14 44:8	89:19 98:1,23	40:12 41:16 71:25	testimony 167:24
55:4 88:23 93:9	211:20 212:2	99:25 100:15,19	76:22 82:24 150:6	175:15 177:15,21
95:16 96:18 98:6	sympathetic	108:24 110:2	150:8 210:11	178:7,11 201:9
98:7 113:18	129:24	120:5 168:24	telephoning 57:14	Tewkesbury
123:21 154:18	synopsis 204:11	179:8,9 180:17	77:1 138:9	105:18
161:2 164:5,14	system 137:15	182:14 183:6	telex 52:13,15	thank 1:11,19 2:6
165:25 167:22		185:18	tell 46:8,8 55:10	38:14,16,16,24
168:18 171:20	T	talked 16:13 29:6	57:25 65:13 84:13	45:2 107:10
179:12 180:9	tab 1:18 2:1 4:10	65:5 84:24,24	89:8 92:25 100:9	113:22,24 151:17
188:6 196:6	5:23 7:25 9:5	100:23 121:1	103:12 106:11	153:1,3 156:5,7
Surely 114:14	17:20 20:2 22:12	159:1	108:5,25 128:12	157:13,15,16,16
surprised 15:18	26:12 35:3 36:16	talking 12:19,20	140:16 145:10	157:20,21 158:16
51:24 71:22 83:13	45:4,8 126:8	32:20 55:15,19	169:9 186:1 188:4	159:22 203:19,19
83:15 114:25	129:18 132:7	61:10 65:6 69:25	192:25 193:1,23	204:5 211:11
115:8	133:14,15 137:22	78:1 95:16 98:11	193:23 209:25	thanks 117:8
Surrey 179:9	137:25 186:16	99:9 111:23 112:1	210:8	129:24 175:17
survivors 27:7	190:14 204:7	146:5,7 166:16	telling 27:21 29:10	Thatcher's 39:11
31:20 32:3 151:5	table 103:18	talks 20:19,25	56:11 77:12	39:13
162:6 164:20,24	tackle 139:3	130:15	143:10 183:12	thematic 165:22
186:4 188:7 194:4	tactics 171:23	tamper 106:6	209:17,19 210:2	themes 158:24
194:10 195:15	take 16:12 18:20	tape 104:16,20	tells 130:12	thereabouts 205:3
suspect 66:16	28:15,18 31:19	105:6,7	temptations 54:6	they'd 90:15 99:15
71:23 101:14	35:4 42:12 45:3	tapes 67:20	ten 21:2	100:7,7 113:12
107:24 108:13	45:23 56:15 57:1	task 93:21 102:17	tended 32:5	145:8 152:18
179:20 187:8	64:13 69:25 80:23	208:16	tends 119:18	156:23 176:24
suspicion 58:23	83:17 91:9 98:21	taught 205:20	tenor 169:10	thing 8:11 20:16
	117:16 119:1,3			

25:8 30:25 33:19 41:8,9 55:10 67:2 71:14 76:18,20 82:5,10 92:18 108:25 110:8 119:22 120:20 130:22 153:13 155:10 164:7 171:14 things 3:5 8:14 9:12 16:13 23:12 30:8 36:21 37:2 45:1 49:25 70:23 74:8 77:9 87:11 87:24 94:20 99:17 102:11,11 106:3,3 113:16 122:17 126:23 130:9,25 138:4 146:8,25 152:1,3 175:24 183:4 198:18 think 2:14 3:16 5:16,19 6:9 7:12 8:12 9:7,11,16 10:2,10 11:8 12:21,22 13:13,13 14:6,12 16:1,3,4,7 16:15 17:2,3,16 18:20 19:2,3,17 19:22 20:10,12,19 22:6,19 23:14 24:5,5,8 25:3,17 26:19 27:23 29:4 29:9,15,15 30:3,6 31:17 32:11,24 33:15,23 34:14,21 35:7,8,15 36:7,7 36:10 37:8,15,19 38:4,9,19 40:8,10 40:19,21 41:19 42:19 47:16 48:22 49:1,13 51:2,5 52:12 54:12,13 59:12,14 60:7,17 62:23 63:17 67:20	69:10,13,17,18 70:7 71:12 72:2 72:17 73:21 75:14 78:12,16,22 79:19 79:24 80:22 81:19 81:21 82:4,17 83:14,25 84:2,15 84:18,22 85:17 88:3 92:5 94:2 96:5,24 98:10,12 98:12,16 99:16 100:11,25 102:7 102:20 103:16 104:18 108:21 112:6 114:17,18 116:8 118:10 120:2,10,13 122:16,20 123:15 123:25 126:9 127:8 128:7 129:13 131:19,22 132:24 133:10,11 135:15 136:3,5,14 137:10 143:24,25 144:10 146:4,5,7 147:2,10,18 148:1 148:14,18 149:16 151:10 152:14 153:4 160:22 166:9 167:5,12 168:2 170:12 171:5 179:18 184:20 188:6 192:14 195:19 203:2,4,5,5,23 thinking 74:7 75:7 78:9,17 88:20 152:20 188:10 third 6:16 68:18,19 Thompson 190:13 191:3 thorough 23:21 24:7 29:5 54:4 132:24 145:4 thought 11:20	22:10 27:25 28:2 41:7 51:25 56:1 60:15 77:2,13 78:8 87:2,4,5 105:3 114:19 115:23 131:10 133:6 176:20 177:18 184:2 203:13 210:2,3 threat 124:21 threatening 104:7 three 47:21 67:21 143:4 161:15 169:19 199:10,16 201:17 three-day 47:17 three/four 48:23 threshold 202:22 202:24 203:14 throw 52:8 thrown 52:6 Thursday 211:14 Tim 39:6,17 40:21 40:24 41:4,7,14 41:22 42:7,22,25 74:12 189:18 190:13 191:3 Tim's 39:25 42:9 time 3:14 5:6 7:21 11:25 13:20 17:2 19:16 24:24 25:15 25:22 26:5 29:12 31:10,17,24 33:15 35:13,16 36:1,6,9 36:10,20,23 37:3 37:3 38:2,20 39:18 41:12,15,21 42:24 43:25 44:23 46:3,18 47:9 49:2 49:2 50:1,4,9,12 52:12 53:11 55:17 55:22,23 57:5 58:12 67:3,21 70:10 72:10 73:21 73:23 74:8 79:2	80:24 85:24 86:5 91:22 94:18 97:16 98:17 99:22 100:9 102:1 104:18 109:20 110:22 112:15 122:13 130:2 134:8,16,22 135:8 136:3 144:6 144:8 147:6 152:21 153:11 156:16,22 157:18 158:15 160:21 161:18 165:13,17 166:20 167:12 168:10 172:3 176:25 180:21 185:9 186:11 188:20 189:8,10 193:7,16 194:9,21 197:5 201:22 202:20 203:4,9,23 204:3 207:18 208:6 209:5,12,22 210:25 timeframes 185:12 times 36:11 43:16 49:10 today 1:5 7:18 45:21 128:22 146:12 159:11 179:1 184:14,24 191:14 209:1 today's 179:12 Todd 22:24 25:9,16 29:17 52:21 53:10 54:18 55:7 56:13 57:21 68:4,20,20 73:23 79:12 90:11 93:20 95:4 96:2 96:25 130:18 133:22 135:13 139:21 140:4 141:9,14,21,22 142:3 148:25 149:24,25 150:5	153:14 155:13 156:25 157:11 166:18,25 167:5 184:18 187:25 Todd's 52:17 65:8 66:6 88:15 90:17 90:17 94:14 166:20,22 told 11:9 57:18 66:7 69:21 78:2 83:16 87:5 88:17 97:5,18 104:5 114:4,14 116:11 118:10 130:10 133:2 137:13 148:25 178:16 184:7 189:17 204:3 209:6 210:1 210:4,13 tomorrow 53:17 111:12 183:3 193:1 194:18 204:4 211:10 tone 166:23 tongue 117:15 118:14 tool 166:14 top 8:3 60:11,12 80:23 103:17 134:3,20 139:16 144:15,21 183:9 topics 15:13 torture 43:12 total 21:2 80:7 159:10 touch 5:2 83:23 194:3 touched 200:14 tough 49:14 101:5 207:13 town 77:10 track 106:15 163:7 tracking 167:17 tragic 166:22 167:13
--	--	--	--	---

<p>transcript 67:20 transferred 206:12 transparent 63:8 travel 37:14 trawl 24:7 Treasury 39:12 treated 33:7 66:23 69:17 70:13 99:14 177:22 treating 96:11 treatment 66:24 trial 42:24 43:13 99:13,15 129:25 139:25 166:1 179:19 198:16,19 trickle 183:1 tricks 130:16 tried 58:2 81:4,5 93:25 97:22 98:22 106:10 125:21 151:14 153:15 164:19 166:18 198:21 triggered 174:8 trousers 55:18 true 2:7 12:17 14:9 14:12 19:12,25 43:23 45:14 90:5 119:8 129:1 158:14,17 172:16 185:20 209:5 truly 188:19 truncheon 60:14 trust 30:1,1 31:7 185:16 205:2 208:14 trusted 9:14 19:20 207:10 truth 10:7 82:2 97:14 100:9 110:1 137:13 143:11 211:6,7 truthful 142:11 try 32:5 55:20 58:3 59:25 65:2 70:10</p>	<p>92:19,21 93:23 95:17 98:23 113:10 129:4 151:10 158:23 188:2 189:13 193:12 trying 34:14 49:13 66:17 67:7 71:4 84:25 90:14 102:17 114:20 117:24 122:24,24 124:4,19,22 128:3 130:24 131:14 144:2,11 146:13 167:23 175:15 TT 191:2 Tuesday 73:25 turmoil 130:2 turn 1:23 4:10 45:6 47:3 48:13 65:19 82:14,14 86:20 94:9 112:20 137:19 145:5 172:4 208:18 turned 16:16 62:20 70:25 101:2 111:19 131:19 180:25,25 181:6 209:13 turns 103:24 105:5 twice 153:15 twins 40:1 205:20 205:21,22 twisted 121:15 twitch 104:1 two 22:25 30:8 43:12 44:13,20 45:17 48:8 53:1,5 57:1 81:21 85:17 85:18 86:23 87:24 90:12 91:25 99:22 111:1 147:2 154:3 154:6 158:7,12 167:5,6 191:20,24 196:9,13 197:1,8</p>	<p>197:17,22 198:24 Tyler 103:24 104:6 104:10 111:19 112:6,10 115:24 116:3 118:10 119:5 127:17,23 129:17 140:12 176:6,13 177:2,6 Tyler's 116:3 127:24 type 54:25 75:4 106:8 typo 200:19</p> <hr/> <p>U</p> <p>UK 179:3 205:12 206:11 209:8 ultimate 80:24 ultimately 161:19 176:18 181:22 185:1,25 192:21 194:17,20 202:20 203:13 uncertain 108:7 uncle 48:12 unconvincing 177:19 178:1 underestimated 25:24,25 underneath 6:20 193:6 understand 26:5 43:2 50:22 52:17 54:11 63:19 65:7 73:4 74:4 79:21 101:9 105:12 113:1 126:15,21 127:4 144:16 154:21 161:11 162:1 164:2 168:13 172:10 173:15 188:20 189:14 191:15 200:23 202:25 understandable 55:19</p>	<p>understanding 10:19 18:3 48:14 94:13 97:3 107:13 129:25 198:11 202:11 understood 18:22 84:3 197:15 undertake 67:25 undertaken 29:5 undertook 94:14 undoubtedly 71:13 89:10 95:24 102:1 undressing 152:21 undue 70:8 unduly 69:13 163:23 164:6,15 164:19 unearthed 176:23 unequal 31:13 unfair 30:17 33:12 unfolding 74:9 unfortunately 71:6 71:19,23 unhappy 187:25 197:12,12,18,19 uniform 48:25 67:10,11 uninformed 53:7 105:25 unit 49:6 University 39:22 unknown 165:8 unofficially 120:6 unpack 35:18 unpleasantness 117:21 118:3,7 unpopular 147:9 unsubstantiated 4:22 unusual 48:19,24 53:13,16 74:22 75:2 77:4 110:22 112:13 154:11 173:10,11 184:2 193:18,20</p>	<p>unwell 116:4 177:22,23 180:25 181:3 unwise 7:22 update 138:10 186:21 updated 86:10 185:11 upheld 18:23 uploaded 158:20 upper 160:14 upright 76:9 upset 94:20 210:10 upstairs 67:16 urge 126:19 urgent 114:23 urgently 110:18 111:7 114:24 URN 39:1 204:7 URNs 209:21 use 62:1 65:22 69:20 104:19 122:25 125:8 166:3 208:1 useful 166:14 178:8 uses 69:10 usual 52:3 59:5 60:6 65:10,25 74:19 109:21 110:19 114:4 usually 85:7 154:14 207:24 208:5 utilise 50:10 81:16 94:3 160:17 utter 129:22</p> <hr/> <p>V</p> <p>v 134:18 valid 32:4 valuable 22:6 value 91:9,24 98:21 variety 37:19 165:2 178:16 203:12 various 44:22 49:1 63:21 72:7 75:16 76:19 79:8,8</p>
--	--	---	---	---

87:15 94:13 101:10 126:23,23 130:9 143:2 vast 48:20 196:10 198:2 version 4:14 viable 190:17 vicars 97:7 100:4 Vickery 120:10,16 159:8 165:14 186:22 victim 25:8 50:7,10 57:15 151:4 165:7 167:21 188:1 199:24 200:5 201:3 victims 29:11 30:19 48:5,16 50:4 95:9 96:11 99:18 139:11 140:1 153:13 162:6 164:6,20,22,24 165:15,19,24 166:11,12 167:18 167:24 168:18 175:16 176:23 184:15 185:17 186:4,6,6 188:7 193:14 194:4,10 194:16 195:4,15 196:10 198:3 victims' 139:13 Victor 120:7 view 5:19 10:13 28:18 33:21 64:4 65:1 98:10 99:14 101:19,25 117:23 133:4 137:2 145:16 147:23 173:3 183:6 191:3 201:1,17 202:21 202:23 203:11 viewed 15:2 58:22 146:10 views 8:15 28:21	33:9 42:13 76:14 84:22 98:5 121:24 132:18,20 197:11 vindicated 139:21 violence 168:6 violent 134:24 135:13 virtue 56:17 visit 88:6 116:12 141:23 visited 139:4 207:9 visiting 40:4 visitor 34:15 208:8 visitors 208:3 vocation 73:4 voice 33:13,16 199:25 volume 45:4 126:9 132:8 137:25 169:4,5 volumes 44:13 volunteer 87:8 volunteered 82:12 86:23 87:1 118:23 129:13 150:2 206:6 voyeurism 142:18 vulnerable 19:20 47:11 163:12 <hr/> W <hr/> wait 80:6 129:4 210:15 wake 38:2 Wales 15:19 78:25 170:16 172:6 173:11,12 174:14 174:22 175:6 Wales' 15:8 walk 71:17 104:9 154:4,7 walked 109:3 walking 77:10 wall 60:10 want 2:12 13:16,18 32:14 34:6 35:5	36:18 53:22 67:11 80:5 82:13,23 87:24 94:25 96:14 98:1,3,8 100:13 100:15 102:11 103:21 106:24 107:14 108:20 109:22 110:11 113:9 122:25 123:7 138:13 145:4,20 152:15 155:12,14 162:7 162:13,17 163:23 167:17 168:24 171:21 175:18,19 177:2 181:23 182:14 186:2,16 192:18 196:4 201:8,14 209:22 wanted 6:11 17:1 41:14 42:13,14 49:20 53:20 55:3 56:20,21 58:1,4 59:23 66:10 70:24 71:14 78:5 80:8 81:23 83:17 85:14 96:2 107:11 108:23 110:9,10 111:10 112:20 114:5,18,23 135:23 140:22 149:25 163:20 172:16 201:4,6 210:13 wants 118:7 warned 101:17 wary 104:15 176:7 178:7,11 Wasley 53:20 57:18 81:21 wasn't 7:22 10:11 11:17 12:5,8,25 13:9 16:24 26:4 28:10,11 29:14 31:23 34:6 52:3	53:10 55:25 63:20 65:11 66:19 69:14 70:6 77:2 86:7 87:8 92:9 101:8 108:18 111:11 117:6 136:8,14 147:12 148:13,19 150:20 153:7 157:8 173:12 175:20 176:21 181:3,16 183:25 192:16 197:15 202:18 watched 208:3 way 5:17 7:18 16:13 20:21 24:16 28:1 29:22 35:17 41:3 42:13,23 54:1 56:20 59:12 62:14 63:8 64:2 64:14,25 65:12 67:17 70:1 74:8 74:17 80:2 81:3 82:3 87:10 89:8 90:20 93:5 95:6 96:12 97:15 98:18 104:25 105:19 108:21 110:6,7,12 111:21 114:8 115:12,14 117:7 122:21 123:10,10 128:6 131:3 132:7 132:15 139:5,6 146:18 155:8,21 156:24 160:22 175:4 176:22 196:6 Wayne 44:7,8 129:19 161:21 212:2 ways 99:22 164:23 168:4 194:14 We'll 53:16 we've 100:12 146:14,25	wearing 67:10 130:5 141:24 website 45:16,21 158:21 wedding 40:2 Wednesday 1:1 79:4,9,15 week 166:4 207:7 207:13,19 weekend 81:11 weeks 21:2 weighed 153:11 weighing 151:24 weight 42:10 welched 130:8 welcome 1:3,4 211:17 welfare 168:1 198:19 Wellington 206:23 went 12:2 39:20 40:18 48:23 49:1 52:20 53:10 54:14 54:20 55:22 57:19 57:21 58:7,19 61:11,17,22 64:17 70:3 77:21 78:4 81:4,8,20 82:17 84:4,10,10 91:4 95:13,16,18 103:8 103:14 107:21 112:13 113:17 124:16 136:24 140:8 141:23 148:19 154:20 157:5 177:6 181:2 183:7 186:11 189:4 weren't 24:9,10 28:7 30:22 31:25 50:6 127:22 153:21 164:6,15 179:3 192:10 195:23 West 204:18
---	---	---	---	--

whatsoever 63:11 170:10,10 172:23	44:12,21 45:3,5,7 45:11,16,17,22 48:18 49:9 56:12 62:19 81:10,24 83:16,22 85:21 86:7 97:11 100:7 100:14 103:7 120:11 124:17 128:8,23 129:2,9 129:15 133:4 141:8 144:21 145:14,20 150:14 151:19 156:22,23 157:10 158:7 159:20 162:4 163:16 167:21 170:12 172:4 173:24 174:2,7 175:2 176:18 177:3,4 192:19 196:15 203:18,22 203:24	worded 29:9 wording 3:25 22:22 words 55:7 79:19 Wordsworth 168:10 work 4:23 6:18 12:12 93:15,24 171:10 175:18 188:22 194:12 worked 2:24 3:12 46:2 50:3 93:5 106:14 168:13 workers 47:19,23 working 25:4 37:3 101:25 122:16 140:10 168:2 204:25 workload 21:1 works 132:7 160:13 world 110:8 198:22 worried 55:8 184:16 worry 97:20 101:4 119:15 127:14 worth 11:8 91:23 would've 93:25 94:1 wouldn't 23:3 24:4 30:21 74:9,11 75:13,14 82:12 86:4 88:8 105:2 117:6 120:15,18 128:6,7,11 131:11 134:21 144:20 146:13 149:18 write 27:4 41:20 76:4 77:5 78:21 125:5,7,8 126:25 198:9 202:11 208:22 writes 74:20 writing 8:19 9:6 11:3 16:11 20:11 20:12 34:20 42:9	75:13 76:19 77:1 77:3 131:8 187:10 written 8:6 16:23 27:23 42:4 56:5 72:13 74:9 75:7 75:14 78:23 86:1 88:5 114:15,22 119:14 124:16 126:24 127:8,23 132:12 147:4 156:25 181:24 182:4 185:2 191:20 209:18 wrong 19:19 36:13 56:21 104:15 150:1 210:14 wrongdoing 208:4 wrongs 38:11 wrote 9:9 26:11 41:22 43:4 123:13 125:9 129:17 132:6 169:11,14 169:19,19 170:15 185:3 190:6 192:1 200:3 209:9 WWS000202_004 30:8 WWS000212_004 36:14	54:10 56:1 101:3 112:12 120:21 152:19 178:17 195:17 year 6:14 40:17 147:20 206:19 207:22 years 7:4 39:19 43:12,14 47:20 48:7,15,23 50:19 51:16,25 61:16 77:9 90:22 100:18 104:11 146:7 159:11 161:15 165:16 205:11 years' 49:3 yesterday 87:6 88:5,10 York 185:3 young 4:24 22:3 30:14 41:7 73:7 73:13,15 94:17,24 141:15 150:2 153:14 210:19 younger 205:25 209:11 youngest 40:2
withdraw 209:3 withdrawing 187:14,20 withdrew 38:18 203:22 withheld 87:22 92:14 withhold 124:25 witness 1:6,18 3:2 3:16,22 8:17 9:10 10:16,19 11:25 14:1,22 15:6,7,17 17:10 19:15,21 25:2,18 26:20 27:24 28:12 29:16 30:5 32:17 36:15 38:18,25 43:22	witnesses 1:10 99:15 122:19 132:19 137:2 139:15 141:6 164:19 168:18 186:8 woefully 31:17 wonder 43:24 wonderful 18:18 122:1 Wood 160:4,10,12 Wood's 177:10 wood-panelled 108:4 Woodard 206:7,12 Woodhouse 72:10 woolly 27:9 28:11 word 69:21 71:21 80:11 93:1 104:19 106:11 109:24 122:25 151:15 167:17 181:23 196:5	wouldn't 23:3 24:4 30:21 74:9,11 75:13,14 82:12 86:4 88:8 105:2 117:6 120:15,18 128:6,7,11 131:11 134:21 144:20 146:13 149:18 write 27:4 41:20 76:4 77:5 78:21 125:5,7,8 126:25 198:9 202:11 208:22 writes 74:20 writing 8:19 9:6 11:3 16:11 20:11 20:12 34:20 42:9	WWS000202_004 30:8 WWS000212_004 36:14	youngest 40:2
				Z
				Z 109:22 Zealand 148:16,20 zoom 186:25 189:4 zoomed 172:7
				0
				013 134:2 014 134:21 0185 126:22 023 138:6 024 138:16 026 139:7,10 027 139:16 028 140:9 030 140:17 031 140:14 033 140:22

034 141:4,5	155 103:6	1993 4:8 9:9 14:7	2012 159:12 166:18	350 26:19
035 141:12	158 212:8,10	14:19 16:18 17:13	181:2,17	36 27:16 192:20
036 141:16	16 7:25 126:8 172:4	17:15 38:8 46:15	2013 172:5 181:17	37 42:19
037 142:8	200:10	52:14 76:2 103:9	182:19 183:15	38 56:12 211:24
038 142:23	166 32:15	109:16 110:17	184:7 189:3	<hr/>
039 138:3 141:4	167 32:22,25	123:12 126:11	2014 192:22	4
143:2,20	17 36:18,21 167:2	127:10 161:13	2015 40:15 42:25	4 129:18
040 144:15,22	196:18,22 200:10	162:9,12,21 163:3	159:15	4-year-old 48:11
043 143:2	200:20	163:5 169:1 178:6	2018 1:1 39:1 158:8	4.15 170:11,15
048 145:21	18 35:3 61:17 94:18	178:14 179:3,4	158:11 210:18	4.18 172:7
<hr/>	134:19,24 135:2	182:9 185:24	211:8,14	4.28 211:12
1	183:15 184:7	189:10 191:2,21	202 111:14	4.40 77:14
1 2:1 3:2 8:10,12	211:8	202:12 205:5	204 212:12	40 39:19 123:5
45:4,4,8 186:25	18-year-old 25:12	209:4	20th 73:1	44 212:2,4
210:18 211:17,20	1848 206:9	1994 15:11 29:19	21 49:3 97:20 185:5	47 135:1,2
211:22	19 158:11 186:7	34:15,21 35:8	189:3 196:16	48 145:23
1.30 193:1	1955 204:12	205:5,8,10	22 15:7 26:14 82:17	<hr/>
10 1:23 17:20	1961 204:12,14	1995 200:18,20,20	158:8 170:14	5
109:16 132:7	1967 46:4	200:22 209:6	177:4	5 190:14,24
133:14,15	1969 204:14,17	210:5	23 137:24	58 158:10
10.00 1:2 211:10,14	1974 39:9	1996 26:12 200:17	24 7:4 26:12 110:17	<hr/>
10.5 196:15,23	1978 39:21	205:13	25 1:1 49:3 50:19	6
100 187:23	1979 39:21	1997 39:9,16	61:16 111:14	6 1:13 8:2 9:13
1004 209:22	1980 40:1 204:19	1998 2:19 36:3	116:17 146:7	36:16 186:16
1008 209:22	1981 204:17,21	<hr/>	159:12 167:3	190:14
1021 209:22	1984 47:16	2	183:9	6,000 123:5
11 20:2 22:12 31:1	1987 134:18	2 4:10 9:19 11:3	26 39:1 192:22	60 170:16
163:16,18	1988 134:19	23:16 41:23 76:2	193:24 211:14	60-odd 62:19
11.00 44:2	1989 39:12	118:19 126:9	265 145:20,23	60-year-old 69:14
11.15 44:1,4	1990 39:12,13,15	132:8 137:23,25	27 194:2	600 51:3
117 95:2,4,12 97:22	147:4 204:24	186:18	273 150:13	633 132:9,10
12 14:1 52:14	205:1 206:16	2(i) 133:18,21,22	28 209:4	67 48:22
133:13 134:11	1991 134:25 204:21	2(ii) 133:22	29 103:8	69 45:6
137:22,25	204:24	2.00 113:23 114:2	<hr/>	69-page 45:5
12-year-old 48:12	1992 2:19,21 4:18	2.30 193:3	3	<hr/>
12.57 113:25	39:15 41:4,23	20 72:11 110:16	3 1:4 5:23 8:3,4	7
13 19:15 133:13	46:15 59:12 69:2	198:16	77:13 207:15	7 25:3
172:5	81:4 98:11 112:22	2000 36:2	3.05 157:22	7.5 177:3
14 9:5 100:18	112:25 133:20	2001 49:5 51:16	3.12 163:19	77-year-old 104:18
133:13 184:11	136:8 146:10	205:8	3.20 157:21	<hr/>
140 192:22	147:25 154:11	2004 46:4	3.21 157:24	8
15 34:21 61:11	162:21 205:3,10	2005 40:2	30 103:8 183:8	8 9:9 14:7,19 16:23
133:13 151:12	205:14	2006 205:1	300-odd 51:2	22:12 30:5
152:14	1992/93 146:23	2008/2009 161:23	32 184:10 199:21	80s 147:12
15.1 3:17	183:22,24 189:15	2010 170:14	33 189:3	84 47:16
151 103:6 212:6		2011 136:15	34 104:11 185:8	86 79:24 80:2

87 134:19
88 134:19
<hr/> 9 <hr/>
9 126:11 127:10 185:7
9.20 184:11
9.21 189:4
90s 147:12
92 93:6 96:21 97:1 99:18 100:16 130:24
92/'95 98:5
93 180:3
95 97:1
99 95:17