

	<p>6/10</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data and needs assessment: how many cases, agency involvement, outcomes etc. Data or audit findings not aggregated across agencies or analysed.</li> <li>• Need to introduce better tools for assessments for sexual behaviour in children across all ages – recommendation in report was to use the Brook Sexual Harm Traffic Light tool (suitable for all ages). This has been included in the Pathway to Provision update and also in the programme for What’s New in Safeguarding over the next year.</li> <li>• Proportionate responses – AIMS and Good Life not always proportionate to child’s problems. Need tools for lower level sexual harm.</li> <li>• Police and CPS to resolve issues with young people on bail which prevents agencies from making interventions prior to trial. Some progress made.</li> <li>• NICE guidance states Safeguarding Boards to decide who is responsible for commissioning services for young people who sexually harm.</li> </ul> <p>JF- CAMHS service – Head to Head provided specialist service for children who sexually harm following CSC assessment that this was required. AIMS assessments assess if children can be managed in non-specialist services or require specialist services.</p> <p>LJ - Some provision through CAMHS and also through YOT. Some arrangements made for high risk children who don’t have mental health problems and haven’t been convicted. No provision for medium or low risk children. AIMS assessments not proportionate for low risk children.</p> <p>Agreement that a needs assessment is required - need to know what services need to be commissioned. LJ - will need to identify organisations accountable for delivering services. Need consistent pathways rather than piecemeal approach.</p> <p><b>Action 05:</b> update on action plan to be presented at the audit subgroup – Completed.</p> <p><b>Action 06:</b> piece of work to be fed into JSNA – to form the framework for a chapter of the JSNA – Completed.</p> <p><b>Action 07:</b> Children who sexually harm to be considered for inclusion to board’s business plan going forwards – Completed.</p> <p>CF enquired regarding children who sexually harm other children – did the audit look at the school guidance. LJ confirmed to some degree and also the procedures –there were some gaps – no bench marking on this behaviour.</p>	<p>LJ</p> <p>LJ</p> <p>SB/HT</p>
<p><b>Progress report – Neglect audit action plan</b></p> <p><b>Appendix G</b></p>	<p>HT shared positive progress had been made on the neglect audit action plan – most of the actions have been completed - two outstanding actions which are on track and will be finished shortly.</p>	