

**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION
CORE PARTICIPANT APPLICATION**

1. On 3 June 2016 the Inquiry invited anyone who wished to be designated as a core participant in the Accountability and Reparations investigation to make an application to the Solicitor to the Inquiry by 1 July 2016. Such applications are currently limited to participation in the four case studies.
2. An application was made by the Secretary of State for Justice (“the SSJ”) for core participant status in the Accountability and Reparations investigation. This notice sets out my determination of the application.
3. Applications for core participant status are considered under Rule 5 of the Inquiry Rules 2006 which provides:

(1) The chairman may designate a person as a core participant at any time during the course of the inquiry, provided that person consents to being so designated.

(2) In deciding whether to designate a person as a core participant, the chairman must in particular consider whether –

a. The person played, or may have played, a direct and significant role in relation to the matters to which the inquiry relates;

b. The person has a significant interest in an important aspect of the matters to which the inquiry relates; or

c. The person may be subject to explicit or significant criticism during the inquiry proceedings or in the report, or in any interim report.

(3) A person ceases to be a core participant on –

a. the date specified by the chairman in writing; or

b. the end of the inquiry.

4. In determining each person's application, the matters listed in Rule 5(2) must be considered, but the list is not exhaustive and I may also take into account other relevant matters.
5. Having regard to the provisions of Rule 5(2), I am satisfied that the SSJ has played a direct and significant role in relation to matters under investigation and/or has a significant interest in an important aspect of the matters under investigation.
6. As part of this investigation, the Inquiry will consider the experiences of individuals placed in four institutions in order to investigate general issues of accountability and reparation for victims and survivors, including the extent to which this can be achieved through the existing processes of civil litigation, criminal compensation and support services.
7. The SSJ submits that the Ministry of Justice ("MoJ") is the central government department with national policy responsibility for victims. MoJ also has responsibilities for the civil and criminal justice system, support services and for criminal injuries compensation. Specifically as regards civil justice it has responsibilities for funding, costs in civil claims and civil procedure. The SSJ acknowledges that MoJ may be the subject of explicit or significant criticism.
8. I am therefore satisfied that the SSJ should be designated a Core Participant in relation to this case study investigation.
9. Applications for designation as the recognised legal representative of a core participant are governed by Rules 6 and 7 of the Inquiry Rules 2006, which provide as follows:

6(1) Where -

(a) a core participant, other than a core participant referred to in rule 7; or

(b) any other person required or permitted to give evidence or produce documents during the course of the inquiry, has appointed a qualified lawyer to act on that person's behalf, the chairman must designate that lawyer as that person's recognised legal representative in respect of the inquiry proceedings.

7(1) This rule applies where there are two or more core participants, each of whom seeks to be legally represented, and the chairman considers that -

- (a) their interests in the outcome of the inquiry are similar;*
- (b) the facts they are likely to rely on in the course of the inquiry are similar; and*
- (c) it is fair and proper for them to be jointly represented.*

(2) The chairman must direct that those core participants shall be represented by a single recognised legal representative, and the chairman may designate a qualified lawyer for that purpose.

(3) Subject to paragraph (4), any designation must be agreed by the core participants in question.

(4) If no agreement on a designation is forthcoming within a reasonable period, the chairman may designate an appropriate lawyer who, in his opinion, has sufficient knowledge and experience to act in this capacity.

10. The SSJ has not yet appointed a recognised legal representative to act on their behalf. If I am notified of such an appointment, at that stage I will consider designation as necessary under Rules 6 and 7 of the Inquiry Rules 2006.

Hon. Dame Lowell Goddard DNZM
Chair, Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse

20 July 2016