

<p>1 Wednesday, 31 October 2018 2 (2.00 pm) 3 Welcome and opening remarks by THE CHAIR 4 THE CHAIR: Good afternoon, everyone. As many of you will 5 know, I am Alexis Jay and I'm the chair of 6 the Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse. 7 Sitting with me are two other panel members of 8 the inquiry: Ivor Frank and Drusilla Sharpling. 9 The decisions today are for me rather than the panel 10 as a whole, though we tend to sit together at 11 preliminary hearings. Professor Evans is not able to 12 join us today, as he is abroad on business, but he will 13 review the transcript after today's proceedings so that 14 he knows what was discussed. 15 On behalf of the inquiry, I welcome you all to this 16 the second preliminary hearing in phase 2 of 17 the inquiry's investigation into institutional failures 18 in connection with the abuse of children outside the 19 United Kingdom. As many of you will recall, we have 20 already completed one previous case study in this 21 investigation, the case study into the child migration 22 programmes. We held two public hearings in relation to 23 that case study in the course of 2017 and our report was 24 published on the inquiry's website in March of this 25 year.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 1</p>	<p>1 Following publication of that report, the inquiry 2 published an update note in relation to the wider 3 investigation explaining that the next case study would 4 be considering the adequacy of the civil framework for 5 the prevention of and notification to foreign 6 authorities of foreign travel by individuals known to 7 the UK authorities as posing a risk to children. 8 We held a preliminary hearing in this case study on 9 6 June 2018. After considering submissions made at that 10 hearing and received afterwards, I decided, on 11 2 August 2018, to extend the scope of this phase of 12 the investigation to include the operation of 13 the statutory vetting and barring regime by 14 organisations recruiting individuals to work abroad, and 15 as to the use of section 72 of the Sexual Offences Act 16 2003 which creates extra-territorial jurisdiction in 17 respect of child sexual abuse. 18 Counsel will update us shortly on progress made in 19 the investigation to date. 20 Today is an opportunity to consider various steps 21 for the future conduct of this phase of 22 the investigation over the coming months, leading to 23 a public hearing in February 2019. 24 Before we hear from counsel, a couple of points on 25 timing this afternoon. We will take a break at around</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p>
<p>1 3.30 pm, if this hearing has not concluded prior to 2 that, and directions arising from this hearing will be 3 published on the inquiry's website shortly after the 4 hearing, as will the hearing transcript. 5 I will now invite the lead counsel to the inquiry 6 for this case study, Henrietta Hill QC, to provide us 7 with an update on this phase of the investigation. 8 Please go ahead, Ms Hill. 9 Submissions by MS HILL 10 MS HILL: Thank you, chair. I appear today with 11 Julia Faure Walker and Antonia Benfield, junior counsel 12 for this phase of the investigation. 13 Chair, there are currently five core participants. 14 They are represented today by Caoilfhionn Gallagher QC 15 and Keina Yoshida, representing Every Child Protected 16 Against Trafficking UK, that's ECPAT, and Child Redress 17 International. The National Crime Agency is represented 18 by Isabel McArdle, the Home Office by Amelia Walker and 19 the British Council by Sam Jacobs. 20 Chair, you have summarised the background to today's 21 hearing in your opening words, but in summary this is 22 the second preliminary hearing in the second phase of 23 the Children Outside the UK investigation. An agenda 24 for the hearing has been circulated to core participants 25 and is at tab 3 of your bundle. A note has been</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p>	<p>1 circulated to core participants that you will find, 2 chair, at your tab 7. The only submissions that have 3 been received which have also been circulated are from 4 ECPAT and from CRI and they are at your tab 10. 5 Chair, it is clear from those submissions that there 6 are relatively few matters in issue for today and so it 7 would seem proportionate for me to perhaps address all 8 the items on the agenda first and then perhaps you go 9 around and hear any submissions from the core 10 participants. 11 The first item on the agenda is simply an 12 introduction and scope. As you have indicated, chair, 13 the scope of this phase includes three broad and 14 important issues, all of which relate to the wider issue 15 of how it is that institutions and organisations based 16 in England and Wales take seriously their 17 responsibilities to protect children outside the UK from 18 sexual abuse. As you have indicated, chair, those three 19 issues are broadly what we are calling the civil orders 20 issue; secondly, the issue of the application of 21 statutory vetting and barring to individuals working 22 abroad relating to organisations in England and Wales; 23 and, thirdly, the use of the extraterritoriality 24 provisions in section 72. 25 Chair, as I have indicated, there are currently five</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p>

<p>1 core participants recognised in this case study. We are 2 conscious at the moment that the Crown Prosecution 3 Service is not a core participant and it may be that it 4 is appropriate to draw their attention to your ruling on 5 scope including the section 72 issue. But at the 6 moment, as I have indicated, there are five core 7 participants as represented today.</p> <p>8 Chair, the issues for this phase were set out by us 9 at paragraph 7 of our note and, since circulation of 10 that note to the core participants, the inquiry has 11 received submissions on the wording of those issues from 12 ECPAT and CRI, for which we are grateful. I will 13 perhaps try to deal with them in this way. Firstly, 14 ECPAT submit there should be an initial question on the 15 list of issues and the first question really should be, 16 what is known about sexual abuse of children overseas by 17 offenders resident in England and Wales? They argue 18 that this issue needs to be considered because, in order 19 to assess the adequacy of solutions, one needs to 20 understand the problem. We agree some brief contextual 21 evidence about what is known about sexual abuse of 22 children overseas will be necessary and we would hope to 23 place that before you and the panel. The focus of this 24 hearing, though, is on those three discrete measures 25 which are intended to prevent offending overseas, but we</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p>	<p>1 are conscious of the need to put that into some kind of 2 context for you.</p> <p>3 Under the civil orders topic, we have identified the 4 following issues: in what circumstances can civil orders 5 as defined be made? What do they seek to achieve? How 6 often have these orders been used or the powers to make 7 these orders been used? What is the practical impact of 8 these orders on known offenders when they have been 9 used? Does the civil orders regime offer effective 10 protection from sexual abuse for children overseas?</p> <p>11 ECPAT and CRI seek confirmation that we will 12 endeavour to place before you material that deals with 13 any gaps in the regime, ie, when orders cannot be made 14 or are not being made, or indeed any restrictions or 15 limitations on that civil orders regime. We confirm 16 that we would hope to place that evidence before you 17 because that is implicit in the overall effectiveness 18 question.</p> <p>19 ECPAT also seek confirmation, chair, that if there 20 are issues around, for example, the communication 21 between police forces or liaison between foreign and 22 domestic agencies which affect how effective that civil 23 orders regime is, that that should be looked at. Again, 24 chair, we would envisage that, if there are such issues, 25 they would be considered by you because they would then</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 6</p>
<p>1 be barriers to the effectiveness of the regime. So, 2 broadly, chair, we would hope that our overall 3 definition of, "Does the civil order regime offer 4 effective protection?", will include both of those 5 topics helpfully raised by ECPAT.</p> <p>6 The final issue under this topic is: if not, if 7 there is not an effective regime in place, how might the 8 regime be improved?</p> <p>9 With respect to the statutory vetting and barring 10 topic, we have identified the following issues: how does 11 that regime operate within England and Wales? To what 12 extent does the regime take account of the sexual abuse 13 of children overseas? To what extent does that regime 14 or another regime operate where organisations based in 15 England and Wales are sending workers or volunteers 16 overseas who have contact with children? Does that 17 regime offer effective protection for those children 18 overseas? Again, if it does not, how might it be 19 improved?</p> <p>20 Again, similarly, chair, in relation to the third 21 topic, section 72, we agree with ECPAT and CRI that the 22 preliminary issue is: in what circumstances can 23 section 72 be used and what does it seek to achieve? 24 Then the issues we have identified are: how often has it 25 been used to prosecute in this country alleged sexual</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p>	<p>1 abuse committed abroad? If it is used relatively 2 rarely, what are the reasons for that? Are those 3 reasons justified? Does section 72, and we make 4 a correction to our note, for which I apologise, but 5 does section 72 offer effective protection from sexual 6 abuse for children overseas? Again, if not, how might 7 the regime be improved?</p> <p>8 ECPAT's submissions, chair, note that there should 9 be scope within these issues to look at how best to 10 improve the various systems in place, and I would 11 reiterate that that is a focus of your consideration, as 12 far as the evidence that we intend to place before you 13 is concerned, that the focus is, if there is a need for 14 improvement, what those improvements might be to make 15 those regimes more effective. So your final issue, 16 chair, that we have defined, I hope helpfully, is, are 17 any recommendations considered appropriate?</p> <p>18 Chair, turning then to item 4 on the agenda, that's 19 the issue of the evidence that we have been obtaining 20 and will continue to obtain on behalf of the inquiry.</p> <p>21 The inquiry has sent out a range of rule 9 requests 22 to relevant individuals and organisations. The material 23 is, as is usual, being reviewed for relevance and 24 redacted in accordance with the usual protocol.</p> <p>25 Disclosure of relevant material is being made in the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 8</p>

<p>1 usual way, via Relativity, in a series of tranches, and 2 disclosure began on 12 October of this year to the core 3 participants. To date, the core participants have 4 received material from the NCA, the National Police 5 Chiefs' Council, the Home Office, the 6 Ministry of Justice and Father Shay Cullen. The bulk of 7 the evidence from the British Council has also been 8 disclosed and evidence from some individual complainants 9 will follow shortly. There is some further material 10 that we are currently reviewing and having redacted, and 11 so on, but, broadly, chair, the inquiry is up to date at 12 present in terms of being able to disclose very shortly 13 the remaining amounts of material that we have.</p> <p>14 ECPAT have sought confirmation that disclosure will 15 be forthcoming from other government departments such as 16 the Foreign and Commonwealth Office and that the NPCC 17 has been asked for information relating to Disclosure 18 and Barring. We can confirm that there are various 19 rule 9 requests still outstanding, including for witness 20 statements, and including on those new issues that were 21 added to scope during the summer of this year, but the 22 material will be reviewed, redacted and disclosed as 23 soon as possible.</p> <p>24 In terms of witness evidence, chair, CRI invite the 25 inquiry to make rule 9 requests for witness evidence</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p>	<p>1 from two individuals: from Glen Hulley, who is a former 2 Australian police officer with expertise in the 3 Australian Civil Orders regime, and our provisional view 4 is that that is likely to be of assistance to you and so 5 we would propose to action that; and also to 6 Seila Samleang, who is the executive director of 7 APLE Cambodia, which has a close working relationship 8 with CRI, and, again, we would have thought that that 9 would be helpful and so would propose to implement that 10 proposal.</p> <p>11 CRI also invite the inquiry to consider obtaining 12 evidence from the NCA liaison officer for South-East 13 Asia. I have discussed that issue with representatives 14 for CRI and the NCA, and we will perhaps engage in 15 further discussion around that in terms of what is 16 likely to be of relevance to the issues that you need to 17 consider.</p> <p>18 ECPAT and CRI also seek our confirmation that we 19 will, on behalf of the inquiry, provide all disclosure 20 four weeks before the hearing date, particularly in 21 light of their difficulties in providing and obtaining 22 instructions from overseas. Chair, we confirm that the 23 inquiry will endeavour to provide all key material to 24 core participants four weeks before the hearing, and so 25 by 11 January of next year.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p>
<p>1 In terms of logistical issues for the hearing, 2 chair, the final topic on the agenda, ECPAT propose that 3 a further policeman hearing be listed in January 2019. 4 At present, there are no plans to list a third 5 preliminary hearing, not least because this is the 6 second preliminary hearing in this phase, which is 7 listed for a five-day hearing. We confirm that a draft 8 witness timetable will be circulated and submissions 9 invited on it as appropriate. But if a further 10 preliminary hearing appears to be the most appropriate 11 and proportionate way of addressing any particular 12 issues, then of course, chair, we will invite you to 13 list the same.</p> <p>14 Chair, there are a few further points about the 15 logistics for the hearing. We have confirmed the 16 hearing will take place here, the usual hearing hours 17 have been set out, as have the usual provisions in 18 relation to opening and closing statements.</p> <p>19 In terms of witness evidence, we are considering the 20 position at present in terms of direct complainant 21 evidence. But it is certainly our anticipation that you 22 would hear evidence from the current core participants 23 and potentially also from some of the partner 24 organisations for ECPAT from whom statements are being 25 obtained at present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p>	<p>1 In accordance with rule 10, chair, we had set out in 2 the note a hope that any rule 10 applications would be 3 made seven working days prior to the date of a witness 4 giving evidence. ECPAT have sought some flexibility 5 around that, and, on reflection, chair, we would invite 6 you to direct that any rule 10 applications will made 7 four working days prior to the date of a witness giving 8 evidence. It has been made clear in other hearings that 9 it is important that applications to question witnesses 10 are made in accordance with that timetable to allow them 11 to be properly considered and so we would hope that 12 a four working days' timetable is realistic.</p> <p>13 Chair, as is usual, we would circulate proposals for 14 any read evidence. You have already ruled that the 15 hearings will be broadcast. I think that concludes all 16 of the logistical issues I wish to address.</p> <p>17 So, chair, unless there is anything in particular on 18 which I can assist you or the panel members, perhaps you 19 would wish to hear from Ms Gallagher on behalf of 20 I think ECPAT and CRI separately?</p> <p>21 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms Hill. Ms Gallagher? 22 Submissions by MS GALLAGHER 23 MS GALLAGHER: Thank you, chair and panel. There are five 24 matters which we wish to deal with and we will do so 25 briefly. As you have heard, there is not controversy</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p>

<p>1 between us on these issues. So there is just a small 2 number of matters to draw to your attention. 3 The first concerns the CPS. ECPAT raised this 4 matter with counsel to the investigation and the reason 5 for that is that we are conscious that at the prior 6 preliminary hearing when the scope was much narrower, we 7 raised the question of other government departments and 8 a decision was subsequently taken that the Home Office 9 would be the lead government department and would work 10 with the FCO. The CPS is, of course, in a very 11 different position because of its independence, and we 12 are conscious that since the last preliminary hearing 13 there has been a change following our submissions in 14 scope so that section 72 now falls within scope. 15 You will have seen our written submissions 16 concerning that matter and you will be aware that for 17 both ECPAT and CRI underutilisation of the section 72 18 power is a critical issue. So it seems to us likely, on 19 the material we know at the moment, the material we are 20 aware of at the moment, that we will be critical of 21 underutilisation of section 72. 22 It also seems to us likely that the use of 23 section 72 in cases such as Richard Huckle, for example, 24 may well be relevant, and, indeed, this issue has been 25 raised in other contexts, including the extradition</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p>	<p>1 matter of Raymond Varley, the 2014 case. It just seems 2 to us prudent to draw to the CPS' attention specifically 3 the fact that they may wish to consider this matter. 4 Because so much of this had been dealt with behind 5 closed doors, in written submissions, following the last 6 hearing, we thought it appropriate to air it publicly. 7 We are very conscious that Mr Brown acts for the CPS in 8 other strands and they can take a view, and that's why 9 we raised it. 10 The second matter we wanted to deal with just 11 concerns the issues for phase 2. We are very grateful 12 to Ms Hill for the indication that's been given. This 13 is dealt with in our written submissions in 14 paragraphs 10 and 3 -- paragraph 10 of the ECPAT 15 submissions, paragraph 3 of the CRI submissions. It 16 seems we are in agreement and there will now be 17 14 questions, for which we are grateful. 18 Just to confirm, in relation to question 1, ECPAT's 19 request for an initial question 1 was always intended to 20 be brief context-setting evidence only, rather like the 21 brief context-setting evidence that you have heard, for 22 example, in Amberdale and Downside in respect of 23 the Roman Catholic Church. It is a five-day hearing, we 24 are not expecting an in-depth review, but we thought it 25 appropriate that there be brief context-setting evidence</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p>
<p>1 so it appears we are on the same page in relation to 2 that matter. 3 The third issue we want to deal with concerns 4 evidence and disclosure, so item 4 on the agenda. We 5 are grateful, first of all, to your counsel and 6 solicitor team for the efficiency that's been shown in 7 this strand. I'm conscious that you sit through many 8 preliminary hearings where you have complaints about 9 disclosure and the disclosure timetable. It is quite 10 remarkable to be in a position where counsel to the 11 investigation is able to say, "We are effectively up to 12 date", and we are very grateful for that and we thought 13 we should put it on record. You hear many complaints. 14 We do think it is critical that we receive the 15 outstanding material certainly this side of Christmas, 16 and in good time, because of the fact that the hearing 17 is coming in February, and we are grateful for the 18 indication that the outstanding material, particularly 19 from central government, and, indeed, we understand, 20 additional statements or a statement from the NCA, will 21 be coming. You will have seen that the two NCA 22 statements so far predate the extension of scope. So 23 they don't deal with those broader issues. It is 24 important that we receive those and we understand that 25 that's in train.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p>	<p>1 We have indicated in the written submissions that we 2 essentially reserve our position. There may well be 3 points that we need to raise with you once we have 4 a fuller picture of the disclosure, and I have indicated 5 to Ms Hill that we will do that in writing as soon as 6 possible once we have a fuller picture and we would hope 7 to do that before Christmas so that if there are issues 8 concerning evidential gaps we can identify them as soon 9 as possible. 10 The specifics regarding witnesses that are raised by 11 CRI. Mr Hulley and Seila Samleang, it appears we are on 12 the same page about that. 13 In relation to the NCA and the suggestion of 14 the specific on-the-ground person in South-East Asia 15 dealt with in paragraph 8 of the CRI submissions, having 16 spoken to Ms Hill, it appears we are on the same page 17 about this as well. We understand there are further NCA 18 statements coming, and our view is, we plainly have to 19 see those, take a view as to whether there are any 20 remaining gaps, and then we will make submissions, and 21 we will do that in writing as soon as we can. We can 22 only do that when we have the material from the NCA. At 23 this stage, we thought it appropriate to flag there may 24 be an issue. We can't say anything further than that at 25 this stage.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p>

<p>1 The fourth matter we want to turn to is item 5 on 2 the agenda regarding rule 10. We are grateful for 3 the indication of the amendment to the proposed 4 timetable to a four-working-day timetable. We will of 5 course comply with that and follow the format set by 6 CTI. We do just indicate that it is of course possible 7 during the course of the hearing, the five-day hearing, 8 that there may well be additional issues. A witness may 9 say something on Day 2 which means there's an additional 10 matter which needs to be put on Day 3. So while we are 11 grateful for the flexibility from seven days to four, 12 there will, of course, for all core participants, we 13 anticipate, need to be some flexibility where there is 14 an unexpected, fresh issue arising which may result in 15 a new question needing to be put and we will do that as 16 promptly as we can. We just want not to be shut out 17 from raising matters like that which arise which were 18 not predictable four working days in advance. 19 Finally, in relation to item 5 on the agenda, 20 logistical issues for the hearing, there is one further 21 matter we need to raise, and that concerns the question 22 of a preliminary hearing. 23 We are conscious, as Ms Hill has said, that there 24 have been two preliminary hearings already, and that 25 this is only a five-day hearing. However, speaking</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p>	<p>1 quite frankly, chair and panel, we don't think today was 2 strictly necessary. Today was listed at a time when it 3 was anticipated that statements would have been received 4 by September. It was set at a time when scope was 5 narrower. Scope has only been extended to include the 6 statutory vetting and barring regime and 7 extraterritoriality in section 72 in August. The result 8 of it is we are having a largely uncontroversial hearing 9 today and we are not in fact dealing with nitty-gritty 10 about the timetable or witness evidence or evidential 11 gaps in the disclosure because we are not there yet. 12 We think it would be prudent to provisionally list 13 a hearing for January. We are conscious you have set 14 aside some time on a provisional basis for the 15 Westminster hearing, so there is a preliminary hearing 16 in the Westminster matter agreed yesterday at the 17 hearing in mid January at the request of 18 Andrew O'Connor, counsel in that investigation. We do 19 understand there may be availability issues with the CTI 20 team. But, in our submission, that's all the more 21 reason to set a date now and, if it is unnecessary to go 22 ahead with that preliminary hearing, it can be vacated, 23 as indeed potentially today could have been vacated. 24 But we think it is prudent, given availability and the 25 very great draws on your time, chair and panel, that we</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p>
<p>1 have a provisional date now set so that if there are 2 indeed issues arising which are contentious relating to 3 evidential gaps, if there are issues arising if the CPS 4 does or does not become involved that may require oral 5 submissions, that we have a date fixed now and we avoid 6 having to deal, in a flurry of paperwork in the lead-up 7 to the February hearing, with ex parte submissions and 8 without them being aired. It can be much more 9 proportionate to simply have a two-hour hearing where 10 these matters can be aired rather than a flurry of 11 correspondence behind the scenes from different core 12 participants to your team. 13 It doesn't seem there is much between myself and 14 Ms Hill on this issue. Ms Hill's position essentially 15 is, "We will keep it under review and we will be 16 sensible; if we need a hearing, we will list it". We 17 can see the sense in that. We can also see the diary 18 difficulty. So we suggest we should now look at 19 availability, we should pencil in a date and, if it is 20 not needed, that's fine, but if it is needed, we will 21 have a date which we can all do and we are not going to 22 be a diary clash in January when we have an urgent need 23 for a hearing and at short notice. 24 Unless I can assist further, they are the only 25 matters we wish to raise.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p>	<p>1 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Ms McArdle? 2 Submissions by MS McARDLE 3 MS McARDLE: I can be very brief because it does appear we 4 are very much on the same page. As everyone in the room 5 is now aware, there is a further witness statement the 6 NCA has provided to the inquiry but which the other core 7 participants have not yet seen. Our position is that we 8 have covered all issues within scope, but of course, 9 once that has been seen, if core participants want to 10 make further submissions specifying exactly what they 11 consider to be missing and why it is in scope, of course 12 then we will take a view. Again, unless there is 13 anything I can assist you further with, that's all 14 I have to say. 15 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Ms McArdle. Ms Walker? 16 MS WALKER: Thank you, chair, the Home Office doesn't have 17 any submissions at this time. So unless I can assist 18 you with anything? 19 THE CHAIR: Mr Jacobs? 20 MR JACOBS: No submissions from me. Thank you. 21 Reply submissions by MS HILL 22 MS HILL: Chair, just a couple of matters by way of 23 response. I am not sure if it was intended or not, but 24 the suggestion that there had been a decision made 25 behind closed doors about scope is not completely</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 20</p>

<p>1 correct. It is right that your decision about scope was 2 published on the inquiry's website. I think, in 3 fairness, the submissions that led to it have not been 4 published, but your decision has been made clear. So 5 that has been made in a transparent way. I just of 6 course, on behalf of the inquiry, perhaps bristled 7 against any suggestion of anything being done behind 8 closed doors.</p> <p>9 MS GALLAGHER: Could I just confirm -- apologies for the 10 phrasing. The reason we wish to raise it in this way is 11 the extent of the criticism we make about the 12 underutilisation of section 72 was made in our written 13 submissions and they are not public. The decision is of 14 course public. We are very conscious of the inquiry's 15 commitment to open justice. We can see that from the 16 decision itself, the CPS could perhaps read the runes 17 and could see that it may well be subject to criticism 18 in relation to underutilisation of section 72. We 19 thought, given the extent of the criticism we have made 20 in submissions which have not been seen, it was 21 appropriate to air it today.</p> <p>22 THE CHAIR: Your comments are noted, Ms Gallagher. 23 MS HILL: The only other short matter is, of course the 24 inquiry would not shut out any rule 10 requests that are 25 appropriately made. We are just quite concerned to try</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p>	<p>1 to timetable that process as best as possible. Of 2 course we will take on board, and no doubt you will as 3 well, the points that have been made about a further 4 preliminary hearing. But I have no further submissions 5 to make, chair.</p> <p>6 THE CHAIR: If there are no further matters to be raised in 7 this hearing, we can conclude it. Thank you very much 8 for your attendance today. 9 (2.27 pm) 10 (The hearing concluded)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">I N D E X</p> <p>15 Welcome and opening remarks by THE1 16 CHAIR</p> <p>18 Submissions by MS HILL3</p> <p>20 Submissions by MS GALLAGHER12</p> <p>22 Submissions by MS McARDLE20</p> <p>24 Reply submissions by MS HILL20</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p>

A	<p>ABLE 1:11 9:12 15:11</p> <p>abroad 1:12 2:14 4:22 8:1</p> <p>abuse 1:6,18 2:17 4:18 5:16,21 6:10 7:12 8:1,6</p> <p>account 7:12</p> <p>achieve 6:5 7:23</p> <p>Act 2:15</p> <p>action 10:5</p> <p>acts 14:7</p> <p>added 9:21</p> <p>additional 15:20 17:8,9</p> <p>address 4:7 12:16</p> <p>addressing 11:11</p> <p>adequacy 2:4 5:19</p> <p>advance 17:18</p> <p>affect 6:22</p> <p>afternoon 1:4 2:25</p> <p>agencies 6:22</p> <p>Agency 3:17</p> <p>agenda 3:23 4:8,11 8:18 11:2 15:4 17:2,19</p> <p>agree 5:20 7:21</p> <p>agreed 18:16</p> <p>agreement 14:16</p> <p>ahead 3:8 18:22</p> <p>air 14:6 21:21</p> <p>aired 19:8,10</p> <p>Alexis 1:5</p> <p>alleged 7:25</p> <p>allow 12:10</p> <p>Amberdale 14:22</p> <p>Amelia 3:18</p> <p>amendment 17:3</p> <p>amounts 9:13</p> <p>Andrew 18:18</p> <p>anticipate 17:13</p> <p>anticipated 18:3</p> <p>anticipation 11:21</p> <p>Antonia 3:11</p>	<p>APPLE 10:7</p> <p>apologies 21:9</p> <p>apologise 8:4</p> <p>appear 3:10 20:3</p> <p>appears 11:10 15:1 16:11,16</p> <p>application 4:20</p> <p>applications 12:2,6 12:9</p> <p>appropriate 5:4 8:17 11:9,10 14:6 14:25 16:23 21:21</p> <p>appropriately 21:25</p> <p>argue 5:17</p> <p>arising 3:2 17:14 19:2,3</p> <p>Asia 10:13 16:14</p> <p>aside 18:14</p> <p>asked 9:17</p> <p>assess 5:19</p> <p>assist 12:18 19:24 20:13,17</p> <p>assistance 10:4</p> <p>attendance 22:8</p> <p>attention 5:4 13:2 14:2</p> <p>August 2:11 18:7</p> <p>Australian 10:2,3</p> <p>authorities 2:6,7</p> <p>availability 18:19 18:24 19:19</p> <p>avoid 19:5</p> <p>aware 13:16,20 20:5</p>	<p>Benfield 3:11</p> <p>best 8:9 22:1</p> <p>board 22:2</p> <p>break 2:25</p> <p>brief 5:20 14:20,21 14:25 20:3</p> <p>briefly 12:25</p> <p>bristled 21:6</p> <p>British 3:19 9:7</p> <p>broad 4:13</p> <p>broadcast 12:15</p> <p>broader 15:23</p> <p>broadly 4:19 7:2 9:11</p> <p>Brown 14:7</p> <p>bulk 9:6</p> <p>bundle 3:25</p> <p>business 1:12</p>	<p>6:10 7:13,16,17 8:6</p> <p>Christmas 15:15 16:7</p> <p>Church 14:23</p> <p>circulate 12:13</p> <p>circulated 3:24 4:1 4:3 11:8</p> <p>circulation 5:9</p> <p>circumstances 6:4 7:22</p> <p>civil 2:4 4:19 6:3,4 6:9,15,22 7:3 10:3</p> <p>clash 19:22</p> <p>clear 4:5 12:8 21:4</p> <p>close 10:7</p> <p>closed 14:5 20:25 21:8</p> <p>closing 11:18</p> <p>coming 2:22 15:17 15:21 16:18</p> <p>comments 21:22</p> <p>commitment 21:15</p> <p>committed 8:1</p> <p>Commonwealth 9:16</p> <p>communication 6:20</p> <p>complainant 11:20</p> <p>complainants 9:8</p> <p>complaints 15:8,13</p> <p>completed 1:20</p> <p>completely 20:25</p> <p>comply 17:5</p> <p>concerned 8:13 21:25</p> <p>concerning 13:16 16:8</p> <p>concerns 13:3 14:11 15:3 17:21</p> <p>conclude 22:7</p> <p>concluded 3:1 22:10</p> <p>concludes 12:15</p> <p>conduct 2:21</p>	<p>confirm 6:15 9:18 10:22 11:7 14:18 21:9</p> <p>confirmation 6:11 6:19 9:14 10:18</p> <p>confirmed 11:15</p> <p>connection 1:18</p> <p>conscious 5:2 6:1 13:5,12 14:7 15:7 17:23 18:13 21:14</p> <p>consider 2:20 10:11,17 14:3 20:11</p> <p>consideration 8:11</p> <p>considered 5:18 6:25 8:17 12:11</p> <p>considering 2:4,9 11:19</p> <p>contact 7:16</p> <p>contentious 19:2</p> <p>context 6:2</p> <p>context-setting 14:20,21,25</p> <p>contexts 13:25</p> <p>contextual 5:20</p> <p>continue 8:20</p> <p>controversy 12:25</p> <p>core 3:13,24 4:1,9 5:1,3,6,10 9:2,3 10:24 11:22 17:12 19:11 20:6,9</p> <p>correct 21:1</p> <p>correction 8:4</p> <p>correspondence 19:11</p> <p>Council 3:19 9:5,7</p> <p>counsel 2:18,24 3:5 3:11 13:4 15:5,10 18:18</p> <p>country 7:25</p> <p>couple 2:24 20:22</p> <p>course 1:23 11:12 13:10 17:5,6,7,12 20:8,11 21:6,14 21:23 22:2</p>
B	<p>background 3:20</p> <p>barriers 7:1</p> <p>barring 2:13 4:21 7:9 9:18 18:6</p> <p>based 4:15 7:14</p> <p>basis 18:14</p> <p>began 9:2</p> <p>behalf 1:15 8:20 10:19 12:19 21:6</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>calling 4:19</p> <p>Cambodia 10:7</p> <p>Caoilfhionn 3:14</p> <p>case 1:20,21,23 2:3 2:8 3:6 5:1 14:1</p> <p>cases 13:23</p> <p>Catholic 14:23</p> <p>central 15:19</p> <p>certainly 11:21 15:15</p> <p>chair 1:3,4,5 3:10 3:13,20 4:2,5,12 4:18,25 5:8 6:19 6:24 7:2,20 8:8,16 8:18 9:11,24 10:22 11:2,12,14 12:1,5,13,17,21 12:23 18:1,25 20:1,15,16,19,22 21:22 22:5,6,16</p> <p>change 13:13</p> <p>Chiefs' 9:5</p> <p>child 1:6,21 2:17 3:15,16</p> <p>children 1:18 2:7 3:23 4:17 5:16,22</p>			

covered 20:8	13:7	England 4:16,22	Finally 17:19	gaps 6:13 16:8,20
CPS 13:3,10 14:7	diary 19:17,22	5:17 7:11,15	find 4:1	18:11 19:3
19:3 21:16	different 13:11	envisage 6:24	fine 19:20	given 14:12 18:24
CPS' 14:2	19:11	essentially 16:2	first 4:8,11 5:15	21:19
creates 2:16	difficulties 10:21	19:14	13:3 15:5	giving 12:4,7
CRI 4:4 5:12 6:11	difficulty 19:18	Evans 1:11	Firstly 5:13	Glen 10:1
7:21 9:24 10:8,11	direct 11:20 12:6	evidence 5:21 6:16	five 3:13 4:25 5:6	go 3:8 4:8 18:21
10:14,18 12:20	directions 3:2	8:12,19 9:7,8,24	12:23	going 19:21
13:17 14:15 16:11	director 10:6	9:25 10:12 11:19	five-day 11:7 14:23	good 1:4 15:16
16:15	disclose 9:12	11:21,22 12:4,8	17:7,25	government 9:15
Crime 3:17	disclosed 9:8,22	12:14 14:20,21,25	fixed 19:5	13:7,9 15:19
critical 13:18,20	disclosure 8:25 9:2	15:4 18:10	flag 16:23	grateful 5:12 14:11
15:14	9:14,17 10:19	evidential 16:8	flexibility 12:4	14:17 15:5,12,17
criticism 21:11,17	15:4,9,9 16:4	18:10 19:3	17:11,13	17:2,11
21:19	18:11	ex 19:7	flurry 19:6,10	great 18:25
Crown 5:2	discrete 5:24	exactly 20:10	focus 5:23 8:11,13	
CTI 17:6 18:19	discussed 1:14	example 6:20 13:23	follow 9:9 17:5	H
Cullen 9:6	10:13	14:22	following 2:1 6:4	hear 2:24 4:9 11:22
current 11:22	discussion 10:15	executive 10:6	7:10 13:13 14:5	12:19 15:13
currently 3:13 4:25	domestic 6:22	expecting 14:24	forces 6:21	heard 12:25 14:21
9:10	doors 14:5 20:25	expertise 10:2	foreign 2:5,6 6:21	hearing 1:16 2:8,10
	21:8	explaining 2:3	9:16	2:23 3:1,2,4,4,21
D	doubt 22:2	extend 2:11	format 17:5	3:22,24 5:24
D 22:13	Downside 14:22	extended 18:5	former 10:1	10:20,24 11:1,3,5
date 2:19 9:3,11	draft 11:7	extension 15:22	forthcoming 9:15	11:6,7,10,15,16
10:20 12:3,7	draw 5:4 13:2 14:2	extent 7:12,13	four 10:20,24 12:7	11:16 13:6,12
15:12 18:21 19:1	draws 18:25	21:11,19	12:12 17:11,18	14:6,23 15:16
19:5,19,21	Drusilla 1:8	extra-territorial	four-working-day	17:7,7,20,22,25
Day 17:9,10		2:16	17:4	18:8,13,15,15,17
days 12:3,7 17:11	E	extradition 13:25	fourth 17:1	18:22 19:7,9,16
17:18	E 22:13	extraterritoriality	framework 2:4	19:23 22:4,7,10
days' 12:12	ECPAT 3:16 4:4	4:23 18:7	Frank 1:8	hearings 1:11,22
deal 5:13 12:24	5:12,14 6:11,19		frankly 18:1	12:8,15 15:8
14:10 15:3,23	7:5,21 9:14 10:18	F	fresh 17:14	17:24
19:6	11:2,24 12:4,20	fact 14:3 15:16	fuller 16:4,6	held 1:22 2:8
dealing 18:9	13:3,17 14:14	18:9	further 9:9 10:15	helpful 10:9
deals 6:12	ECPAT's 8:8 14:18	failures 1:17	11:3,9,14 16:17	helpfully 7:5 8:16
dealt 14:4,13 16:15	effective 6:9,22 7:4	fairness 21:3	16:24 17:20 19:24	Henrietta 3:6
decided 2:10	7:7,17 8:5,15	falls 13:14	20:5,10,13 22:3,4	Hill 3:6,8,9,10
decision 13:8 20:24	effectively 15:11	far 8:12 15:22	22:6	12:21 14:12 16:5
21:1,4,13,16	effectiveness 6:17	Father 9:6	future 2:21	16:16 17:23 19:14
decisions 1:9	7:1	Faure 3:11		20:21,22 21:23
defined 6:5 8:16	efficiency 15:6	FCO 13:10	G	22:18,24
definition 7:3	endeavour 6:12	February 2:23	Gallagher 3:14	Hill's 19:14
department 13:9	10:23	15:17 19:7	12:19,21,22,23	Home 3:18 9:5 13:8
departments 9:15	engage 10:14	final 7:6 8:15 11:2	21:9,22 22:20	20:16

hope 5:22 6:16 7:2 8:16 12:2,11 16:6	institutional 1:17	justice 9:6 21:15	20:2,3,15 22:22	offenders 5:17 6:8
hours 11:16	institutions 4:15	justified 8:3	means 17:9	offending 5:25
Huckle 13:23	instructions 10:22		measures 5:24	offer 6:9 7:3,17 8:5
Hulley 10:1 16:11	intend 8:12	K	members 1:7 12:18	Office 3:18 9:5,16 13:8 20:16
I	intended 5:25 14:19 20:23	keep 19:15	mid 18:17	officer 10:2,12
identified 6:3 7:10 7:24	International 3:17	Keina 3:15	migration 1:21	on-the-ground 16:14
identify 16:8	introduction 4:12	key 10:23	Ministry 9:6	once 16:3,6 20:9
impact 6:7	investigation 1:17 1:21 2:3,12,19,22 3:7,12,23 13:4 15:11 18:18	kind 6:1	missing 20:11	open 21:15
implement 10:9	invite 3:5 9:24 10:11 11:12 12:5	Kingdom 1:19	moment 5:2,6 13:19,20	opening 1:3 3:21 11:18 22:15
implicit 6:17	invited 11:9	know 1:5 13:19	months 2:22	operate 7:11,14
important 4:14 12:9 15:24	involved 19:4	known 2:6 5:16,21 6:8	N	operation 2:12
improve 8:10	Isabel 3:18	knows 1:14	N 22:13	opportunity 2:20
improved 7:8,19 8:7	issue 4:6,14,20,20 5:5,18 7:6,22 8:15 8:19 10:13 13:18 13:24 15:3 16:24 17:14 19:14	L	narrower 13:6 18:5	oral 19:4
improvement 8:14	issues 4:14,19 5:8 5:11,15 6:4,20,24 7:10,24 8:9 9:20 10:16 11:1,12 12:16 13:1 14:11 15:23 16:7 17:8 17:20 18:19 19:2 19:3 20:8	largely 18:8	National 3:17 9:4	order 5:18 7:3
improvements 8:14	item 4:11 8:18 15:4 17:1,19	lead 3:5 13:9	NCA 9:4 10:12,14 15:20,21 16:13,17 16:22 20:6	orders 4:19 6:3,4,6 6:7,8,9,13,15,23 10:3
in-depth 14:24	items 4:8	lead-up 19:6	necessary 5:22 18:2	organisations 2:14 4:15,22 7:14 8:22 11:24
include 2:12 7:4 18:5	Ivor 1:8	leading 2:22	need 6:1 8:13 10:16 16:3 17:13,21 19:16,22	outside 1:18 3:23 4:17
includes 4:13	J	led 21:3	needed 19:20,20	outstanding 9:19 15:15,18
including 5:5 9:19 9:20 13:25	Jacobs 3:19 20:19 20:20	liason 6:21 10:12	needing 17:15	overall 6:17 7:2
independence 13:11	January 10:25 11:3 18:13,17 19:22	light 10:21	needs 5:18,19 17:10	overseas 5:16,22,25 6:10 7:13,16,18 8:6 10:22
Independent 1:6	Jay 1:5	limitations 6:15	new 9:20 17:15	P
indicate 17:6	join 1:12	list 5:15 11:4,13 18:12 19:16	nitty-gritty 18:9	page 15:1 16:12,16 20:4
indicated 4:12,18 4:25 5:6 16:1,4	Julia 3:11	listed 11:3,7 18:2	note 2:2 3:25 5:9 5:10 8:4,8 12:2	panel 1:7,9 5:23 12:18,23 18:1,25
indication 14:12 15:18 17:3	June 2:9	logistical 11:1 12:16 17:20	noted 21:22	paperwork 19:6
individual 9:8	junior 3:11	logistics 11:15	notice 19:23	paragraph 5:9 14:14,15 16:15
individuals 2:6,14 4:21 8:22 10:1	jurisdiction 2:16	look 8:9 19:18	notification 2:5	paragraphs 14:14
information 9:17		looked 6:23	NPCC 9:16	parte 19:7
initial 5:14 14:19		M	number 13:2	participant 5:3
inquiry 1:6,8,15 2:1 3:5 5:10 8:20 8:21 9:11,25 10:11,19,23 20:6 21:6,24		March 1:24	O	participants 3:13 3:24 4:1,10 5:1,7 5:10 9:3,3 10:24
inquiry's 1:17,24 3:3 21:2,14		material 6:12 8:22 8:25 9:4,9,13,22 10:23 13:19,19 15:15,18 16:22	O'Connor 18:18	
		matter 13:4,16 14:1,3,10 15:2 17:1,10,21 18:16 21:23	obtain 8:20	
		matters 4:6 12:24 13:2 17:17 19:10 19:25 20:22 22:6	obtained 11:25	
		McArdle 3:18 20:1	obtaining 8:19 10:11,21	
			October 1:1 9:2	
			Offences 2:15	

11:22 17:12 19:12 20:7,9 particular 11:11 12:17 particularly 10:20 15:18 partner 11:23 pencil 19:19 person 16:14 phase 1:16 2:11,21 3:7,12,22 4:13 5:8 11:6 14:11 phrasing 21:10 picture 16:4,6 place 5:23 6:12,16 7:7 8:10,12 11:16 plainly 16:18 plans 11:4 Please 3:8 pm 1:2 3:1 22:9 points 2:24 11:14 16:3 22:3 police 6:21 9:4 10:2 policeman 11:3 posing 2:7 position 11:20 13:11 15:10 16:2 19:14 20:7 possible 9:23 16:6 16:9 17:6 22:1 potentially 11:23 18:23 power 13:18 powers 6:6 practical 6:7 predate 15:22 predictable 17:18 preliminary 1:11 1:16 2:8 3:22 7:22 11:5,6,10 13:6,12 15:8 17:22,24 18:15,22 22:4 present 9:12 11:4 11:20,25	prevent 5:25 prevention 2:5 previous 1:20 prior 3:1 12:3,7 13:5 problem 5:20 proceedings 1:13 process 22:1 Professor 1:11 programmes 1:22 progress 2:18 promptly 17:16 properly 12:11 proportionate 4:7 11:11 19:9 proposal 10:10 proposals 12:13 propose 10:5,9 11:2 proposed 17:3 prosecute 7:25 Prosecution 5:2 protect 4:17 Protected 3:15 protection 6:10 7:4 7:17 8:5 protocol 8:24 provide 3:6 10:19 10:23 provided 20:6 providing 10:21 provisional 10:3 18:14 19:1 provisionally 18:12 provisions 4:24 11:17 prudent 14:2 18:12 18:24 public 1:22 2:23 21:13,14 publication 2:1 publicly 14:6 published 1:24 2:2 3:3 21:2,4 put 6:1 15:13 17:10	17:15 <hr/> Q <hr/> QC 3:6,14 question 5:14,15 6:18 12:9 13:7 14:18,19 17:15,21 questions 14:17 quite 15:9 18:1 21:25 <hr/> R <hr/> raise 16:3 17:21 19:25 21:10 raised 7:5 13:3,7 13:25 14:9 16:10 22:6 raising 17:17 range 8:21 rarely 8:2 Raymond 14:1 read 12:14 21:16 realistic 12:12 really 5:15 reason 13:4 18:21 21:10 reasons 8:2,3 recall 1:19 receive 15:14,24 received 2:10 4:3 5:11 9:4 18:3 recognised 5:1 recommendations 8:17 record 15:13 recruiting 2:14 redacted 8:24 9:10 9:22 Redress 3:16 reflection 12:5 regarding 16:10 17:2 regime 2:13 6:9,13 6:15,23 7:1,3,7,8 7:11,12,13,14,17 8:7 10:3 18:6	regimes 8:15 reiterate 8:11 relate 4:14 relating 4:22 9:17 19:2 relation 1:22 2:2 7:20 11:18 14:18 15:1 16:13 17:19 21:18 relationship 10:7 relatively 4:6 8:1 Relativity 9:1 relevance 8:23 10:16 relevant 8:22,25 13:24 remaining 9:13 16:20 remarkable 15:10 remarks 1:3 22:15 Reply 20:21 22:24 report 1:23 2:1 representatives 10:13 represented 3:14 3:17 5:7 representing 3:15 request 14:19 18:17 requests 8:21 9:19 9:25 21:24 require 19:4 reserve 16:2 resident 5:17 respect 2:17 7:9 14:22 response 20:23 responsibilities 4:17 restrictions 6:14 result 17:14 18:7 review 1:13 14:24 19:15 reviewed 8:23 9:22 reviewing 9:10	Richard 13:23 right 21:1 risk 2:7 Roman 14:23 room 20:4 rule 8:21 9:19,25 12:1,2,6 17:2 21:24 ruled 12:14 ruling 5:4 runes 21:16 <hr/> S <hr/> Sam 3:19 Samleang 10:6 16:11 scenes 19:11 scope 2:11 4:12,13 5:5 8:9 9:21 13:6 13:14,14 15:22 18:4,5 20:8,11,25 21:1 second 1:16 3:22 3:22 11:6 14:10 secondly 4:20 section 2:15 4:24 5:5 7:21,23 8:3,5 13:14,17,21,23 18:7 21:12,18 see 16:19 19:17,17 21:15,17 seek 6:5,11,19 7:23 10:18 seen 13:15 15:21 20:7,9 21:20 Seila 10:6 16:11 sending 7:15 sense 19:17 sensible 19:16 sent 8:21 separately 12:20 September 18:4 series 9:1 seriously 4:16 Service 5:3 set 5:8 11:17 12:1
---	---	--	--	--

17:5 18:4,13,21 19:1 seven 12:3 17:11 sexual 1:6 2:15,17 4:18 5:16,21 6:10 7:12,25 8:5 Sharpling 1:8 Shay 9:6 short 19:23 21:23 shortly 2:18 3:3 9:9 9:12 shown 15:6 shut 17:16 21:24 side 15:15 similarly 7:20 simply 4:11 19:9 sit 1:10 15:7 Sitting 1:7 small 13:1 solicitor 15:6 solutions 5:19 soon 9:23 16:5,8,21 sought 9:14 12:4 South-East 10:12 16:14 speaking 17:25 specific 16:14 specifically 14:2 specifics 16:10 specifying 20:10 spoken 16:16 stage 16:23,25 statement 15:20 20:5 statements 9:20 11:18,24 15:20,22 16:18 18:3 statutory 2:13 4:21 7:9 18:6 steps 2:20 strand 15:7 strands 14:8 strictly 18:2 study 1:20,21,23 2:3,8 3:6 5:1	subject 21:17 submission 18:20 submissions 2:9 3:9 4:2,5,9 5:11 8:8 11:8 12:22 13:13,15 14:5,13 14:15,15 16:1,15 16:20 19:5,7 20:2 20:10,17,20,21 21:3,13,20 22:4 22:18,20,22,24 submit 5:14 subsequently 13:8 suggest 19:18 suggestion 16:13 20:24 21:7 summarised 3:20 summary 3:21 summer 9:21 sure 20:23 systems 8:10	time 15:16 18:2,4 18:14,25 20:17 timetable 11:8 12:10,12 15:9 17:4,4 18:10 22:1 timing 2:25 today 1:9,12 2:20 3:10,14 4:6 5:7 18:1,2,9,23 21:21 22:8 today's 1:13 3:20 topic 6:3 7:6,10,21 11:2 topics 7:5 Trafficking 3:16 train 15:25 tranches 9:1 transcript 1:13 3:4 transparent 21:5 travel 2:6 try 5:13 21:25 turn 17:1 turning 8:18 two 1:7,22 10:1 15:21 17:24 two-hour 19:9	V vacated 18:22,23 various 2:20 8:10 9:18 Varley 14:1 vetting 2:13 4:21 7:9 18:6 view 10:3 14:8 16:18,19 20:12 volunteers 7:15	14:13 16:1 21:12
	T tab 3:25 4:2,4 take 2:25 4:16 7:12 11:16 14:8 16:19 20:12 22:2 taken 13:8 team 15:6 18:20 19:12 tend 1:10 terms 9:12,24 10:15 11:1,19,20 Thank 3:10 12:21 12:23 20:1,15,16 20:20 22:7 think 12:15,20 15:14 18:1,12,24 21:2 third 7:20 11:4 15:3 thirdly 4:23 thought 10:8 14:6 14:24 15:12 16:23 21:19 three 4:13,18 5:24	U UK 2:7 3:16,23 4:17 uncontroversial 18:8 understand 5:20 15:19,24 16:17 18:19 underutilisation 13:17,21 21:12,18 unexpected 17:14 United 1:19 unnecessary 18:21 update 2:2,18 3:7 urgent 19:22 use 2:15 4:23 13:22 usual 8:23,24 9:1 11:16,17 12:13	W Wales 4:16,22 5:17 7:11,15 Walker 3:11,18 20:15,16 want 15:3 17:1,16 20:9 wanted 14:10 way 5:13 9:1 11:11 20:22 21:5,10 website 1:24 3:3 21:2 Wednesday 1:1 weeks 10:20,24 welcome 1:3,15 22:15 Westminster 18:15 18:16 wider 2:2 4:14 wish 12:16,19,24 14:3 19:25 21:10 witness 9:19,24,25 11:8,19 12:3,7 17:8 18:10 20:5 witnesses 12:9 16:10 wording 5:11 words 3:21 work 2:14 13:9 workers 7:15 working 4:21 10:7 12:3,7,12 17:18 writing 16:5,21 written 13:15 14:5	X X 22:13
			Y year 1:25 9:2,21 10:25 yesterday 18:16 Yoshida 3:15	
			Z	
			0	
			1 1 14:18,19 22:15 10 4:4 12:1,2,6 14:14,14 17:2 21:24 11 10:25 12 9:2 22:20 14 14:17	
			2 2 1:16 2:11 14:11 17:9 2.00 1:2 2.27 22:9 20 22:22,24 2003 2:16 2014 14:1 2017 1:23 2018 1:1 2:9,11 2019 2:23 11:3	
			3 3 3:25 14:14,15 17:10 22:18 3.30 3:1 31 1:1	
			4 4 8:18 15:4	
			5 5 17:1,19	

<hr/> 6 <hr/> 6 2:9 <hr/> 7 <hr/> 7 4:2 5:9 7 2:15 4:24 5:5 7:21,23 8:3,5 13:14,17,21,23 18:7 21:12,18 <hr/> 8 <hr/> 8 16:15 <hr/> 9 <hr/> 9 8:21 9:19,25				
--	--	--	--	--