of

Page

RESTRICTED FOR POLICE AND PROSECUTION ONLY (when complete)

FURTHER REPORT TO CROWN PROSECUTOR FOR CHARGING DECISION

Not Disclosable

			å fil			<u></u>						
Decision/Advice now sought following completion of MG3/MG3A dated: 10/11/04 See previous pages												
*		•å	75									
	er completing:DS Routledge 10/11/04				Rank & No./Job title:DS 6	i40						
Conta	ct details (station, tel., mobile, e-mail):		50'	Signa	fure:							
Decis	ion/Advice given (referring to doc	uments/evia	lence seen.	Specify	or attach charge(s))							
The additional documents that have been submitted include an MG6, a report for CAIU regarding NO-A394 information from a visit to DPA statement from Michelle Barrett, Q and A of NO-A394 statement of Rachel Stimson, Beth Bleaden, statement of Robert Routledge.												
As a result of further enquiries that the officer in the case has made, it treanspires that there is a great deal of background information some of which is very undermining. The three main problems that stand out are:												
1. There was a previous referral to social services where NO-A394 had made allegations Irrelevant but when he was interviewed by the police about this, he failed to make any disclosures. Investigators believe that the children had been primed not to say anything but equally, there were comcerns about the credibilty of the DPA who had spoken with the children. In any event, questions would be put to NO-A394 in cross examination that he had made previous unproven allegations.												
2. No-A394 made a confession to Beth Bleaden, social worker that he had sexually abused other children that had been fostered by Name Redacted. When this was discussed with the childen involved, they did not disclose that anything had happened apart from No-A394 in cross examination that he had made further statements that were unproven and no doubt the defence would go on to say he was obsessed with sex.												
3. It would appear that NO-A394 was not happy in his foster-placement and was in fact placed elsewhere in the end but the decided to stop fostering anyway and end all contact with NO-A394. As a result, he probably feels rejected and will be accused of coming up with this allegation as he wants to get back at then especially as the allegation is 3 years old.												
The above 3 points substantially undermine the case. It does not necessarily mean that the abuse has not occurred but I am of the view that there is no realistic prospect of conviction in a criminal court.												
Prosec	cutor name (print):S K Matthews	Contact	details: 0	115 852	3354Date:10/11/04							
	cutor to indicate general nature of o					49 Years 15110000-01001-01004-0100-0100-0100						
Code	Advice	Suspect 1	Suspect 2	Code	Advice	Suspect 1	Suspect 2					
A	Charge + request full file			H	Request evidential file							
В	Charge + request expedited file			1	Request expedited file							
C	Simple caution Conditional caution			J K	Further investigation - resubmit NFA — Evidential .							

1400	7 7/4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		447/207		78 - 30	MG3 (A)		
E	Reprimand			L	NFA – Public interest				
F	Final warning			M	Other (please specify)				
G	TIC								
		If 'C, D, E, F or L', enter Public interest code:							
Further action agreed			Action date by:						
1.		<u>₹</u> .		1					
2.				2.					
3.				3.					
4.				4.					
PYO Provisional trial date:									
Charging review/action date:					₹ 9				
Retur	Return bail date:								
Investigation stage at which advice sought:									
Pre ar	Pre arrest: Post arrest: Post interview: Post bail for further enqs: Bail for charging decision:								
How Advice Delivered:									
Face to Face: Video Conferencing: Telephone: CPS Direct: Written: Written:									