

(ix) At 0025 hours on Tuesday 15 December 1992 Bishop Peter BALL was released without charge on Police Bail, pending further enquiries. He was originally due to answer this bail at 1100 hours on Monday 25 January 1993.

Due to the fact that ensuing Police enquiries have revealed further allegations of misconduct and possible criminal offences and because of the need to properly complete investigations, Bishop Peter BALL had his bail date extended to 1100 hours on Monday 15 February 1993.

5. The brief circumstances of offences (iii) to (vi) are as follows:

During the course of the Police investigation into the allegations being made by Neil TODD the investigating officers were made aware of two other men whom it was alleged had had similar experiences with Bishop Peter BALL.

and were interviewed by Police on 5 January 1993. They were spoken to independently and both made written witness statements.

(i) is a student at . He is now twenty four years of age. It was whilst attending School in that he first came into contact with Peter BALL who was then the Bishop of LEWES. subsequently attended the Rectory at LITLINGTON

Cont/...

in SUSSEX where Bishop Peter BALL was running a specialist 'scheme' for young people (this 'scheme' will be discussed in more detail later in this report).

[AN-A98] first went to LITLINGTON in September 1985. On either the 15 or 16 May 1986 [AN-A98]

was anointed on the genitals by Bishop Peter BALL. This anointment which also included his head, hands, feet, stomach and heart, was with oil and took place in the Chapel at LITLINGTON. During this anointing

[AN-A98] was naked. Between August and December 1986 [AN-A98] describes how his relationship with Bishop Peter BALL grew closer. He would regularly massage the Bishop's shoulder and inner thigh (in order to give the Bishop relief from pain that he was allegedly experiencing). This massaging allegedly progressed to the rubbing of oil into the Bishop's skin whilst he (the Bishop) was naked. During the course of this naked massaging Bishop Peter BALL allegedly advised [AN-A98] that he (the Bishop) might experience an ejaculation - particularly when his inner thigh was being touched.

Following a short period when [AN-A98] went back home to his parents, he returned to the Rectory at LITLINGTON in May 1987. [AN-A98] alleges that he used to share Bishop Peter BALL's room at night and that it was at about this time that the Bishop introduced him to penitential prayers/psalms.

Cont/...

This would involve the Bishop and [AN-A98] allegedly embracing each other in the Chapel whilst both were naked. They would then kneel naked before the altar to pray for between five and ten minutes. This would take place at about two o'clock in the mornings. It was also at this same time that [AN-A98] [AN-A98] and the Bishop allegedly began the practice of lying naked together in the Bishop's room and embracing. This occurred on a regular basis between 1987 and 1990. [AN-A98] alleges that on some of these occasions the Bishop would have an erection. On some occasions whilst embracing the Bishop would allegedly touch the genitals of [AN-A98].

[AN-A98] alleges that on one occasion on the 31 December 1987 (New Years Eve) Bishop Peter BALL suggested to him that they perform an act of mutual masturbation. [AN-A98] agreed to this and the act allegedly took place in the Bishop's bedroom at LITLINGTON. Bishop Peter BALL allegedly fondled [AN-A98]'s penis in an effort to arouse him but he ([AN-A98]) could not get an erection. [AN-A98]

[AN-A98] alleges that he then masturbated the Bishop until he ejaculated. (Charge (v)). [AN-A98] was aged 20 years at this time. It is also alleged by [AN-A98] that on a number of occasions between 1987 and 1988 he consented to being flogged by the Bishop.

Cont/...

These floggings would take place whilst [AN-A98] [AN-A98] was kneeling naked and would consist of beatings with a long handled clothes brush. [AN-A98] [AN-A98] alleges that on occasions such was the force used by the Bishop that the flesh on his buttocks would be broken causing them to bleed. At the request of the Bishop, [AN-A98] would reciprocate the beatings. (Charge (vi)). [AN-A98] states that he never received medical treatment for his injuries save for Bishop Peter BALL rubbing cream into his wounds. [AN-A98] also alleges that on one occasion Bishop Peter BALL suggested that as a form of penance they pull pubic hair from each others genitals. [AN-A98] states that he declined this suggestion.

[AN-A98] states that in the Spring of 1990 he became unhappy about the degree of intimacy between himself and the Bishop and that apart from massaging their physical contact greatly reduced. Following Peter BALL'S appointment as the Bishop of GLOUCESTER, [AN-A98] visited the Bishop at GLOUCESTER and describes meeting Neil TODD. There is no allegation of buggery having taken place.

(ii) [AN-A117] is now aged twenty years and resides in [DPA] where he works as an Afterschool Playworker.

Cont/...