

Details of FTO and SHPO's with Foreign Travel Restrictions

No.	Order	The nature of the behaviour or offending which gave rise to the order	The length of time for which the order was imposed	The countries included in or excluded from the foreign travel restriction	Whether the individual subject of the order had travelled abroad to offend prior to the order being made	Whether the individual is known to have travelled or attempted to travel in breach of the order	Whether they were convicted or cautioned in respect of the breach (and if so, whether they were stopped at the border attempting to travel in breach; whether they did in fact travel; and whether they are known to have gone on to sexually abuse children following the breach)	Whether the individual reoffended following the lifting of the order (and if so, the nature of this offence or offences, and whether the individual travelled abroad in order to offend following the lifting of the order).
1	FTO	frequent travel to Thailand every month, investigation into potential making of child images while in Thailand. NFA for the offence.	3 Months	Thailand	There was a live investigation regarding an offence abroad at the time of the FTO being imposed however this was not proceeded with due to lack of evidence.	There was no evidence to suggest the nominal breached or attempted to breach the order.	n/a	There was no further offending following the lifting of the order.
2	SHPO with	Nominal wants to move to a country where he believes the age of consent is 14, he said he would prefer a partner who is around the age of 14 but he would go as young as 12 years old.	Life	All countries outside of the UK were included in the foreign travel restrictions.	The nominal had not travelled abroad prior to the order being made.	There was concern that the nominal was going to attempt to travel abroad and breach the order. Following the order being imposed the nominal had tried to get his mother to obtain a passport, when questioned he stated that he did not agree with the order as it imposed on his human rights and was seeking legal advice in order to have the order discharged.	The nominal had not breached the order.	The order has not been lifted.