

<p>1 Tuesday, 12 March 2019</p> <p>2 (10.00 am)</p> <p>3 THE CHAIR: Good morning, everyone, and welcome to Day 7 of</p> <p>4 this public inquiry. Mr Altman?</p> <p>5 MR ALTMAN: Good morning, chair. The first witness, sitting</p> <p>6 in the witness box, is Mrs Susan Hogg.</p> <p>7 MRS SUSAN HOGG (sworn)</p> <p>8 Examination by MR ALTMAN</p> <p>9 MR ALTMAN: Sit down, please.</p> <p>10 A. Thank you.</p> <p>11 Q. Give us your name.</p> <p>12 A. Susan Hogg.</p> <p>13 Q. Susan Hogg. Mrs Hogg, I want to ask you, please, for</p> <p>14 a little assistance about what you did by way of</p> <p>15 occupation in the 1980s?</p> <p>16 A. I worked for Sir Peter Morrison as his diary secretary</p> <p>17 in the Department of Employment.</p> <p>18 Q. I think you began working as a civil servant in the</p> <p>19 Health and Safety Executive?</p> <p>20 A. In '77, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. I am simply taking this from a statement you made to the</p> <p>22 inquiry in December last year. You recalled -- I'm</p> <p>23 looking at your paragraph 2, and for the chair and</p> <p>24 panel, it is behind tab 1 in the file. You remembered</p> <p>25 receiving a phone call saying that one of the ministers</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 1</p>	<p>1 was looking for a diary secretary?</p> <p>2 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Which you thought was in 1983?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. But you couldn't remember precisely which month. You</p> <p>6 thought about September?</p> <p>7 A. It was probably September, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. You took the position, ending up working as a diary</p> <p>9 secretary for Peter Morrison from between 1983 and 1985?</p> <p>10 A. That's correct.</p> <p>11 Q. What were your functions as his diary secretary?</p> <p>12 A. Well, in those days, it was a paper diary, so it was</p> <p>13 a little bit more complicated than it is presently, but</p> <p>14 I was responsible for organising all of his departmental</p> <p>15 commitments, including regional visits and all of his</p> <p>16 many meetings that he had throughout the day with</p> <p>17 various people.</p> <p>18 Q. Help us about this, Mrs Hogg, if you would: where were</p> <p>19 you based?</p> <p>20 A. I was based in Caxton House on Tothill Street.</p> <p>21 Q. So in London?</p> <p>22 A. In London, yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Between 1983 and 1985, am I right in thinking that</p> <p>24 Peter Morrison was a minister?</p> <p>25 A. That's correct, Minister of State for Employment.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p>
<p>1 Q. Employment at that time?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. I think you found yourself working long hours?</p> <p>4 A. Very. It was regular that we'd start work before</p> <p>5 8.00 am and until at least 11.00 at night, and sometimes</p> <p>6 I signed out of the late book as late as 2.00 in the</p> <p>7 morning and still had to be back at my desk for 8.00 the</p> <p>8 following morning, and that's why you spend such a short</p> <p>9 time in those kind of roles, because it really is very</p> <p>10 difficult to sustain more than two years.</p> <p>11 Q. If you don't mind me asking, just so we get a fix on it,</p> <p>12 I think you were in your early 20s at the time?</p> <p>13 A. I was, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. You say, and I'm looking at your paragraph 5, that you</p> <p>15 got on very well with him and he was kind to you,</p> <p>16 especially when your father passed away?</p> <p>17 A. He was -- yes.</p> <p>18 Q. But you say he had some serious issues?</p> <p>19 A. Yes. He clearly had an alcohol problem. He used to</p> <p>20 start drinking at lunchtime and he'd drink a bottle of</p> <p>21 vodka quite easily by teatime, and then he'd start</p> <p>22 drinking whisky, but he also smoked very heavily as</p> <p>23 well. In those days, you could smoke in the office.</p> <p>24 Q. Was that something that you became aware of from the off</p> <p>25 or was it --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. -- a habit which increased and developed during the time</p> <p>3 you worked for him?</p> <p>4 A. No, he was like it from word go.</p> <p>5 Q. You say, looking at your paragraph 6, that he moved in</p> <p>6 very high circles?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. The Duke of Westminster, Prince Charles among his</p> <p>9 friends?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And his sister was lady-in-waiting to the Queen?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, she still is.</p> <p>13 Q. You spoke about his temper, though. Was there something</p> <p>14 that you particularly remembered?</p> <p>15 A. Yeah. He used to get very upset. He was regularly</p> <p>16 called on by Buckingham Palace to escort</p> <p>17 Princess Margaret and he used to get very distressed at</p> <p>18 that and he'd be in a bad mood most of the day if that</p> <p>19 is what his duties were that day.</p> <p>20 Q. What was the source of his distress?</p> <p>21 A. I just don't think he liked doing that job, but he was</p> <p>22 regularly called to escort her.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you remember, although not the date itself, but an</p> <p>24 occasion when Peter Morrison received a phone call --</p> <p>25 I'm looking again at your statement at paragraph 7</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p>

1 now -- demanding that he go to Downing Street --
 2 **A. Yes.**
 3 Q. -- in order to see the Prime Minister?
 4 **A. Yes.**
 5 Q. Although you can't recall the date precisely, you say
 6 that your father died in November 1984 and you thought
 7 it was just before that?
 8 **A. Yes. It was clearly something very serious because he**
 9 **was demanded -- he had to go to Downing Street**
 10 **instantly, and he came back in a very foul mood, and**
 11 **shortly afterwards, I recall that he was then taken in**
 12 **to see Sir Michael Quinlan, who was the Permanent**
 13 **Secretary --**
 14 Q. At the Education Department?
 15 **A. -- at the Department of Employment, yes.**
 16 Q. Oh, Employment?
 17 **A. Yes. And I also remember quite clearly, again around**
 18 **the same time, within days of each other, him having to**
 19 **go to see the Chief Whip. So it was clear something had**
 20 **happened, but we weren't knowledgeable as to what had**
 21 **happened.**
 22 Q. So he'd been to see the Prime Minister sometime
 23 before November 1984 when your father passed away?
 24 **A. Yes.**
 25 Q. Came back in a bad mood. Around the same time sees

Page 5

1 Tothill Street?
 2 **A. Yes.**
 3 Q. Which is in Westminster?
 4 **A. Yes.**
 5 Q. Where was home for him?
 6 **A. Chester Street in Belgravia.**
 7 Q. So not too far away?
 8 **A. No.**
 9 Q. So he'd go home for dinner and he'd return to the office
 10 where you would still be working. What sort of time in
 11 the evening?
 12 **A. 11.00, 12.00, regularly that happened.**
 13 Q. Was it at that time of night you're telling us he used
 14 to receive these phone calls?
 15 **A. Probably before that, before he returned to the office,**
 16 **and obviously he had a visitor there and it turned out**
 17 **to be Ms Manningham-Buller, but --**
 18 Q. I'm just going back to the phone calls, because we might
 19 be confusing two things. What I'd like to understand
 20 is, you talked about phone calls that you became aware
 21 of?
 22 **A. Yes.**
 23 Q. And because he would say things like, "Stop it,
 24 Eliza" --
 25 **A. Yes.**

Page 7

1 Michael Quinlan, Permanent Secretary at Employment?
 2 **A. Yes.**
 3 Q. And also the Chief Whip?
 4 **A. Yes.**
 5 Q. Now, during your time working for him, did you discover
 6 that he had a friendship with Eliza Manningham-Buller,
 7 who was to become director-general of MI5?
 8 **A. Yes. It was actually phone calls that -- he used to**
 9 **phone us when he got home at night, and regularly**
 10 **I would hear him saying -- and there would be giggling**
 11 **in the background, and he'd be saying, "Stop it, Eliza,**
 12 **stop it". Well, I didn't know who Eliza was until she**
 13 **actually visited the department.**
 14 Q. Pause there for a moment, Mrs Hogg. It may be me. You
 15 say it was when he got home at night or regularly --
 16 forgive me. The transcript is moving up. It is
 17 something about "he used to phone us"?
 18 **A. Yes.**
 19 Q. What do you mean by that?
 20 **A. He used to phone into the office to make sure -- because**
 21 **what -- how he worked, his pattern of work was that he'd**
 22 **leave the office possibly about 5.00 in the evening,**
 23 **he'd go home and have his dinner and then he'd come back**
 24 **into the office sometimes at 11.00 at night.**
 25 Q. Pause there, if you would. You told us you worked in

Page 6

1 Q. -- and you mention in your statement there'd be
 2 giggling?
 3 **A. Yes.**
 4 Q. So it was a chummy sort of phone call, you assumed it
 5 was Eliza Manningham-Buller?
 6 **A. I didn't assume it was her at the time.**
 7 Q. But since?
 8 **A. It was only when I found out that it was**
 9 **Eliza Manningham-Buller -- I didn't know what she did**
 10 **for an occupation. I actually -- at the time, because**
 11 **I was innocent and young, I thought she was his**
 12 **girlfriend.**
 13 Q. Okay. How was it you heard these phone calls? Were you
 14 in the same office as him or --
 15 **A. He'd be phoning me to find out what was happening the**
 16 **next day or to discuss something that we'd sent him in**
 17 **his red box. So he regularly phoned into the office.**
 18 **And then we'd find out if he was going to come back in**
 19 **later and we'd still need to be there when he got back.**
 20 Q. I'm still struggling to understand how you overheard
 21 these phone calls?
 22 **A. Because I'd be talking to him on the phone.**
 23 Q. Oh, I see.
 24 **A. Yes.**
 25 Q. So he was talking to somebody in the background who

Page 8

1 was --
 2 **A. Yes.**
 3 Q. So you weren't overhearing phone calls that he was
 4 having with this Eliza?
 5 **A. No, no.**
 6 Q. He was on the phone to you and in the background you
 7 could hear him say things like, "Stop it, Eliza", and
 8 there'd be giggling?
 9 **A. Yes.**
 10 Q. I'm with you. Did you ever see the person you thought
 11 he was talking to at that time? Did somebody ever come
 12 into the office who announced that she was
 13 Eliza Manningham-Buller?
 14 **A. Yes. She didn't announce she was**
 15 **Eliza Manningham-Buller. I was sitting -- my desk had**
 16 **my back to the door and the door opened and I heard**
 17 **a voice say, "Is Peter in?", and his private secretary**
 18 **said, "Oh, he's in a meeting at the moment". She said,**
 19 **"Oh, tell him I popped in". But I recognised the voice**
 20 **from over the telephone.**
 21 Q. I see.
 22 **A. I asked his private secretary who it was, and he said,**
 23 **"Oh, that's Eliza Manningham-Buller". So my initial**
 24 **response was, "Well, what's she doing here in the**
 25 **department?", because I just thought she was a friend.**

Page 9

1 a bad mood for a long time?
 2 **A. Yes.**
 3 Q. To the point where you remark that he went through
 4 a period of putting abusive notes on submissions that
 5 were in his red box which you had to Tippex out?
 6 **A. Yes.**
 7 Q. Abusive submissions or notes to whom?
 8 **A. They were just -- he would just criticise everything**
 9 **that went to him in his red box, and he regularly called**
 10 **civil servants "Martians", and he would write across the**
 11 **submission that had come to him, "This is Martian", so**
 12 **I would have to Tippex it out so it didn't offend**
 13 **anybody.**
 14 Q. Or offend Martians?
 15 **A. Yes.**
 16 Q. All right. So you stopped working for him, you say,
 17 after Christmas 1985, and you were promoted and moved on
 18 to work with Sir Bryan Nicholson?
 19 **A. That's correct, yes.**
 20 Q. Who was ...?
 21 **A. He was the chairman of the Manpower Services Commission.**
 22 Q. You note that Peter Morrison died -- Sir Peter, as he
 23 was then --
 24 **A. Yes.**
 25 Q. -- in 1995. Did you attend the funeral?

Page 11

1 **And he told me that she worked for MI5 and she'd**
 2 **probably come to see Sir Michael Quinlan because of**
 3 **the miners' strike.**
 4 Q. So not to do with him, but to do with the miners' strike
 5 that was going on at the time?
 6 **A. No. Well, we think that's what she'd come to see**
 7 **Sir Michael Quinlan for.**
 8 Q. So that was supposition?
 9 **A. It was supposition, yes.**
 10 Q. Now, you mentioned to us a little earlier that
 11 Peter Morrison had been called in to Downing Street and
 12 then he'd had a discussion around the same period of
 13 time with Michael Quinlan, Permanent Secretary, as well
 14 as the Chief Whip?
 15 **A. Yes.**
 16 Q. Who was the Chief Whip at the time, do you know?
 17 **A. I don't remember, actually, but he was very big friends**
 18 **with Archie Hamilton, who was a Conservative Whip, and**
 19 **used to regularly meet up with Archie Hamilton, but**
 20 **I don't remember the name of the Chief Whip.**
 21 Q. What you do say in paragraph 10 is that you didn't know
 22 why he'd been called in to all of these meetings?
 23 **A. No.**
 24 Q. But you do say:
 25 "Nothing seemed to change as a result, but he was in

Page 10

1 **A. I did.**
 2 Q. Did you notice if Eliza Manningham-Buller was there or
 3 not?
 4 **A. No, she wasn't there, no. Mrs Thatcher and her husband**
 5 **were there and people like the Duke of Westminster and**
 6 **a lot of his very close friends.**
 7 Q. Where was the funeral?
 8 **A. At Fonthill in Wiltshire, in his family home.**
 9 MR ALTMAN: Thank you very much, Mrs Hogg. That's all
 10 I need to ask you. I will see if the chair and panel
 11 have any questions for you.
 12 THE CHAIR: No, thank you, we have no questions. Thank you,
 13 Mrs Hogg.
 14 **A. Thank you.**
 15 MR ALTMAN: Thank you for coming.
 16 (The witness withdrew)
 17 MR ALTMAN: Chair, the next witness is Baroness
 18 Manningham-Buller.
 19 BARONESS ELIZABETH LYDIA MANNINGHAM-BULLER (sworn)
 20 Examination by MR ALTMAN
 21 MR ALTMAN: Sit down, if you would, please. Can you give us
 22 your full name?
 23 **A. Elizabeth Lydia Manningham-Buller.**
 24 Q. Can you kindly turn to your left? Don't mind me too
 25 much. Lady Manningham-Buller, can I ask you, please,

Page 12

1 first of all, to confirm that you made a statement to
 2 the inquiry which we have in our files which was dated
 3 5 February 2018. Mistake?
 4 **A. Yes.**
 5 Q. 2019.
 6 **A. Sorry.**
 7 Q. No need to apologise. I'm going to have it put up on
 8 screen, because there are just a couple of corrections
 9 I think you have asked to be made to it: INQ004047,
 10 please. You will see to your right the statement will
 11 appear on the screen. You have a hard copy.
 12 Can I confirm, do you have the letter that was sent
 13 to the inquiry of 8 March which corrects two of the --
 14 **A. I have seen it. I haven't got it.**
 15 Q. I'm sure you will trust me. I have got the letter in
 16 front of me. Paragraph 3, in the fourth line, which
 17 begins, "Been endorsed by me", and this refers to
 18 a letter we looked at yesterday, and we will look again
 19 with you, the word "endorsed", you wish to replace with
 20 the word "signed". Is that correct?
 21 **A. Yes.**
 22 Q. Paragraph 4, which currently reads:
 23 "I do not remember whether Antony Duff was aware of
 24 my connection with Peter Morrison and, as far as
 25 I recall, I did not have any conversations with him

Page 13

1 Q. Thank you very much. Tell us something, please, about
 2 your relationship at that time with Peter Morrison?
 3 **A. Peter Morrison was a friend of mine, our fathers were**
 4 **friends, we had known each other in politics, and he was**
 5 **a friend of mine for much of the '80s.**
 6 Q. Had you ever stayed at the family home in Islay?
 7 **A. Yes, I did once.**
 8 Q. How would you describe your friendship with him?
 9 **A. We were quite good friends, not close. He was not**
 10 **somebody who confided in people very much. I saw him**
 11 **generally socially with other people. We had a number**
 12 **of friends in common. And occasionally I had dinner**
 13 **with him.**
 14 Q. So, on average, if you can average it out, how regularly
 15 do you think you saw him a year during that period?
 16 **A. I find that -- I really don't remember, but I would --**
 17 **I'm guessing about once a month, twice a month possibly.**
 18 Q. You have heard, have you --
 19 **A. Yes.**
 20 Q. -- the evidence given by Sue Hogg?
 21 **A. I heard most of it. Not the very end of it, because**
 22 **I came here.**
 23 Q. But you have had access to her witness statement --
 24 **A. I have.**
 25 Q. -- from which it doesn't depart? She recalls phone

Page 15

1 about this matter."
 2 You have asked for that first sentence to be
 3 replaced in this way, with:
 4 "Antony Duff must have been aware of my connection
 5 with Peter Morrison, given what is contained in the
 6 papers, but I don't remember this and, as far as
 7 I recall, I didn't have any conversations with him about
 8 this matter."
 9 **A. That's correct.**
 10 Q. I have simply read from a letter that was sent to the
 11 inquiry a couple of days ago now. Thank you. With that
 12 in mind, first of all, please, tell us something about
 13 your career in MI5?
 14 **A. I joined in 1974, and I did a variety of jobs. At the**
 15 **time of the -- I think which the inquiry is most closely**
 16 **interested, I was in the secretariat of the Security**
 17 **Service with responsibility for the oversight of its**
 18 **foreign relationships with foreign services, and towards**
 19 **the end of the '80s, '87, I was promoted and became in**
 20 **charge of the work on Middle East terrorism.**
 21 **At the end of the '80s, I went to Washington in the**
 22 **embassy, and then I had a series of jobs in the '90s,**
 23 **until, in 1997, I became deputy head of the Service,**
 24 **becoming director-general in 2002, and standing down and**
 25 **retiring from that in 2007.**

Page 14

1 calls which she would have with Peter Morrison when he
 2 was Minister of State for Employment between 1983 and
 3 1985 when she could hear in the background, while
 4 Peter Morrison was talking to her, conversations taking
 5 place between him and somebody he referred to as
 6 "Eliza". Is it possible that that was you?
 7 **A. It might have been once or twice, but nothing like the**
 8 **number of times that she mentioned, and this fits with**
 9 **a concern I developed sometime after this, that he was**
 10 **suggesting to people that I was his girlfriend, when**
 11 **I wasn't, and that was the reason why, towards the end**
 12 **of the '80s, I -- one of the reasons I saw less of him.**
 13 **But I certainly wasn't in his house as regularly as the**
 14 **impression that she got, but I wonder if he wanted to**
 15 **give that impression.**
 16 Q. But on the odd occasion?
 17 **A. Yes.**
 18 Q. Nobody is criticising you for it --
 19 **A. No.**
 20 Q. -- or him, for that matter, but on the odd occasion, if
 21 Mrs Hogg heard in the background the odd giggles and,
 22 "Stop it, Eliza", that's something that possibly
 23 happened?
 24 **A. It might have happened, but I do not think that there**
 25 **was anything in the regularity with which we saw each**

Page 16

<p>1 other, which was irregular, that would have made her 2 think this was happening the whole time, and therefore 3 I'm suspicious, not of what she said, but of what the 4 impression he was wishing to give was. 5 Q. I see. Do you read anything into the impression that he 6 was trying to give at that time? 7 A. Only speculation. 8 Q. About his sexuality? 9 A. Possibly. Yes. 10 Q. While we have Mrs Hogg's evidence in mind, do you 11 remember a visit to the department to see 12 Michael Quinlan? 13 A. I certainly didn't see -- go to see Michael Quinlan, 14 whom I only met after he'd retired from the public 15 service when he was the director of Ditchley. I was 16 much too junior to go and see people like him. I do 17 remember once dropping in, I think not at -- I thought 18 it was the Department of Energy; it wasn't 19 Tothill Street -- once dropping in to see 20 Peter Morrison. I think that was in what is now 21 Thames House, the headquarters of the Security Service, 22 which was then partly the Department of Energy, I think. 23 Q. He wasn't Minister of State for Energy until June 1987, 24 and -- 25 A. Well, maybe my memory is faulty, then.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p>	<p>1 Q. But he was Minister of State for Trade and Industry 2 between September 1985 and September 1986? 3 A. I'm not sure. 4 Q. You're not sure. Was there any possibility that you 5 visited the Department of Employment during the miners' 6 strike? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. So that's a possibility? 9 A. Yes. But not to see Michael Quinlan. 10 Q. Okay. And not to see Peter Morrison? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Let's move on. I'd like you, please, if you would, from 13 the file in front of you, but we will put these 14 documents up on the screen, to look at a document behind 15 your divider 9. The panel have got this as well, and we 16 will put it up on screen, please, CAB000126. 17 Presumably, this won't have been a document or a letter 18 that you saw at the time? 19 A. No. 20 Q. But let's see if you can assist us with it and its 21 content. It is dated 6 January. It is to 22 Robert Armstrong, who was at the time Cabinet Secretary, 23 and it is from Sir Antony Duff, who was the 24 director-general of the Service at the time. Is that 25 right?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p>
<p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. It reads this way: 3 "You may recall that, in November 1983, you told my 4 predecessor that you had heard from the Chief Whip that 5 rumours were circulating to the effect that 6 Peter Morrison MP had been picked up by the police for 7 importuning. Neither the DPP's office nor the 8 Special Branch were able to substantiate the rumours at 9 that time. 10 "I think you will wish to know that a member of my 11 staff was told by a friend a couple of months ago that 12 Morrison had been caught soliciting in a public lavatory 13 and had been lucky not to be charged -- date 14 unspecified; and more recently a second friend told the 15 same member of staff that Lord Cranborne had been 16 telling the story quite openly to a large group of 17 people. In other words, the rumours persist and have 18 become more widespread. 19 "On this second occasion, it was said that 20 a Labour MP had been charged with a similar offence at 21 the same time. It appears that Dr Roger Thomas, MP for 22 Carmarthen, was indeed arrested at the end 23 of September 1983. It seems probable, therefore, that 24 the current rumours are based on the original 1983 story 25 and not necessarily on a repetition."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p>	<p>1 Before I ask you a couple of questions about that, 2 if we turn in your bundle, please, to tab 10, and put up 3 on screen CAB000099, we will see this was the response 4 from Sir Robert Armstrong, as he was then, of 5 13 January, to Sir Antony Duff: 6 "Thank you for your letter of 6 January about 7 Peter Morrison MP. I do indeed remember what passed 8 in November 1983. 9 "I have made sure that the Prime Minister is aware 10 that there is a potential problem. If you pick up any 11 further evidence or rumours, I should be very glad to 12 have them." 13 Going back, please, to the 6 January letter behind 14 tab 9, on screen CAB000126, did you know anything about 15 that, those rumours? Were you the member of staff who 16 passed them on? 17 A. I think I must have been. I only saw this letter after 18 I made my statement to the inquiry when it came out of 19 the Cabinet Office, I believe, papers, and I think it 20 probable that that -- the second paragraph is my report 21 based on a report made by me, but I'm not 100 per cent 22 sure. 23 Q. "... that a member of my staff", so if that was you, 24 "was told by a third person, a friend, a couple of 25 months ago", which would take us into the back end of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 20</p>

<p>1 1985, "that Morrison had been caught soliciting in 2 a public lavatory and had been lucky not to be charged". 3 So if that information had been imparted to you by 4 a third party, is it likely, certainly given the other 5 information we have seen, that you would have passed 6 that information on to the Service? 7 A. Yes. I would have regarded it as my duty to do so. 8 Q. "... and more recently a second friend told the same 9 member of staff that Lord Cranborne had been telling the 10 story quite openly to a large group of people". 11 Now, if it is you, then you're the same member of 12 staff who passed on that information as well, and if you 13 had done so, presumably for the same reason you have 14 just given us. 15 Do you have now any independent recollection of 16 either of those two pieces of information? 17 A. I don't. 18 Q. Can I, as it were, fast forward to another document 19 behind the final tab in your bundle, and to go up on 20 screen CAB000123. In fact, there is one other document 21 I may well come back to. This one is from PJ Walker, if 22 you look at the second page, and he was the DG, I think, 23 in July 1987, which is the date of this letter, again to 24 Sir Robert Armstrong: 25 "Dear Robert.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p>	<p>1 "On 19 June in connection with the briefing of new 2 ministers, you told me of the concern expressed to you 3 by Peter Morrison, Minister of State at the Department 4 of Energy, about a number of unpleasant rumours that had 5 circulated about him in recent years. 6 "On 2 July, [there is a redaction] ... saw Morrison 7 in his office to give him the normal security briefing. 8 After the briefing, Morrison, without prompting, raised 9 the subject of the rumours mentioning his discussion 10 with you and with Peter Gregson. He then gave an 11 account of what he described as the unfounded and 12 malicious allegations of homosexuality that had been 13 made against him. These had begun in January 1982 when 14 he was Parliamentary Under-Secretary at the Department 15 of Employment. Norman Tebbit, the Secretary of State, 16 had said that he had heard that the police were 17 investigating Morrison 'as a queer'. Morrison denied 18 that he was a homosexual and had so informed Tebbit. He 19 had also spoken to the Chief Whip. 20 "The next 'whisperings' took place in 1983 after the 21 Cecil Parkinson affair. On that occasion, he had spoken 22 to the Deputy Chief Whip. In 1984 and 1985, he was 23 conscious of press interest in him but this died down 24 and the stories did not revive until September 1986 in 25 the aftermath of the Jeffrey Archer episode. Again, the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p>
<p>1 rumours were imprecise and unpleasant. He had taken 2 legal advice and was told that, unless something more 3 tangible appeared, he should let the matter rest." 4 Then over the page: 5 "In October/November 1986, events took a turn for 6 the worse." 7 This is the period, Lady Manningham-Buller, that you 8 wrote two memos that we will come to in a short while: 9 "Two Mirror Group journalists had hired a private 10 aircraft, flown from Glasgow to his country home on the 11 isle of Islay, and camped on his doorstep for two or 12 three days. He refused to see them and, in November 13 and December, various attempts were made to telephone 14 him: the journalists left messages saying they were 15 about to publish stories. Acting on legal advice, he 16 had refused to rise to the bait and had said nothing 17 that was newsworthy to anyone. 18 "Morrison then mentioned the stories about his 19 alleged homosexual behaviour which surfaced in his 20 Chester constituency during the General Election. 21 Unfortunately, his election agent ..." 22 This is something we looked at yesterday: 23 "... in a well-meaning but clumsy attempt to spare 24 Morrison embarrassment, had spoken without Morrison's 25 authority or knowledge to the Labour candidate. She</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p>	<p>1 chose to do so in a back street of all places. Morrison 2 feared that if his agent's approach reached the wrong 3 ears it could be misrepresented as an attempted coverup. 4 "In answer to a question, Morrison said that he did 5 not know who was behind the stories. In his view, the 6 Mirror Group were simply out to get copy. He thought, 7 however, that the rumours might have been inspired by 8 a fellow Conservative: he based this assumption on 9 a cryptic comment by a senior press officer in the 10 Conservative Central Office who had warned him that 11 one's real enemies are often on one's own side. 12 "Morrison did not ask for advice but was 13 reminded ... of the need to be on his guard, both in 14 this country and abroad, against behaviour which, 15 however innocent, could be misrepresented. As a public 16 figure, he needed to choose his friends with care. 17 Morrison was grateful for this advice and said that he 18 already took care, but from now on would take even more 19 precautions." 20 Were you aware of all of this going on in the 21 background? As I say, we will come to 1986 and your 22 direct involvement. But were you aware of this going on 23 the following year, in July 1987 -- 24 A. No. 25 Q. -- at the time that you were --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p>

<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. You weren't in the secretariat at this time?</p> <p>3 A. No. By this stage, I was on Middle Eastern terrorism.</p> <p>4 Q. But I think you will probably agree that at least some</p> <p>5 of the information in paragraph 4 came from the</p> <p>6 information you imparted in November 1986?</p> <p>7 A. Well, it's the same as it.</p> <p>8 Q. All right. Finally, just to look at one other document</p> <p>9 which perhaps you can help us with before we come to the</p> <p>10 material that most directly concerns you, can we go back</p> <p>11 to divider 10 and this time look at a 1988 document,</p> <p>12 CAB000099_003. This is a letter to Patrick Walker on</p> <p>13 2 February 1988. It comes from the Cabinet Office from</p> <p>14 Sir Robin Butler now:</p> <p>15 "Dear Patrick,</p> <p>16 "You will be aware of previous correspondence</p> <p>17 between your predecessor and mine about Peter Morrison</p> <p>18 MP."</p> <p>19 Do you have it?</p> <p>20 A. I think I probably have it, but it is not at tab 10.</p> <p>21 I have certainly seen it before.</p> <p>22 Q. Is it not? I'm sorry about that. It should be the</p> <p>23 final document behind tab 10.</p> <p>24 A. I beg your pardon. Sorry, I missed it. I have it.</p> <p>25 Q. It is the third page. It is page 3, but it is the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 25</p>	<p>1 final -- I call it the final document.</p> <p>2 A. I have it. Apologies.</p> <p>3 Q. Not at all:</p> <p>4 "Dear Patrick,</p> <p>5 "You will be aware of previous correspondence</p> <p>6 between your predecessor and mine about Peter Morrison</p> <p>7 MP.</p> <p>8 "Last year there was a proposal that, as Minister of</p> <p>9 State, Department of Energy, he should make a visit to</p> <p>10 Moscow. Since the rumours about Mr Morrison were likely</p> <p>11 to have reached the ears of the Russians, and it was</p> <p>12 thought possible that an attempt would be mounted to</p> <p>13 compromise him, precautions were prepared by which he</p> <p>14 should stay overnight at the embassy, be accompanied at</p> <p>15 all times when out of the embassy, and avoid attempts to</p> <p>16 offer him excessive hospitality. However, in the end,</p> <p>17 it was decided that it would be simpler on all grounds</p> <p>18 if Mr Parkinson carried out the visit, and so</p> <p>19 Mr Morrison did not go.</p> <p>20 "There are now plans being drawn up for Mr Morrison</p> <p>21 to make an extensive series of visits in the Far East in</p> <p>22 late September/early October of this year, primarily to</p> <p>23 promote the interests of the United Kingdom offshore</p> <p>24 supplies industry. It is at present proposed that this</p> <p>25 tour should include two nights in the Republic of China.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p>
<p>1 Mr Morrison would stay in Beijing and precautions like</p> <p>2 those prepared for the visit to Moscow could be put in</p> <p>3 place.</p> <p>4 "I am inclined to think that, given such</p> <p>5 precautions, and Mr Morrison's acceptance of them, he</p> <p>6 could be advised to undertake the trip, provided that</p> <p>7 our ambassador in Beijing was also discreetly warned of</p> <p>8 the risk that attempts might be made to exploit the</p> <p>9 earlier rumours. But before giving advice on those</p> <p>10 lines, I should be grateful for the views of you and of</p> <p>11 'C', to whom I am copying this letter. I am also</p> <p>12 sending a copy to Patrick Wright."</p> <p>13 Did you ever become aware, when you were working for</p> <p>14 MI5, of the security issues around Peter Morrison?</p> <p>15 A. The reason I reported, as I did, and we are going to</p> <p>16 come on to, was because, although homosexuality, which</p> <p>17 was at this stage the early stages of what was being</p> <p>18 talked about, a confusion between that and paedophilia,</p> <p>19 I think, that potentially made him vulnerable to</p> <p>20 blackmail and to pressure, and therefore there was</p> <p>21 a security issue, which is why I reported what I heard</p> <p>22 later on. But I didn't -- and this letter of</p> <p>23 Robin Butler's I have never seen until the inquiry and</p> <p>24 I didn't know that this was happening.</p> <p>25 Q. Of course, Peter Morrison had denied being homosexual at</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p>	<p>1 the time?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Some of the information that the Service had was that he</p> <p>4 had a penchant for small boys?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Both of those things, are you saying, would have made</p> <p>7 him susceptible to blackmail?</p> <p>8 A. Of course.</p> <p>9 Q. Whether true or false?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Because?</p> <p>12 A. Because -- I mean, obviously more so if true, but they</p> <p>13 would make him vulnerable to, in this case, foreign</p> <p>14 operations to exploit those tendencies.</p> <p>15 Q. If he had no tendencies, though, do you still think he</p> <p>16 was vulnerable in that way?</p> <p>17 A. I don't know the answer to that question.</p> <p>18 Q. Can we therefore look, please, at your own involvement.</p> <p>19 Let's go, please, in your bundle to that document behind</p> <p>20 tab 4. We have all seen it before, but let's put it</p> <p>21 back up on the screen: INQ004040, please. We have five</p> <p>22 documents here, two of which I think you made clear you</p> <p>23 had never seen before, until they were shown to you by</p> <p>24 the inquiry, and those are the letters of 18 November</p> <p>25 and the letter of 17 December 1986, so, if you like,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 28</p>

<p>1 what I call the decision letters about what was going to 2 happen. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. But here we have on 4 November 1986 a letter to 5 Sir Robert from Sir Antony Duff, DG at the time: 6 "Your letter of 13 January referred to the case of 7 Peter Morrison MP." 8 We have looked at that, and you will remember that 9 was the response of 6 January letter, so we looked at 10 that pair of letters a little earlier: 11 "The stories about him persist." 12 Have you got the right page? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. "The stories about him persist. A member of my staff 15 was told last month by Donald Stewart, the Conservative 16 Party agent for Westminster, that he heard from two 17 sources that Morrison has a penchant for small boys. He 18 gave no details nor the date of any alleged activities 19 and did not reveal his sources. He gave the impression 20 of having received the information recently; but this 21 might simply reflect the fact that Morrison has only 22 recently taken up his position in the Conservative 23 Central Office and has therefore only recently come into 24 Stewart's orbit. The information itself could still be 25 old."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p>	<p>1 As a matter of history -- you may not know this 2 personally, but as a matter of history -- 3 between September 1986 and June 1987, Peter Morrison 4 became the Conservative Party deputy chairman, and so 5 that would explain the reference to his position in the 6 Conservative Central Office, presumably -- 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. -- which is covered by the period of the letter and the 9 information we are about to deal with: 10 "The information itself could still be old. 11 "The position is, therefore, that we are still not 12 clear whether the stories about Morrison are 13 a reflection of the 1983 rumour, or are based on 14 something more recent. It does seem rather important to 15 seek to resolve the matter, one way or another, if 16 possible. There must, I suppose, be a real possibility 17 that Morrison will be a candidate for office again at 18 some stage, and we should then be confronted by the need 19 to consider these stories in the security context. It 20 would be preferable, if possible, to dispose of them (or 21 confirm them) before then. The first step would be to 22 talk to Stewart. 23 "At the present stage, however, and especially in 24 the light of the Jeffrey Archer case, the risk of 25 political embarrassment to the government is rather</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 30</p>
<p>1 greater than the security danger. I wonder if, as 2 a first step, the Chief Whip might think it appropriate 3 to question Stewart? The Security Service could do this 4 if necessary, and we do have the impression that Stewart 5 meant his information to reach our ears, but I would 6 just [as] soon that we did not get directly involved for 7 the time being." 8 So that was the position then, and before we move on 9 from this document, if you go back to the first page at 10 the top, do you see your initials? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. With a date, 3 November? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. The date of the letter is 4 November. Your initials are 15 dated 3 November. First of all, why have you initialled 16 this document? 17 A. Well, I was trained in the Security Service, and all the 18 records were papers, and if a document was marked to you 19 to see, which this was, you signed it and dated to show 20 you'd seen it precisely for the reason that we are here 21 today, because it is a record of what you had seen. 22 I'm afraid that I think I got the date wrong, 23 because I would have -- this would not have been written 24 by me or prepared by me. I was five levels under the 25 DG, as it were, in the layers at that stage. I think it</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 31</p>	<p>1 was shown to me afterwards and probably it should say 2 the 4th, and I've -- that's the only explanation I can 3 suggest. 4 Q. There may be one other possibility, because you wrote 5 two internal memos -- 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. -- imparting information to the Service. One is dated 8 11 November and the other the 13th. If it is an error, 9 is it possible you might have written the 3rd when you 10 meant the 13th? 11 A. Conceivably, but I think that there would have been 12 a big delay then between 4 November and the letter 13 getting to me. 14 Q. Exactly, that would be nine days, and it wouldn't make 15 much sense for you to be shown a letter nine days after 16 it had gone. 17 Whenever it was seen by you, why should it be seen 18 by you, a letter of this nature from the DG? You say 19 that you were five levels below him in the hierarchy. 20 It was going to the Cabinet Secretary. It was a letter 21 setting out the possible steps that might be taken 22 towards information about the persistent stories which, 23 by this stage, you hadn't been directly involved in? 24 A. Well, I think that's -- I have thought about that 25 question myself, because, in the normal course of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 32</p>

<p>1 events, why would I see this letter? Because the</p> <p>2 reporting that you are going to come on to is days</p> <p>3 later. And I believe the answer to that is that I was</p> <p>4 the source of the information in January 1986 in --</p> <p>5 looking under tab 9, and, therefore, the</p> <p>6 director-general knew that I was reporting things</p> <p>7 I heard about Morrison. I was not the source of</p> <p>8 the information from Donald Stewart. But because of</p> <p>9 that -- I think the explanation is, because of that</p> <p>10 background, I was shown a copy of this letter.</p> <p>11 Q. So, as it were, trying to join the dots as best you can</p> <p>12 all these years after, you think, because you were</p> <p>13 likely to have been the member of staff who passed on</p> <p>14 those two pieces of information in January 1986, you've</p> <p>15 been asked to look at this letter after it's gone, the</p> <p>16 purpose of that being?</p> <p>17 A. To note that --</p> <p>18 Q. It's simply for your information, is it?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. What, it keeps you abreast of developments?</p> <p>21 A. I must have produced evidence -- I must have produced</p> <p>22 information for the Service before this. Otherwise,</p> <p>23 I wouldn't have seen this. And I think it's the 1986 --</p> <p>24 or there may have been something which is not on the</p> <p>25 record which should be.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 33</p>	<p>1 Q. So that we understand, please, if that is right, the</p> <p>2 purpose then of you seeing this letter is for what, is</p> <p>3 it for your information?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. What's the purpose of it being for your information?</p> <p>6 A. It must be because I was the source of some of</p> <p>7 the earlier information.</p> <p>8 Q. But, what, to keep you updated?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. But what you are saying is, in the second paragraph,</p> <p>11 after the words "The stories about him persist.</p> <p>12 A member of my staff was told last month by</p> <p>13 Donald Stewart", you are not that member of staff on</p> <p>14 this occasion?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. But, clearly, at least at this stage, in</p> <p>17 Sir Antony Duff's mind is Stewart ought to be spoken to,</p> <p>18 ideally by the Chief Whip, the Security Service keeping</p> <p>19 in the background for the time being. Can you think of</p> <p>20 any reason why the Security Service were going to keep</p> <p>21 in the background at this point?</p> <p>22 A. This is the first occasion where children are mentioned,</p> <p>23 and, obviously, looking back on this letter many years</p> <p>24 later, the fact that that isn't highlighted and put in</p> <p>25 the prominent part of the letter is shocking. But the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 34</p>
<p>1 Service at that stage very much focused on security</p> <p>2 issues and the concern, as you can see from paragraph 3,</p> <p>3 that was expressed was that Morrison would get into</p> <p>4 office again and then be subject to vulnerable -- to</p> <p>5 blackmail potentially. That was the narrow focus at</p> <p>6 that stage. And anyway, even if the, as one wished had</p> <p>7 been the case, the reference to children was given</p> <p>8 prominence, it would have been to pass it to the police</p> <p>9 and make sure that they had that information. That</p> <p>10 should have been what had happened.</p> <p>11 Q. Although it never did?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. You appreciated that?</p> <p>14 A. I didn't -- I mean, all these papers have racked my</p> <p>15 memory, but it didn't, no, but that wasn't my decision.</p> <p>16 Q. No decision was ever made to pass it on to the police.</p> <p>17 The issue was looked at very narrowly as one of</p> <p>18 a security issue and a future security issue should</p> <p>19 Peter Morrison be a candidate for office?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, that's right.</p> <p>21 Q. Because if he was, as appears to be the case, the deputy</p> <p>22 chair of the Conservative Party working out of Central</p> <p>23 Office, the one thing he wasn't at that time was in</p> <p>24 government?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p>	<p>1 Q. So would it be right to conclude that, in that position,</p> <p>2 he didn't have the same security risk as he might do if</p> <p>3 he was a minister again?</p> <p>4 A. It would be right to conclude that.</p> <p>5 Q. Let's, please, then, go behind tab 5 for you; for us,</p> <p>6 INQ004036, please. This is the first of the two</p> <p>7 memoranda that you prepared at the time. You will see</p> <p>8 under your name in type the date 11 November. So this</p> <p>9 memorandum comes a week after Antony Duff's letter has</p> <p>10 gone off to Robert Armstrong, which we just looked at.</p> <p>11 It reads this way:</p> <p>12 "A friend told me on November ..."</p> <p>13 It looks like originally typed was the 11th, but</p> <p>14 overwritten 10th. Would you have typed this or had</p> <p>15 a secretary type it in those days?</p> <p>16 A. A secretary.</p> <p>17 Q. "... that there had been a newspaper report ..."</p> <p>18 Then we see a typewritten asterisk, a reference</p> <p>19 below the date, "The Star of 3 November 1986":</p> <p>20 "... since the Archer resignation, to the effect</p> <p>21 that another prominent Tory was under investigation by</p> <p>22 the police because of his interest in small boys."</p> <p>23 Then there is a manuscript asterisk which reads</p> <p>24 below:</p> <p>25 "This is what I was told -- but the press cutting</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 36</p>

<p>1 does not in fact refer to small boys." 2 Is that your handwriting? 3 A. It is. 4 Q. Had you actually seen the press cutting itself, then? 5 A. By this stage, I must have done. 6 Q. Do you have any recollection now of what it actually 7 said? 8 A. No, I don't. 9 Q. I don't mean verbatim. Do you remember now what the 10 effect of the story was? If it made no mention of small 11 boys, what was the effect of the article, do you know? 12 A. I can't remember. 13 Q. "As a result, Peter Morrison was being 'hounded' by the 14 press, representatives of which had recently followed 15 him from London to Islay. Morrison had vehemently 16 denied to another friend of mine that there was any 17 truth in the story." 18 Typed in inverted commas is the word "hounded". 19 Does that reflect that somebody had passed you this 20 information? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So this was Peter Morrison telling somebody else and you 23 were passing on this information -- 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. -- that, in effect, he was under siege by the press,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p>	<p>1 he'd been followed to the north of the country, but he 2 was denying to yet another friend of yours that there 3 was any truth in the story? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Do we understand from the first part of that memorandum 6 that the interest in small boys was imparted to you by 7 this other friend? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. That had been based on what information, if not from the 10 Daily Star of 3 November? Where had that come from, 11 that information? 12 A. I don't know. I mean, this is all second-hand information, which I have a responsibility to report to 13 my superiors. I don't know the source of it and, at 14 this date and time, I can't remember which friends told 15 me these things. But what is clear is that these 16 rumours were pretty widespread at the time. 17 rumours were pretty widespread at the time. 18 Q. When the friend told you about the interest in small 19 boys, would it be something you would have quizzed the 20 friend further about or simply taken on the information, 21 passed it to your superiors and let them investigate or 22 pass it on to the police, as the case may be? 23 A. I don't remember what I did. 24 Q. What would have been the thing that you would do in that 25 situation? You understand the distinction. Would you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p>
<p>1 have simply soaked up the information and passed it down 2 the line, or up the line, as the case may be, or would 3 you have actually interrogated the friend for more 4 information? 5 A. I could have done either. I could have done either. I could have asked him or her what was the basis of that 6 comment, or I could have just logged it and determined 7 to pass it on. 8 to pass it on. 9 Q. You passed on the information, you have told us, because 10 you felt it was your duty to do so. Did you have any 11 insight one way or the other whether there was any truth 12 in these rumours? 13 A. No, I didn't. 14 Q. If, as a friend of Morrison's, you felt that these were 15 just ugly rumours and designed for political gain by 16 someone else or for any other reason, would you 17 nonetheless have passed on the information in the way 18 that you did? 19 A. Yes, I would have done. 20 Q. You say in your statement you had no view about the 21 allegations effectively one way or the other? 22 A. That's true. 23 Q. May I ask you this: having passed on this first bit of 24 information -- and we see at the top "DG" with a tick, 25 "F to see", "F2". Do you understand those</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p>	<p>1 hieroglyphics? 2 A. I do. 3 Q. What do they mean to you? 4 A. "F" is the director in charge of countersubversion, "F2" 5 is the assistant director. All in a different branch to 6 me. 7 Q. Any particular reason why -- we see "F" and "to see" is 8 typed in there? 9 A. I think the reason this would have gone to F is because 10 of the responsibility to report on security risks to 11 government, for that reason. But they weren't my 12 bosses. I was in a different section. 13 Q. Were you ever asked by your bosses for your assessment 14 of the situation or the truth or otherwise of his 15 denials? 16 A. I don't think so. 17 Q. Would you have expected to be? 18 A. Not necessarily. I reported what I heard. It was all 19 hearsay, it was all second-hand. I trusted those above 20 me to deal with it appropriately. 21 Q. Let's go, then, please, to the next memo that you wrote. 22 It is behind tab 6, INQ004043. This was two days later, 23 with similar indications at the top who was to be 24 included in this memorandum: 25 "I saw Peter Morrison and his father last night."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p>

<p>1 So that tends to indicate that must have been on 2 12 November, the day after you'd written the first memo: 3 "Unprompted, they told me separately that the press 4 had, for the past two weeks, been camping on Peter's 5 doorstep and seeking his comments." 6 You will remember that terminology which we saw in 7 the July 1987 letter? 8 A. Indeed. 9 Q. "Peter told me that he had first learned of 10 the allegation five years ago ..." 11 So that would take it back to 1981: 12 "... when Norman Tebbit had asked him about it. The 13 story (he did not specify what it was) had been 14 resurrected in the wake of Jeffrey Archer's resignation. 15 The Prime Minister was aware of it and was supporting 16 Peter. Peter hoped the press would publish something so 17 that he could sue and nail the lies that were being 18 spread about him." 19 Was it Peter Morrison then himself who told you the 20 Prime Minister was aware of it and supporting him? 21 A. I think so, yes. It would have been. 22 Q. It would certainly have been he who said he hoped the 23 press would publish something so that he could sue and 24 nail the lies? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p>	<p>1 Q. The memo, therefore, went to director F, presumably? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And DG must have seen it, DG at the time? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. There are two other annotations on this memorandum. 6 First of all, not your handwriting, I assume? 7 A. I know both these handwritings. 8 Q. Good. The first one at the top, apparently also dated 9 13 November, reads: 10 "CO informed by telephone. Sir RA [clearly 11 a reference to Robert Armstrong] has taken no action yet 12 on DG's letter dated 4 November." 13 "CO", we were told yesterday "Cabinet Office"? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Whose handwriting? 16 A. The handwriting is the private secretary of 17 the director-general. 18 Q. All right. Why would that be written on this internal 19 memorandum? 20 A. Because she is, I suggest, telling the 21 director-general -- this is further -- and these other 22 addressees, it is further information, it has been 23 passed in gist to Cabinet Office, but nothing has so far 24 happened on the earlier letter, and -- 25 Q. So -- forgive me, carry on, please.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p>
<p>1 A. The annotation at the bottom is Antony Duff, who is 2 asking director F if he, director F, agrees that the 3 letter, the subsequent letter that Duff intends to 4 write, "Subject to the agreement of F, I would write as 5 in the attached draft". 6 Q. So the attached draft is -- there must have been -- is 7 the attached the memo that we are looking at or is the 8 attachment some draft of a letter that we haven't seen? 9 A. There must be a draft of a letter. 10 Q. So here, within two days, you're passing a second 11 memorandum, which of itself must, to your superiors, 12 have looked as if things were heating up, as far as the 13 Morrison rumours and publicity were concerned. At this 14 stage, did anybody sit you down and say, "Well, Eliza, 15 what's your view about all of this? You're a friend of 16 his. Do you think these allegations might be true?" 17 A. I don't recall them doing so. 18 Q. What you have expected at this stage, within two days of 19 your passing memoranda about this man, would you have 20 expected them to have sat you down and said, "What's 21 your assessment of all of this? Do you believe these 22 rumours?" 23 A. The difficulty is, this is the one and only time I can 24 recall that I reported on a friend of mine, so I didn't 25 know what to expect because I wasn't clear how this</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p>	<p>1 would be handled. 2 Q. Was there any protocol within the Service about what 3 should happen in this situation, do you know? 4 A. I don't think, at that stage, there was. 5 Q. Is there one now, or at the time you left? 6 A. From seeing the evidence of my former colleague 7 yesterday, I realise there is now well-established 8 policy. Well before that policy was introduced, and 9 after this period, there would have been a much more 10 determined -- a much greater likelihood, both of sources 11 of information being questioned and that information, 12 even if the quality of the information was not known, in 13 terms of dates, specificity, likelihood, hearsay, would 14 have been passed to the police. Because they would have 15 been in a position to put it into context. 16 Q. But at that stage, we know that the police were never 17 involved? 18 A. I do indeed. 19 Q. Were you ever asked, even if your view was, in one 20 sense, valueless because you were simply the conduit for 21 the information, for the names of the individuals who 22 had given you the information so that somebody could 23 speak to them to see if they had first-hand information 24 which would be of value to anybody making assessments 25 about Peter Morrison?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p>

<p>1 A. I don't think so.</p> <p>2 Q. You think --</p> <p>3 A. Because if there had have been -- I'm pretty sure not,</p> <p>4 because it would have been recorded.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you think it should have happened?</p> <p>6 A. The difficulty is that there's so many rumours at the</p> <p>7 time, as you have heard from other witnesses, that</p> <p>8 I believe the Service took a very narrow view and didn't</p> <p>9 pursue that in any way. Today, and indeed for some</p> <p>10 years, that would have happened.</p> <p>11 Q. Let's please, with that in mind, look at the next letter</p> <p>12 in sequence, tab 7, INQ004037. 18 November. This is</p> <p>13 one of the letters you say you didn't see at the time.</p> <p>14 We don't see your initials on it, for example. Is that</p> <p>15 right?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. "Dear Robert", from Antony Duff:</p> <p>18 "Dear Robert,</p> <p>19 "I wrote to you on 4 November about the stories</p> <p>20 about Peter Morrison."</p> <p>21 Pausing there, other than the annotation from</p> <p>22 Antony Duff's private secretary about "[Cabinet Office]</p> <p>23 being informed by phone" and "Sir [Robert Armstrong] has</p> <p>24 taken no action yet on DG's letter of the 4th", rather</p> <p>25 suggests there wasn't any other correspondence from the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p>	<p>1 Cabinet Office other than that annotation we saw on your</p> <p>2 second memorandum?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. So back to the letter of 18 November:</p> <p>5 "I wrote to you on 4 November about the stories</p> <p>6 about Peter Morrison. We now hear that the press are</p> <p>7 following Morrison about, and camping on his doorstep,</p> <p>8 in the hope of obtaining some comment from him on the</p> <p>9 allegations of some years ago.</p> <p>10 "Morrison has said privately that he hopes the press</p> <p>11 will publish something, so that he can sue, and nail the</p> <p>12 stories. He also says that both Mr Tebbit (who told him</p> <p>13 of the allegations when they first appeared) and the</p> <p>14 Prime Minister are aware of the matter.</p> <p>15 "In the circumstances, there would seem to be little</p> <p>16 point in carrying this further."</p> <p>17 If we just flick over, please, to tab 8 for</p> <p>18 Sir Robert Armstrong's response of 17 December,</p> <p>19 INQ004041:</p> <p>20 "My dear Tony,</p> <p>21 "Thank you very much for your letter of 18 November</p> <p>22 about Peter Morrison.</p> <p>23 "In the circumstances, I agree that there is little</p> <p>24 point in carrying the matter further."</p> <p>25 Do you agree that, on the face of the material we</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 46</p>
<p>1 have seen, within the space of a couple of weeks,</p> <p>2 Antony Duff had gone from a position of suggesting that</p> <p>3 the first step should be to speak to Donald Stewart</p> <p>4 about the information which had been imparted to him,</p> <p>5 ideally with the Security Service remaining in the</p> <p>6 background, to a position on 18 November where,</p> <p>7 ironically, because of the information that you had</p> <p>8 passed to your supervisors on the second occasion in</p> <p>9 particular, nothing was to be done at all?</p> <p>10 A. It's ironic.</p> <p>11 Q. Not only is it ironic, but do you agree, if we just look</p> <p>12 at, really, the grounds that Antony Duff employed in</p> <p>13 order to say, in effect, there's no point doing anything</p> <p>14 at all, that was based on the information that you</p> <p>15 passed to the Service five days before, on 13 November?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. It was that he hoped the press would publish something</p> <p>18 so that he could sue and nail the stories, and</p> <p>19 implicitly appears the suggestion being that if he was</p> <p>20 libelled publicly, he could sue and therefore prove the</p> <p>21 stories wrong, but at the same time, and perhaps more</p> <p>22 importantly for the purposes of this letter, the</p> <p>23 Prime Minister was aware of the matter, and you had</p> <p>24 added in your memoranda "and supporting him". But that</p> <p>25 information had come from him?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Up to this point in time, we have seen no evidence that</p> <p>3 the Prime Minister was supporting him --</p> <p>4 A. Certainly the Security Service hadn't. Looking at</p> <p>5 Lord Armstrong's statement, it would appear the</p> <p>6 Prime Minister was aware already.</p> <p>7 Q. It looks very much --</p> <p>8 A. It doesn't look as though the Security Service knew</p> <p>9 that.</p> <p>10 Q. No. That's why I'm asking you.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you think the Security Service should have waited</p> <p>13 before writing this letter, just to check with</p> <p>14 Sir Robert Armstrong that what Peter Morrison was</p> <p>15 telling you, and which you passed on, was in fact</p> <p>16 accurate, that the Prime Minister was aware and was</p> <p>17 supporting him, which would have been of importance to</p> <p>18 the narrow focus that was being taken towards this issue</p> <p>19 in Peter Morrison's case? Would that have been the</p> <p>20 better thing to have done, rather than to sign off this</p> <p>21 letter before themselves knowing if that had been the</p> <p>22 case?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. So, in the end, as we see, the matter was never passed</p> <p>25 to the police. The Security Service itself did nothing,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 48</p>

1 based upon the assurances that had come through you that
 2 the Prime Minister was aware, as indeed was the case
 3 according to Lord Armstrong, and we will hear from him
 4 shortly, and that was the end of that. Looking back
 5 now, do you agree, and certainly you were DG for some
 6 time yourself, but do you agree that the police should
 7 have been involved, whether there was a safeguarding
 8 policy or not?
 9 **A. Yes, because -- obviously they should have been. There**
 10 **was a potential crime. There were two reports of small**
 11 **boys. The Service wasn't in a position -- I wasn't in**
 12 **a position -- to judge the validity of this, but, as**
 13 **actually subsequently turned out, the police had a lot**
 14 **of information. Our information, my information, the**
 15 **information from Donald Stewart, might have been**
 16 **extremely pertinent to their overview of the issue.**
 17 Q. But none of it was ever interrogated?
 18 **A. Correct.**
 19 Q. The final question I think from me, please, is just
 20 a small thing, but something that Mrs Hogg mentioned to
 21 us, that after his death in 1995, you didn't attend the
 22 funeral. Was there any particular reason for it? Were
 23 you unavailable or is that some insight into your
 24 feelings at that time?
 25 **A. It's an insight into my feelings.**

Page 49

1 (A short break)
 2 (11.34 am)
 3 MR ALTMAN: Lady Manningham-Buller, I have been asked by one
 4 of the core participants to ask you this question:
 5 whether you remember the organisation that went by the
 6 name of Paedophile Information Exchange, or PIE for
 7 short?
 8 **A. I do.**
 9 Q. Professionally, did you have any dealings whatsoever
 10 with that organisation?
 11 **A. No.**
 12 Q. Personally, do you remember some publicity about it and
 13 its aims?
 14 **A. Yes.**
 15 MR ALTMAN: Thank you. That's all I am going to ask you.
 16 MR STEIN: Madam chair, we have considered the questions
 17 that have just been put forward on behalf of the core
 18 participant we represent. We had supplied other
 19 questions. It would be of use if we have five minutes
 20 just to consider with Mr Altman QC the nature of
 21 the further questions that we had. I apologise for the
 22 interruption.
 23 The alternative is that we make an application for
 24 ourselves to ask those questions directly, and that may
 25 not be necessary if we have those five minutes.

Page 51

1 Q. Which were?
 2 **A. By the end of the '80s, I think, I had -- my friendship**
 3 **with Peter Morrison was withering. There are several**
 4 **reasons. One I have already mentioned, which I thought**
 5 **he was seeking to give the impression I was his**
 6 **girlfriend, which was inaccurate; secondly, these**
 7 **allegations, which I didn't know the truth of, but made**
 8 **me feel uncomfortable; and, thirdly, I wasn't sure that**
 9 **I liked him much. He had a serious drink problem. He**
 10 **was abusive about his public servants, and I was**
 11 **a public servant, and I therefore tried to avoid seeing**
 12 **him, and I chose not to go to his funeral.**
 13 MR ALTMAN: Thank you very much. Would you just give me
 14 a moment, please? Lady Manningham-Buller, can I ask
 15 you, I am going to ask the chair and panel to have their
 16 break a few minutes earlier now, but I am going to ask
 17 you if you wouldn't mind waiting. There might be just
 18 one more question I am being asked to consider to be
 19 asked of you.
 20 **A. Do I stay here or go away?**
 21 MR ALTMAN: No, I am going to ask the chair and panel to
 22 rise. You can go and have a cup of coffee and we will
 23 let you know if you are needed or not.
 24 THE CHAIR: Thank you. We will return at 11.25 am.
 25 (11.11 am)

Page 50

1 THE CHAIR: Mr Altman, do you wish to address me on this?
 2 MR ALTMAN: No. Chair, I am sorry it is of inconvenience to
 3 everyone, but just so everyone understands, we got these
 4 questions by email only this morning. They have been
 5 considered and that's why I have asked the question in
 6 the way that I have. But if that doesn't satisfy
 7 Mr Stein and he wants to have the five minutes, then
 8 I encourage you to give him those five minutes, although
 9 I am sure it is of inconvenience to everyone.
 10 MR STEIN: Chair, we, too, apologise for the inconvenience.
 11 The difficulty is that the questions arose out of
 12 evidence yesterday and so it was difficult to put them
 13 in any faster, because we, ourselves, had to consider
 14 the evidence. So whilst there is an inconvenience, I'm
 15 afraid it is the inconvenience that just arises out of
 16 evidence.
 17 THE CHAIR: We will take five minutes.
 18 MR STEIN: I'm grateful.
 19 (11.36 am)
 20 (A short break)
 21 (11.47 am)
 22 MR ALTMAN: Thank you very much. One last question from me:
 23 during the period of your service with MI5, did you ever
 24 become aware of MI5 having any dealings operationally
 25 with or investigation into PIE?

Page 52

1 **A. No.**
 2 MR ALTMAN: Thank you very much. Chair, those are all the
 3 questions I seek to ask of Lady Manningham-Buller. Do
 4 you have any questions?
 5 THE CHAIR: Ms Sharpling?
 6 Questions by THE PANEL
 7 MS SHARPLING: Thank you, Lady Manningham-Buller. Just one
 8 question. It is rather broad based. I wonder if you
 9 can help us a little bit with the culture of MI5 at the
 10 time that these incidents that we have been talking
 11 about occurred. Was there a tendency, if I can put
 12 something to you, to make the problem of Peter Morrison
 13 go away in some way or other? Perhaps you can describe
 14 the culture of the organisation in response to matters
 15 of this kind?
 16 **A. I don't think "wish to go away" is quite a fair**
 17 **interpretation. I think, rather, that the organisation**
 18 **then interpreted its role on security in a very narrow**
 19 **way, and, therefore, it's clear it wasn't alert to, at**
 20 **the very least, the implications of the references to**
 21 **young children. And I think, in that, it was possibly**
 22 **not out of sync with some of the wider cultural**
 23 **attitudes of the time, but certainly that would have**
 24 **changed dramatically during the period that I served.**
 25 MS SHARPLING: Thank you.

Page 53

1 adviser on intelligence and security matters; is that
 2 correct?
 3 **A. That is right.**
 4 Q. Do you say in your statement in paragraph 2 that each of
 5 the security and intelligence agencies reported to
 6 a departmental Cabinet Minister; the Security Service,
 7 MI5, to the Home Secretary; the Secret Intelligence
 8 Service, MI6; and Government Communications
 9 Headquarters, GCHQ, to the Foreign and Commonwealth
 10 Secretary?
 11 **A. I do.**
 12 Q. Was your main function in relation to the Security and
 13 Intelligence Services to advise ministers on the annual
 14 estimate for the secret vote which provided funds for
 15 all three Services; is that correct?
 16 **A. That is correct.**
 17 Q. For that purpose, I think you had the advice of
 18 a committee on which the Treasury, the two departments
 19 and the three Services were all represented?
 20 **A. That's right.**
 21 Q. Were you also advised or consulted -- I am looking at
 22 your paragraph 3 -- when issues arose which needed or
 23 might need to be reported to the Prime Minister because
 24 they were likely to raise issues of policy which ought
 25 to be drawn to the Prime Minister's attention or indeed

Page 55

1 THE CHAIR: Thank you. We have no further questions. Thank
 2 you very much.
 3 MR ALTMAN: Thank you very much for coming.
 4 (The witness withdrew)
 5 ROBERT TEMPLE ARMSTRONG (LORD ARMSTRONG OF ILMINSTER)
 6 (sworn)
 7 Examination by MR ALTMAN
 8 MR ALTMAN: Could you give us your name, please?
 9 **A. My name is Robert Temple Armstrong (Lord Armstrong of**
 10 **Ilminster).**
 11 Q. Lord Armstrong, can we turn immediately to the witness
 12 statement you gave the inquiry. It is in your file
 13 behind tab 1. I am going to adduce it into evidence.
 14 It is INQ004057. I am going to use that as the
 15 template, as it were, for your evidence, because I think
 16 it will be easier that way. I will direct you to the
 17 paragraph numbers and from time to time we will look at
 18 documents, but you will be able to stick with the
 19 statement. If you wish to look, you will see documents
 20 on the screen to your right-hand side.
 21 First of all, can you confirm, please, that you were
 22 Secretary to the Cabinet from October 1979
 23 to December 1987?
 24 **A. I can.**
 25 Q. Which meant that you were the Prime Minister's principal

Page 54

1 matters which you say could give rise to political
 2 embarrassment if they became matters of public
 3 knowledge?
 4 **A. Yes, that's right.**
 5 Q. Did that latter include allegations of misconduct by
 6 ministers who were not subject to the process of
 7 positive vetting if they were in positions where they
 8 had access to security-sensitive information?
 9 **A. That is correct.**
 10 Q. So with that by way of background, can we please begin
 11 with your knowledge of matters in relation to
 12 Peter Morrison. First of all, at paragraph 4. You say
 13 you met him on a few occasions, but you didn't know him
 14 well. Were you aware that he was believed to be
 15 homosexual?
 16 **A. I was aware that some people said he was, certainly,**
 17 **yes. Or said they thought he was.**
 18 Q. But of course, at the time you're dealing with, it
 19 wasn't, of course, a criminal offence any longer, and,
 20 therefore, as you say, no action was called for by you;
 21 is that right?
 22 **A. That's right.**
 23 Q. You recalled him being a junior member of government for
 24 a short period, but you felt it unlikely that he would
 25 have had any access to security-sensitive, classified

Page 56

1 material; is that right?

2 **A. In those -- in the departments in which he served, it**

3 **was not likely that he would have access to that sort of**

4 **material.**

5 Q. Yes.

6 **A. He might have had access to material which was**

7 **commercially confidential, but that's different.**

8 Q. Now, November 1983 is where you pick up the time period,

9 because you remember being told by John Wakeham, who was

10 then the Government Chief Whip, that rumours were

11 circulating to the effect that Morrison had been picked

12 up by the police for importuning but hadn't been

13 charged. Is that drawn from a recollection that you

14 have of what you were told and when you were told?

15 **A. I think I recorded that I'd been told it, but yes.**

16 Q. You add that it was clear that the police and the

17 prosecution authorities were aware of the matter. Is

18 that a question of deduction by reason of the fact that

19 he'd been picked up by the police --

20 **A. Yes.**

21 Q. So it was simply --

22 **A. If the rumours were true, the police knew all about it.**

23 Q. Absolutely. So that was the understanding that you had.

24 Did you say that you assumed that the Prime Minister had

25 also been told by the Chief Whip, but you say, to make

Page 57

1 Q. Can we look at a letter that you have, yourself, been

2 asked to look at. I think it will probably be easier

3 for you, Lord Armstrong, if you stay with the statement

4 and I'm going to put this document on the screen so that

5 you're not flicking backwards and forwards. But for the

6 chair and panel, it's divider 2 of your file, and I will

7 put it up on screen. No, Lord Armstrong, you stay with

8 the statement. I think you will find it easier.

9 CAB000126. You have seen this letter before. You have

10 been asked to comment on it. You may have been in the

11 hearing room -- I think you were -- when I asked

12 Lady Manningham-Buller about this letter dated

13 6 January 1986.

14 This information relates to rumours that had been

15 circulating to the effect that Peter Morrison had been

16 picked up by the police for importuning, and it relates

17 to November 1983. So this appears to be the same

18 information that you had received from John Wakeham, who

19 was the Chief Whip, which you passed on to the

20 Prime Minister at that time; is that right?

21 **A. Same or very similar.**

22 Q. Because this is a letter that is being written to you by

23 Sir Antony Duff, who was the DG, the director-general,

24 of the Service at the time. Was it a normal part of

25 the director-general's role to keep the Cabinet

Page 59

1 sure, you raised the issue with the Prime Minister at

2 one of your regular meetings with her?

3 **A. Yes.**

4 Q. When you did -- we are still talking

5 about November 1983, as I understand you. When you did,

6 what did you find out from her?

7 **A. Well, I found that she was already aware of the rumours,**

8 **and we agreed that they were no more than rumours and**

9 **that if a criminal offence had been committed, the**

10 **police and the prosecution authorities were aware of it,**

11 **and it was, consequently, their responsibility to deal**

12 **with it.**

13 Q. Did she tell you, the Prime Minister, where she had

14 heard the rumours, if not from you? Did you understand

15 from her where they had come from?

16 **A. I presumed they'd come from the Conservative Party, the**

17 **Chief Whip or one of the officers in the Conservative**

18 **Party organisation.**

19 Q. Accordingly, at that time, did you tell her that you

20 would make sure that the Security Service was aware of

21 the matter?

22 **A. I did.**

23 Q. Which you say you did in a meeting with the then

24 director-general Sir John Jones?

25 **A. Sir John Jones, yes.**

Page 58

1 Secretary informed of this kind of information?

2 **A. Yes. It didn't -- you talk about "normal". It didn't**

3 **happen very often.**

4 Q. No. But what would the point be of the director-general

5 making you aware of this information? Was it to pass it

6 on to the Prime Minister?

7 **A. It was to make sure that the Prime Minister knew about**

8 **it.**

9 Q. Was that in order to prevent potential embarrassment,

10 the kind of embarrassment you spoke about earlier in

11 your statement?

12 **A. It was so that she shouldn't be taken by surprise if**

13 **there were developments, public developments.**

14 Q. Was it also a question of security, or was it simply

15 a focus on the Prime Minister not being taken by

16 surprise? Was it something that you had to be aware of,

17 possible security implications?

18 **A. Certainly so, but it didn't seem that there were**

19 **security implications in this case.**

20 Q. That's because ...?

21 **A. Because he was not in departments which had access to**

22 **that security-sensitive information.**

23 Q. I understand. Now, your paragraph 7 of your witness

24 statement, please, Lord Armstrong, if you can go back to

25 that behind tab 1, it's on the second page,

Page 60

<p>1 INQ004057_002, in relation to the letter that we have 2 been looking at, you say: 3 "I told the Prime Minister about the letter from 4 Sir Antony Duff to make sure that she was aware of 5 the potential problem. She said that she was aware of 6 the continuing rumours, agreed that there was nothing 7 that could be done at present, but asked to be kept in 8 touch with any developments." 9 When the Prime Minister says that there is nothing 10 that can be done, what did that mean to you? 11 A. Well, it means to me that she has thought about it and 12 that she does not see that anything needs to be done at 13 the moment. 14 Q. What kind of action, if she had considered something 15 ought to be done, would have been likely in those days, 16 if the Prime Minister had suggested it? 17 A. I think she would have wanted the Security Service to 18 make further enquiries about the rumours they'd heard. 19 Q. Would informing the police have been something that she 20 might have suggested ought to happen? 21 A. She didn't suggest that. 22 Q. No. On this occasion, I suppose, that might not have 23 been suggested because the information was, if it was 24 accurate, that he'd been picked up by the police? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p>	<p>1 Q. So, on the face of it, they were already aware, if the 2 rumour was true? 3 A. That's true. 4 Q. Let's then look, please, at another letter with which 5 you're familiar. It's CAB000125. It may be easier for 6 you, Lord Armstrong, if you look at the screen to your 7 right. It is in your file, but I think you might find 8 it easier to keep your statement open but have a look at 9 the document. Are you able to read that -- 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. -- or would you prefer to read it in hard copy? 12 A. I'd prefer to read it in the script. 13 Q. All right. It is tab 4. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. You were asked to consider this letter of 4 November 16 addressed to you from Sir Antony Duff, so it is several 17 months on from the letter we just looked at of 18 6 January, this time of 4 November. It says "Dear 19 Robert". Do we see your initials and perhaps a tick 20 entered over your name? 21 A. My initials, yes. 22 Q. Would that signify you read the letter? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. You refer to the letter from the Service with their 25 reference of 13 January. That was a response to the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 62</p>
<p>1 letter of 6 January -- we don't need to look at it now; 2 we looked at it with Lady Manningham-Buller: 3 "The stories about him persist. A member of my 4 staff was told last month by Donald Stewart, the 5 Conservative Party Agent for Westminster, that he heard 6 from two sources that Morrison has a penchant for small 7 boys." 8 We see a little further: 9 "He gave the impression of having received the 10 information recently, but this might simply reflect the 11 fact that Morrison has only recently taken up his 12 position in the Conservative Central Office and has 13 therefore only recently come into Stewart's orbit. The 14 information itself could still be old." 15 Then says Sir Antony to you: 16 "The position is, therefore, that we are still not 17 clear whether the stories about Morrison are 18 a reflection of the 1983 rumour ..." 19 We have seen reference to that already: 20 "... or are based on something more recent. It does 21 seem rather important to seek to resolve the matter, one 22 way or another, if possible. There must, I suppose, be 23 a real possibility that Morrison will be a candidate for 24 office again at some stage, and we should then be 25 confronted by the need to consider these stories in the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 63</p>	<p>1 security context. It would be preferable, if possible, 2 to dispose of them (or confirm them) before then. The 3 first step would be to talk to Stewart." 4 Then over the page: 5 "At the present stage, however, and especially in 6 the light of the Jeffrey Archer case, the risk of 7 political embarrassment to the government is rather 8 greater than the security danger. I wonder if, as 9 a first step, the Chief Whip might think it appropriate 10 to question Stewart? The Security Service could do this 11 if necessary, and we do have the impression that Stewart 12 meant his information to reach our ears, but I would 13 just [as] soon that we did not get directly involved for 14 the time being." 15 Now, in the material, Lord Armstrong, do you agree 16 that there is nothing to suggest that you responded to 17 that letter in writing? 18 A. I think not. I would have -- I think I would have 19 mentioned it to the Prime Minister. But that would have 20 been done at an oral meeting. 21 Q. So you would have mentioned the content of this 22 development, and you say that in paragraph 9 of your 23 statement: 24 "I reported this development orally to the 25 Prime Minister."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 64</p>

<p>1 You said, as you have told us already, that she was 2 clearly already aware from other sources of the current 3 rumours about his activities and his propensities, and 4 you say it was the Prime Minister who didn't think it 5 necessary to ask the Government Chief Whip to interview 6 Mr Stewart. 7 So the position we have in the 4 November letter is, 8 Sir Antony Duff is suggesting that the first step is to 9 speak to Stewart, and ideally it is the Chief Whip who 10 should be doing it rather than Security Service, who 11 wish to remain in the background. 12 In your paragraph 9 of the witness statement that 13 you have made, do we understand, then, it was the 14 Prime Minister herself who thought that that was 15 unnecessary? In other words, asking the Chief Whip to 16 speak to Donald Stewart was unnecessary? 17 A. Well, it was the Prime Minister who said this to me. 18 Q. Did she explain why she thought that that was 19 unnecessary? 20 A. No, no. 21 Q. Did you understand from anything that she said or infer 22 from anything she said to you why it was unnecessary? 23 A. I presumed that she had made enquiries through party 24 channels. 25 Q. Did you think it was the right decision?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 65</p>	<p>1 A. I did, because he was going to be deputy chairman of 2 the Conservative Party, and I thought that that was 3 where the action should lie. 4 Q. The fact that he was also deputy chairman of 5 the Conservative Party, did that -- given the focus, the 6 narrow focus, of this was security as well as potential 7 embarrassment, did that reduce the risk insofar as 8 security was concerned because he wasn't a government 9 minister? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Had he been a government minister, do you think 12 different action might have been taken? 13 A. I think it would have depended where he -- in what 14 department he was going to be a minister. 15 Q. So if it was a security-sensitive department -- 16 A. If it was the Ministry of Defence or the Foreign Office 17 or something like that, then, yes, it would have been 18 a serious matter to think about that. 19 Q. But if energy or trade and industry -- 20 A. If it's employment or trade, not so. 21 Q. In the final paragraph of Sir Antony Duff's letter on 22 the second page, the 4 November letter: 23 "... the risk of political embarrassment to the 24 government is rather greater than the security danger." 25 Was that anything that you discussed with the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 66</p>
<p>1 Prime Minister when you spoke to her about the 2 development that was manifest in this letter? 3 A. I can't really remember. 4 Q. Is it likely? 5 A. I can't really remember. 6 Q. Is it likely? 7 A. Is what likely? 8 Q. Is it likely that you would have raised with the 9 Prime Minister the risk of political embarrassment? 10 A. It was to be sure that she was aware of that that 11 I spoke to her. 12 Q. You have looked at a letter dated 18 November, but 13 before we come to it, can we just look at a couple of 14 memoranda which I doubt you would have known about at 15 the time but you have been asked to look at. The first 16 is behind your tab 6, INQ004036. This was the first of 17 the two memoranda that Eliza Manningham-Buller wrote 18 internally to inform her superiors that there were 19 rumours, further rumours, about Peter Morrison; in 20 particular, on 11 November, that he was being hounded by 21 the press, but that he had vehemently denied to another 22 person, a friend of hers, that there was any truth in 23 the story. But the first friend had told her of his 24 interest in small boys. Do you see that in the centre 25 of this memorandum?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 67</p>	<p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Although in handwriting at the bottom, part of 3 the information had come from The Star newspaper, and 4 the press cutting she saw, as she told us earlier, did 5 not refer to small boys, but clearly some of 6 the information she was receiving and passed on 7 suggested precisely that, that small boys were involved. 8 You had seen for yourself in the 4 November letter that 9 part of the information was he had a penchant for small 10 boys. Do you remember that? 11 A. Yes. I see that in the letter. 12 Q. When you told the Prime Minister about that, did not the 13 fact that it wasn't simply a question that he was 14 homosexual, but the rumours were that he had a penchant 15 for small boys, did that not change the complexion of 16 the seriousness of what the government might be dealing 17 with? 18 A. Well, clearly, it did, but, clearly, also, the 19 Conservative Party had this information. 20 Q. And so are you saying, by implication, that it was for 21 them to deal with? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. So if anybody was to deal with it, any institution was 24 to deal with it, it was the Conservative Party who were 25 in government at the time. What might they have done</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 68</p>

<p>1 with it, the information?</p> <p>2 A. They would have asked the police to investigate it.</p> <p>3 Q. But we know nobody ever did. Did you ever regard it as</p> <p>4 your position, as Cabinet Secretary, either to advise</p> <p>5 the Prime Minister that that is the course that ought to</p> <p>6 have been adopted?</p> <p>7 A. No. As it was coming from the party organisation,</p> <p>8 I assumed that she would be getting the advice she</p> <p>9 needed on the matter from them.</p> <p>10 Q. But you didn't regard it as part of your obligation to</p> <p>11 advise her robustly, if needs be, that the</p> <p>12 Prime Minister ought to ensure that the information was</p> <p>13 passed on, in particular that he had a penchant for</p> <p>14 small boys?</p> <p>15 A. I didn't think it was my duty to advise her about that,</p> <p>16 given that she had told me that she was aware of these</p> <p>17 things.</p> <p>18 Q. Let's go to the next memorandum, again which you won't</p> <p>19 have seen at the time, behind tab 7, please. You will</p> <p>20 remember that -- and I think you agreed -- no response</p> <p>21 has been recovered to the 4 November letter, but what we</p> <p>22 see in handwriting at the top of the document in tab 7,</p> <p>23 which is INQ004043, is:</p> <p>24 "Cabinet Office informed by telephone.</p> <p>25 [Sir Robert Armstrong] Sir RA has taken no action yet on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 69</p>	<p>1 DG's letter dated 4 November."</p> <p>2 You have told us that you informed the</p> <p>3 Prime Minister and she was aware of the continuing</p> <p>4 rumours. Granted that this is not your note -- it is</p> <p>5 Sir Antony Duff's private secretary's, I think we were</p> <p>6 told -- what do you do the words,</p> <p>7 "Sir [Robert Armstrong] has taken no action yet on DG's</p> <p>8 letter" mean? What does that mean to you?</p> <p>9 A. I think it means to me that Sir Antony Duff had not had</p> <p>10 a reply from me to his letter of 4 November.</p> <p>11 Q. So simply that? It didn't mean or it doesn't mean to</p> <p>12 you --</p> <p>13 A. Well, I haven't seen it until now.</p> <p>14 Q. No, no, I accept that. You have seen the documents.</p> <p>15 But what I am asking you is, "Sir Robert Armstrong has</p> <p>16 taken no action yet on the letter", you think that means</p> <p>17 that you have simply not responded to it, rather than</p> <p>18 taken, as it were, substantive action about the content</p> <p>19 of the letter?</p> <p>20 A. Well, I think they would have been told that I had</p> <p>21 spoken to the Prime Minister.</p> <p>22 Q. We see what the information is within the body of this</p> <p>23 internal memorandum. It includes that Morrison had</p> <p>24 actually spoken to Eliza Manningham-Buller and had told</p> <p>25 her, among other things, that the Prime Minister was</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 70</p>
<p>1 aware of the information and was supporting Peter. Now,</p> <p>2 pausing there, Lord Armstrong, your evidence is that she</p> <p>3 was aware of the rumours -- so that's consistent with</p> <p>4 what you are telling us --</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. -- and was supporting Peter. If he told</p> <p>7 Eliza Manningham-Buller that she was supporting him, the</p> <p>8 Prime Minister was supporting him, is that consistent</p> <p>9 with anything Margaret Thatcher said to you at the time?</p> <p>10 A. I'm sorry, could you say that question again?</p> <p>11 Q. The memorandum is informing MI5 superiors that the</p> <p>12 Prime Minister was aware of the information, of</p> <p>13 the rumours, and that she was supporting Peter Morrison,</p> <p>14 and this is what Peter Morrison had said directly to</p> <p>15 Eliza Manningham-Buller. My question is, from anything</p> <p>16 that Margaret Thatcher said to you in the meetings that</p> <p>17 you had at the time, was she in fact not only aware but</p> <p>18 also supporting him?</p> <p>19 A. I don't remember any words that suggested that she was</p> <p>20 supporting him explicitly, but I took it from the fact</p> <p>21 that she didn't appear to want me to take any further</p> <p>22 action that she was being fully informed by the party.</p> <p>23 Q. So that we understand, please, at any time when you were</p> <p>24 discussing with her the continuing rumours and the</p> <p>25 developments during this period, was ever any suggestion</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 71</p>	<p>1 ever made that the police should be involved, over and</p> <p>2 above what the first rumours suggested, of previous</p> <p>3 involvement with him, was there any suggestion made by</p> <p>4 the Prime Minister, or you to the Prime Minister, that</p> <p>5 it was time to bring the police in?</p> <p>6 A. I don't remember any such suggestion.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you think that ought to have happened?</p> <p>8 A. I think it was up to the Conservative Party to consider</p> <p>9 whether they took the rumours sufficiently seriously to</p> <p>10 report them to the police.</p> <p>11 Q. If we move on, please, to the next letter, at your</p> <p>12 tab 8, CAB000122, this was -- I call it a decision</p> <p>13 letter, but it is dated 18 November. It is to you from</p> <p>14 Antony Duff. Again, it appears that your initials --</p> <p>15 this is the letter received by the Cabinet Office, or by</p> <p>16 you, at the time, with your initials and a tick over</p> <p>17 your name, indicating, as you said to us before, that</p> <p>18 you had received the letter:</p> <p>19 "I wrote to you on 4 November about the stories</p> <p>20 about Peter Morrison. We now hear that the press are</p> <p>21 following Morrison about, and camping on his doorstep,</p> <p>22 in the hope of obtaining some comment from him on the</p> <p>23 allegations of some years ago.</p> <p>24 "Morrison has said privately that he hopes the press</p> <p>25 will publish something, so that he can sue and nail the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 72</p>

<p>1 stories. He also says that both Mr Tebbit (who told him 2 of the allegations when they first appeared) and the 3 Prime Minister are aware of the matter. 4 "In the circumstances, there would seem to be little 5 point in carrying this further." 6 You will note, Lord Armstrong -- of course, it is 7 not your letter; you were in receipt of it -- the 8 information that is passed on is "the Prime Minister was 9 aware of the matter" but there is nothing about 10 supporting him. But this is the decision that 11 Sir Antony Duff made and, as we saw this morning, it is 12 based almost entirely on the second bits of information 13 that Eliza Manningham-Buller passed on to her superiors 14 on 13 November, five days before this letter was 15 written. 16 Your response, if you kindly go behind tab 10, 17 INQ004041, of 17 December: 18 "Thank you very much for your letter of 18 November 19 about Peter Morrison. 20 "In the circumstances, I agree that there is little 21 point in carrying the matter further." 22 What was it about Sir Antony Duff's letter to you of 23 the month before, the 18 November letter, CAB000122, 24 that made you agree with Antony Duff that the 25 circumstances were such that there was little point to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 73</p>	<p>1 doing anything about it? 2 A. I think we come back to the point that the Conservative 3 Party were fully aware of the matter -- not just the 4 Prime Minister, but Mr Tebbit, who was the chairman of 5 the party at the time. It was for them to consider what 6 action they should take in relation to somebody who 7 was -- was or was about to become deputy chairman of 8 the Conservative Party. There was clearly no security 9 concern. 10 Q. No. In your paragraph 11 in your statement, page 3 at 11 the top, you say this: 12 "It is suggested that the information that 13 Mr Morrison was prepared to sue if anything was 14 published in the press may have reached the 15 director-general from a Security Service officer who was 16 a friend of Mr Morrison." 17 We know that that was Eliza Manningham-Buller: 18 "If this is true, I see nothing inappropriate in it. 19 I think that the officer concerned would have been 20 failing in his duty if he had not reported it." 21 She has told us that it was her duty: 22 "It would have been for the director-general and his 23 senior colleagues to consider whether the officer 24 concerned had been put up to transmit this information, 25 and how far to discount it, if they thought he had been.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 74</p>
<p>1 Were you saying there, Lord Armstrong, that you felt it 2 was a decision for the director-general to make and the 3 senior officers of MI5 at that time whether they made an 4 assessment of the information received by the member of 5 staff -- in this instance, Eliza Manningham-Buller -- 6 and the extent to which she might have been used by 7 Peter Morrison to put up false information? 8 A. Yes. I didn't know who the officer was or the 9 relationship with -- 10 Q. No. But the point is, were you saying that that was 11 a matter for the director-general of MI5? In other 12 words, whether they should simply have taken the 13 information that was being passed on trust -- for 14 example, Peter Morrison's vehement denials -- or whether 15 the Service should have enquired a little more closely 16 into the validity of the information that was being 17 passed? 18 A. No, I didn't think that there should be any enquiry more 19 closely. 20 Q. Can we just understand, then, please, in your 21 paragraph 11, why did you say it would have been for the 22 director-general and his senior colleagues to consider 23 whether the officer concerned had been put up to 24 transmit this information and how far to discount it if 25 they thought he had been? I'm simply asking you about</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p>	<p>1 that sentence, really. Why did you put that in your 2 statement? 3 A. Because I thought that the director-general had 4 information which he had been given by a member of his 5 staff and it was up to him to assess it. 6 Q. Are you making any judgment about whether or not that 7 information ought to have been assessed? 8 A. No. 9 Q. In paragraph 12 of your statement, you say: 10 "I do not know whether the Security Service reported 11 Mr Donald Stewart's information to the police or 12 conducted any further enquiries. If they did not do so, 13 then I do not know why they did not do so." 14 So the situation appears, because we know they 15 didn't, that the Security Service didn't report it to 16 the police, the Cabinet Office didn't report it to the 17 police, the Prime Minister didn't report it to the 18 police and nor did the Conservative Party. Looking 19 back, Lord Armstrong, did you think that was correct at 20 the time? 21 A. I thought that was correct at the time. I thought that 22 the police had been aware of the -- the police -- we 23 knew from November what the Chief Whip had said 24 in November 1983, that the police were aware of 25 the affairs then and that they would presumably be</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 76</p>

1 following up that information if they needed to do so.
 2 Q. You say in your paragraph 13:
 3 "My view was that Mr Stewart's story appeared to
 4 have been second-hand and very unspecific and there were
 5 no security implications. The police already knew about
 6 Mr Morrison's activities and propensities and therefore
 7 it was their role to investigate Mr Morrison and to take
 8 matters any further. If anyone should have contacted
 9 the police about Mr Stewart's story, it should have been
 10 Mr Stewart or the Conservative Party."
 11 Of course you will agree, Lord Armstrong, that one
 12 could only say that the police already knew about
 13 Morrison and his activities and propensities if the
 14 information that he'd been picked up for importuning was
 15 accurate. Otherwise, there was a risk that the police
 16 knew absolutely nothing about it?
 17 **A. I should have said "alleged activities", yes.**
 18 Q. But you understand my point: there was a risk that the
 19 police knew nothing about Morrison, who was in
 20 a position of power, whichever way one looks at it, and
 21 yet nothing was done by anyone?
 22 **A. Well, I -- presumably -- I don't know this, but**
 23 **presumably the Conservative Party must have satisfied**
 24 **themselves that Peter Morrison's denials were true.**
 25 Q. You will --

Page 77

1 Q. Police or security checks?
 2 **A. I don't know.**
 3 Q. Interview?
 4 **A. I don't know.**
 5 Q. You will agree, I'm sure, that between 4 November, when
 6 Sir Antony Duff was recommending Donald Stewart being
 7 spoken to by the Chief Whip and the Security Service
 8 remaining in the background, and 18 November, when he
 9 was writing to you, saying there would seem to be little
 10 point in carrying this further, that in the space of two
 11 weeks, from suggesting that something had to be done to
 12 him suggesting that nothing ought to be done and you
 13 agreeing with it, was a very short period of time
 14 indeed. Do you accept that?
 15 **A. Well, it was 14 days, yes.**
 16 Q. To the suggestion that this was all just a coverup of
 17 Peter Morrison and his activities by the political
 18 establishment in particular, what would you say?
 19 **A. Well, I would say I was certainly not aware of any**
 20 **coverup and that Peter Morrison had emphatically denied**
 21 **the truth of any of this stuff and had said that he**
 22 **would sue if any publication was made.**
 23 Q. But that was to accept Peter Morrison's word and take it
 24 on trust, wasn't it?
 25 **A. That appears to be what the Conservative Party did.**

Page 79

1 **A. The fact that they had done so appeared from subsequent**
 2 **events.**
 3 Q. By which you mean?
 4 **A. By which I mean that, in 1989 or sometime like that, the**
 5 **Prime Minister appointed Sir Peter Morrison to be her**
 6 **Parliamentary Private Secretary, and she wouldn't have**
 7 **thought -- she wouldn't have done -- she wouldn't have**
 8 **appointed somebody to a position of that sort if she had**
 9 **any doubts about the man.**
 10 Q. From your knowledge, having been Cabinet Secretary
 11 around that period, what process did Peter Morrison have
 12 to go through in order to be appointed the
 13 Prime Minister's PPS?
 14 **A. I haven't the faintest idea. I had retired when it**
 15 **happened.**
 16 Q. Well, what about in your time? If the Prime Minister
 17 was looking to appoint a PPS, what process would that
 18 person have gone through?
 19 **A. Well, it was not a government appointment.**
 20 Q. I appreciate that. But I'm asking you, from your own
 21 knowledge, what process would a person appointed by the
 22 Prime Minister as PPS have to go through, in those days?
 23 **A. I don't know.**
 24 Q. Would it involve vetting of any kind?
 25 **A. I don't know.**

Page 78

1 Q. If, looking at matters another way, Lord Armstrong, the
 2 allegations were true, not merely that he had a penchant
 3 for small boys, but that he was putting into practice
 4 his sexual preferences, there were children who were at
 5 risk; do you agree?
 6 **A. If that were so, it would be true.**
 7 Q. There were children at risk, but the Security Service
 8 was concerned about the risk to security that
 9 Peter Morrison presented and the Conservative Party and
 10 the Prime Minister were simply worried about the
 11 potential political embarrassment. But nobody seemed to
 12 think about the kids?
 13 **A. Well, kids didn't come into it until the reference to**
 14 **the penchant for small boys --**
 15 Q. Yes.
 16 **A. -- by Donald Stewart. Donald Stewart presumably**
 17 **reported that within the Conservative Party of which he**
 18 **was an employee.**
 19 Q. Or you would hope he did?
 20 **A. Well, I would be very surprised if he did not.**
 21 Q. Although the interesting feature, of course, of
 22 the 4 November letter is that Sir Antony Duff thinks
 23 that the information was passed by Donald Stewart to go
 24 to the ears of the Security Service. So is it likely
 25 Donald Stewart may not have mentioned it to anybody

Page 80

1 within the Conservative Party but decided to ensure the
 2 information came to the ears of the Security Service in
 3 order that it could be investigated by MI5?
 4 **A. I really can't comment on that. As he was employed by**
 5 **the Conservative Party, I would have assumed that he had**
 6 **told the Conservative Party of these things.**
 7 Q. Right at the back of this bundle, Lord Armstrong -- it
 8 is something of which I am sure you are aware -- there
 9 is a Mail online article. It is behind tab 21.
 10 INQ004073. Can I ask you, please, to go to the fifth
 11 page. You will see, in the bottom right-hand corner
 12 with the inquiry reference, the digits 005, and that's
 13 the page I'd like you to go to, if you would. Do you
 14 see this is an article that the Daily Mail wrote in
 15 relation to these events, or at least some of them, on
 16 24 July 2015, and there is a quotation attributed to
 17 you:
 18 "Lord Armstrong told the Daily Mail: 'I thought
 19 MI5's actions were correct at the time. I think they
 20 were right to report the rumour, they were right to make
 21 what enquiries they could and they were right to come to
 22 the conclusion they did. I think, if there was
 23 evidence, it would have been properly examined at the
 24 time. I don't think this is a matter of important
 25 people being protected. You can't pursue enquiries

Page 81

1 said anything?
 2 **A. Presumably I thought he must have been interviewed by**
 3 **somebody in the party.**
 4 Q. If you said that, that's what you think you are talking
 5 about there?
 6 **A. He had obviously talked to Mr Tebbit.**
 7 Q. So you think that might be a discussion he had with
 8 Norman Tebbit, who was, what, the chair of the party at
 9 the time?
 10 **A. Yes. Well, we know he said that he had talked to**
 11 **Mr Tebbit.**
 12 Q. While I am thinking about him, I'm sure you will be
 13 aware that Norman Tebbit -- Lord Tebbit, as he was and
 14 is -- in July 2014 went on the Andrew Marr Show and
 15 talked about things being covered up in those days.
 16 Were you aware of that television programme,
 17 Lord Armstrong?
 18 **A. I don't remember it.**
 19 Q. Perhaps we can just play it to see if it brings it home.
 20 It's INQ004091.
 21 (Video played)
 22 Have you seen that before, Lord Armstrong?
 23 **A. I have read about it, I think. I don't think I've seen**
 24 **it.**
 25 Q. If we can get our bearings right, Peter Morrison was the

Page 83

1 unless you have evidence on which you can base the
 2 enquiry. A shadow of a rumour is not enough."
 3 Now, did you agree those were your words to the
 4 Daily Mail at the time that this article was written?
 5 **A. I've forgotten, I'm afraid.**
 6 Q. Are the words "a shadow of a rumour" the kind of words
 7 that you might have used?
 8 **A. I might have done.**
 9 Q. If you had said them, do you think that's a fair
 10 assessment, that this was nothing more than a shadow of
 11 a rumour about Peter Morrison?
 12 **A. Well, as it reached me, it was not more than that.**
 13 Q. Can we have a look at the next sentence, please, in the
 14 article:
 15 "Lord Armstrong said he knew the identity of the MP
 16 in question but refused to name him, saying: 'I think he
 17 was interviewed but he denied it. It is not my position
 18 to name him'.
 19 Do you think you told them that as well?
 20 **A. I have forgotten, but ...**
 21 Q. The reason I ask is, if you said this, Lord Armstrong,
 22 I just would like to understand what was the interview
 23 in which he denied it that you are talking about?
 24 Because I don't think we know of any interview with
 25 Peter Morrison in which he denied or, for that matter,

Page 82

1 deputy chair of the Conservative Party
 2 between September 1986 and June 1987. So it covered the
 3 period that we are looking at. Again, there was
 4 reference to that in one of the letters, that he was in
 5 Central Office. Norman Tebbit was the chairman of
 6 the Conservative Party the year before, he started
 7 September 1985, and he also was chair until June 1987.
 8 So it looks very much as if Norman Tebbit and
 9 Peter Morrison were in Central Office, chair and deputy
 10 chair, at the very same time?
 11 **A. I think they were, yes.**
 12 Q. So if anyone would know about a coverup, Norman Tebbit
 13 would likely know about that?
 14 **A. I can't say what Norman Tebbit did or did not know.**
 15 **I was not aware of any coverup myself.**
 16 Q. Can we look at something else, please, Lord Armstrong,
 17 because I would like to come on to Peter Hayman. So an
 18 entirely different topic. If you would kindly go,
 19 please, to tab 14, and in your statement, if you are
 20 looking for a reference, your statement begins also at
 21 paragraph 14. The document we have --
 22 **A. I'm getting confused, because 14 in my thing is a tiny**
 23 **little bit of paper --**
 24 Q. That's it?
 25 **A. "This minute was agreed with Sir Brian Cubbon".**

Page 84

<p>1 Q. That's a cover sheet, but if you look behind, you will 2 see a minute which was written by you to the 3 Prime Minister on 27 October? 4 A. Oh, yes. 5 Q. We will put that up on the screen, HOM002203. If we go, 6 please, to the next page -- in fact, can we go a couple 7 of pages on, to, because we can see where all this 8 begins, to page 6. If you have a look, you will see 9 a 006 in the bottom right-hand corner, which is the next 10 page after the end of your minute to the Prime Minister. 11 The same tab. 12 A. Yes, there we are. 13 Q. I assume you remember this or have some memory of it? 14 A. Yes, I have some memory of that. 15 Q. It is not every day a British High Commissioner is 16 referred to as the "Beast of Berlin". The date of this 17 Private Eye article, although not on the article itself, 18 is 24 October 1980, so we are going back in time, and it 19 reads: 20 "Having only just recovered from the Anthony Blunt 21 scandal, Britain's battered security forces have 22 recently been given evidence of yet another high-placed 23 potential security risk. 24 "The man in question is Sir Peter Hayman ... who 25 ended a distinguished career as British High</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 85</p>	<p>1 Commissioner in Canada from 1970-74. Previously, Hayman 2 held a series of highly delicate posts, including 3 assistant principal to the Ministry of 4 Home Secretary ... personal assistant to the chief staff 5 officer to the Minister of Defence ... member of the 6 UK's delegation to NATO ... director-general of British 7 Information Services New York ... and, perhaps most 8 crucially, Minister and Deputy Commandant in the British 9 Military Government of West Berlin. 10 "Such a combination of Defence and Foreign Office 11 postings almost invariably indicates an Intelligence 12 background. Sir Peter would certainly have had access 13 to top secret papers. 14 "The risk to security lay in the fact that during at 15 least some of his career Hayman was a sexual deviant who 16 kept explicit and detailed diaries cataloguing years of 17 his sexual activities which involved every conceivable 18 perversion. Much of what he recorded was fantasy but 19 some of the events clearly had occurred. The diaries, 20 along with articles of female clothing and pornographic 21 photos, were found in a sparsely furnished flat at 22 95 Linden Gardens, Notting Hill, by porn squad officers 23 who raided the flat in the summer of 1978. 24 "The police raid followed the discovery of a bulky 25 package of obscene material addressed to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 86</p>
<p>1 a 'Mr Henderson' on a bus. Police also discovered that 2 Henderson, who was in fact Hayman, was a member of 3 the Paedophile Information Exchange and frequently 4 entertained prostitutes at his Linden Gardens flat. 5 Hayman appears to have used PIE in order to locate other 6 deviants for correspondence purposes, also using 7 'contact' magazines for the same end. 8 "Hayman's role emerged after two men were 9 conditionally discharged for three years after pleading 10 guilty to sending obscene material through the post. 11 "The decision not to prosecute Hayman, who was 12 certainly as guilty as these two unfortunates, came from 13 high up, much to the disgust of DPP Tony Hetherington's 14 aides, and also the policemen involved in the case. 15 They were told that this was 'no reflection on the 16 evidence' and indeed at one stage Hayman appears to have 17 been cautioned. He was also warned not to send obscene 18 material through the post again. The diaries -- some 46 19 [something] sized books of 80 pages each -- clearly 20 refer to Hayman's period of office in Canada. What MI5 21 and MI6 are deeply worried about is, how much further 22 back did Hayman's activities extend and how public did 23 he make them? 24 "Yet again, the much-vaunted system of positive 25 vetting appears to have broken down completely."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 87</p>	<p>1 Does that bring that all back a little? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. In your statement, Lord Armstrong, at paragraph 16, you 4 say that neither the Foreign and Commonwealth Office, 5 which was Peter Hayman's parent department, nor the 6 Cabinet Office, nor for that matter the Security 7 Service, knew anything about any of the matters set out 8 in that article until it was published. Is that right? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. That's how you remember things. As a result of that 11 article, if we go back, please, to tab 14 -- we should 12 keep that on screen, please, but go to page 2 of it. 13 This is your minute? 14 A. Not the article. 15 Q. No, it is the second page of that, please. It is all 16 part of one document because the article was an 17 attachment to the minute. This is a minute written by 18 you to the Prime Minister, and it is dated just a few 19 days after the date of the article, three days, in fact, 20 27 October 1980. Let's just read through it together, 21 if we can, please: 22 "Your attention has been drawn to the article (of 23 which I attach a copy) in last week's Private Eye, 24 containing allegations about the sexual activities and 25 proclivities of Sir Peter Hayman, a retired member of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 88</p>

<p>1 the Diplomatic Service.</p> <p>2 "I attach a copy of Sir Peter Hayman's entry in</p> <p>3 Who's Who."</p> <p>4 In fact that is attached at the back. We don't need</p> <p>5 to look at it:</p> <p>6 "It is not the case that he 'had an intelligence</p> <p>7 background' ..."</p> <p>8 Which is in his Who's Who entry, I think:</p> <p>9 "... but throughout his career, and especially as</p> <p>10 minister in West Berlin from 1964 to 1966, in the</p> <p>11 Foreign Office from 1966 to 1969, and as High</p> <p>12 Commissioner in Ottawa from 1970 to 1974, he had access</p> <p>13 to highly confidential and sensitive material. He has</p> <p>14 been, to all appearances, a healthy, normal and happily</p> <p>15 married man."</p> <p>16 Lord Armstrong, this is something that you wrote or</p> <p>17 was it something that was written for you and submitted</p> <p>18 by you to the Prime Minister?</p> <p>19 A. Well, it was submitted by me to the Prime Minister.</p> <p>20 I don't remember how it came to be made. I remember the</p> <p>21 memorandum and I remember discussing it with -- or</p> <p>22 a draft of it with other people.</p> <p>23 Q. Is it likely that you would have been assisted in</p> <p>24 writing this?</p> <p>25 A. I should have certainly been assisted, yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 89</p>	<p>1 Q. Paragraph 3:</p> <p>2 "He came to the notice of the Director of Public</p> <p>3 Prosecutions in 1978 very much as described in the</p> <p>4 article, on the basis of a police report as a result of</p> <p>5 a parcel of obscene material discovered in a bus and of</p> <p>6 subsequent investigations. The police report showed</p> <p>7 that Sir Peter Hayman kept explicit and detailed</p> <p>8 recordings of his sexual activities and fantasies and</p> <p>9 that he was a member of the Paedophile Information</p> <p>10 Exchange. But the only activities which could be shown</p> <p>11 to have occurred were with consenting adults (of both</p> <p>12 sexes); the material in the records relating to children</p> <p>13 appeared all to be fantasy, and there was -- and is --</p> <p>14 no evidence for actual activities with children. The</p> <p>15 only offence for which he could have been charged was</p> <p>16 that of sending obscene material through the post; and</p> <p>17 I am advised that it was, and is, the policy of</p> <p>18 the Director of Public Prosecutions not to prosecute for</p> <p>19 this offence except if there has been a complaint from</p> <p>20 the recipient or the object of committing the offence</p> <p>21 was commercial gain."</p> <p>22 Then the note deals with the contents of the police</p> <p>23 reports, which are confidential:</p> <p>24 "... and the general rule must be that information</p> <p>25 contained in them is not transmitted to anyone outside</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 90</p>
<p>1 the prosecution process unless a case is brought and</p> <p>2 ends in conviction. Nonetheless, if a police report to</p> <p>3 the director suggested to him that there were matters</p> <p>4 relating to a serving public servant which had</p> <p>5 implications for security, he would consider alerting</p> <p>6 the security authorities to the need to make their own</p> <p>7 inquiries. This did not happen in this instance because</p> <p>8 at the time when the director was considering the</p> <p>9 possibility of prosecution, Sir Peter Hayman was no</p> <p>10 longer serving: he had been in retirement for four</p> <p>11 years.</p> <p>12 "It is clear that Sir Peter Hayman was already</p> <p>13 engaging in sexual perversion in 1966 when he returned</p> <p>14 from Berlin to the Foreign Office, and it must be</p> <p>15 presumed that he was doing so before that time. He</p> <p>16 would of course have been especially vulnerable in</p> <p>17 Berlin. While Sir Peter Hayman was High Commissioner in</p> <p>18 Ottawa, there was a problem of obscene correspondence</p> <p>19 addressed to a fictitious female name at the High</p> <p>20 Commission, apparently as a result of advertisements</p> <p>21 placed in a pornographic magazine. At the time this was</p> <p>22 laid at the door of a member of the domestic staff; the</p> <p>23 latest report raises the question whether it should have</p> <p>24 been laid at Sir Peter's door.</p> <p>25 "Sir Peter Hayman has been in touch with the Foreign</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 91</p>	<p>1 and Commonwealth Office. He had offered to come in, and</p> <p>2 was to be seen by the acting head of office (Sir Edward</p> <p>3 Youde); but he has now been advised by his solicitor</p> <p>4 (Sir David Napley) that he should not call at the</p> <p>5 Foreign Office unless and until he is told that they</p> <p>6 have something to discuss with him. We are not yet</p> <p>7 ready for a discussion; at this stage, we could do no</p> <p>8 more than listen to what he had to say."</p> <p>9 Then two questions are posed: what to do and what to</p> <p>10 say:</p> <p>11 "If questions are asked, I have discussed these</p> <p>12 questions with the acting head of the Foreign and</p> <p>13 Commonwealth Office, the Permanent</p> <p>14 Under-Secretary of State at the Home Office, the</p> <p>15 Director of Public Prosecutions and the Director-general</p> <p>16 of the Security Service."</p> <p>17 The "What to do", you advise "a full investigation</p> <p>18 with a view to discovering whether anything more is</p> <p>19 known or suspected about [his] activities while he was</p> <p>20 serving in the Diplomatic Service, and whether there is</p> <p>21 any reason to suppose that the security was compromised.</p> <p>22 This investigation is being undertaken by the Security</p> <p>23 Service.</p> <p>24 "Whether any further action is required -- a review</p> <p>25 of vetting procedures ..."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 92</p>

<p>1 Which is what that part of the note continues to 2 say. Then if we flip over the page, please, under the 3 heading "What to say", and this is advice to the 4 Prime Minister: 5 "If you are asked questions in the House tomorrow, 6 you will need to be cautious in your replies. In 7 particular, you will need not to say that the article is 8 true -- if only because at this stage you cannot exclude 9 the possibility -- however unlikely -- of libel 10 proceedings." 11 You point out he has not been prosecuted: 12 "... but questions about whether he should have been 13 prosecuted and why he was not prosecuted are for the 14 Attorney General ... as the senior law officer of 15 the Crown, not as a member of the government, and you 16 should refer all such questions to [him]." 17 That's the nature of the note. I'm going to simply 18 point out, at the foot of the last page, in 19 paragraph 14, you say you are sending copies of this 20 minute and of the annexes to the Home Secretary, the 21 Foreign and Commonwealth Secretary, the Lord President, 22 in view of the vetting procedures implications, and the 23 attorney. 24 Therefore, and rightly so, by the look of it, this 25 was all taken pretty seriously?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 93</p>	<p>1 A. It certainly was. 2 Q. We saw, Lord Armstrong -- I'm looking at your 3 paragraph 17. If you want to keep a finger in that 4 document, because we will come back to it later, perhaps 5 not yet, but paragraph 17 of your witness statement, by 6 reference to the Private Eye article which suggested 7 that the Director of Public Prosecutions, the DPP, had 8 been minded to authorise a prosecution, but had been 9 overruled by a higher authority, did you know whether 10 that was right or wrong? 11 A. I thought -- I was sure -- I know that it was wrong. 12 The Director of Public Prosecutions' advice was to the 13 Attorney not to prosecute and the Attorney General 14 accepted it. 15 Q. I said to keep a finger in that document. I meant it at 16 the time, but I don't mean it any longer. 17 Can we go, please, to a document behind tab 12, 18 CAB000071_024. This is a file of material found at the 19 Cabinet Office relating to the Hayman case, dated from 20 28 October 1980 to 18 March 1981. Do you have page 24? 21 If you look in the bottom corner, you are looking for 22 024. 23 A. I have 001 at the moment. Yes. 24 Q. You will see at the top "Comments by the DPP on the 25 Private Eye article 'Beast of Berlin' of 2 January". So</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 94</p>
<p>1 clearly there was a further article that was written and 2 this was "Beast of Berlin (2)", and we can see the date 3 of this note, these comments, by Sir Thomas -- he is 4 referred to as Tony, I think, in the article, but it was 5 Sir Thomas Hetherington -- by now 7 January. If we see 6 what he had to say in the first paragraph: 7 "The director is not aware of any disagreement 8 between the attorney and himself on these matters. 9 "The attorney has not seen, and has not asked to 10 see, any of Sir Peter Hayman's diaries. These are now 11 in the possession of the DPP who obtained them from the 12 Metropolitan Police after the publication of the earlier 13 Private Eye (24 October 1980). This was for the purpose 14 of the Security Service investigation." 15 So although this note from Sir Thomas Hetherington, 16 the DPP at the time, related to a second article 17 published by Private Eye, was it your understanding 18 throughout that there was no disagreement and no 19 divergence of opinion about process insofar as 20 Peter Hayman is concerned? 21 A. Yes, that's my understanding. 22 Q. In your statement, your paragraph 18, you say you don't 23 remember. If you would kindly go back to that, on the 24 third page: 25 "I do not remember any reaction by the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 95</p>	<p>1 Prime Minister to that minute." 2 So it is the minute we have looked at, the one that 3 was written 27 October 1980: 4 "I do not remember any parliamentary questions on 5 the subject. I remember being told, I think by the 6 Security Service, that there was no reason to suppose 7 that there had ever been any compromise of security as 8 a result of Sir Peter Hayman's sexual activities and 9 propensities. I do not remember whether there was an 10 enquiry into the failure of the positive vetting system, 11 though I seem to remember that the system was 12 strengthened at about this time." 13 Lord Armstrong, it is almost 1.00 pm. The chair and 14 panel will want to break around now. I am afraid I'm 15 not finished with your evidence, but I will finish at 16 2.00 pm. I hope that is not too inconvenient to you. 17 So can I ask you to return at 2.00 pm, please? Thank 18 you very much. You can take the file with you, if you 19 wish. 20 A. Thank you. 21 MR ALTMAN: Thank you very much. 22 (12.58 pm) 23 (The short adjournment) 24 (2.00 pm) 25 MR ALTMAN: Lord Armstrong, would you please go back to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 96</p>

<p>1 tab 14 and, when you find that, go to the second page. 2 It's HOM002203. It is the first page of your minute. 3 A. Yes, thank you. 4 Q. I'd like to ask you about something that appears in the 5 third paragraph, which I read through a little earlier. 6 Four lines down into paragraph 3, it reads: 7 "The police report showed that Sir Peter Hayman kept 8 explicit and detailed records of his sexual activities 9 and fantasies and that he was a member of the Paedophile 10 Information Exchange. But the only activities which 11 could be shown to have occurred were with consenting 12 adults (of both sexes); the material in the records 13 relating to children appeared all to be fantasy, and 14 there was -- and is -- no evidence for actual activities 15 with children." 16 Do you remember now on what basis you were able to 17 conclude that the material about children was fantasy, 18 whereas the material about adults was not? 19 A. I was basing that on advice which I had had from those 20 who had read all the material, which I hadn't myself. 21 Q. Was that the DPP's office or the Security Service; do 22 you remember? 23 A. I can't remember. 24 Q. So it wasn't a conclusion that you, yourself, had 25 arrived at; but it was one which was based upon</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 97</p>	<p>1 information or advice that you'd received from 2 elsewhere -- 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. -- which you were passing on to the Prime Minister. Did 5 the fact that Hayman, using the pseudonym "Henderson", 6 was a member of the Paedophile Information Exchange, an 7 organisation whose aims were to lower the age of consent 8 in order to permit sex with children, ring alarm bells 9 whether Hayman's diary writings about children were 10 simply fantasy or whether he was actually abusing 11 children as well? 12 A. Well, I was advised that there was no evidence that he 13 had been other than fantasising about sexual relations 14 with children, and I had no reason to question that. 15 Q. I know it is a long time ago now, and I suspect I know 16 the answer to this, but did you hear or learn at the 17 time that there was material attributable to 18 Peter Hayman that was also found in St James's Park? 19 The information you had was about material found on 20 a London bus, but material also found in St James' Park 21 which turned out to include images of boys in underwear 22 and the boys being aged between 8 and 11? 23 A. I didn't know that. It's the first I have heard of it. 24 Q. Can I go, please, and ask you to turn back to tab 12 -- 25 it is that thick file -- and this time to go to page 22,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 98</p>
<p>1 please. The reference for the evidence handler is 2 CAB000071_022. This, Lord Armstrong, is another minute, 3 this one dated 9 January 1981, and I think it must 4 follow from the date that it was as a result of -- and 5 indeed you make reference to -- the second Private Eye 6 article about Peter Hayman. If we read from the top: 7 "In my minute of 27 October 1980, I described the 8 background to the allegations in a Private Eye article 9 about the sexual activities of Sir Peter Hayman. I said 10 that the Security Service would undertake a full 11 investigation with a view to discovering what was known 12 or suspected about Sir Peter Hayman's activities while 13 he was in the Diplomatic Service. In view of 14 the further article in last week's Private Eye about 15 Hayman (of which I enclose a copy) ..." 16 So this relates to the second article: 17 "... I have obtained a progress report on the 18 investigations. 19 "The documentary evidence in the possession of 20 the Director of Public Prosecutions (Hayman's diaries) 21 which related to the period 1966-78 showed that the 22 first Private Eye article in October was generally 23 accurate as far as that period was concerned. There is 24 no reflection of Hayman's sexual activities in his FCO 25 personal files. The Security Service have so far</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 99</p>	<p>1 undertaken a total of 14 interviews with present and 2 past FCO and [something is redacted] officials who were 3 colleagues of Hayman in his various postings from 1959 4 onwards. It seems from those interviews that, with the 5 exception of two incidents (which were not reported and 6 might well have seemed insignificant at the time, 7 although they seem more significant with the benefit of 8 hindsight), one during his period in Baghdad in 1959-60 9 and the other in his time as High Commissioner in Ottawa 10 in 1971-74, Hayman gave his colleagues no cause to 11 suspect that he might be engaged in irregular sexual 12 activities." 13 Now, can you tell us, please, Lord Armstrong, does 14 the sentence that I have just read to you from the 15 second paragraph of that minute suggest that, in the 16 case of the two instances that you give -- Baghdad and 17 Ottawa -- colleagues did suspect that Hayman was engaged 18 in irregular sexual activities? 19 A. I don't remember, I'm afraid. 20 Q. Do you remember now what you meant by your use of 21 the term "irregular sexual activities"? 22 A. I think that I was referring to his general activities 23 as described in the diaries. 24 Q. Do you think, looking back now, there is the possibility 25 that a possible implication of the use of the term</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 100</p>

<p>1 "irregular sexual activities" was to include children 2 under the age of consent? 3 A. I don't think it was intended to do so. I think it was 4 just irregular sexual activities outside his marriage. 5 Q. But of particular deviancy or simply -- or were you 6 talking about sexual affairs? 7 A. I was talking about the kind of -- I was talking about 8 sexual affairs. 9 Q. When we come to look at this document, Lord Armstrong -- 10 A. If I had meant children, I think I would have said 11 "criminal", or words to that effect. 12 Q. When we come back to this document, then, we should take 13 from the words "might be engaged in irregular sexual 14 activities", that that is a reference, looking back now, 15 from what you are telling us, to affairs outside 16 marriage. 17 A. Well, I have not seen all -- only a small section of 18 the diaries, but the kind of activities described in 19 that section. I don't think "irregular" is intended to 20 point to activities with children because we had been 21 told that the references to children were all fantasies 22 and that there was no evidence of activities with 23 children. 24 Q. Finally this, please: if you could turn back to page 15 25 in that same file -- I mean that same section of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p>	<p>1 documentation, please, so go back to 015, please. You 2 will find there a note from Sir Edward Youde relating to 3 Peter Hayman, which begins: 4 "Enquiries into the Hayman case have so far produced 5 no evidence that Sir Peter's activities came to the 6 notice of hostile intelligence agencies, but the point 7 has been reached where, in order to carry the enquiries 8 further, it will be necessary for the Security Service 9 to interview Sir Peter Hayman himself. 10 "The director-general and I have discussed how best 11 to arrange this. We are agreed that, since 12 Sir Peter Hayman is an ex-member of the Diplomatic 13 Service, the first approach to him should come from the 14 FCO. 15 "I propose, therefore, to invite Sir Peter Hayman to 16 come to see me." 17 Remind us, what was Edward Youde's position at that 18 time? Do you remember? 19 A. I don't remember. I assume from this that he was the 20 director of personnel, or whatever you call it, they had 21 a special name for it in the Foreign Office which I have 22 forgotten. 23 Q. But it is a Foreign Office position? 24 A. It is a Foreign Office position. 25 Q. He says:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 102</p>
<p>1 "I propose, therefore, to invite Sir Peter Hayman to 2 come to see me. The director-general will be with me 3 and it is quite possible that Sir Peter Hayman will 4 bring with him Sir David Napley, his solicitor. 5 "I will put it to Sir Peter Hayman that our interest 6 is not in his personal activities as such. But as an 7 ex-member of the Service, he will understand that in 8 such cases the possible security implications have to be 9 investigated and, for this purpose, the Security Service 10 would want to arrange for him to be interviewed by 11 a member of their Service. The Security Service 12 interview would be on their premises rather than in the 13 FCO." 14 Do you remember now the fact that there was 15 a Security Service investigation and an interview with 16 him? 17 A. Well, I read it in the papers, but I don't remember it 18 specifically. 19 Q. Just this: again, I know it's difficult, given the years 20 which have passed, but were you aware whether MI5 21 investigated any of Hayman's contacts in the Paedophile 22 Information Exchange? 23 A. I wasn't aware of that. 24 MR ALTMAN: Those are all the questions I have for you. 25 I am just going to ask the chair and panel if they have</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 103</p>	<p>1 any questions. 2 THE CHAIR: No, we have no questions. Thank you very much, 3 Lord Armstrong. 4 A. Thank you. 5 MR ALTMAN: Lord Armstrong, thank you for coming. That 6 completes your evidence. 7 A. I wish the panel well in their inquiry. 8 MR ALTMAN: That's very kind, thank you. That's on the 9 record, thank you. 10 (The witness withdrew) 11 MR GYLES DAUBENEY BRANDRETH (sworn) 12 Examination by MR ALTMAN 13 MR ALTMAN: Your full name, please. 14 A. Gyles Daubeneay Brandreth. 15 Q. Mr Brandreth, you are courteously looking at me, but it 16 would help if you look across towards the panel, 17 although your voice is being amplified. 18 A. Good afternoon, panel. 19 Q. Mr Brandreth, you made a statement to the inquiry 20 in June of last year, and it is that which I am going to 21 use, as it were, as a template for your evidence. The 22 first thing I ask you about is, apart from your 23 journalistic and other television career, can you tell 24 us something about your parliamentary and political 25 background?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 104</p>

<p>1 A. Yes. Essentially, I'm a broadcaster and a writer, but 2 between 1990 and 1997, I had a political career. 3 I aspired to be a Conservative member of parliament, and 4 in 1990, began the process of trying to find a seat. 5 I secured one in the City of Chester and became the 6 member of parliament for the City of Chester in 1992 and 7 I was there until 1997 when the people spoke in no 8 uncertain terms and I ceased to be a member of 9 parliament. 10 Q. In other words, you were defeated? 11 A. I was defeated. 12 Q. By Christine Russell, as it turns out? 13 A. I was indeed. 14 Q. Who was the Labour candidate. So your time in 15 parliament lasted five years in parliament? 16 A. Five years. 17 Q. Did you leave politics at that point? 18 A. I did leave politics at that point. I might have 19 returned, but I decided not to, but it was a fascinating 20 period, and I wrote an account of it -- I keep a diary, 21 and I wrote an account, I published an account of my 22 time in parliament in a book called Breaking the Code, 23 which is the first sort of extensive account of 24 the workings of the Government's Whips' Office, and, as 25 such, some of my colleagues in the Whips' Office were</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 105</p>	<p>1 not happy to see it published, but it was generally well 2 received and has been regarded, I think, by those who 3 were there at the time, as being an accurate account of 4 life in politics in the 1990s, and it's still in print 5 I think largely because it -- well, partly because of 6 the Whips' Office material, but also because it's 7 a reflection of what politics was like in relation to 8 Europe in the 1990s and there are echoes today. 9 Q. Republished in 2014? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. You covered the years 1990 to 1997 for understandable 12 reasons, but when it was republished, was the year 13 bracket extended by ten to 2007? 14 A. Yes. The second edition goes to 2007 to make it 15 a little bit more contemporary from the fall of 16 John Major to the arrival of David Cameron, but I also, 17 in the last edition, I think the paperback edition, 18 added a section, again based on my diary, concerning all 19 the rumours and allegations about Sir Peter Morrison, 20 because at the time it was surfacing in the newspapers 21 and I was getting a lot of calls about it and enquiries, 22 and I thought, well, let me think this through and set 23 the record straight, putting on paper everything that 24 I knew. So that's what I tried to do. 25 When, last June, I was asked to prepare a witness</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 106</p>
<p>1 statement for you, I took some care over it, in the 2 sense that I did think back carefully and referred to 3 diaries of the time so that my witness statement, as it 4 were, may be more rounded and full and complete than 5 some of my off-the-cuff remarks that I am making to you 6 now. 7 Q. Quite a bit of it does borrow from that afterword, 8 doesn't it -- 9 A. It does. 10 Q. -- in relation to Peter Morrison? 11 A. Yes. That's when I -- I stopped to think about it when 12 that new issue was done, and then I thought about it 13 again last year when I had the invitation to give you 14 a witness statement. 15 Q. The reason, in particular, that you wrote the afterword 16 in 2014, or at least it was published in 2014? Was 17 there any particular purpose? 18 A. The purpose I think was because I was being pressed for, 19 you know, "What is your response? Did you know ...? 20 The man was a paedophile. What are you ...? 21 What's ...?", et cetera. I thought, actually, rather 22 than coming out and trying to make a statement, let me 23 calmly think this through and write an account of it in 24 my own time, in my own words, which is what I attempted 25 to do, and so, when I was invited by you to contribute,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 107</p>	<p>1 obviously I went back to that. 2 Q. No point, as it were, in re-inventing the wheel. You'd 3 already cast your mind back, you'd written the afterword 4 based on your memories of that period of Peter Morrison 5 and therefore -- 6 A. One of the disadvantages of keeping a diary is you 7 can't, as it were, change your view of things. Of 8 course we so often look at things through the prism of 9 where we are rather than what it was like at the time. 10 Q. Absolutely. Can we put up on the screen, so we can all 11 see what you are looking at, because I wish to adduce 12 it, your statement, which is the inquiry reference 13 GBR000001. As I say, I'm am going to use this, as it 14 were, as a template for your evidence. 15 I am going to come back to the Whips' Office 16 a little later, but can we turn immediately to 17 paragraph 12, please, because that's where you come to 18 deal with Peter Morrison? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. He was your immediate predecessor -- 21 A. He was. 22 Q. -- in parliament in the City of Chester. Do you 23 remember now how long he'd been the MP in Chester? 24 A. Quite a long while. I knew nothing, really, about him 25 until I turned up there. The system is, you get onto</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 108</p>

1 the candidates' list and you find a number of seats
 2 where you might apply. Chester was one of the seats
 3 that I applied to. It was the first I applied to
 4 because I had a family connection with that part of
 5 the world. I was invited up for the interview. I got
 6 through the first round and then the people at Central
 7 Office, which is the Conservative headquarters, said,
 8 "You've got through the second round. They seem to like
 9 you up there", but the present incumbent,
 10 Peter Morrison, he has reservations about you. You'd
 11 better go and meet him". So that was the first time
 12 I met Peter Morrison. I went to his house in – near
 13 Westminster and I met him for the first time. My first
 14 impression of him, immediately you could see he was
 15 somebody who was quite a heavy drinker, a heavy smoker.
 16 I sensed he might be homosexual – I didn't know –
 17 I asked him directly why he was standing down, because
 18 he was only four years older than me, though he looked
 19 considerably older than me, and he said to me that he'd
 20 been a Minister of State, he'd been Parliamentary
 21 Private Secretary to the Prime Minister, he couldn't see
 22 himself moving any further. It had been made clear to
 23 him he wasn't going to join the Cabinet –
 24 Q. Mr Brandreth, I was almost tempted to say something.
 25 I'm not going to say that, but I'm going to ask you to

Page 109

1 Q. You remembered, in paragraph 12, as is the fact, he had
 2 been a Minister of State and a Parliamentary Private
 3 Secretary to the Prime Minister, Margaret Thatcher?
 4 A. Yes.
 5 Q. This is what I want to ask you: when you asked him why
 6 he was giving up politics so relatively young, what did
 7 he say to you?
 8 A. I will say it as slowly as I can. What he said to me,
 9 essentially, was, "I have got as far as I'm going to go.
 10 I have been a Minister of State. I'm a Privy
 11 Councillor. I have been Parliamentary Private Secretary
 12 to Margaret – Margaret Thatcher, a great person. I'm
 13 not going to go any further, I can see that. It is time
 14 to get out and I want to make some money".
 15 Q. So to go into business?
 16 A. To go into business. And I, I must say, accepted all
 17 that at face value.
 18 Q. At the time, did you have any reason to disbelieve him?
 19 A. No, none whatsoever.
 20 Q. When you went to see him, did you go alone?
 21 A. I went alone. His secretary was there. His house was
 22 somewhere like Cowley Street or Lord North Street,
 23 somewhere around there. I went upstairs to his sitting
 24 room, he introduced me to his secretary and said, rather
 25 charmingly, "This is the real member of parliament for

Page 111

1 stop.
 2 A. If there is deviation, just press the little button
 3 there; okay?
 4 Q. I was going to say "Just a minute", but I decided
 5 against it. I hesitated because these ladies here are
 6 typing your evidence and you're going extremely quickly,
 7 so can I ask you just to slow down?
 8 A. Oh, very good. That's going to be a challenge for us
 9 all, but why not?
 10 Q. I will ask you to slow down. We will take your evidence
 11 in bite-sized chunks. So you go to meet him in his
 12 house near, you remember, Westminster?
 13 A. Yes.
 14 Q. You date this as 1991?
 15 A. Yes, I could give you -- I have got the diary with me.
 16 I could probably give you the precise date.
 17 Q. Don't worry. You remember he was stepping down. He was
 18 aged 47, is what you say in the statement, and he'd been
 19 in parliament for 18 years?
 20 A. Correct.
 21 Q. In the one seat?
 22 A. In the one seat.
 23 Q. So a long time. By this stage, he was a Knight and
 24 a Privy Councillor?
 25 A. Correct.

Page 110

1 the City of Chester. She does all the work", and he was
 2 courteous and pleasant. We were not necessarily people
 3 on a similar wavelength. I knew, because I had been
 4 told by the people at Central Office, that he did not
 5 see me as a suitable successor to him, probably because
 6 I was maybe perhaps too middle ground for him. Also,
 7 I had no deep party background and he had been deputy
 8 chairman of the Conservative Party. So he had
 9 reservations about me and I was there to allay those.
 10 So I asked him about local issues, what the big
 11 concerns were. He did tell -- I did ask him about the
 12 local press, and he did say to me, "I never speak to
 13 them. My advice to you would be: never speak to them.
 14 They only make you trouble". That's the -- but I took
 15 it to be a friendly meeting and he certainly -- though
 16 I knew he wasn't in sympathy with me, he was totally
 17 courteous.
 18 Q. Your wife had slightly different instincts about him?
 19 A. Not then, not at that stage.
 20 Q. But some time afterwards?
 21 A. The process of becoming a candidate is quite a long one.
 22 You go for a series of interviews as you get closer and
 23 closer to being the last three. And by the time I got
 24 down to -- the last three candidates were myself, a lady
 25 who subsequently became a member of parliament

Page 112

1 elsewhere, and a young lawyer who was Sir Peter's
 2 preferred candidate. He had proposed, I think, and was
 3 supporting this young lawyer. And when it came to the
 4 final, I came top and the lady came second and the young
 5 lawyer came third. So he was eliminated and then it was
 6 between the two of us and I came through.
 7 I would say that my -- people -- once I'd been --
 8 once I was there, it became clear that they were ready
 9 to move on from Peter. I think -- I got the impression,
 10 because he had been there a long time, because he was
 11 very much associated with Mrs Thatcher and there was
 12 a new era, because people were concerned about his
 13 drinking and it was self-evident that he was a heavy
 14 drinker, and they wanted a family man. That was made
 15 clear, that they wanted a family man. And there I was
 16 with a wife and small children, so that was to my
 17 advantage.
 18 But there was no suggestion, as it were, of any of
 19 the child allegations that we can come on to in a moment
 20 at that stage at all. And my wife's instinct was, well,
 21 they're clearly ready to move on from him. That was her
 22 instinct. But he was going before he was pushed.
 23 Q. So if he was jumping before he was pushed, which is what
 24 you say in paragraph 12 at the end:
 25 "My wife, whose instinct is always good, said she

Page 113

1 A. And you have heard from them as well. I think, from my
 2 recollection, that Christine Russell, who was my
 3 successor -- she won the election in 1997 -- she does
 4 not seem to recall this meeting. What is interesting to
 5 me is that I never heard about this meeting until all of
 6 this came up, and I knew, obviously, the senior activist
 7 in the local Conservative Party well, I knew some of
 8 the Labour people reasonably well, I knew the
 9 journalists really quite well, including Cynthia Body,
 10 whose name comes up here, who was a seasoned local
 11 journalist -- in my view, a good person, and also quite
 12 a heavy drinker and smoker. She and I would gossip
 13 a great deal. She never mentioned that to me.
 14 Q. So in all the time that you served in Chester, not once
 15 did you hear any allegation of that kind?
 16 A. No, not that there was -- I mean, my instinct is that
 17 they were ready for him to move on and that his instinct
 18 was that he was ready to move on. He wasn't going to be
 19 going any further in politics. He knew that. His time
 20 was up.
 21 Q. But if, in fact, he had stepped down because there had
 22 been a deal between the parties, the press and the
 23 police, that never came to your notice?
 24 A. That never came. Also, I met, as well as the local
 25 journalists, the local political activists of all the

Page 115

1 thought he was jumping before he was pushed."
 2 You didn't know the reason why?
 3 A. Well, I think, actually -- no, I didn't know the reason
 4 why, but I would have accepted that -- I think probably
 5 at the time I thought the reason why is, he's been here
 6 a long time. He clearly was a very heavy drinker. He
 7 was not a prepossessing figure any longer. He didn't
 8 have the support of the local press. And I think those
 9 were the reasons, as far as I knew at that stage.
 10 Q. So you did not know, and I will ask you if you ever
 11 heard, any allegations, local allegations, or stories
 12 about some deal which had been hatched in order to allow
 13 him to stand down and go away quietly?
 14 A. Yes. I have read this now in the various statements
 15 from different people.
 16 Q. Can we just be clear, so that everybody understands who
 17 we are talking about, we are talking about
 18 Christine Russell?
 19 A. Christine Russell.
 20 Q. Jane Lee?
 21 A. Yes.
 22 Q. Grahame Nicholls, Patricia Green and Frances Mowatt?
 23 A. Correct. I think you have got witness statements from
 24 all of them.
 25 Q. And we have heard from them as well.

Page 114

1 parties, I also was on good terms with senior people in
 2 the local police, and you chat, you gossip, it did not
 3 come up. It wasn't a surprise that it didn't come up.
 4 The point I'm really trying to make is, he did seem like
 5 an older man. He was so associated with Mrs Thatcher,
 6 and this was a new era, and it would be reasonable, and
 7 it had become a marginal seat. I think he was aware of
 8 that and he might well have lost. I only won by
 9 a majority of a thousand.
 10 Q. So you first met him, you say in your statement, in 1991.
 11 In paragraph 13. That's the time that you arrived in
 12 Chester?
 13 A. Yes.
 14 Q. You say that the casual word on the street was that he
 15 was a disgusting pervert and as you detailed in your
 16 book, out canvassing, knocking on doors in one or other
 17 of the large council estates. You were told in no
 18 uncertain terms he was a monster who interfered with
 19 children?
 20 A. Yes.
 21 Q. Was this, as it were, common currency?
 22 A. It happened sufficiently often for me to register it and
 23 to make notes of it in my diary. Once I'd been chosen
 24 as the candidate, I was a very active candidate and my
 25 wife and I would go canvassing frequently at weekends,

Page 116

1 and particularly in the two large estates in Chester,
 2 the Blacon Estate and the Lache Estate, people would
 3 say, "Oh, we're not voting for that disgusting
 4 pervert" -- that's a phrase I remember, I wrote it down
 5 at the time. Somebody else called him a monster. It
 6 certainly was said by several people on the doorstep.
 7 I think at the time -- but bear in mind, you're
 8 meeting -- if you go -- if any of you have been involved
 9 in local politics or national politics, you will know
 10 you meet an awful lot of people, you shake an awful lot
 11 of hands, people say an awful lot of things that turn
 12 out not to be true, and there were things -- slurs cast
 13 against Labour people in the community, not of a sexual
 14 nature, but slurs nonetheless.
 15 You hear it. I don't think -- people do say
 16 terrible things, and I think I thought, people do say
 17 terrible things.
 18 Q. But was the nature and perhaps frequency of this type of
 19 thing being said about him sufficient to lend itself to
 20 a concern that there might be some truth in it?
 21 A. No.
 22 Q. Because?
 23 A. It was sufficiently noticeable for me to write it in my
 24 diary, but it was not of sufficient -- I didn't think
 25 there was -- I did not think that there was anything in

Page 117

1 did the phrase "kiddie fiddler" come up? Is it that
 2 sort of thing? I can't really remember that. I can be
 3 sure that "disgusting pervert" was said because I would
 4 have written that down on the day. Also "monster".
 5 Whatever I wrote down at the time would have been
 6 written on the day.
 7 Q. It is simply, Mr Brandreth, in your paragraph 13, in the
 8 fourth line:
 9 "Morrison was a monster who interfered with
 10 children."
 11 So those are your words?
 12 A. And the very fact that just now, the phrase "kiddie
 13 fiddler" came to mind, it could well have been that.
 14 Q. You say -- and it happened about yourself -- that people
 15 make up stories, that's what you have been telling us,
 16 and repeat them, but you say again in paragraph 13:
 17 "No-one offered anything to substantiate these
 18 slurs."
 19 A. Yes. What will have happened is that, after a day like
 20 that, I might have said -- because, by then, he had
 21 disappeared. He wasn't on the scene. He came a couple
 22 of times during the election process to support me, as
 23 the campaign was launched, but then he returned to
 24 London. So that he wasn't, as it were, nearby. But
 25 probably at the end of the day, I would, after a day's

Page 119

1 it.
 2 I will tell you what I did think, and that is this:
 3 I thought that Peter Morrison was a man from a very
 4 conventional background and a distinguished political
 5 family who possibly -- I don't know; I don't even know
 6 if he was homosexual, but who gave the impression of
 7 having been homosexual, and was drinking and smoking
 8 because he was homosexual and, given the era in which he
 9 was brought up and his first entry into politics, when
 10 homosexual acts were -- before they were decriminalised,
 11 that that was an issue for him.
 12 So to that extent, I might well have had some
 13 sympathy with him, because, since I have been a child
 14 myself, a teenager myself, I was the youngest member of
 15 something called the Albany Trust, which was a campaign
 16 for homosexual law reform in the 1950s and 1960s, I was
 17 conscious that there would be people for whom life had
 18 been very difficult, and people do say terrible things.
 19 So I thought people were saying terrible things, not
 20 sufficiently that everybody was saying it. It happened
 21 a few times, but I'm talking perhaps a dozen times, and
 22 given that I'm one who is canvassing hundreds of doors,
 23 I'm talking about a dozen times.
 24 Q. Including allegations that he interfered with children?
 25 A. Yes, and I think -- yes. Yes. What does one -- I mean,

Page 118

1 canvassing, say, "Oh, people do say the most terrible
 2 things about Peter". But people then didn't say, "Oh,
 3 yeah, and you know it's true" or "There's something in
 4 it". Given the number of people one spoke to, nobody
 5 ever came forward with anything.
 6 Q. Did you ever think to ask any of your police officer
 7 friends or acquaintances whether there was anything in
 8 it or whether they had ever been asked to investigate
 9 any such allegation?
 10 A. I don't think so. But his name would have come up in
 11 conversation. No. No.
 12 Q. All right. Can we have a look, please, at the document
 13 behind the second tab, which we can put up on screen.
 14 The inquiry has seen it before. INQ003856. Have you
 15 got the file there?
 16 A. I have, yes.
 17 Q. It will come up on the screen as well. At the foot of
 18 the second page, this is Simon Hoggart's diary of
 19 16 November 2012. It refers to Peter Morrison at the
 20 foot of that page. The second page.
 21 A. We have it here.
 22 Q. I'm just trying to get it up on screen?
 23 A. Crewe Station and the 15-year-old boy, that's what
 24 you're looking for, isn't it?
 25 Q. Grahame Nicholls who ran the Chester Trades Council when

Page 120

<p>1 Morrison was a local MP wrote describing how he'd often 2 met Morrison "who was, by the 1980s, pretty well 3 constantly drunk." 4 He's quoted here: 5 "After the 1987 General Election, around 1990, 6 I attended a meeting of Chester Labour Party where we 7 were informed by the agent, Christine Russell, that 8 Peter Morrison would not be standing in 1992. He had 9 been caught in the toilets at Crewe Station with 10 a 15-year-old boy. A deal was struck between Labour, 11 the local Tories, the local press and the police that if 12 he stood down at the next election, the matter would go 13 no further. Chester finished up with 14 Gyles Brandreth ..." 15 It sounds a rather unfortunate slur on you. 16 A. It does, doesn't it, but there we are. 17 Q. "... and Morrison walked away scot free." 18 Do you recognise any of that? 19 A. Apart from them finishing up with me, which is possibly 20 what the people felt in 1997. No, to be serious, 21 I don't recognise any of this, in the sense that -- 22 obviously I knew Christine Russell, I knew Labour 23 people, I knew the local Tories very well, I knew the 24 local press and I knew the local police. No mention of 25 such a meeting was ever -- was ever mentioned to me.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 121</p>	<p>1 I had no idea of such a meeting ever having taken place. 2 Q. Did you ever hear about the Crewe Station incident as 3 alleged? 4 A. Not until some years later, no. Not at the time at all. 5 Q. You say in your paragraph 15, if we go back to your 6 statement, please, at the foot of the third page: 7 "The first, and only, official acknowledgement of my 8 predecessor's possible involvement in child abuse came 9 my way in 1996 ..." 10 This is while you were still in parliament? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. "... when William Hague, then Secretary of State for 13 Wales, came up to me in the House of Commons to let me 14 know that he had ordered an inquiry into allegations of 15 child abuse in care homes in North Wales between 1974 16 and 1990 -- and that Peter Morrison's name might feature 17 in connection with the Bryn Estyn Home in Wrexham 18 12 miles from Chester. Sir Ronald Waterhouse QC, 19 a retired High Court judge, was appointed to head the 20 inquiry. It took three years, apparently cost 21 £12 million and was reckoned 'the biggest investigation 22 ever held in Britain into allegations of physical, 23 sexual and emotional abuse of children who passed 24 through the care system'. 25 You give some more information about that inquiry,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 122</p>
<p>1 but the only thing I need to ask you was whether, given 2 200 names, I think, were mentioned in the course of that 3 report, whether Peter Morrison's name ever featured? 4 A. As I understand it, Peter Morrison's name did not 5 feature. 6 Q. Then paragraph 17. You say: 7 "The accusations against [him] resurfaced in 2012." 8 We have seen one instance of that in the 9 Simon Hoggart article? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. "Rod Richards (junior minister at the Welsh Office when 12 the Waterhouse Inquiry was being set up) said publicly 13 that Morrison had been named as a regular and 14 unexplained visitor to Bryn Estyn. Channel 4 reported 15 that Morrison had been 'seen' driving away from 16 Bryn Estyn 'with a boy in his car'. The Prime Minister 17 and the Home Secretary then announced further inquiries 18 into both the original inquiry and into any further 19 allegations." 20 Help us: was that just about Peter Morrison or did 21 other names surface to justify the further enquiries? 22 A. I'm afraid I can't remember. 23 Q. What you say is: 24 "In November 2013, the police announced that in the 25 past year 235 people had contacted them with information</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 123</p>	<p>1 about alleged abuse in care homes in North Wales and 2 they were 'pursuing a large number of active lines of 3 enquiry'. 4 Then you give some more statistics about a BBC 5 report in July 2014. 6 Paragraph 18. You then mention a Sunday Mirror 7 reporter by the name of Chris House who received 8 a tipoff from police officers who said that 9 Peter Morrison had twice been caught cottaging in public 10 lavatories with underaged boys and had been released 11 with a caution. This is something that was in the 12 public domain, and you say: 13 "Apparently, when House confronted Morrison, 14 Morrison threatened legal action. Ten years later, 15 another investigative journalist, Nick Davies, followed 16 up the story and confirmed with the police that Morrison 17 had indeed 'been picked up twice', but added that 'there 18 appeared to be no trace of either incident in any of 19 the official records'. I never heard any of this in 20 Chester or at Westminster during my time as an MP or 21 during Peter Morrison's lifetime." 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. So the information that you set out in the statement is 24 clearly not your personal knowledge -- 25 A. No.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 124</p>

1 Q. -- but information you have picked up from --
 2 **A. In a sense, what I'm doing here is I'm being a kind of**
 3 **reporter, reporting what I now know, what we now know,**
 4 **in order, really, to underline the fact that I heard**
 5 **none of this in Chester or at Westminster at the time.**
 6 Q. Then you ask the question --
 7 **A. I was interested, as it were -- having had the matter**
 8 **raised by the Secretary of State for Wales, obviously**
 9 **he'd alerted me to that, I was concerned, and I was**
 10 **interested, and, you know, wanted -- hoped that the**
 11 **inquiry would get to the root of it, and obviously the**
 12 **inquiry was very thoroughgoing and I was interested that**
 13 **Morrison's name did not then appear.**
 14 Q. You then pose for yourself the big question: was there
 15 a coverup?
 16 **A. Yes.**
 17 Q. Of course, Mr Brandreth, you are not privy to
 18 information that took place and correspondence that
 19 passed between the Security Service and the Cabinet
 20 Secretary, the Prime Minister and Conservative Central
 21 Office at that period of time. But from the information
 22 that you have and from your knowledge of how parliament
 23 works, tell us from your paragraph 19 what your general
 24 view by way of an answer to that question you have posed
 25 for yourself is?

Page 125

1 **no. I think in the Whips' Office sometimes you would**
 2 **say -- if somebody denies it too strenuously, you'd**
 3 **think, "He doth protest too much". So I don't think, as**
 4 **it were -- what I don't think is, on the basis of, you**
 5 **know -- well, "If a chap like him says he didn't do it,**
 6 **then he didn't do it"; I don't think that would have**
 7 **washed at all. Maybe I'm anticipating your next**
 8 **question, because I did discuss this later with**
 9 **Baroness Thatcher at a social occasion where she and**
 10 **I were talking about Sir Peter, and it was clear to me**
 11 **that she remembered him with affection, that she knew**
 12 **that he was a heavy drinker. I think she assumed that**
 13 **he was gay. And she did say that he'd rather ruined**
 14 **himself, by which she meant the drink, I'm sure.**
 15 **She likened him to Reginald Maudling -- I remember**
 16 **that, again, because of my diaries.**
 17 Q. For those of us who don't remember him --
 18 **A. Reginald Maudling, who was Chancellor of the Exchequer**
 19 **and Home Secretary many years ago and also was rather**
 20 **fond of drinking -- there is no other untoward**
 21 **suggestion in relation to him.**
 22 Q. No, no?
 23 **A. So my impression was that that's why she -- having known**
 24 **her over a number of years, it is inconceivable to me**
 25 **that, had Baroness Thatcher thought that Peter Morrison**

Page 127

1 **A. My instinct was that, yes, there were these stories, and**
 2 **clearly they gained currency, they were repeated, they**
 3 **were obviously, now we discover, of concern to people.**
 4 **But I -- I can't see how anyone -- I don't believe --**
 5 **knowing how sensitive politicians are to scandal,**
 6 **I don't believe that if anybody had given -- had really**
 7 **thought there was anything in any of this,**
 8 **Peter Morrison would have been appointed to the deputy**
 9 **chairmanship of the Conservative Party. I just don't**
 10 **think it would have happened. I think they would**
 11 **have -- "Hm, that's going to blow up in our faces a bit,**
 12 **we won't want that", if they felt there was a story.**
 13 **I'm sure if they felt it was true, they would certainly**
 14 **not have wanted it and action would have been taken, but**
 15 **I don't think they could have believed there was enough**
 16 **in it. So I don't think he would have been appointed**
 17 **deputy chairman of the Conservative Party.**
 18 Q. Do you think there was a risk, certainly at that time --
 19 because we know he went on to become Margaret Thatcher's
 20 PPS. Do you think there was a risk at that time that
 21 somebody in Peter Morrison's position who denied the
 22 allegations was sufficient for people to accept it
 23 rather than enquire more closely?
 24 **A. No. I think, in a way, the Profumo affair put an end to**
 25 **that. I think a blanket denial -- no, I don't think --**

Page 126

1 **was in any way a paedophile or an abuser of children**
 2 **that she would have countenanced the possibility of him**
 3 **becoming her PPS or, indeed, having her approval as**
 4 **a member of parliament. It just seems to me to be**
 5 **inconceivable. And we talked openly about Peter for --**
 6 **you know, a proper chat about him.**
 7 Q. Is there a risk in her acceptance of him as her PPS in
 8 1990 -- for example, is that based on those who advise
 9 her? In other words, as Prime Minister at that time, if
 10 she was thinking of appointing Peter Morrison as her
 11 PPS, would she have looked to others for information
 12 just to ensure that there were no risks about appointing
 13 him to such a sensitive position?
 14 **A. The way the Whips' Office works is that promotions come**
 15 **with knowledge, so that there is a sort of system**
 16 **whereby each year you review ministers and members of**
 17 **parliament and, you know, you assess who they are, where**
 18 **they're going, what their prospects are, what they have**
 19 **got to offer, et cetera, and if there are any concerns**
 20 **about them, those would be known.**
 21 **So I don't think -- yeah. I mean -- but also you**
 22 **choose as your Parliamentary Private Secretary --**
 23 **because that's quite a personal relationship, you would**
 24 **choose, almost certainly, somebody you already knew,**
 25 **liked and felt you could be comfortable spending time**

Page 128

1 **with.**
 2 Q. But there was surely a risk here with this man, because
 3 we know that in November 1986 there were certainly
 4 rumours, that weren't going away, that he had a penchant
 5 for small boys, none of which was properly investigated,
 6 none of which was presented to the police to
 7 investigate, and so the question arises, why would
 8 Prime Minister Thatcher have taken on trust that he was
 9 sufficiently safe to have in such a sensitive and close
 10 position without a thorough investigation into rumours
 11 as awful as those?
 12 **A. I do not know the answer to that, but if you want me to**
 13 **speculate, a lot of these -- the turns of phrase that**
 14 **are used here, and this is why -- your definition,**
 15 **correctly, of a child for this investigation is under**
 16 **the age of 18, I think, the age of consent.**
 17 **People use the phrase, you know, "likes young" --**
 18 **this is period talk, "young boys, lads", et cetera, and**
 19 **they might well be thinking of teenagers, people aged**
 20 **17, 18, 19, 20, which might be considered very different**
 21 **from children, which people might consider 12, 13, 14,**
 22 **15. I'm not here to debate that with you --**
 23 Q. I understand that.
 24 **A. -- but I'm trying to explain, if you want to get**
 25 **a flavour of the kind of talk of the time, people**

Page 129

1 4 November 1986 -- was advising the Cabinet Secretary
 2 that, "These are the steps that we can take". Within
 3 14 days, the whole thing was effectively put to bed,
 4 with Margaret Thatcher apparently, according to
 5 Lord Armstrong, suggesting that that step did not need
 6 to be taken. Why does it surprise you?
 7 **A. Because there's no question that I would have thought**
 8 **any member of parliament, particularly Mrs Thatcher,**
 9 **would find the notion of child abuse totally**
 10 **abhorrent -- anybody would -- and would not want to**
 11 **condone it in any shape or form, and I don't believe**
 12 **that party interest or political interest would override**
 13 **that.**
 14 **Mrs Thatcher was not judgmental when it came to**
 15 **people's private lives, so that "irregularities", to use**
 16 **Lord Armstrong's phrase, might be tolerated, but**
 17 **criminal activity would not be tolerated.**
 18 Q. You accept that there was still a risk and, if there was
 19 a risk, would that have been purely political
 20 embarrassment or were there other risks generally in
 21 having somebody as your PPS or somebody in a sensitive
 22 position like that who might, if the allegations are
 23 true, be a paedophile?
 24 **A. Well, it would be totally foolhardy. I mean, it would**
 25 **(a) be wrong, but also, politically, it would be**

Page 131

1 **saying, "Well, he's got quite an interest in boys, you**
 2 **know", that could be a coded way of speaking that he is**
 3 **actually gay, going back 30 or 40 years in the language**
 4 **of the time.**
 5 Q. I understand that. But do you agree, even though we
 6 accept you're speculating without direct knowledge, but
 7 wasn't there always a risk that, without proper
 8 investigation, a penchant for small boys, at its worst,
 9 could mean literally that: small boys?
 10 **A. Well, I don't know, but I can imagine Mrs Thatcher**
 11 **saying, "Can that be true?", and being told, "Well, we**
 12 **don't think so". Had she thought for a moment it was**
 13 **true, I don't think she would have appointed him and I'm**
 14 **sure she would in fact have said, "We have got to do**
 15 **something about it".**
 16 Q. In actual fact, according to Lord Armstrong, when
 17 letters were being written about what the Security
 18 Services might take by way of steps to investigate,
 19 which included the Chief Whip of the time speaking to
 20 Donald Stewart who was an agent in Westminster,
 21 according to Lord Armstrong, it was Margaret Thatcher's
 22 view that that didn't need to happen. Does that
 23 surprise you?
 24 **A. It does surprise me, really, yes. I think it does.**
 25 Q. Here the Security Service -- we know the date was

Page 130

1 **a foolhardy thing to do, to appoint someone if you**
 2 **thought there was any possibility that they were going**
 3 **to be exposed or even that it would be alleged against**
 4 **them. I mean, I'm assuming -- I really don't know and**
 5 **I am speculating, I'm assuming that Mrs Thatcher thought**
 6 **there was nothing in it. I mean, I will repeat what**
 7 **I said earlier, that people do say the most terrible**
 8 **things -- well, you know this full well because of**
 9 **terrible things that have been said that you have been**
 10 **looking at that you have had to bat away and dismiss.**
 11 **People say terrible things. So that if you are a member**
 12 **of parliament, you will hear terrible things spoken**
 13 **about many of your colleagues. So it is quite easy to**
 14 **feel, "Well, there is no evidence of this, I have known**
 15 **him for years, you know, let's carry on".**
 16 Q. Do you think, looking back, that the better thing to
 17 have done was for there to have been a proper police
 18 investigation into these allegations to see if there was
 19 any truth in them?
 20 **A. Yes, of course. Absolutely. And I think what is**
 21 **interesting is that there's so much hearsay and rumour**
 22 **and gossip, I think the interesting thing is the case of**
 23 **Crewe Station and that not being followed through.**
 24 **Yeah, and now what we have learned about the Secret**
 25 **Services and all of that, I'm surprised that nothing**

Page 132

1 **was -- more thorough was done.**
 2 Q. Let's turn, please, now to the Whips' Office.
 3 **A. Yes.**
 4 Q. Mr Morrison himself, or Sir Peter as he became -- I'm
 5 looking at your paragraph 22. Did he ever serve in the
 6 Whips' Office?
 7 **A. Yes, he did.**
 8 Q. For any length of time?
 9 **A. Yes. He was a Whip, and I think he became a Lord**
 10 **commissioner of the Treasury which is a senior Whip,**
 11 **which I eventually became, and he was the pairing Whip**
 12 **as well, yes.**
 13 Q. So he understood the Whips' Office?
 14 **A. Yes. He was an old-school, patrician, Conservative**
 15 **politician from an old-school, Conservative family, and**
 16 **he knew his way around Westminster. He had the**
 17 **strengths of that and also the disadvantages of that, in**
 18 **that, you know, it could irritate a lot of people and**
 19 **you could turn him into a caricature quite easily**
 20 **because of it.**
 21 Q. You say, your paragraph 22 of the statement:
 22 "... Whips do 'look after their own', in terms of
 23 'sticking together', doing former Whips favours --
 24 excusing them from certain votes to let them slip home
 25 early, helping them secure a place on a preferred

Page 133

1 **of them in order both to assist them, if they need**
 2 **assistance, and also to be able to ensure that you**
 3 **understand them and can secure their votes when you need**
 4 **their votes. So that's what the Whips' Office does.**
 5 **You keep your ear to the ground and you report in**
 6 **whatever you hear about people into the system. But my**
 7 **experience was that certainly you would -- if there**
 8 **was -- you would help people, and I give a couple of**
 9 **examples, I think, in my witness statement -- you know,**
 10 **there was somebody, for example, I heard from a local**
 11 **journalist that the local paper in his area had seen him**
 12 **at a gay bar and was going to write a story about it and**
 13 **I went to see him and said I'd heard from this local**
 14 **journalist -- the person, incidentally, denied it, and**
 15 **I thought -- well, I know, "I think the journalist is**
 16 **telling me the truth and the MP isn't", but I warned**
 17 **him, I said, "Look, this is going to blow up in the**
 18 **papers. Your private life is your own affair. I'm just**
 19 **letting you know". That sort of thing would go on. We**
 20 **would help people, but I know of no example in my time,**
 21 **in the years I was there, of any possibility of covering**
 22 **up for somebody who had committed a criminal offence.**
 23 Q. Can we look at your paragraph 6, because you were asked
 24 to comment on remarks which were made in 1995 in a BBC
 25 documentary "Westminster Secret Service" by a former

Page 135

1 committee, giving them access to a minister that they
 2 particularly want to see, helping their promotion
 3 prospects by 'putting in a good word' ..."
 4 But you emphasise:
 5 "... but not, certainly in my experience, covering
 6 up criminal behaviour" --
 7 **A. Yes.**
 8 Q. -- which is something you have already said. You have
 9 spoken about what Margaret Thatcher would not have
 10 countenanced, but you do not countenance the possibility
 11 that he was protected by the Whips or anyone else?
 12 **A. I would be surprised. Certainly there was nothing of**
 13 **that in my time there. The essence of the Whips'**
 14 **Office, as I am sure you understand, is that the main**
 15 **party -- the Government Whips' Office is about**
 16 **14 individuals and it is their responsibility to be both**
 17 **managers of the business of parliament and also a kind**
 18 **of human resources arm of parliament, and they look**
 19 **after a group of people in their part of the country**
 20 **that they have a particular interest in and they also**
 21 **are attached to certain government departments, and the**
 22 **idea is that you get to know the people who are within**
 23 **your flock, you understand what their ambitions are,**
 24 **what their hopes are, what their capabilities are and**
 25 **what their problems are, so that you have a rounded view**

Page 134

1 Whip, Tim Fortescue, who was MP for Liverpool Garston
 2 from 1966 to 1974, who said:
 3 "... anyone with any sense who was in trouble would
 4 come to the Whips and tell them the truth and say, 'Now
 5 I'm in a jam, can you help?' it might be debt, it might
 6 be ... a scandal involving small boys, or any kind of
 7 scandal in which a member seemed likely to be mixed up
 8 in. They'd come and ask if we could help and, if we
 9 could, we did. And we would do everything we can
 10 because we would store up Brownie points ... and if
 11 I mean that sounds a pretty, pretty nasty reason, but
 12 it's one of the reasons, because if we could get a chap
 13 out of trouble, then he will do as we ask for
 14 evermore ..."
 15 A scandal involving small boys. Always difficult to
 16 interpret what somebody else means by something, and
 17 clearly you're right, he can't have been thinking about
 18 Peter Morrison because Tim Fortescue was a Whip more
 19 than 40 years ago, at the beginning of the '70s, long
 20 before Peter Morrison was even an MP. Is it possible he
 21 had, do you think, another case, or cases, in mind?
 22 **A. It's certainly possible. It's certainly possible. I do**
 23 **not know. But, as I point out also, what I do know is,**
 24 **the way people talk or used to talk -- and, of course,**
 25 **one of the things that clearly you're having to do is**

Page 136

1 explore a culture, and there is, I know, a feeling
 2 amongst people that there is a kind of world going on
 3 where this kind of easy collusion can go on, and the
 4 language here comes from another world, where you're
 5 talking about "being in a jam", you know, "the chap's
 6 Brownie points", "being caught with your trousers down",
 7 that kind of talk, "a scandal involving small boys"
 8 could simply be a turn of phrase. I'm not saying it is.
 9 There may well have been such a scandal. I do not know
 10 of it.
 11 I do know that in the Whips' Office we gossiped
 12 a great deal and we mulled over some of the scandals of
 13 yesteryear, and you may remember the 1990s, there was
 14 a lot of "sex scandals", during John Major's years as
 15 Prime Minister, they were constantly in -- and we
 16 gossiped and talked. All I will say is these scandals,
 17 ones involving small boys, in my recollection, were
 18 never mentioned in relation to that era, or indeed to
 19 the Peter Morrison era. I never heard about any such
 20 scandal.
 21 Q. But, as you have said, you accept that in
 22 Tim Fortescue's case there is the possibility --
 23 A. Oh, yes.
 24 Q. -- that he was talking about --
 25 A. A specific --

Page 137

1 a moment, have considered covering up a case of child
 2 sexual abuse, had they been made aware of it; not for
 3 a moment.
 4 Q. I am now going to ask you about how --
 5 A. Also -- if I can make a point -- forgive me for
 6 interrupting you.
 7 Q. Not at all.
 8 A. While there might be banter in the Whips' Office and
 9 while, as it were -- I know some of the -- this is why
 10 I prefer my statement to making conversation about it.
 11 While there might have been banter in the Whips' Office,
 12 something like this would have been taken very seriously
 13 indeed. This wouldn't have been -- we wouldn't have
 14 shrugged our shoulders and said, "Oh, you know,
 15 Peter Morrison, child ...", it would have been
 16 considered shocking. People in the Whips' Office were
 17 also -- in my day, they were all -- a woman became
 18 a member of the Whips' Office, Jackie Lane, in fact the
 19 person who was the other candidate when I was first
 20 standing in Chester, but it was an all-male society.
 21 But they were fathers, they were husbands, they were
 22 concerned individuals in parliament for the public good.
 23 I never came across a conversation of any kind, not
 24 just to do with sexual abuse but, say, financial
 25 malpractice, where anybody would have possibly

Page 139

1 Q. -- not a generic situation --
 2 A. No.
 3 Q. -- but a specific case?
 4 A. Yes. This is the dilemma: people say terrible things,
 5 but people also do terrible things. I picked out the
 6 diaries of -- actually, it is irrelevant. I was going
 7 to say the diaries of a Labour MP from the 1940s and
 8 1950s, Tom Driberg, which has got accounts of,
 9 "cottaging", involving young men, and the point is,
 10 these things do happen. They do happen. We know that.
 11 Q. You say in your paragraph 10, in the centre of that
 12 paragraph, you never heard any allegations concerning
 13 child sexual abuse relating to any MP of any party
 14 serving in the 1992 to 1997 parliament?
 15 A. Correct.
 16 Q. So that's your distinct recollection, as far as you're
 17 concerned?
 18 A. It is my distinct recollection, yes.
 19 Q. That never came to your ears?
 20 A. No.
 21 Q. What were your views about Mr Ryder and Mr Goodlad, or
 22 Lord Ryder and Lord Goodlad, because they were
 23 Chief Whips around your period of time?
 24 A. Yes. My views are very clear on them, knowing both of
 25 them: I do not believe that either of them would, for

Page 138

1 countenanced breaking the law. I think there was an
 2 awareness in parliament that what we are about is making
 3 the law. There was a real concern. For example, the
 4 equalisation of the age of consent happened during my
 5 time, and people really talked about it and felt the
 6 protection of young adults who are still in many ways
 7 children, and I remember -- I voted for the
 8 equalisation, the lowering of the age to equalise the
 9 age of consent, and I was actually physically attacked
 10 by a Conservative activist for doing this, for voting,
 11 because they felt it was making children were
 12 vulnerable. So, you know, all I'm trying to say to you
 13 is, I'm not saying this lightly, I'm saying that I think
 14 at the root of what -- for all the banter and badinage
 15 and drinking and quaffing and using these turns of
 16 phrase, actually fundamentally people are in there for
 17 a decent purpose and do it decently. Certainly in the
 18 case of these two Chief Whips, and they are the person
 19 who is the conduit between the Whips' Office and the
 20 Prime Minister, I can't picture straighter people, in
 21 the old-fashioned sense of the term, than those two and
 22 so I'm sure they wouldn't have countenanced for
 23 a moment.
 24 Q. Let me ask you, thank you, something about at least, as
 25 you recall it, the way things were recorded in the

Page 140

<p>1 Whips' Office. Perhaps we can go to your book for this.</p> <p>2 If you go behind divider 10, and we can put up on screen</p> <p>3 INQ004169_004. It is page 325 in the book itself. At</p> <p>4 the foot of the page, you write:</p> <p>5 "About 20 minutes into the meeting, the Chief</p> <p>6 arrives."</p> <p>7 You are describing a meeting:</p> <p>8 "He clambers over the outstretched legs of</p> <p>9 the Junior Whips and makes his way to his 'chair' facing</p> <p>10 the deputy. In his hand he has the Whips' notes which</p> <p>11 he proceeds to read out loud. This, I understand, is as</p> <p>12 close as we get to the fabled Black Book."</p> <p>13 Was there ever a Black Book?</p> <p>14 A. No. Essentially, what it is, there were notes that</p> <p>15 we -- there were some folders -- not folders, notepads</p> <p>16 like the ones that one had for putting accounts in with</p> <p>17 carbon paper -- this rather dates everything -- and so</p> <p>18 you would write whatever notes you had, you would tear</p> <p>19 off, as it were, one copy, so the carbon would stay in</p> <p>20 the book and the bit you tore off you handed in to the</p> <p>21 Deputy Chief Whip, as I recall, who then passed it to</p> <p>22 the Chief Whip, who would go through them and share</p> <p>23 anything he thought was useful with the Prime Minister.</p> <p>24 Q. Why did you call it a "fabled Black Book"?</p> <p>25 A. Because -- one of the reasons that I wrote --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 141</p>	<p>1 I published my diaries is that the idea of mystery and</p> <p>2 magic -- the mystique of the Whips' Office, it</p> <p>3 encourages people to feel that there are dark goings-on,</p> <p>4 and everything -- people love to feel that there is</p> <p>5 a Black Book. I don't know when House of Cards was</p> <p>6 first published on shown on television, but I think it</p> <p>7 was before my time as an MP, but the idea of</p> <p>8 a Machiavellian Chief Whip with a Black Book plays into</p> <p>9 the cartoon version of politics. The reality is a bit</p> <p>10 more banal: it is this little book with these torn-out</p> <p>11 pages.</p> <p>12 Basically, on it you would write notes, mostly of</p> <p>13 the variety, "So and so doesn't like clause 3 in the</p> <p>14 amendment to the Education Act that we are trying to put</p> <p>15 through and we have to do something, get him a meeting</p> <p>16 with the junior minister". That was mostly the tenor of</p> <p>17 it. But also you were supposed to, and you did, record</p> <p>18 gossip or hearsay, you know, "So and so is drinking too</p> <p>19 much. I understand he's got financial difficulties",</p> <p>20 those sorts of things you would also put in.</p> <p>21 Q. You said at page 388 of your book, which for our</p> <p>22 purposes is two pages on, in the inquiry reference</p> <p>23 page 6. If you turn on just one page, you will see</p> <p>24 page 388, Mr Brandreth, under the heading "Later". Do</p> <p>25 you see you're talking about a new policy on Whips'</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 142</p>
<p>1 notes?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. At the end of that paragraph, "Sleep easy, boys, from</p> <p>4 now on the notes will be shredded on a regular basis."</p> <p>5 What was that about?</p> <p>6 A. That was about somebody having -- was it David Willetts</p> <p>7 or somebody? I don't know. I can't remember who it</p> <p>8 was, which David. There were quite a few Davids.</p> <p>9 Anyway, somebody's note had got into the public domain</p> <p>10 and he'd used a turn of phrase that was considered</p> <p>11 unfortunate in the public domain, and therefore it</p> <p>12 became a newspaper brouhaha, "What are we going to</p> <p>13 do?" -- well, we have got to communicate in note form</p> <p>14 because, you know, there are 14 of us, we have got to</p> <p>15 feed the information in somehow. The idea is to record</p> <p>16 it as soon as you hear it. The idea being, you'd pick</p> <p>17 up a bit of gossip, you would go to the Whips' Office,</p> <p>18 you would find the books kept in the safe, an amusing</p> <p>19 note to throw in here because it is the middle of</p> <p>20 the afternoon, we could never remember the number of</p> <p>21 the safe to open it, the number was actually the date of</p> <p>22 the Prime Minister's birthday. We could never remember</p> <p>23 the Prime Minister's birthday so we kept a copy of</p> <p>24 Who's Who on top of the safe, we would produce the</p> <p>25 Who's Who and look up John Major and work out his date</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 143</p>	<p>1 of birth, and then, do we put the month before the ...</p> <p>2 anyway, this is the level of it. We would open the safe</p> <p>3 and then we would find the so-called Black Book. This</p> <p>4 little notebook in which we'd record what we recorded --</p> <p>5 Q. Why the shredding?</p> <p>6 A. The shredding? The idea was, "Don't worry, it won't get</p> <p>7 back to you. You won't be fingered, as our colleague</p> <p>8 was, as having" --</p> <p>9 Q. And shredding took place --</p> <p>10 A. I don't know if the shredding ever took place.</p> <p>11 Q. So boys couldn't sleep easy then?</p> <p>12 A. That's a turn of phrase. The problem, in a sense, of,</p> <p>13 as it were, talking to me is, I'm a diarist and</p> <p>14 a journalist. It is a turn of phrase.</p> <p>15 Q. Dramatic licence?</p> <p>16 A. Dramatic licence. I don't know if -- indeed, actually,</p> <p>17 you do need to gather information. On the whole, my</p> <p>18 view of the Whips' Office is that it is a good -- it</p> <p>19 provides a good service and is a caring group of people.</p> <p>20 Q. On the next page, the final page that we have copied</p> <p>21 here, 523, page 17 of this document, it is really about</p> <p>22 the views on the book that you published:</p> <p>23 "I broke the Whips' Code of Silence -- something no</p> <p>24 Whip had ever done before. Whips never talk about what</p> <p>25 they do or how they set about it. That's the rule. As</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 144</p>

1 the Chief Whip pointed out to me at the time, 'Our
 2 mystery is part of our potency' ..."
 3 I imagine that's what you were just describing:
 4 "... but mystery makes for mischief. Do we want
 5 government run like an episode of House of Cards? The
 6 trouble with operating in secret is that it encourages
 7 those not in the know to believe that dark deeds are
 8 being done in the murky corridors of power.
 9 Occasionally perhaps they are, but mostly they are not."
 10 I imagine it depends on who you talk to and what's
 11 being discussed?
 12 **A. Yes.**
 13 Q. But that's your overview: it is a place of mystery; the
 14 mystery is part of the potency; mystery makes for
 15 mischief; occasionally, mischief was done, but not
 16 always.
 17 I imagine that is the conclusion that one draws from
 18 that final passage?
 19 **A. Yes. I mean, I feel sorry that I can't be more helpful**
 20 **to you on being specific, because -- but, I mean ...**
 21 Q. Your experience is your experience?
 22 **A. Yeah. I heard gossip, I heard rumour, but I heard**
 23 **nothing of substance from fellow MPs, from local**
 24 **politicians, from national politicians, from the local**
 25 **press, from the local police. I do believe that if**

Page 145

1 **direct experience of them.**
 2 MR ALTMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Brandreth. I will ask
 3 the chair to see if she or any of her colleagues have
 4 any questions.
 5 THE CHAIR: No, we have no questions, thank you very much,
 6 Mr Brandreth.
 7 MR ALTMAN: Thank you very much for coming.
 8 **A. I echo Lord Armstrong: good luck with your endeavours**
 9 **and thank you for doing it.**
 10 **(The witness withdrew)**
 11 MR ALTMAN: Chair, I note it's 3.15 pm. We don't have any
 12 other live witnesses this afternoon, but I think
 13 Mr Henderson is going to adduce some statements, which
 14 will probably take a few minutes. Entirely in your
 15 hands if you want to do that now or take a break and
 16 come back at 3.30 pm.
 17 THE CHAIR: We will hear from Mr Henderson and then close
 18 for the day.
 19 Witness statements adduced by MR HENDERSON
 20 MR HENDERSON: Chair, we want to adduce a few more
 21 statements, with your permission, that essentially
 22 complete the evidence regarding Sir Peter Morrison that
 23 you have been hearing today and indeed yesterday.
 24 The first is a witness statement -- sorry, a series
 25 of three witness statements from Edwina Currie Jones.

Page 147

1 **there had been evidence, there would have been action.**
 2 **That's my instinct.**
 3 Q. Finally, as far as I'm concerned, Mr Brandreth, are you
 4 familiar with what Norman Tebbit said to Andrew Marr on
 5 6 July 2014 in a programme?
 6 **A. Yes, because you kindly -- somebody sent it to me. He**
 7 **said that there might well have been something.**
 8 Q. Coverups in the 1980s, which were spectacularly wrong,
 9 but he said it happened and one had to see it against
 10 the times.
 11 **A. Yes.**
 12 Q. Now, this was Norman Tebbit, who was the chairman of
 13 the Tory Party between September 1985 to June 1987, and
 14 one would have thought he knew a thing or to. It
 15 happens to dovetail with the time that Peter Morrison
 16 himself was the deputy chair. Any reason to doubt
 17 Norman Tebbit when he says there were coverups?
 18 **A. No. No reason at all. I wasn't there.**
 19 Q. No.
 20 **A. I did not know. One's hope is that you will be able to**
 21 **get to the bottom of some of this, if not all of this,**
 22 **to, as it were, evaporate some of the mystique and make**
 23 **people feel confident that good people are trying to do**
 24 **good work and that there aren't coverups, but there may**
 25 **well have been. All I can tell you is that I had no**

Page 146

1 You will recall, chair, we heard some evidence in the
 2 opening submissions about that, and it was referenced
 3 yesterday.
 4 Ms Currie Jones explains and comments on the
 5 reference she made to Peter Morrison in her diaries, her
 6 published diaries, and particularly the reference to him
 7 being "a noted pederast".
 8 She comments and explains on that further.
 9 The references for those are INQ003867, INQ003995
 10 and OHY006572.
 11 The second statement is from Mr Paul Connew.
 12 Mr Connew is a journalist. He was at one time the
 13 editor of the Sunday Mirror. He spoke to several police
 14 officers regarding allegations made against both
 15 Cyril Smith and Peter Morrison. He gives his view on
 16 those allegations and on the likelihood of there being
 17 a political coverup. The reference for that is
 18 INQ003587.
 19 We then have some statements from
 20 a Mr Barry Strevens. Mr Strevens was the former
 21 personal protection officer to Margaret Thatcher when
 22 she was Prime Minister, and he recalls hearing some
 23 allegations about Peter Morrison directly from the
 24 Assistant Chief Constable of Cheshire Constabulary in
 25 his capacity as PPO, and he passed that on to

Page 148

<p>1 Mrs Thatcher and she spoke to Lord Hamilton, 2 Archie Hamilton, her PPS at the time. Those two 3 statements of fact are INQ003986 and OHY006477. 4 We then have a series of statements from 5 Lord Hamilton dealing with precisely the same point, 6 chair. He confirms the essence of Mr Strevens' 7 evidence, but says that he hadn't understood there to be 8 an allegation of child abuse against Mr Morrison, and so 9 there was no real further action taken. We have four 10 statements from Lord Hamilton: INQ003985; OHY005426; 11 OHY005429 and OHY006588. 12 Then, finally, there is a statement from 13 Nick Davies, another journalist, who also heard 14 allegations about Mr Morrison being caught in public 15 toilets with a boy and only receiving a caution from 16 police, and he gives his account at OHY005428. I invite 17 you to adduce all of those statements in full, chair. 18 THE CHAIR: Yes, I will do that. 19 MR ALTMAN: Chair, that's the end of the programme for 20 today -- dramatically early, but nobody is going to 21 complain, I suspect. 22 Tomorrow, Baroness Brinton, Des Wilson and 23 Lord Steel. 24 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Altman. 25 (3.19 pm)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 149</p>	<p>1 (The hearing was adjourned to 2 Wednesday, 13 March 2019 at 10.00 am) 3 4 5 I N D E X 6 7 MRS SUSAN HOGG (sworn)1 8 9 Examination by MR ALTMAN1 10 11 BARONESS ELIZABETH12 12 LYDIA MANNINGHAM-BULLER 13 (sworn) 14 15 Examination by MR ALTMAN12 16 17 Questions by THE PANEL53 18 19 ROBERT TEMPLE ARMSTRONG (LORD54 20 ARMSTRONG OF ILMINSTER) 21 (sworn) 22 23 Examination by MR ALTMAN54 24 25 MR GYLES DAUBENEY BRANDRETH (sworn)104</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 150</p>
<p>1 2 Examination by MR ALTMAN104 3 4 Witness statements adduced by MR147 5 HENDERSON 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 151</p>	

A				
abhorrent 131:10	action 42:11 45:24	advice 23:2,15	agreement 43:4	amusing 143:18
able 19:8 54:18	56:20 61:14 66:3	24:12,17 27:9	agrees 43:2	Andrew 83:14
62:9 97:16 135:2	66:12 69:25 70:7	55:17 69:8 93:3	aides 87:14	146:4
146:20	70:16,18 71:22	94:12 97:19 98:1	aims 51:13 98:7	annexes 93:20
abreast 33:20	74:6 92:24	112:13	aircraft 23:10	annotation 43:1
abroad 24:14	124:14 126:14	advise 55:13 69:4	alarm 98:8	45:21 46:1
absolutely 57:23	146:1 149:9	69:11,15 92:17	Albany 118:15	annotations 42:5
77:16 108:10	actions 81:19	128:8	alcohol 3:19	announce 9:14
132:20	active 116:24	advised 27:6 55:21	alert 53:19	announced 9:12
abuse 122:8,15,23	124:2	90:17 92:3 98:12	alerted 125:9	123:17,24
124:1 131:9	activist 115:6	adviser 55:1	alerting 91:5	annual 55:13
138:13 139:2,24	140:10	advising 131:1	all-male 139:20	answer 24:4 28:17
149:8	activists 115:25	affair 22:21	allay 112:9	33:3 98:16
abuser 128:1	activities 29:18	126:24 135:18	allegation 41:10	125:24 129:12
abusing 98:10	65:3 77:6,13,17	affairs 76:25 101:6	115:15 120:9	Anthony 85:20
abusive 11:4,7	79:17 86:17	101:8,15	149:8	anticipating 127:7
50:10	87:22 88:24 90:8	affection 127:11	allegations 22:12	Antony 13:23 14:4
accept 70:14 79:14	90:10,14 92:19	afraid 31:22 52:15	39:21 43:16 46:9	18:23 20:5 29:5
79:23 126:22	96:8 97:8,10,14	82:5 96:14	46:13 50:7 56:5	34:17 36:9 43:1
130:6 131:18	99:9,12,24	100:19 123:22	72:23 73:2 80:2	45:17,22 47:2,12
137:21	100:12,18,21,22	aftermath 22:25	88:24 99:8	59:23 61:4 62:16
acceptance 27:5	101:1,4,14,18,20	afternoon 104:18	106:19 113:19	63:15 65:8 66:21
128:7	101:22 102:5	143:20 147:12	114:11,11 118:24	70:5,9 72:14
accepted 94:14	103:6	afterword 107:7	122:14,22 123:19	73:11,22,24 79:6
111:16 114:4	activity 131:17	107:15 108:3	126:22 131:22	80:22
access 15:23 56:8	acts 118:10	age 98:7 101:2	132:18 138:12	anybody 11:13
56:25 57:3,6	actual 90:14 97:14	129:16,16 140:4	148:14,16,23	43:14 44:24
60:21 86:12	130:16	140:8,9	149:14	68:23 80:25
89:12 134:1	add 57:16	aged 98:22 110:18	alleged 23:19	126:6 131:10
accompanied	added 47:24	129:19	29:18 77:17	139:25
26:14	106:18 124:17	agencies 55:5	122:3 124:1	anyway 35:6 143:9
account 22:11	address 52:1	102:6	132:3	144:2
105:20,21,21,23	addressed 62:16	agent 23:21 29:16	allow 114:12	apart 104:22
106:3 107:23	86:25 91:19	63:5 121:7	alternative 51:23	121:19
149:16	addressees 42:22	130:20	Altman 1:4,5,8,9	Apologies 26:2
accounts 138:8	adduce 54:13	agent's 24:2	12:9,15,17,20,21	apologise 13:7
141:16	108:11 147:13,20	ago 14:11 19:11	50:13,21 51:3,15	51:21 52:10
accurate 48:16	149:17	20:25 41:10 46:9	51:20 52:1,2,22	apparently 42:8
61:24 77:15	adduced 147:19	72:23 98:15	53:2 54:3,7,8	91:20 122:20
99:23 106:3	151:4	127:19 136:19	96:21,25 103:24	124:13 131:4
accusations 123:7	adjourned 150:1	agree 25:4 46:23	104:5,8,12,13	appear 13:11 48:5
acknowledgement	adjournment	46:25 47:11 49:5	147:2,7,11	71:21 125:13
122:7	96:23	49:6 64:15 73:20	149:19,24 150:9	appearances 89:14
acquaintances	adopted 69:6	73:24 77:11 79:5	150:15,23 151:2	appeared 23:3
120:7	adults 90:11 97:12	80:5 82:3 130:5	ambassador 27:7	46:13 73:2 77:3
Act 142:14	97:18 140:6	agreed 58:8 61:6	ambitions 134:23	78:1 90:13 97:13
acting 23:15 92:2	advantage 113:17	69:20 84:25	amendment	124:18
92:12	advertisements	102:11	142:14	appears 19:21
	91:20	agreeing 79:13	amplified 104:17	35:21 47:19

59:17 72:14 76:14 79:25 87:5 87:16,25 97:4 application 51:23 applied 109:3,3 apply 109:2 appoint 78:17 132:1 appointed 78:5,8 78:12,21 122:19 126:8,16 130:13 appointing 128:10 128:12 appointment 78:19 appreciate 78:20 appreciated 35:13 approach 24:2 102:13 appropriate 31:2 64:9 appropriately 40:20 approval 128:3 Archer 22:25 30:24 36:20 64:6 Archer's 41:14 Archie 10:18,19 149:2 area 135:11 arises 52:15 129:7 arm 134:18 Armstrong 18:22 20:4 21:24 36:10 42:11 45:23 48:14 49:3 54:5 54:5,9,9,11 59:3 59:7 60:24 62:6 64:15 69:25 70:7 70:15 71:2 73:6 75:1 76:19 77:11 80:1 81:7,18 82:15,21 83:17 83:22 84:16 88:3 89:16 94:2 96:13 96:25 99:2 100:13 101:9 104:3,5 130:16 130:21 131:5	147:8 150:19,20 Armstrong's 46:18 48:5 131:16 arose 52:11 55:22 arrange 102:11 103:10 arrested 19:22 arrival 106:16 arrived 97:25 116:11 arrives 141:6 article 37:11 81:9 81:14 82:4,14 85:17,17 88:8,11 88:14,16,19,22 90:4 93:7 94:6 94:25 95:1,4,16 99:6,8,14,16,22 123:9 articles 86:20 asked 9:22 13:9 14:2 33:15 39:6 40:13 41:12 44:19 50:18,19 51:3 52:5 59:2 59:10,11 61:7 62:15 67:15 69:2 92:11 93:5 95:9 106:25 109:17 111:5 112:10 120:8 135:23 asking 3:11 43:2 48:10 65:15 70:15 75:25 78:20 aspired 105:3 assess 76:5 128:17 assessed 76:7 assessment 40:13 43:21 75:4 82:10 assessments 44:24 assist 18:20 135:1 assistance 1:14 135:2 assistant 40:5 86:3 86:4 148:24 assisted 89:23,25 associated 113:11 116:5	assume 8:6 42:6 85:13 102:19 assumed 8:4 57:24 69:8 81:5 127:12 assuming 132:4,5 assumption 24:8 assurances 49:1 asterisk 36:18,23 attach 88:23 89:2 attached 43:5,6,7 89:4 134:21 attachment 43:8 88:17 attacked 140:9 attempt 23:23 26:12 attempted 24:3 107:24 attempts 23:13 26:15 27:8 attend 11:25 49:21 attended 121:6 attention 55:25 88:22 attitudes 53:23 attorney 93:14,23 94:13,13 95:8,9 attributable 98:17 attributed 81:16 authorise 94:8 authorities 57:17 58:10 91:6 authority 23:25 94:9 average 15:14,14 avoid 26:15 50:11 aware 3:24 7:20 13:23 14:4 20:9 24:20,22 25:16 26:5 27:13 41:15 41:20 46:14 47:23 48:6,16 49:2 52:24 56:14 56:16 57:17 58:7 58:10,20 60:5,16 61:4,5 62:1 65:2 67:10 69:16 70:3 71:1,3,12,17 73:3 73:9 74:3 76:22	76:24 79:19 81:8 83:13,16 84:15 95:7 103:20,23 116:7 139:2 awareness 140:2 awful 117:10,10 117:11 129:11 <hr/> B <hr/> back 3:7 5:10,25 6:23 7:18 8:18 8:19 9:16 20:13 20:25 21:21 24:1 25:10 28:21 31:9 34:23 41:11 46:4 49:4 60:24 74:2 76:19 81:7 85:18 87:22 88:1,11 89:4 94:4 95:23 96:25 98:24 100:24 101:12,14 101:24 102:1 107:2 108:1,3,15 122:5 130:3 132:16 144:7 147:16 background 6:11 8:25 9:6 16:3,21 24:21 33:10 34:19,21 47:6 56:10 65:11 79:8 86:12 99:8 104:25 112:7 118:4 background' 89:7 backwards 59:5 bad 4:18 5:25 11:1 badinage 140:14 Baghdad 100:8,16 bait 23:16 banal 142:10 banter 139:8,11 140:14 bar 135:12 Baroness 12:17,19 127:9,25 149:22 150:11 Barry 148:20 base 82:1 based 2:19,20	19:24 20:21 24:8 30:13 38:9 47:14 49:1 53:8 63:20 73:12 97:25 106:18 108:4 128:8 Basically 142:12 basing 97:19 basis 39:6 90:4 97:16 127:4 143:4 bat 132:10 battered 85:21 BBC 124:4 135:24 bear 117:7 bearings 83:25 Beast 85:16 94:25 95:2 becoming 14:24 112:21 128:3 bed 131:3 beg 25:24 began 1:18 105:4 beginning 136:19 begins 13:17 84:20 85:8 102:3 begun 22:13 behalf 51:17 behaviour 23:19 24:14 134:6 Beijing 27:1,7 Belgravia 7:6 believe 20:19 33:3 43:21 45:8 126:4 126:6 131:11 138:25 145:7,25 believed 56:14 126:15 bells 98:8 benefit 100:7 Berlin 85:16 86:9 89:10 91:14,17 95:2 Berlin' 94:25 best 33:11 102:10 better 48:20 109:11 132:16 big 10:17 32:12 112:10 125:14
--	--	--	--	--

biggest 122:21	branch 19:8 40:5	CAB000071_024	capabilities 134:24	63:12 84:5,9
birth 144:1	Brandreth 104:11	94:18	capacity 148:25	109:6 112:4
birthday 143:22	104:14,15,19	CAB000099 20:3	car' 123:16	125:20
143:23	109:24 119:7	CAB000099_003	carbon 141:17,19	centre 67:24
bit 2:13 39:23 53:9	121:14 125:17	25:12	Cards 142:5 145:5	138:11
84:23 106:15	142:24 146:3	CAB000122 72:12	care 24:16,18	certain 133:24
107:7 126:11	147:2,6 150:25	73:23	107:1 122:15,24	134:21
141:20 142:9	break 50:16 51:1	CAB000123 21:20	124:1	certainly 16:13
143:17	52:20 96:14	CAB000125 62:5	career 14:13 85:25	17:13 21:4 25:21
bite-sized 110:11	147:15	CAB000126 18:16	86:15 89:9	41:22 48:4 49:5
bits 73:12	breaking 105:22	20:14 59:9	104:23 105:2	53:23 56:16
Black 141:12,13	140:1	Cabinet 18:22	carefully 107:2	60:18 79:19
141:24 142:5,8	Brian 84:25	20:19 25:13	caricature 133:19	86:12 87:12
144:3	briefing 22:1,7,8	32:20 42:13,23	caring 144:19	89:25 94:1
blackmail 27:20	bring 72:5 88:1	45:22 46:1 54:22	Carmarthen 19:22	112:15 117:6
28:7 35:5	103:4	55:6 59:25 69:4	carried 26:18	126:13,18 128:24
Blacon 117:2	brings 83:19	69:24 72:15	carry 42:25 102:7	129:3 134:5,12
blanket 126:25	Brinton 149:22	76:16 78:10 88:6	132:15	135:7 136:22,22
blow 126:11	Britain 122:22	94:19 109:23	carrying 46:16,24	140:17
135:17	Britain's 85:21	125:19 131:1	73:5,21 79:10	cetera 107:21
Blunt 85:20	British 85:15,25	call 1:25 4:24 8:4	cartoon 142:9	128:19 129:18
body 70:22 115:9	86:6,8	26:1 29:1 72:12	case 28:13 29:6	chair 1:3,5,23
book 3:6 105:22	broad 53:8	92:4 102:20	30:24 35:7,21	12:10,12,17
116:16 141:1,3	broadcaster 105:1	141:24	38:22 39:2 48:19	35:22 50:15,21
141:12,13,20,24	broke 144:23	called 4:16,22	48:22 49:2 60:19	50:24 51:16 52:1
142:5,8,10,21	broken 87:25	10:11,22 11:9	64:6 87:14 89:6	52:2,10,17 53:2,5
144:3,22	brought 91:1	56:20 105:22	91:1 94:19	54:1 59:6 83:8
books 87:19	118:9	117:5 118:15	100:16 102:4	84:1,7,9,10 96:13
143:18	brouhaha 143:12	calls 6:8 7:14,18	132:22 136:21	103:25 104:2
borrow 107:7	Brownie 136:10	7:20 8:13,21 9:3	137:22 138:3	146:16 147:3,5
bosses 40:12,13	137:6	16:1 106:21	139:1 140:18	147:11,17,20
bottle 3:20	Bryan 11:18	calmly 107:23	cases 103:8 136:21	148:1 149:6,17
bottom 43:1 68:2	Bryn 122:17	Cameron 106:16	cast 108:3 117:12	149:18,19,24
81:11 85:9 94:21	123:14,16	campaign 118:15	casual 116:14	chair' 141:9
146:21	Buckingham 4:16	119:23	cataloguing 86:16	chairman 11:21
box 1:6 8:17 11:5,9	bulky 86:24	camped 23:11	caught 19:12 21:1	30:4 66:1,4 74:4
boy 120:23 121:10	bundle 20:2 21:19	camping 41:4 46:7	121:9 124:9	74:7 84:5 112:8
123:16 149:15	28:19 81:7	72:21	137:6 149:14	126:17 146:12
boys 28:4 29:17	bus 87:1 90:5	Canada 86:1 87:20	cause 100:10	chairmanship
36:22 37:1,11	98:20	candidate 23:25	124:11	126:9
38:6,19 49:11	business 111:15,16	30:17 35:19	149:15	challenge 110:8
63:7 67:24 68:5	134:17	63:23 105:14	cautioned 87:17	Chancellor 127:18
68:7,10,15 69:14	Butler 25:14	112:21 113:2	cautious 93:6	change 10:25
80:3,14 98:21,22	Butler's 27:23	116:24,24 139:19	Caxton 2:20	68:15 108:7
124:10 129:5,18	button 110:2	candidates 112:24	ceased 105:8	changed 53:24
130:1,8,9 136:6		candidates' 109:1	Cecil 22:21	Channel 123:14
136:15 137:7,17	C	canvassing 116:16	cent 20:21	channels 65:24
143:3 144:11	C' 27:11	116:25 118:22	Central 24:10	chap 127:5 136:12
bracket 106:13	CAB000071_022	120:1	29:23 30:6 35:22	chap's 137:5
	99:2			

<p>charge 14:20 40:4 charged 19:13,20 21:2 57:13 90:15 Charles 4:8 charmingly 111:25 chat 116:2 128:6 check 48:13 checks 79:1 Cheshire 148:24 Chester 7:6 23:20 105:5,6 108:22 108:23 109:2 112:1 115:14 116:12 117:1 120:25 121:6,13 122:18 124:20 125:5 139:20 chief 5:19 6:3 10:14,16,20 19:4 22:19,22 31:2 34:18 57:10,25 58:17 59:19 64:9 65:5,9,15 76:23 79:7 86:4 130:19 138:23 140:18 141:5,21,22 142:8 145:1 148:24 child 113:19 118:13 122:8,15 129:15 131:9 138:13 139:1,15 149:8 children 34:22 35:7 53:21 80:4 80:7 90:12,14 97:13,15,17 98:8 98:9,11,14 101:1 101:10,20,21,23 113:16 116:19 118:24 119:10 122:23 128:1 129:21 140:7,11 China 26:25 choose 24:16 128:22,24 chose 24:1 50:12 chosen 116:23</p>	<p>Chris 124:7 Christine 105:12 114:18,19 115:2 121:7,22 Christmas 11:17 chummy 8:4 chunks 110:11 circles 4:6 circulated 22:5 circulating 19:5 57:11 59:15 circumstances 46:15,23 73:4,20 73:25 City 105:5,6 108:22 112:1 civil 1:18 11:10 clambers 141:8 classified 56:25 clause 142:13 clear 5:19 28:22 30:12 38:16 43:25 53:19 57:16 63:17 91:12 109:22 113:8,15 114:16 127:10 138:24 clearly 3:19 5:8,17 34:16 42:10 65:2 68:5,18,18 74:8 86:19 87:19 95:1 113:21 114:6 124:24 126:2 136:17,25 close 12:6 15:9 129:9 141:12 147:17 closely 14:15 75:15 75:19 126:23 closer 112:22,23 clothing 86:20 clumsy 23:23 Code 105:22 144:23 coded 130:2 coffee 50:22 colleague 44:6 144:7 colleagues 74:23</p>	<p>75:22 100:3,10 100:17 105:25 132:13 147:3 collusion 137:3 combination 86:10 come 6:23 8:18 9:11 10:2,6 11:11 21:21 23:8 24:21 25:9 27:16 29:23 33:2 38:10 47:25 49:1 58:15 58:16 63:13 67:13 68:3 74:2 80:13 81:21 84:17 92:1 94:4 101:9,12 102:13 102:16 103:2 108:15,17 113:19 116:3,3 119:1 120:10,17 128:14 136:4,8 147:16 comes 25:13 36:9 115:10 137:4 comfortable 128:25 coming 12:15 54:3 69:7 104:5 107:22 147:7 Commandant 86:8 commas 37:18 comment 24:9 39:7 46:8 59:10 72:22 81:4 135:24 comments 41:5 94:24 95:3 148:4 148:8 commercial 90:21 commercially 57:7 Commission 11:21 91:20 commissioner 85:15 86:1 89:12 91:17 100:9 133:10 commitments 2:15 committed 58:9 135:22 committee 55:18</p>	<p>134:1 committing 90:20 common 15:12 116:21 Commons 122:13 Commonwealth 55:9 88:4 92:1 92:13 93:21 communicate 143:13 Communications 55:8 community 117:13 complain 149:21 complaint 90:19 complete 107:4 147:22 completely 87:25 completes 104:6 complexion 68:15 complicated 2:13 compromise 26:13 96:7 compromised 92:21 conceivable 86:17 Conceivably 32:11 concern 16:9 22:2 35:2 74:9 117:20 126:3 140:3 concerned 43:13 66:8 74:19,24 75:23 80:8 95:20 99:23 113:12 125:9 138:17 139:22 146:3 concerning 106:18 138:12 concerns 25:10 112:11 128:19 conclude 36:1,4 97:17 conclusion 81:22 97:24 145:17 conditionally 87:9 condone 131:11 conducted 76:12 conduit 44:20 140:19</p>	<p>confided 15:10 confident 146:23 confidential 57:7 89:13 90:23 confirm 13:1,12 30:21 54:21 64:2 confirmed 124:16 confirms 149:6 confronted 30:18 63:25 124:13 confused 84:22 confusing 7:19 confusion 27:18 connection 13:24 14:4 22:1 109:4 122:17 Connew 148:11,12 conscious 22:23 118:17 consent 98:7 101:2 129:16 140:4,9 consenting 90:11 97:11 consequently 58:11 Conservative 10:18 24:8,10 29:15,22 30:4,6 35:22 58:16,17 63:5,12 66:2,5 68:19,24 72:8 74:2,8 76:18 77:10,23 79:25 80:9,17 81:1,5,6 84:1,6 105:3 109:7 112:8 115:7 125:20 126:9,17 133:14 133:15 140:10 consider 30:19 50:18 51:20 52:13 62:15 63:25 72:8 74:5 74:23 75:22 91:5 129:21 considerably 109:19 considered 51:16 52:5 61:14</p>
--	--	--	---	--

depended 66:13	110:15 116:23	disbelieve 111:18	28:22 54:18,19	115:12 127:12
depends 145:10	117:24 120:18	discharged 87:9	70:14	drinking 3:20,22
deputy 14:23	died 5:6 11:22	discount 74:25	doing 4:21 9:24	113:13 118:7
22:22 30:4 35:21	22:23	75:24	43:17 47:13	127:20 140:15
66:1,4 74:7 84:1	different 40:5,12	discover 6:5 126:3	65:10 74:1 91:15	142:18
84:9 86:8 112:7	57:7 66:12 84:18	discovered 87:1	125:2 133:23	driving 123:15
126:8,17 141:10	112:18 114:15	90:5	140:10 147:9	dropping 17:17,19
141:21 146:16	129:20	discovering 92:18	domain 124:12	drunk 121:3
Des 149:22	difficult 3:10	99:11	143:9,11	Duff 13:23 14:4
describe 15:8	52:12 103:19	discovery 86:24	domestic 91:22	18:23 20:5 29:5
53:13	118:18 136:15	discreetly 27:7	Donald 29:15 33:8	43:1,3 45:17
described 22:11	difficulties 142:19	discuss 8:16 92:6	34:13 47:3 49:15	47:2,12 59:23
90:3 99:7 100:23	difficulty 43:23	127:8	63:4 65:16 76:11	61:4 62:16 65:8
101:18	45:6 52:11	discussed 66:25	79:6 80:16,16,23	70:9 72:14 73:11
describing 121:1	digits 81:12	92:11 102:10	80:25 130:20	73:24 79:6 80:22
141:7 145:3	dilemma 138:4	145:11	door 9:16,16 91:22	Duff's 34:17 36:9
designed 39:15	dinner 6:23 7:9	discussing 71:24	91:24	45:22 66:21 70:5
desk 3:7 9:15	15:12	89:21	doors 116:16	73:22
detailed 86:16	Diplomatic 89:1	discussion 10:12	118:22	Duke 4:8 12:5
90:7 97:8 116:15	92:20 99:13	22:9 83:7 92:7	doorstep 23:11	duties 4:19
details 29:18	102:12	disgust 87:13	41:5 46:7 72:21	duty 21:7 39:10
determined 39:7	direct 24:22 54:16	disgusting 116:15	117:6	69:15 74:20,21
44:10	130:6 147:1	117:3 119:3	doth 127:3	
developed 4:2 16:9	directly 25:10 31:6	dismiss 132:10	dots 33:11	E
development	32:23 51:24	dispose 30:20 64:2	doubt 67:14	E 150:5
64:22,24 67:2	64:13 71:14	distinct 138:16,18	146:16	ear 135:5
developments	109:17 148:23	distinction 38:25	doubts 78:9	earlier 10:10 27:9
33:20 60:13,13	director 17:15	distinguished	dovetail 146:15	29:10 34:7 42:24
61:8 71:25	40:4,5 42:1 43:2	85:25 118:4	Downing 5:1,9	50:16 60:10 68:4
deviancy 101:5	43:2 90:2,18	distress 4:20	10:11	95:12 97:5 132:7
deviant 86:15	91:3,8 92:15	distressed 4:17	dozen 118:21,23	early 3:12 27:17
deviants 87:6	94:7,12 95:7	Ditchley 17:15	DPP 87:13 94:7,24	133:25 149:20
deviation 110:2	99:20 102:20	divergence 95:19	95:11,16	ears 24:3 26:11
DG 21:22 29:5	director-general	divider 18:15	DPP's 19:7 97:21	31:5 64:12 80:24
31:25 32:18	6:7 14:24 18:24	25:11 59:6 141:2	Dr 19:21	81:2 138:19
39:24 42:3,3	33:6 42:17,21	document 18:14	draft 43:5,6,8,9	easier 54:16 59:2,8
49:5 59:23	58:24 59:23 60:4	18:17 21:18,20	89:22	62:5,8
DG's 42:12 45:24	74:15,22 75:2,11	25:8,11,23 26:1	Dramatic 144:15	easily 3:21 133:19
70:1,7	75:22 76:3 86:6	28:19 31:9,16,18	144:16	East 14:20 26:21
diaries 86:16,19	92:15 102:10	59:4 62:9 69:22	dramatically	Eastern 25:3
87:18 95:10	103:2	84:21 88:16 94:4	53:24 149:20	easy 132:13 137:3
99:20 100:23	director-general's	94:15,17 101:9	drawn 26:20 55:25	143:3 144:11
101:18 107:3	59:25	101:12 120:12	57:13 88:22	echo 147:8
127:16 138:6,7	disadvantages	144:21	draws 145:17	echoes 106:8
142:1 148:5,6	108:6 133:17	documentary	Driberg 138:8	edition 106:14,17
diarist 144:13	disagreement 95:7	99:19 135:25	drink 3:20 50:9	106:17
diary 1:16 2:1,8,11	95:18	documentation	127:14	editor 148:13
2:12 98:9 105:20	disappeared	102:1	drinker 109:15	Education 5:14
106:18 108:6	119:21	documents 18:14	113:14 114:6	142:14
				Edward 92:2

102:2,17	ended 85:25	estimate 55:14	Executive 1:19	149:3
Edwina 147:25	endorsed 13:17,19	Estyn 122:17	expect 43:25	failing 74:20
effect 19:5 36:20	ends 91:2	123:14,16	expected 40:17	failure 96:10
37:10,11,25	enemies 24:11	et 107:21 128:19	43:18,20	faintest 78:14
47:13 57:11	energy 17:18,22	129:18	experience 134:5	fair 53:16 82:9
59:15 101:11	17:23 22:4 26:9	Europe 106:8	135:7 145:21,21	fall 106:15
effectively 39:21	66:19	evaporate 146:22	147:1	false 28:9 75:7
131:3	engaged 100:11,17	evening 6:22 7:11	explain 30:5 65:18	familiar 62:5
either 21:16 39:5,5	101:13	events 23:5 33:1	129:24	146:4
69:4 124:18	engaging 91:13	78:2 81:15 86:19	explains 148:4,8	family 12:8 15:6
138:25	enough 82:2	eventually 133:11	explanation 32:2	109:4 113:14,15
election 23:20,21	enquire 126:23	evermore 136:14	33:9	118:5 133:15
115:3 119:22	enquired 75:15	everybody 114:16	explicit 86:16 90:7	fantasies 90:8 97:9
121:5,12	enquiries 61:18	118:20	97:8	101:21
eliminated 113:5	65:23 76:12	evidence 15:20	explicitly 71:20	fantasising 98:13
Eliza 6:6,11,12	81:21,25 102:4,7	17:10 20:11	exploit 27:8 28:14	fantasy 86:18
7:24 8:5,9 9:4,7	106:21 123:21	33:21 44:6 48:2	explore 137:1	90:13 97:13,17
9:13,15,23 12:2	enquiry 75:18 82:2	52:12,14,16	exposed 132:3	98:10
16:6,22 43:14	96:10	54:13,15 71:2	expressed 22:2	far 7:7 13:24 14:6
67:17 70:24 71:7	enquiry' 124:3	81:23 82:1 85:22	35:3	26:21 42:23
71:15 73:13	ensure 69:12 81:1	90:14 96:15	extend 87:22	43:12 74:25
74:17 75:5	128:12 135:2	97:14 98:12 99:1	extended 106:13	75:24 99:23,25
Elizabeth 12:19,23	entered 62:20	99:19 101:22	extensive 26:21	102:4 111:9
150:11	entertained 87:4	102:5 104:6,21	105:23	114:9 138:16
email 52:4	entirely 73:12	108:14 110:6,10	extent 75:6 118:12	146:3
embarrassment	84:18 147:14	132:14 146:1	extremely 49:16	fascinating 105:19
23:24 30:25 56:2	entry 89:2,8 118:9	147:22 148:1	110:6	fast 21:18
60:9,10 64:7	episode 22:25	149:7	Eye 85:17 88:23	faster 52:13
66:7,23 67:9	145:5	evidence' 87:16	94:6,25 95:13,17	father 3:16 5:6,23
80:11 131:20	equalisation 140:4	ex-member 102:12	99:5,8,14,22	40:25
embassy 14:22	140:8	103:7		fathers 15:3
26:14,15	equalise 140:8	Exactly 32:14	F	139:21
emerged 87:8	era 113:12 116:6	Examination 1:8	F 39:25 40:4,7,9	faulty 17:25
emotional 122:23	118:8 137:18,19	12:20 54:7	42:1 43:2,2,4	favours 133:23
emphasise 134:4	error 32:8	104:12 150:9,15	F2 39:25 40:4	FCO 99:24 100:2
emphatically	escort 4:16,22	150:23 151:2	fabled 141:12,24	102:14 103:13
79:20	especially 3:16	examined 81:23	face 46:25 62:1	feared 24:2
employed 47:12	30:23 64:5 89:9	example 45:14	111:17	feature 80:21
81:4	91:16	75:14 128:8	faces 126:11	122:16 123:5
employee 80:18	essence 134:13	135:10,20 140:3	facing 141:9	featured 123:3
employment 1:17	149:6	examples 135:9	fact 21:20 29:21	February 13:3
2:25 3:1 5:15,16	essentially 105:1	exception 100:5	34:24 37:1 48:15	25:13
6:1 16:2 18:5	111:9 141:14	excessive 26:16	57:18 63:11 66:4	feed 143:15
22:15 66:20	147:21	Exchange 51:6	68:13 71:17,20	feel 50:8 132:14
enclose 99:15	establishment	87:3 90:10 97:10	78:1 85:6 86:14	142:3,4 145:19
encourage 52:8	79:18	98:6 103:22	87:2 88:19 89:4	146:23
encourages 142:3	Estate 117:2,2	Exchequer 127:18	98:5 103:14	feeling 137:1
145:6	estates 116:17	exclude 93:8	111:1 115:21	feelings 49:24,25
endeavours 147:8	117:1	excusing 133:24	119:12 125:4	fellow 24:8 145:23
			130:14,16 139:18	

felt 39:10,14 56:24 75:1 121:20 126:12,13 128:25 140:5,11	142:6 147:24 first-hand 44:23 fits 16:8 five 28:21 31:24 32:19 41:10 47:15 51:19,25 52:7,8,17 73:14 105:15,16	148:20 Fortescue 136:1 136:18 Fortescue's 137:22 forward 21:18 51:17 120:5 forwards 59:5 foul 5:10 found 3:3 8:8 58:7 86:21 94:18 98:18,19,20 four 91:10 97:6 109:18 149:9	54:1 61:18 63:8 67:19 71:21 73:5 73:21 76:12 77:8 79:10 87:21 92:24 95:1 99:14 102:8 109:22 111:13 115:19 121:13 123:17,18 123:21 148:8 149:9 future 35:18	69:16 76:4 85:22 103:19 118:8,22 120:4 123:1 126:6 gives 148:15 149:16 giving 27:9 111:6 134:1 glad 20:11 Glasgow 23:10 go 4:4 5:1,9,19 6:23 7:9 17:13 17:16 21:19 25:10 26:19 28:19 31:9 36:5 40:21 50:12,20 50:22 53:13,16 60:24 69:18 73:16 78:12,22 80:23 81:10,13 84:18 85:5,6 88:11,12 94:17 95:23 96:25 97:1 98:24,25 102:1 109:11 110:11 111:9,13,15,16 111:20 112:22 114:13 116:25 117:8 121:12 122:5 135:19 137:3 141:1,2,22 143:17
female 86:20 91:19 fictitious 91:19 fiddler 119:1,13 fifth 81:10 figure 24:16 114:7 file 1:24 18:13 54:12 59:6 62:7 94:18 96:18 98:25 101:25 120:15 files 13:2 99:25 final 21:19 25:23 26:1,1 49:19 66:21 113:4 144:20 145:18 finally 25:8 101:24 146:3 149:12 financial 139:24 142:19 find 8:15,18 15:16 58:6 59:8 62:7 97:1 102:2 105:4 109:1 131:9 143:18 144:3 finger 94:3,15 fingered 144:7 finish 96:15 finished 96:15 121:13 finishing 121:19 first 1:5 13:1 14:2 14:12 30:21 31:2 31:9,15 34:22 36:6 38:5 39:23 41:2,9 42:6,8 46:13 47:3 54:21 56:12 64:3,9 65:8 67:15,16,23 72:2 73:2 95:6 97:2 98:23 99:22 102:13 104:22 105:23 109:3,6 109:11,13,13 116:10 118:9 122:7 139:19	fix 3:11 flat 86:21,23 87:4 flavour 129:25 flick 46:17 flicking 59:5 flip 93:2 flock 134:23 flown 23:10 focus 35:5 48:18 60:15 66:5,6 focused 35:1 folders 141:15,15 follow 99:4 followed 37:14 38:1 86:24 124:15 132:23 following 3:8 24:23 46:7 72:21 77:1 fond 127:20 Fonthill 12:8 foolhardy 131:24 132:1 foot 93:18 120:17 120:20 122:6 141:4 forces 85:21 foreign 14:18,18 28:13 55:9 66:16 86:10 88:4 89:11 91:14,25 92:5,12 93:21 102:21,23 102:24 forgive 6:16 42:25 139:5 forgotten 82:5,20 102:22 form 131:11 143:13 former 44:6 133:23 135:25	friend 9:25 15:3,5 19:11,14 20:24 21:8 36:12 37:16 38:2,7,18,20 39:3 39:14 43:15,24 67:22,23 74:16 friendly 112:15 friends 4:9 10:17 12:6 15:4,9,12 24:16 38:15 120:7 friendship 6:6 15:8 50:2 front 13:16 18:13 full 12:22 92:17 99:10 104:13 107:4 132:8 149:17 fully 71:22 74:3 function 55:12 functions 2:11 fundamentally 140:16 funds 55:14 funeral 11:25 12:7 49:22 50:12 furnished 86:21 further 20:11 38:20 42:21,22 46:16,24 51:21	gain 39:15 90:21 gained 126:2 Gardens 86:22 87:4 Garston 136:1 gather 144:17 gay 127:13 130:3 135:12 GBR000001 108:13 GCHQ 55:9 general 23:20 90:24 93:14 94:13 100:22 121:5 125:23 generally 15:11 99:22 106:1 131:20 generic 138:1 getting 32:13 69:8 84:22 106:21 giggles 16:21 giggling 6:10 8:2 9:8 girlfriend 8:12 16:10 50:6 gist 42:23 give 1:11 12:21 16:15 17:4,6 22:7 50:5,13 52:8 54:8 56:1 100:16 107:13 110:15,16 122:25 124:4 135:8 given 14:5 15:20 21:4,14 27:4 35:7 44:22 66:5	glad 20:11 Glasgow 23:10 go 4:4 5:1,9,19 6:23 7:9 17:13 17:16 21:19 25:10 26:19 28:19 31:9 36:5 40:21 50:12,20 50:22 53:13,16 60:24 69:18 73:16 78:12,22 80:23 81:10,13 84:18 85:5,6 88:11,12 94:17 95:23 96:25 97:1 98:24,25 102:1 109:11 110:11 111:9,13,15,16 111:20 112:22 114:13 116:25 117:8 121:12 122:5 135:19 137:3 141:1,2,22 143:17 goes 106:14 going 7:18 8:18 10:5 13:7 20:13 24:20,22 27:15 29:1 32:20 33:2 34:20 50:15,16 50:21 51:15 54:13,14 59:4 66:1,14 85:18 93:17 103:25 104:20 108:13,15 109:23,25,25 110:4,6,8 111:9 111:13 113:22 115:18,19 126:11 128:18 129:4 130:3 132:2
G				

135:12,17 137:2 138:6 139:4 143:12 147:13 149:20 goings-on 142:3 good 1:3,5 15:9 42:8 104:18 110:8 113:25 115:11 116:1 134:3 139:22 144:18,19 146:23 146:24 147:8 Goodlad 138:21 138:22 gossip 115:12 116:2 132:22 142:18 143:17 145:22 gossipped 137:11 137:16 government 30:25 35:24 40:11 55:8 56:23 57:10 64:7 65:5 66:8,11,24 68:16,25 78:19 86:9 93:15 134:15,21 145:5 Government's 105:24 Grahame 114:22 120:25 Granted 70:4 grateful 24:17 27:10 52:18 great 111:12 115:13 137:12 greater 31:1 44:10 64:8 66:24 Green 114:22 Gregson 22:10 ground 112:6 135:5 grounds 26:17 47:12 group 19:16 21:10 23:9 24:6 134:19 144:19 guard 24:13 guessing 15:17	guilty 87:10,12 Gyles 104:11,14 121:14 150:25 <hr/> H <hr/> habit 4:2 Hague 122:12 Hamilton 10:18,19 149:1,2,5,10 hand 141:10 handed 141:20 handled 44:1 handler 99:1 hands 117:11 147:15 handwriting 37:2 42:6,15,16 68:2 69:22 handwritings 42:7 happen 29:2 44:3 60:3 61:20 91:7 130:22 138:10,10 happened 5:20,21 7:12 16:23,24 35:10 42:24 45:5 45:10 72:7 78:15 116:22 118:20 119:14,19 126:10 140:4 146:9 happening 8:15 17:2 27:24 happens 146:15 happily 89:14 happy 106:1 hard 13:11 62:11 hatched 114:12 Hayman 84:17 85:24 86:1,15 87:2,5,11,16 88:25 90:7 91:9 91:12,17,25 94:19 95:20 97:7 98:5,18 99:6,9,15 100:3,10,17 102:3,4,9,12,15 103:1,3,5 Hayman's 87:8,20 87:22 88:5 89:2 95:10 96:8 98:9 99:12,20,24	103:21 head 14:23 92:2,12 122:19 heading 93:3 142:24 headquarters 17:21 55:9 109:7 Health 1:19 healthy 89:14 hear 6:10 9:7 16:3 46:6 49:3 72:20 98:16 115:15 117:15 122:2 132:12 135:6 143:16 147:17 heard 8:13 9:16 15:18,21 16:21 19:4 22:16 27:21 29:16 33:7 40:18 45:7 58:14 61:18 63:5 98:23 114:11,25 115:1 115:5 124:19 125:4 135:10,13 137:19 138:12 145:22,22,22 148:1 149:13 hearing 59:11 147:23 148:22 150:1 hearsay 40:19 44:13 132:21 142:18 heating 43:12 heavily 3:22 heavy 109:15,15 113:13 114:6 115:12 127:12 held 86:2 122:22 help 2:18 25:9 53:9 104:16 123:20 135:8,20 136:8 help?' 136:5 helpful 145:19 helping 133:25 134:2 Henderson 87:2 98:5 147:13,17	147:19,20 151:5 Henderson' 87:1 hesitated 110:5 Hetherington 95:5 95:15 Hetherington's 87:13 hierarchy 32:19 hieroglyphics 40:1 high 4:6 85:15,25 87:13 89:11 91:17,19 100:9 122:19 high-placed 85:22 higher 94:9 highlighted 34:24 highly 86:2 89:13 Hill 86:22 him' 82:18 hindsight 100:8 hired 23:9 history 30:1,2 Hm 126:11 Hogg 1:6,7,12,13 1:13 2:18 6:14 12:9,13 15:20 16:21 49:20 150:7 Hogg's 17:10 Hoggart 123:9 Hoggart's 120:18 HOM002203 85:5 97:2 home 6:9,15,23 7:5 7:9 12:8 15:6 23:10 55:7 83:19 86:4 92:14 93:20 122:17 123:17 127:19 133:24 homes 122:15 124:1 homosexual 22:18 23:19 27:25 56:15 68:14 109:16 118:6,7,8 118:10,16 homosexuality 22:12 27:16 hope 46:8 72:22	80:19 96:16 146:20 hoped 41:16,22 47:17 125:10 hopes 46:10 72:24 134:24 hospitality 26:16 hostile 102:6 hounded 37:18 67:20 hounded' 37:13 hours 3:3 house 2:20 16:13 17:21 93:5 109:12 110:12 111:21 122:13 124:7,13 142:5 145:5 human 134:18 hundreds 118:22 husband 12:4 husbands 139:21 <hr/> I <hr/> idea 78:14 122:1 134:22 142:1,7 143:15,16 144:6 ideally 34:18 47:5 65:9 identity 82:15 Ilminster 54:5,10 150:20 images 98:21 imagine 130:10 145:3,10,17 immediate 108:20 immediately 54:11 108:16 109:14 imparted 21:3 25:6 38:6 47:4 imparting 32:7 implication 68:20 100:25 implications 53:20 60:17,19 77:5 91:5 93:22 103:8 implicitly 47:19 importance 48:17 important 30:14 63:21 81:24
---	---	---	--	--

importantly 47:22	information 21:3,5	149:10	interest 22:23	99:18
importuning 19:7	21:6,12,16 25:5,6	INQ003986 149:3	36:22 38:6,18	investigative
57:12 59:16	28:3 29:20,24	INQ003995 148:9	67:24 103:5	124:15
77:14	30:9,10 31:5	INQ004036 36:6	130:1 131:12,12	invitation 107:13
imprecise 23:1	32:7,22 33:4,8,14	67:16	134:20	invite 102:15
impression 16:14	33:18,22 34:3,5,7	INQ004037 45:12	interested 14:16	103:1 149:16
16:15 17:4,5	35:9 37:20,23	INQ004040 28:21	125:7,10,12	invited 107:25
29:19 31:4 50:5	38:9,11,13,20	INQ004041 46:19	interesting 80:21	109:5
63:9 64:11	39:1,4,9,17,24	73:17	115:4 132:21,22	involve 78:24
109:14 113:9	42:22 44:11,11	INQ004043 40:22	interests 26:23	involved 31:6
118:6 127:23	44:12,21,22,23	69:23	interfered 116:18	32:23 44:17 49:7
in1991 116:10	47:4,7,14,25	INQ004047 13:9	118:24 119:9	64:13 68:7 72:1
inaccurate 50:6	49:14,14,14,15	INQ004057 54:14	internal 32:5	86:17 87:14
inappropriate	51:6 56:8 59:14	INQ004057_002	42:18 70:23	117:8
74:18	59:18 60:1,5,22	61:1	internally 67:18	involvement 24:22
incident 122:2	61:23 63:10,14	INQ004073 81:10	interpret 136:16	28:18 72:3 122:8
124:18	64:12 68:3,6,9,19	INQ004091 83:20	interpretation	involving 136:6,15
incidentally	69:1,12 70:22	INQ004169_004	53:17	137:7,17 138:9
135:14	71:1,12 73:8,12	141:3	interpreted 53:18	ironic 47:10,11
incidents 53:10	74:12,24 75:4,7	inquiries 91:7	interrogated 39:3	ironically 47:7
100:5	75:13,16,24 76:4	123:17	49:17	irregular 17:1
inclined 27:4	76:7,11 77:1,14	inquiry 1:4,22	interrupting 139:6	100:11,18,21
include 26:25 56:5	80:23 81:2 86:7	13:2,13 14:11,15	interruption 51:22	101:1,4,13,19
98:21 101:1	87:3 90:9,24	20:18 27:23	interview 65:5	irregularities
included 40:24	97:10 98:1,6,19	28:24 54:12	79:3 82:22,24	131:15
130:19	103:22 122:25	81:12 104:7,19	102:9 103:12,15	irrelevant 138:6
includes 70:23	123:25 124:23	108:12 120:14	109:5	irritate 133:18
including 2:15	125:1,18,21	122:14,20,25	interviewed 82:17	Islay 15:6 23:11
86:2 115:9	128:11 143:15	123:12,18 125:11	83:2 103:10	37:15
118:24	144:17	125:12 142:22	interviews 100:1,4	isle 23:11
inconceivable	informed 22:18	insight 39:11	112:22	issue 27:21 35:17
127:24 128:5	42:10 45:23 60:1	49:23,25	introduced 44:8	35:18,18 48:18
inconvenience	69:24 70:2 71:22	insignificant 100:6	111:24	49:16 58:1
52:2,9,10,14,15	121:7	insofar 66:7 95:19	invariably 86:11	107:12 118:11
inconvenient	informing 61:19	inspired 24:7	inverted 37:18	issues 3:18 27:14
96:16	71:11	instance 75:5 91:7	investigate 38:21	35:2 55:22,24
increased 4:2	initial 9:23	123:8	69:2 77:7 120:8	112:10
incumbent 109:9	initialled 31:15	instances 100:16	129:7 130:18	
independent 21:15	initials 31:10,14	instantly 5:10	investigated 81:3	J
indicate 41:1	45:14 62:19,21	instinct 113:20,22	103:9,21 129:5	Jackie 139:18
indicates 86:11	72:14,16	113:25 115:16,17	investigating	jam 136:5 137:5
indicating 72:17	innocent 8:11	126:1 146:2	22:17	James' 98:20
indications 40:23	24:15	instincts 112:18	investigation	James's 98:18
individuals 44:21	INQ003587	institution 68:23	36:21 52:25	Jane 114:20
134:16 139:22	148:18	intelligence 55:1,5	92:17,22 95:14	January 18:21
industry 18:1	INQ003856	55:7,13 86:11	99:11 103:15	20:5,6,13 22:13
26:24 66:19	120:14	89:6 102:6	122:21 129:10,15	29:6,9 33:4,14
infer 65:21	INQ003867 148:9	intended 101:3,19	130:8 132:18	59:13 62:18,25
inform 67:18	INQ003985	intends 43:3	investigations 90:6	63:1 94:25 95:5
				99:3

Jeffrey 22:25 30:24 41:14 64:6	143:23	129:3,12,17	latest 91:23	80:22
job 4:21	kiddie 119:1,12	130:2,10,25	launched 119:23	letters 28:24 29:1 29:10 45:13 84:4
jobs 14:14,22	kids 80:12,13	132:4,8,15	lavatories 124:10	130:17
John 57:9 58:24 58:25 59:18 106:16 137:14 143:25	kind 3:9,15 53:15 60:1,10 61:14 78:24 82:6 101:7 101:18 104:8 115:15 125:2 129:25 134:17 136:6 137:2,3,7 139:23	133:18 134:22 135:9,15,19,20 136:23,23 137:1 137:5,9,11 138:10 139:9,14 140:12 142:5,18 143:7,14 144:10 144:16 145:7 146:20	lavatory 19:12 21:2	letting 135:19
join 33:11 109:23	kindly 12:24 73:16 84:18 95:23 146:6	knowing 48:21 126:5 138:24	law 93:14 118:16 140:1,3	level 144:2
joined 14:14	Kingdom 26:23	knowledge 23:25 56:3,11 78:10,21 124:24 125:22 128:15 130:6	lawyer 113:1,3,5	levels 31:24 32:19
Jones 58:24,25 147:25 148:4	knew 33:6 48:8 57:22 60:7 76:23 77:5,12,16,19 82:15 88:7 106:24 108:24 112:3,16 114:9 115:6,7,8,19 121:22,22,23,23 121:24 127:11 128:24 133:16 146:14	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	lay 86:14	libel 93:9
journalist 115:11 124:15 135:11,14 135:15 144:14 148:12 149:13	Knighthood 110:23	Labour 19:20 23:25 105:14 115:8 117:13 121:6,10,22 138:7	layers 31:25	libelled 47:20
journalistic 104:23	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	knowledgeable 5:20	learn 98:16	licence 144:15,16
journalists 23:9,14 115:9,25	Knights 116:16	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	learned 41:9 132:24	lie 66:3
judge 49:12 122:19	knocking 116:16	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	leave 6:22 105:17 105:18	lies 41:17,24
judgment 76:6	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	Lee 114:20	life 106:4 118:17 135:18
judgmental 131:14	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	left 12:24 23:14 44:5	lifetime 124:21
July 21:23 22:6 24:23 41:7 81:16 83:14 124:5 146:5	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	legal 23:2,15 124:14	lightly 140:13
jumping 113:23 114:1	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	legs 141:8	liked 4:21 50:9 128:25
June 17:23 22:1 30:3 84:2,7 104:20 106:25 146:13	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	lend 117:19	likelihood 44:10 44:13 148:16
junior 17:16 56:23 123:11 141:9 142:16	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	length 133:8	likened 127:15
justify 123:21	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	let's 18:12,20 28:19,20 36:5 40:21 45:11 62:4 69:18 88:20 132:15 133:2	likes 129:17
keep 34:8,20 59:25 62:8 88:12 94:3 94:15 105:20 135:5	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	letter 13:12,15,18 14:10 18:17 20:6 20:13,17 21:23 25:12 27:11,22 28:25 29:4,6,9 30:8 31:14 32:12 32:15,18,20 33:1 33:10,15 34:2,23 34:25 36:9 41:7 42:12,24 43:3,3,8 43:9 45:11,24 46:4,21 47:22 48:13,21 59:1,9 59:12,22 61:1,3 62:4,15,17,22,24 63:1 64:17 65:7 66:21,22 67:2,12 68:8,11 69:21 70:1,8,10,16,19 72:11,13,15,18 73:7,14,18,22,23	Linden 86:22 87:4
keeping 34:18 108:6	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	let's 18:12,20 28:19,20 36:5 40:21 45:11 62:4 69:18 88:20 132:15 133:2	line 13:16 39:2,2 119:8
keeps 33:20	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	legs 141:8	lines 27:10 97:6 124:2
kept 61:7 86:16 90:7 97:7 143:18	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	length 133:8	list 109:1
	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	let's 18:12,20 28:19,20 36:5 40:21 45:11 62:4 69:18 88:20 132:15 133:2	listen 92:8
	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	letter 13:12,15,18 14:10 18:17 20:6 20:13,17 21:23 25:12 27:11,22 28:25 29:4,6,9 30:8 31:14 32:12 32:15,18,20 33:1 33:10,15 34:2,23 34:25 36:9 41:7 42:12,24 43:3,3,8 43:9 45:11,24 46:4,21 47:22 48:13,21 59:1,9 59:12,22 61:1,3 62:4,15,17,22,24 63:1 64:17 65:7 66:21,22 67:2,12 68:8,11 69:21 70:1,8,10,16,19 72:11,13,15,18 73:7,14,18,22,23	literally 130:9
	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	let's 18:12,20 28:19,20 36:5 40:21 45:11 62:4 69:18 88:20 132:15 133:2	little 1:14 2:13 10:10 29:10 46:15,23 53:9 63:8 73:4,20,25 75:15 79:9 84:23 88:1 97:5 106:15 108:16 110:2 142:10 144:4
	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	let's 18:12,20 28:19,20 36:5 40:21 45:11 62:4 69:18 88:20 132:15 133:2	live 147:12
	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	let's 18:12,20 28:19,20 36:5 40:21 45:11 62:4 69:18 88:20 132:15 133:2	Liverpool 136:1
	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	let's 18:12,20 28:19,20 36:5 40:21 45:11 62:4 69:18 88:20 132:15 133:2	lives 131:15
	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38:12,14 42:7 43:25 44:3,16 50:7,23 56:13 69:3 74:17 75:8 76:10,13,14 77:22 78:23,25 79:2,4 82:24 83:10 84:12,13 84:14 94:9,11 98:15,15,23 103:19 107:19,19 109:16 114:2,3 114:10 117:9 118:5,5 120:3 122:14 125:3,3 125:10 126:19 127:5 128:6,17	known 15:4 44:12 67:14 92:19 99:11 127:23 128:20 132:14	let's 18:12,20 28:19,20 36:5 40:21 45:11 62:4 69:18 88:20 132:15 133:2	local 112:10,12 114:8,11 115:7 115:10,24,25 116:2 117:9 121:1,11,11,23 121:24,24 135:10 135:11,13 145:23
	know 6:12 8:9 10:16,21 19:10 20:14 24:5 27:24 28:17 30:1 37:11 38			

145:24,25 locate 87:5 logged 39:7 London 2:21,22 37:15 98:20 119:24 long 3:3 11:1 98:15 108:23,24 110:23 112:21 113:10 114:6 136:19 longer 56:19 91:10 94:16 114:7 look 13:18 18:14 21:22 25:8,11 28:18 33:15 45:11 47:11 48:8 54:17,19 59:1,2 62:4,6,8 63:1 67:13,15 82:13 84:16 85:1,8 89:5 93:24 94:21 101:9 104:16 108:8 120:12 133:22 134:18 135:17,23 143:25 looked 13:18 23:22 29:8,9 35:17 36:10 43:12 62:17 63:2 67:12 96:2 109:18 128:11 looking 1:23 2:1 3:14 4:5,25 33:5 34:23 43:7 48:4 49:4 55:21 61:2 76:18 78:17 80:1 84:3,20 94:2,21 100:24 101:14 104:15 108:11 120:24 132:10,16 133:5 looks 36:13 48:7 77:20 84:8 Lord 19:15 21:9 48:5 49:3 54:5,9 54:11 59:3,7 60:24 62:6 64:15 71:2 73:6 75:1	76:19 77:11 80:1 81:7,18 82:15,21 83:13,17,22 84:16 88:3 89:16 93:21 94:2 96:13 96:25 99:2 100:13 101:9 104:3,5 111:22 130:16,21 131:5 131:16 133:9 138:22,22 147:8 149:1,5,10,23 150:19 lost 116:8 lot 12:6 49:13 106:21 117:10,10 117:11 129:13 133:18 137:14 loud 141:11 love 142:4 lower 98:7 lowering 140:8 luck 147:8 lucky 19:13 21:2 lunchtime 3:20 Lydia 12:19,23 150:12 <hr/> M <hr/> Machiavellian 142:8 Madam 51:16 magazine 91:21 magazines 87:7 magic 142:2 Mail 81:9,14,18 82:4 main 55:12 134:14 Major 106:16 143:25 Major's 137:14 majority 116:9 making 44:24 60:5 76:6 107:5 139:10 140:2,11 malicious 22:12 malpractice 139:25 man 43:19 78:9 85:24 89:15	107:20 113:14,15 116:5 118:3 129:2 managers 134:17 manifest 67:2 Manningham-B... 6:6 7:17 8:5,9 9:13,15,23 12:2 12:18,19,23,25 23:7 50:14 51:3 53:3,7 59:12 63:2 67:17 70:24 71:7,15 73:13 74:17 75:5 150:12 Manpower 11:21 manuscript 36:23 March 1:1 13:13 94:20 150:2 Margaret 4:17 71:9,16 111:3,12 111:12 126:19 130:21 131:4 134:9 148:21 marginal 116:7 marked 31:18 Marr 83:14 146:4 marriage 101:4,16 married 89:15 Martian 11:11 Martians 11:10,14 material 25:10 46:25 57:1,4,6 64:15 86:25 87:10,18 89:13 90:5,12,16 94:18 97:12,17,18,20 98:17,19,20 106:6 matter 14:1,8 16:20 23:3 30:1 30:2,15 46:14,24 47:23 48:24 57:17 58:21 63:21 66:18 69:9 73:3,9,21 74:3 75:11 81:24 82:25 88:6 121:12 125:7	matters 53:14 55:1 56:1,2,11 77:8 80:1 88:7 91:3 95:8 Maudling 127:15 127:18 mean 6:19 28:12 35:14 37:9 38:12 40:3 61:10 70:8 70:8,11,11 78:3,4 94:16 101:25 115:16 118:25 128:21 130:9 131:24 132:4,6 136:11 145:19,20 means 61:11 70:9 70:16 136:16 meant 31:5 32:10 54:25 64:12 94:15 100:20 101:10 127:14 meet 10:19 109:11 110:11 117:10 meeting 9:18 58:23 64:20 112:15 115:4,5 117:8 121:6,25 122:1 141:5,7 142:15 meetings 2:16 10:22 58:2 71:16 member 19:10,15 20:15,23 21:9,11 29:14 33:13 34:12,13 56:23 63:3 75:4 76:4 86:5 87:2 88:25 90:9 91:22 93:15 97:9 98:6 103:11 105:3,6,8 111:25 112:25 118:14 128:4 131:8 132:11 136:7 139:18 members 128:16 memo 40:21 41:2 42:1 43:7 memoranda 36:7 43:19 47:24	67:14,17 memorandum 36:9 38:5 40:24 42:5,19 43:11 46:2 67:25 69:18 70:23 71:11 89:21 memories 108:4 memory 17:25 35:15 85:13,14 memos 23:8 32:5 men 87:8 138:9 mention 8:1 37:10 121:24 124:6 mentioned 10:10 16:8 23:18 34:22 49:20 50:4 64:19 64:21 80:25 115:13 121:25 123:2 137:18 mentioning 22:9 merely 80:2 messages 23:14 met 17:14 56:13 109:12,13 115:24 116:10 121:2 Metropolitan 95:12 MI5 6:7 10:1 14:13 27:14 52:23,24 53:9 55:7 71:11 75:3 75:11 81:3 87:20 103:20 MI5's 81:19 MI6 55:8 87:21 Michael 5:12 6:1 10:2,7,13 17:12 17:13 18:9 middle 14:20 25:3 112:6 143:19 miles 122:18 Military 86:9 million 122:21 mind 3:11 12:24 14:12 17:10 34:17 45:11 50:17 108:3 117:7 119:13
--	---	--	--	---

136:21 minded 94:8 mine 15:3,5 25:17 26:6 37:16 43:24 miners' 10:3,4 18:5 minister 2:24,25 5:3,22 16:2 17:23 18:1 20:9 22:3 26:8 36:3 41:15,20 46:14 47:23 48:3,6,16 49:2 55:6,23 57:24 58:1,13 59:20 60:6,7,15 61:3,9,16 64:19 64:25 65:4,14,17 66:9,11,14 67:1,9 68:12 69:5,12 70:3,21,25 71:8 71:12 72:4,4 73:3,8 74:4 76:17 78:5,16,22 80:10 85:3,10 86:5,8 88:18 89:10,18,19 93:4 96:1 98:4 109:20 109:21 111:2,3 111:10 123:11,16 125:20 128:9 129:8 134:1 137:15 140:20 141:23 142:16 148:22 Minister's 54:25 55:25 78:13 143:22,23 ministers 1:25 22:2 55:13 56:6 128:16 Ministry 66:16 86:3 minute 84:25 85:2 85:10 88:13,17 88:17 93:20 96:1 96:2 97:2 99:2,7 100:15 110:4 minutes 50:16 51:19,25 52:7,8	52:17 141:5 147:14 Mirror 23:9 24:6 124:6 148:13 mischief 145:4,15 145:15 misconduct 56:5 misrepresented 24:3,15 missed 25:24 Mistake 13:3 mixed 136:7 moment 6:14 9:18 50:14 61:13 94:23 113:19 130:12 139:1,3 140:23 money 111:14 monster 116:18 117:5 119:4,9 month 2:5 15:17 15:17 29:15 34:12 63:4 73:23 144:1 months 19:11 20:25 62:17 mood 4:18 5:10,25 11:1 morning 1:3,5 3:7 3:8 52:4 73:11 Morrison 1:16 2:9 2:24 4:24 10:11 11:22 13:24 14:5 15:2,3 16:1,4 17:20 18:10 19:6 19:12 20:7 21:1 22:3,6,8,17,17 23:18,24 24:1,4 24:12,17 25:17 26:6,10,19,20 27:1,14,25 29:7 29:17,21 30:3,12 30:17 33:7 35:3 35:19 37:13,15 37:22 40:25 41:19 43:13 44:25 45:20 46:6 46:7,10,22 48:14 50:3 53:12 56:12	57:11 59:15 63:6 63:11,17,23 67:19 70:23 71:13,14 72:20 72:21,24 73:19 74:13,16 75:7 77:7,13,19 78:5 78:11 79:17,20 80:9 82:11,25 83:25 84:9 106:19 107:10 108:4,18 109:10 109:12 118:3 119:9 120:19 121:1,2,8,17 123:13,15,20 124:9,13,14,16 126:8 127:25 128:10 133:4 136:18,20 137:19 139:15 146:15 147:22 148:5,15 148:23 149:8,14 Morrison's 23:24 27:5 39:14 48:19 75:14 77:6,24 79:23 122:16 123:3,4 124:21 125:13 126:21 Moscow 26:10 27:2 mounted 26:12 move 18:12 31:8 72:11 113:9,21 115:17,18 moved 4:5 11:17 moving 6:16 109:22 Mowatt 114:22 MP 19:6,20,21 20:7 25:18 26:7 29:7 82:15 108:23 121:1 124:20 135:16 136:1,20 138:7 138:13 142:7 MPs 145:23 much-vaunted 87:24	mulled 137:12 murky 145:8 mystery 142:1 145:2,4,13,14,14 mystique 142:2 146:22 <hr/> N <hr/> N 150:5 nail 41:17,24 46:11 47:18 72:25 name 1:11 10:20 12:22 36:8 51:6 54:8,9 62:20 72:17 82:16,18 91:19 102:21 104:13 115:10 120:10 122:16 123:3,4 124:7 125:13 named 123:13 names 44:21 123:2 123:21 Napley 92:4 103:4 narrow 35:5 45:8 48:18 53:18 66:6 narrowly 35:17 nasty 136:11 national 117:9 145:24 NATO 86:6 nature 32:18 51:20 93:17 117:14,18 near 109:12 110:12 nearby 119:24 necessarily 19:25 40:18 112:2 necessary 31:4 51:25 64:11 65:5 102:8 need 8:19 12:10 13:7 24:13 30:18 55:23 63:1,25 89:4 91:6 93:6,7 123:1 130:22 131:5 135:1,3 144:17 needed 24:16	50:23 55:22 69:9 77:1 needs 61:12 69:11 neither 19:7 88:4 never 27:23 28:23 35:11 44:16 48:24 112:12,13 115:5,13,23,24 124:19 137:18,19 138:12,19 139:23 143:20,22 144:24 new 22:1 86:7 107:12 113:12 116:6 142:25 newspaper 36:17 68:3 143:12 newspapers 106:20 newsworthy 23:17 Nicholls 114:22 120:25 Nicholson 11:18 Nick 124:15 149:13 night 3:5 6:9,15,24 7:13 40:25 nights 26:25 nine 32:14,15 No-one 119:17 normal 22:7 32:25 59:24 60:2 89:14 Norman 22:15 41:12 83:8,13 84:5,8,12,14 146:4,12,17 north 38:1 111:22 122:15 124:1 note 11:22 33:17 70:4 73:6 90:22 93:1,17 95:3,15 102:2 143:9,13 143:19 147:11 notebook 144:4 noted 148:7 notepads 141:15 notes 11:4,7 116:23 141:10,14 141:18 142:12 143:1,4
---	--	--	---	--

<p>notice 12:2 90:2 102:6 115:23 noticeable 117:23 notion 131:9 Notting 86:22 November 5:6,23 19:3 20:8 23:12 25:6 28:24 29:4 31:12,14,15 32:8 32:12 36:8,12,19 38:10 41:2 42:9 42:12 45:12,19 46:4,5,21 47:6,15 57:8 58:5 59:17 62:15,18 65:7 66:22 67:12,20 68:8 69:21 70:1 70:10 72:13,19 73:14,18,23 76:23,24 79:5,8 80:22 120:19 123:24 129:3 131:1 number 15:11 16:8 22:4 109:1 120:4 124:2 127:24 143:20,21 numbers 54:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <p>object 90:20 obligation 69:10 obscene 86:25 87:10,17 90:5,16 91:18 obtained 95:11 99:17 obtaining 46:8 72:22 obviously 7:16 28:12 34:23 49:9 83:6 108:1 115:6 121:22 125:8,11 126:3 occasion 4:24 16:16,20 19:19 22:21 34:14,22 47:8 61:22 127:9 occasionally 15:12 145:9,15</p>	<p>occasions 56:13 occupation 1:15 8:10 occurred 53:11 86:19 90:11 97:11 October 26:22 54:22 85:3,18 88:20 94:20 95:13 96:3 99:7 99:22 October/Novem... 23:5 odd 16:16,20,21 off-the-cuff 107:5 offence 19:20 56:19 58:9 90:15 90:19,20 135:22 offend 11:12,14 offer 26:16 128:19 offered 92:1 119:17 office 3:23 6:20,22 6:24 7:9,15 8:14 8:17 9:12 19:7 20:19 22:7 24:10 25:13 29:23 30:6 30:17 35:4,19,23 42:13,23 45:22 46:1 63:12,24 66:16 69:24 72:15 76:16 84:5 84:9 86:10 87:20 88:4,6 89:11 91:14 92:1,2,5,13 92:14 94:19 97:21 102:21,23 102:24 105:24,25 106:6 108:15 109:7 112:4 123:11 125:21 127:1 128:14 133:2,6,13 134:14,15 135:4 137:11 139:8,11 139:16,18 140:19 141:1 142:2 143:17 144:18 officer 24:9 74:15</p>	<p>74:19,23 75:8,23 86:5 93:14 120:6 148:21 officers 58:17 75:3 86:22 124:8 148:14 official 122:7 124:19 officials 100:2 offshore 26:23 Oh 5:16 8:23 9:18 9:19,23 85:4 110:8 117:3 120:1,2 137:23 139:14 OHY005426 149:10 OHY005428 149:16 OHY005429 149:11 OHY006477 149:3 OHY006572 148:10 OHY006588 149:11 okay 8:13 18:10 110:3 old 29:25 30:10 63:14 old-fashioned 140:21 old-school 133:14 133:15 older 109:18,19 116:5 once 15:7,17 16:7 17:17,19 113:7,8 115:14 116:23 one's 24:11,11 146:20 ones 137:17 141:16 online 81:9 onwards 100:4 open 62:8 143:21 144:2 opened 9:16 opening 148:2</p>	<p>openly 19:16 21:10 128:5 operating 145:6 operationally 52:24 operations 28:14 opinion 95:19 oral 64:20 orally 64:24 orbit 29:24 63:13 order 5:3 47:13 60:9 78:12 81:3 87:5 98:8 102:7 114:12 125:4 135:1 ordered 122:14 organisation 51:5 51:10 53:14,17 58:18 69:7 98:7 organising 2:14 original 19:24 123:18 originally 36:13 Ottawa 89:12 91:18 100:9,17 ought 34:17 55:24 61:15,20 69:5,12 72:7 76:7 79:12 outside 90:25 101:4,15 outstretched 141:8 overheard 8:20 overhearing 9:3 overnight 26:14 override 131:12 overruled 94:9 oversight 14:17 overview 49:16 145:13 overwritten 36:14 own' 133:22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <p>package 86:25 paedophile 51:6 87:3 90:9 97:9 98:6 103:21 107:20 128:1 131:23 paedophilia 27:18</p>	<p>page 21:22 23:4 25:25,25 29:12 31:9 60:25 64:4 66:22 74:10 81:11,13 85:6,8 85:10 88:12,15 93:2,18 94:20 95:24 97:1,2 98:25 101:24 120:18,20,20 122:6 141:3,4 142:21,23,23,24 144:20,20,21 pages 85:7 87:19 142:11,22 pair 29:10 pairing 133:11 Palace 4:16 panel 1:24 12:10 18:15 50:15,21 53:6 59:6 96:14 103:25 104:7,16 104:18 150:17 paper 2:12 84:23 106:23 135:11 141:17 paperback 106:17 papers 14:6 20:19 31:18 35:14 86:13 103:17 135:18 paragraph 1:23 3:14 4:5,25 10:21 13:16,22 20:20 25:5 34:10 35:2 54:17 55:4 55:22 56:12 60:23 64:22 65:12 66:21 74:10 75:21 76:9 77:2 84:21 88:3 90:1 93:19 94:3 94:5 95:6,22 97:5,6 100:15 108:17 111:1 113:24 116:11 119:7,16 122:5 123:6 124:6 125:23 133:5,21</p>
---	---	--	---	---

135:23 138:11,12 143:3 parcel 90:5 pardon 25:24 parent 88:5 Park 98:18,20 Parkinson 22:21 26:18 parliament 105:3 105:6,9,15,15,22 108:22 110:19 111:25 112:25 122:10 125:22 128:4,17 131:8 132:12 134:17,18 138:14 139:22 140:2 parliamentary 22:14 78:6 96:4 104:24 109:20 111:2,11 128:22 part 34:25 38:5 59:24 68:2,9 69:10 88:16 93:1 109:4 134:19 145:2,14 participant 51:18 participants 51:4 particular 40:7 47:9 49:22 67:20 69:13 79:18 93:7 101:5 107:15,17 134:20 particularly 4:14 117:1 131:8 134:2 148:6 parties 115:22 116:1 partly 17:22 106:5 party 21:4 29:16 30:4 35:22 58:16 58:18 63:5 65:23 66:2,5 68:19,24 69:7 71:22 72:8 74:3,5,8 76:18 77:10,23 79:25 80:9,17 81:1,5,6 83:3,8 84:1,6 112:7,8 115:7	121:6 126:9,17 131:12 134:15 138:13 146:13 pass 35:8,16 38:22 39:8 60:5 passage 145:18 passed 3:16 5:23 20:7,16 21:5,12 33:13 37:19 38:21 39:1,9,17 39:23 42:23 44:14 47:8,15 48:15,24 59:19 68:6 69:13 73:8 73:13 75:13,17 80:23 103:20 122:23 125:19 141:21 148:25 passing 37:23 43:10,19 98:4 Patricia 114:22 patrician 133:14 Patrick 25:12,15 26:4 27:12 pattern 6:21 Paul 148:11 Pause 6:14,25 pausing 45:21 71:2 pederast 148:7 penchant 28:4 29:17 63:6 68:9 68:14 69:13 80:2 80:14 129:4 130:8 people 2:17 12:5 15:10,11 16:10 17:16 19:17 21:10 56:16 81:25 89:22 105:7 109:6 112:2,4 113:7,12 114:15 115:8 116:1 117:2,6,10 117:11,13,15,16 118:17,18,19 119:14 120:1,2,4 121:20,23 123:25 126:3,22 129:17	129:19,21,25 132:7,11 133:18 134:19,22 135:6 135:8,20 136:24 137:2 138:4,5 139:16 140:5,16 140:20 142:3,4 144:19 146:23,23 people's 131:15 period 10:12 11:4 15:15 23:7 30:8 44:9 52:23 53:24 56:24 57:8 71:25 78:11 79:13 84:3 87:20 99:21,23 100:8 105:20 108:4 125:21 129:18 138:23 Permanent 5:12 6:1 10:13 92:13 permission 147:21 permit 98:8 persist 19:17 29:11 29:14 34:11 63:3 persistent 32:22 person 9:10 20:24 67:22 78:18,21 111:12 115:11 135:14 139:19 140:18 personal 86:4 99:25 103:6 124:24 128:23 148:21 personally 30:2 51:12 personnel 102:20 pertinent 49:16 perversion 86:18 91:13 pervert 116:15 117:4 119:3 Peter 1:16 2:9,24 4:24 9:17 10:11 11:22,22 13:24 14:5 15:2,3 16:1 16:4 17:20 18:10 19:6 20:7 22:3 22:10 25:17 26:6	27:14,25 29:7 30:3 35:19 37:13 37:22 40:25 41:9 41:16,16,19 44:25 45:20 46:6 46:22 48:14,19 50:3 53:12 56:12 59:15 67:19 71:1 71:6,13,14 72:20 73:19 75:7,14 77:24 78:5,11 79:17,20,23 80:9 82:11,25 83:25 84:9,17 85:24 86:12 88:5,25 89:2 90:7 91:9 91:12,17,25 95:10,20 96:8 97:7 98:18 99:6 99:9,12 102:3,9 102:12,15 103:1 103:3,5 106:19 107:10 108:4,18 109:10,12 113:9 118:3 120:2,19 121:8 122:16 123:3,4,20 124:9 124:21 126:8,21 127:10,25 128:5 128:10 133:4 136:18,20 137:19 139:15 146:15 147:22 148:5,15 148:23 Peter's 41:4 91:24 102:5 113:1 phone 1:25 4:24 6:8,9,17,20 7:14 7:18,20 8:4,13,21 8:22 9:3,6 15:25 45:23 phoned 8:17 phoning 8:15 photos 86:21 phrase 117:4 119:1,12 129:13 129:17 131:16 137:8 140:16 143:10 144:12,14	physical 122:22 physically 140:9 pick 20:10 57:8 143:16 picked 19:6 57:11 57:19 59:16 61:24 77:14 124:17 125:1 138:5 picture 140:20 PIE 51:6 52:25 87:5 pieces 21:16 33:14 PJ 21:21 place 16:5 22:20 27:3 122:1 125:18 133:25 144:9,10 145:13 placed 91:21 places 24:1 plans 26:20 play 83:19 played 83:21 plays 142:8 pleading 87:9 pleasant 112:2 please 1:9,13 12:21,25 13:10 14:12 15:1 18:12 18:16 20:2,13 28:18,19,21 34:1 36:5,6 40:21 42:25 45:11 46:17 49:19 50:14 54:8,21 56:10 60:24 62:4 69:19 71:23 72:11 75:20 81:10 82:13 84:16,19 85:6 88:11,12,15,21 93:2 94:17 96:17 96:25 98:24 99:1 100:13 101:24 102:1,1 104:13 108:17 120:12 122:6 133:2 pm 96:13,16,17,22 96:24 147:11,16
---	---	---	--	---

149:25 point 11:3 34:21 46:16,24 47:13 48:2 60:4 73:5 73:21,25 74:2 75:10 77:18 79:10 93:11,18 101:20 102:6 105:17,18 108:2 116:4 136:23 138:9 139:5 149:5 pointed 145:1 points 136:10 137:6 police 19:6 22:16 35:8,16 36:22 38:22 44:14,16 48:25 49:6,13 57:12,16,19,22 58:10 59:16 61:19,24 69:2 72:1,5,10 76:11 76:16,17,18,22 76:22,24 77:5,9 77:12,15,19 79:1 86:24 87:1 90:4 90:6,22 91:2 95:12 97:7 115:23 116:2 120:6 121:11,24 123:24 124:8,16 129:6 132:17 145:25 148:13 149:16 policemen 87:14 policy 44:8,8 49:8 55:24 90:17 142:25 political 30:25 39:15 56:1 64:7 66:23 67:9 79:17 80:11 104:24 105:2 115:25 118:4 131:12,19 148:17 politically 131:25 politician 133:15 politicians 126:5	145:24,24 politics 15:4 105:17,18 106:4 106:7 111:6 115:19 117:9,9 118:9 142:9 popped 9:19 porn 86:22 pornographic 86:20 91:21 pose 125:14 posed 92:9 125:24 position 2:8 29:22 30:5,11 31:8 36:1 44:15 47:2 47:6 49:11,12 63:12,16 65:7 69:4 77:20 78:8 82:17 102:17,23 102:24 126:21 128:13 129:10 131:22 positions 56:7 positive 56:7 87:24 96:10 possession 95:11 99:19 possibility 18:4,8 30:16 32:4 63:23 91:9 93:9 100:24 128:2 132:2 134:10 135:21 137:22 possible 16:6 26:12 30:16,20 32:9,21 60:17 63:22 64:1 100:25 103:3,8 122:8 136:20,22 136:22 possibly 6:22 15:17 16:22 17:9 53:21 118:5 121:19 139:25 post 87:10,18 90:16 postings 86:11 100:3 posts 86:2	potency 145:14 potency' 145:2 potential 20:10 49:10 60:9 61:5 66:6 80:11 85:23 potentially 27:19 35:5 power 77:20 145:8 PPO 148:25 PPS 78:13,17,22 126:20 128:3,7 128:11 131:21 149:2 practice 80:3 precautions 24:19 26:13 27:1,5 precise 110:16 precisely 2:5 5:5 31:20 68:7 149:5 predecessor 19:4 25:17 26:6 108:20 predecessor's 122:8 prefer 62:11,12 139:10 preferable 30:20 64:1 preferences 80:4 preferred 113:2 133:25 premises 103:12 prepare 106:25 prepared 26:13 27:2 31:24 36:7 74:13 prepossessing 114:7 present 26:24 30:23 61:7 64:5 100:1 109:9 presented 80:9 129:6 presently 2:13 President 93:21 press 22:23 24:9 36:25 37:4,14,25 41:3,16,23 46:6 46:10 47:17	67:21 68:4 72:20 72:24 74:14 110:2 112:12 114:8 115:22 121:11,24 145:25 pressed 107:18 pressure 27:20 presumably 18:17 21:13 30:6 42:1 76:25 77:22,23 80:16 83:2 presumed 58:16 65:23 91:15 pretty 38:17 45:3 93:25 121:2 136:11,11 prevent 60:9 previous 25:16 26:5 72:2 Previously 86:1 primarily 26:22 Prime 5:3,22 20:9 41:15,20 46:14 47:23 48:3,6,16 49:2 54:25 55:23 55:25 57:24 58:1 58:13 59:20 60:6 60:7,15 61:3,9,16 64:19,25 65:4,14 65:17 67:1,9 68:12 69:5,12 70:3,21,25 71:8 71:12 72:4,4 73:3,8 74:4 76:17 78:5,13,16 78:22 80:10 85:3 85:10 88:18 89:18,19 93:4 96:1 98:4 109:21 111:3 123:16 125:20 128:9 129:8 137:15 140:20 141:23 143:22,23 148:22 Prince 4:8 Princess 4:17 principal 54:25 86:3 print 106:4	prism 108:8 private 9:17,22 23:9 42:16 45:22 70:5 78:6 85:17 88:23 94:6,25 95:13,17 99:5,8 99:14,22 109:21 111:2,11 128:22 131:15 135:18 privately 46:10 72:24 privy 110:24 111:10 125:17 probable 19:23 20:20 probably 2:7 7:15 10:2 25:4,20 32:1 59:2 110:16 112:5 114:4 119:25 147:14 problem 3:19 20:10 50:9 53:12 61:5 91:18 144:12 problems 134:25 procedures 92:25 93:22 proceedings 93:10 proceeds 141:11 process 56:6 78:11 78:17,21 91:1 95:19 105:4 112:21 119:22 proclivities 88:25 produce 143:24 produced 33:21,21 102:4 Professionally 51:9 Profumo 126:24 programme 83:16 146:5 149:19 progress 99:17 prominence 35:8 prominent 34:25 36:21 promote 26:23 promoted 11:17 14:19
--	--	--	---	---

promotion 134:2	publication 79:22	57:18 60:14	62:9,11,12,22	29:20 59:18 63:9
promotions	95:12	64:10 68:13	83:23 88:20 97:5	72:15,18 75:4
128:14	publicity 43:13	71:10,15 82:16	97:20 99:6	98:1 106:2 124:7
prompting 22:8	51:12	85:24 91:23	100:14 103:17	receiving 1:25
propensities 65:3	publicly 47:20	98:14 125:6,14	114:14 141:11	68:6 149:15
77:6,13 96:9	123:12	125:24 127:8	reads 13:22 19:2	recipient 90:20
proper 128:6	publish 23:15	129:7 131:7	36:11,23 42:9	reckoned 122:21
130:7 132:17	41:16,23 46:11	questioned 44:11	85:19 97:6	recognise 121:18
properly 81:23	47:17 72:25	questions 12:11,12	ready 92:7 113:8	121:21
129:5	published 74:14	20:1 51:16,19,21	113:21 115:17,18	recognised 9:19
proposal 26:8	88:8 95:17	51:24 52:4,11	real 24:11 30:16	recollection 21:15
propose 102:15	105:21 106:1	53:3,4,6 54:1	63:23 111:25	37:6 57:13 115:2
103:1	107:16 142:1,6	92:9,11,12 93:5	140:3 149:9	137:17 138:16,18
proposed 26:24	144:22 148:6	93:12,16 96:4	realise 44:7	recommending
113:2	purely 131:19	103:24 104:1,2	reality 142:9	79:6
prosecute 87:11	purpose 33:16	147:4,5 150:17	really 3:9 15:16	record 31:21 33:25
90:18 94:13	34:2,5 55:17	quickly 110:6	47:12 67:3,5	104:9 106:23
prosecuted 93:11	95:13 103:9	quietly 114:13	76:1 81:4 108:24	142:17 143:15
93:13,13	107:17,18 140:17	Quinlan 5:12 6:1	115:9 116:4	144:4
prosecution 57:17	purposes 47:22	10:2,7,13 17:12	119:2 125:4	recorded 45:4
58:10 91:1,9	87:6 142:22	17:13 18:9	126:6 130:24	57:15 86:18
94:8	pursue 45:9 81:25	quite 3:21 5:17	132:4 140:5	140:25 144:4
Prosecutions 90:3	pursuing 124:2	15:9 19:16 21:10	144:21	recordings 90:8
90:18 92:15 94:7	pushed 113:22,23	53:16 103:3	reason 16:11 21:13	records 31:18
99:20	114:1	107:7 108:24	27:15 31:20	90:12 97:8,12
Prosecutions'	put 13:7 18:13,16	109:15 112:21	34:20 39:16 40:7	records' 124:19
94:12	20:2 27:2 28:20	115:9,11 128:23	40:9,11 49:22	recovered 69:21
prospects 128:18	34:24 44:15	130:1 132:13	57:18 82:21	85:20
134:3	51:17 52:12	133:19 143:8	92:21 96:6 98:14	red 8:17 11:5,9
prostitutes 87:4	53:11 59:4,7	quizzed 38:19	107:15 111:18	redacted 100:2
protected 81:25	74:24 75:7,23	quotation 81:16	114:2,3,5 136:11	redaction 22:6
134:11	76:1 85:5 103:5	quoted 121:4	146:16,18	reduce 66:7
protection 140:6	108:10 120:13		reasonable 116:6	refer 37:1 62:24
148:21	126:24 131:3	R	reasonably 115:8	68:5 87:20 93:16
protest 127:3	141:2 142:14,20	RA 42:10 69:25	reasons 16:12 50:4	reference 30:5
protocol 44:2	144:1	racked 35:14	106:12 114:9	35:7 36:18 42:11
prove 47:20	putting 11:4 80:3	raid 86:24	136:12 141:25	62:25 63:19
provided 27:6	106:23 134:3	raided 86:23	recall 5:5,11 13:25	80:13 81:12 84:4
55:14	141:16	raise 55:24	14:7 19:3 43:17	84:20 94:6 99:1
provides 144:19		raised 22:8 58:1	43:24 115:4	99:5 101:14
pseudonym 98:5	Q	67:8 125:8	140:25 141:21	108:12 142:22
public 1:4 17:14	QC 51:20 122:18	raises 91:23	148:1	148:5,6,17
19:12 21:2 24:15	quaffing 140:15	ran 120:25	recalled 1:22	referenced 148:2
50:10,11 56:2	quality 44:12	re-inventing 108:2	56:23	references 53:20
60:13 87:22 90:2	Queen 4:11	reach 31:5 64:12	recalls 15:25	101:21 148:9
90:18 91:4 92:15	queer' 22:17	reached 24:2	148:22	referred 16:5 29:6
94:7,12 99:20	question 24:4	26:11 74:14	receipt 73:7	85:16 95:4 107:2
124:9,12 139:22	28:17 31:3 32:25	82:12 102:7	receive 7:14	referring 100:22
143:9,11 149:14	49:19 50:18 51:4	reaction 95:25	received 4:24	refers 13:17
	52:5,22 53:8	read 14:10 17:5		

120:19	135:24	123:14	reveal 29:19	round 109:6,8
reflect 29:21 37:19	remember 2:5	reporter 124:7	review 92:24	rounded 107:4
63:10	4:23 5:17 10:17	125:3	128:16	134:25
reflection 30:13	10:20 13:23 14:6	reporting 33:2,6	revive 22:24	ruined 127:13
63:18 87:15	15:16 17:11,17	125:3	Richards 123:11	rule 90:24 144:25
99:24 106:7	20:7 29:8 37:9	reports 49:10	right 2:23 11:16	rumour 30:13 62:2
reform 118:16	37:12 38:15,23	90:23	13:10 18:25 25:8	63:18 81:20 82:2
refused 23:12,16	41:6 51:5,12	represent 51:18	29:12 34:1 35:20	82:6,11 132:21
82:16	57:9 67:3,5	representatives	36:1,4 42:18	145:22
regard 69:3,10	68:10 69:20	37:14	45:15 55:3,20	rumours 19:5,8,17
regarded 21:7	71:19 72:6 83:18	represented 55:19	56:4,21,22 57:1	19:24 20:11,15
106:2	85:13 88:10	Republic 26:25	59:20 62:7,13	22:4,9 23:1 24:7
regarding 147:22	89:20,20,21	republished 106:9	65:25 81:7,20,20	26:10 27:9 38:17
148:14	95:23,25 96:4,5,9	106:12	81:21 83:25 88:8	39:12,15 43:13
Reginald 127:15	96:11 97:16,22	required 92:24	94:10 120:12	43:22 45:6 57:10
127:18	97:23 100:19,20	reservations	136:17	57:22 58:7,8,14
regional 2:15	102:18,19 103:14	109:10 112:9	right-hand 54:20	59:14 61:6,18
register 116:22	103:17 108:23	resignation 36:20	81:11 85:9	65:3 67:19,19
regular 3:4 58:2	110:12,17 117:4	41:14	rightly 93:24	68:14 70:4 71:3
123:13 143:4	119:2 123:22	resolve 30:15	ring 98:8	71:13,24 72:2,9
regularity 16:25	127:15,17 137:13	63:21	rise 23:16 50:22	106:19 129:4,10
regularly 4:15,22	140:7 143:7,20	resources 134:18	56:1	run 145:5
6:9,15 7:12 8:17	143:22	responded 64:16	risk 27:8 30:24	Russell 105:12
10:19 11:9 15:14	remembered 1:24	70:17	36:2 64:6 66:7	114:18,19 115:2
16:13	4:14 111:1	response 9:24 20:3	66:23 67:9 77:15	121:7,22
related 95:16	127:11	29:9 46:18 53:14	77:18 80:5,7,8	Russians 26:11
99:21	Remind 102:17	62:25 69:20	85:23 86:14	Ryder 138:21,22
relates 59:14,16	reminded 24:13	73:16 107:19	126:18,20 128:7	
99:16	repeat 119:16	responsibility	129:2 130:7	S
relating 90:12 91:4	132:6	14:17 38:13	131:18,19	safe 129:9 143:18
94:19 97:13	repeated 126:2	40:10 58:11	risks 40:10 128:12	143:21,24 144:2
102:2 138:13	repetition 19:25	134:16	131:20	safeguarding 49:7
relation 55:12	replace 13:19	responsible 2:14	Robert 18:22 20:4	Safety 1:19
56:11 61:1 74:6	replaced 14:3	rest 23:3	21:24,25 29:5	sat 43:20
81:15 106:7	replies 93:6	result 10:25 37:13	36:10 42:11	satisfied 77:23
107:10 127:21	reply 70:10	88:10 90:4 91:20	45:17,18,23	satisfy 52:6
137:18	report 20:20,21	96:8 99:4	46:18 48:14 54:5	saw 15:10,15
relations 98:13	36:17 38:13	resurfaced 123:7	54:9 62:19 69:25	16:12,25 18:18
relationship 15:2	40:10 72:10	resurrected 41:14	70:7,15 150:19	20:17 22:6 40:25
75:9 128:23	76:15,16,17	retired 17:14	Robin 25:14 27:23	41:6 46:1 68:4
relationships	81:20 90:4,6	78:14 88:25	robustly 69:11	73:11 94:2
14:18	91:2,23 97:7	122:19	Rod 123:11	saying 1:25 6:10
relatively 111:6	99:17 123:3	retirement 91:10	Roger 19:21	6:11 23:14 28:6
released 124:10	124:5 135:5	retiring 14:25	role 53:18 59:25	34:10 68:20 75:1
remain 65:11	reported 27:15,21	return 7:9 50:24	77:7 87:8	75:10 79:9 82:16
remaining 47:5	40:18 43:24 55:5	96:17	roles 3:9	118:19,20 130:1
79:8	55:23 64:24	returned 7:15	Ronald 122:18	130:11 137:8
remark 11:3	74:20 76:10	91:13 105:19	room 59:11 111:24	140:13,13
remarks 107:5	80:17 100:5	119:23	root 125:11 140:14	says 46:12 61:9
				62:18 63:15 73:1

102:25 127:5 146:17 149:7 scandal 85:21 126:5 136:6,7,15 137:7,9,20 scandals 137:12,14 137:16 scene 119:21 scot 121:17 screen 13:8,11 18:14,16 20:3,14 21:20 28:21 54:20 59:4,7 62:6 85:5 88:12 108:10 120:13,17 120:22 141:2 script 62:12 seasoned 115:10 seat 105:4 110:21 110:22 116:7 seats 109:1,2 second 19:14,19 20:20 21:8,22 34:10 43:10 46:2 47:8 60:25 66:22 73:12 88:15 95:16 97:1 99:5 99:16 100:15 106:14 109:8 113:4 120:13,18 120:20 148:11 second-hand 38:12 40:19 77:4 secondly 50:6 secret 55:7,14 86:13 132:24 135:25 145:6 secretariat 14:16 25:2 secretary 1:16 2:1 2:9,11 5:13 6:1 9:17,22 10:13 18:22 22:15 32:20 36:15,16 42:16 45:22 54:22 55:7,10 60:1 69:4 78:6 78:10 86:4 93:20 93:21 109:21	111:3,11,21,24 122:12 123:17 125:8,20 127:19 128:22 131:1 secretary's 70:5 section 40:12 101:17,19,25 106:18 secure 133:25 135:3 secured 105:5 security 14:16 17:21 22:7 27:14 27:21 30:19 31:1 31:3,17 34:18,20 35:1,18,18 36:2 40:10 47:5 48:4 48:8,12,25 53:18 55:1,5,6,12 58:20 60:14,17,19 61:17 64:1,8,10 65:10 66:6,8,24 74:8,15 76:10,15 77:5 79:1,7 80:7 80:8,24 81:2 85:21,23 86:14 88:6 91:5,6 92:16,21,22 95:14 96:6,7 97:21 99:10,25 102:8 103:8,9,11 103:15 125:19 130:17,25 security-sensitive 56:8,25 60:22 66:15 see 5:3,12,19,22 8:23 9:10,21 10:2,6 12:10 13:10 17:5,11,13 17:13,16,19 18:9 18:10,20 20:3 23:12 31:10,19 33:1 35:2 36:7 36:18 39:24,25 40:7,7 44:23 45:13,14 48:24 54:19 61:12 62:19 63:8 67:24	68:11 69:22 70:22 74:18 81:11,14 83:19 85:2,7,8 94:24 95:2,5,10 102:16 103:2 106:1 108:11 109:14,21 111:13,20 112:5 126:4 132:18 134:2 135:13 142:23,25 146:9 147:3 seeing 34:2 44:6 50:11 seek 30:15 53:3 63:21 seeking 41:5 50:5 seen 13:14 21:5 25:21 27:23 28:20,23 31:20 31:21 32:17,17 33:23 37:4 42:3 43:8 47:1 48:2 59:9 63:19 68:8 69:19 70:13,14 83:22,23 92:2 95:9 101:17 120:14 123:8 135:11 seen' 123:15 sees 5:25 self-evident 113:13 send 87:17 sending 27:12 87:10 90:16 93:19 senior 24:9 74:23 75:3,22 93:14 115:6 116:1 133:10 sense 32:15 44:20 107:2 121:21 125:2 136:3 140:21 144:12 sensed 109:16 sensitive 89:13 126:5 128:13 129:9 131:21	sent 8:16 13:12 14:10 146:6 sentence 14:2 76:1 82:13 100:14 separately 41:3 September 2:6,7 18:2,2 19:23 22:24 30:3 84:2 84:7 146:13 September/early 26:22 sequence 45:12 series 14:22 26:21 86:2 112:22 147:24 149:4 serious 3:18 5:8 50:9 66:18 121:20 seriously 72:9 93:25 139:12 seriousness 68:16 servant 1:18 50:11 91:4 servants 11:10 50:10 serve 133:5 served 53:24 57:2 115:14 service 14:17,23 17:15,21 18:24 21:6 28:3 31:3 31:17 32:7 33:22 34:18,20 35:1 44:2 45:8 47:5 47:15 48:4,8,12 48:25 49:11 52:23 55:6,8 58:20 59:24 61:17 62:24 64:10 65:10 74:15 75:15 76:10,15 79:7 80:7,24 81:2 88:7 89:1 92:16 92:20,23 95:14 96:6 97:21 99:10 99:13,25 102:8 102:13 103:7,9 103:11,11,15	125:19 130:25 135:25 144:19 services 11:21 14:18 55:13,15 55:19 86:7 130:18 132:25 servicing 91:4,10 92:20 138:14 set 88:7 106:22 123:12 124:23 144:25 setting 32:21 sex 98:8 137:14 sexes 90:12 97:12 sexual 80:4 86:15 86:17 88:24 90:8 91:13 96:8 97:8 98:13 99:9,24 100:11,18,21 101:1,4,6,8,13 117:13 122:23 138:13 139:2,24 sexuality 17:8 shadow 82:2,6,10 shake 117:10 shape 131:11 share 141:22 Sharpling 53:5,7 53:25 she'd 10:1,6 sheet 85:1 shocking 34:25 139:16 short 3:8 23:8 51:1 51:7 52:20 56:24 79:13 96:23 shortly 5:11 49:4 shoulders 139:14 show 31:19 83:14 showed 90:6 97:7 99:21 shown 28:23 32:1 32:15 33:10 90:10 97:11 142:6 shredded 143:4 shredding 144:5,6 144:9,10 shrugged 139:14
--	---	---	---	---

side 24:11 54:20	sitting 1:5 9:15	143:16	20:15,23 21:9,12	151:4
siege 37:25	111:23	sorry 13:6 25:22	29:14 33:13	Station 120:23
sign 48:20	situation 38:25	25:24 52:2 71:10	34:12,13 63:4	121:9 122:2
signed 3:6 13:20	40:14 44:3 76:14	145:19 147:24	75:5 76:5 86:4	132:23
31:19	138:1	sort 7:10 8:4 57:3	91:22	statistics 124:4
significant 100:7	sized 87:19	78:8 105:23	stage 25:3 27:17	stay 26:14 27:1
signify 62:22	sleep 143:3 144:11	119:2 128:15	30:18,23 31:25	50:20 59:3,7
Silence 144:23	slightly 112:18	135:19	32:23 34:16 35:1	141:19
similar 19:20	slip 133:24	sorts 142:20	35:6 37:5 43:14	stayed 15:6
40:23 59:21	slow 110:7,10	sounds 121:15	43:18 44:4,16	Steel 149:23
112:3	slowly 111:8	136:11	63:24 64:5 87:16	Stein 51:16 52:7
Simon 120:18	slur 121:15	source 4:20 33:4,7	92:7 93:8 110:23	52:10,18
123:9	slurs 117:12,14	34:6 38:14	112:19 113:20	step 30:21 31:2
simpler 26:17	119:18	sources 29:17,19	114:9	47:3 64:3,9 65:8
simply 1:21 14:10	small 28:4 29:17	44:10 63:6 65:2	stages 27:17	131:5
24:6 29:21 33:18	36:22 37:1,10	space 47:1 79:10	stand 114:13	stepped 115:21
38:20 39:1 44:20	38:6,18 49:10,20	spare 23:23	standing 14:24	stepping 110:17
57:21 60:14	63:6 67:24 68:5	sparsely 86:21	109:17 121:8	steps 32:21 130:18
63:10 68:13	68:7,9,15 69:14	speak 44:23 47:3	139:20	131:2
70:11,17 75:12	80:3,14 101:17	65:9,16 112:12	Star 36:19 38:10	Stewart 29:15
75:25 80:10	113:16 129:5	112:13	68:3	30:22 31:3,4
93:17 98:10	130:8,9 136:6,15	speaking 130:2,19	start 3:4,20,21	33:8 34:13,17
101:5 119:7	137:7,17	special 19:8	started 84:6	47:3 49:15 63:4
137:8	Smith 148:15	102:21	State 2:25 16:2	64:3,10,11 65:6,9
Sir 1:16 5:12 10:2	smoke 3:23	specific 137:25	17:23 18:1 22:3	65:16 77:10 79:6
10:7 11:18,22	smoked 3:22	138:3 145:20	22:15 26:9 92:14	80:16,16,23,25
18:23 20:4,5	smoker 109:15	specifically 103:18	109:20 111:2,10	130:20
21:24 25:14 29:5	115:12	specificity 44:13	122:12 125:8	Stewart's 29:24
29:5 34:17 42:10	smoking 118:7	specify 41:13	statement 1:21	63:13 76:11 77:3
45:23 46:18	so-called 144:3	spectacularly	4:25 8:1 13:1,10	77:9
48:14 58:24,25	soaked 39:1	146:8	15:23 20:18	stick 54:18
59:23 61:4 62:16	social 127:9	speculate 129:13	39:20 48:5 54:12	sticking 133:23
63:15 65:8 66:21	socially 15:11	speculating 130:6	54:19 55:4 59:3	stood 121:12
69:25,25 70:5,7,9	society 139:20	132:5	59:8 60:11,24	stop 6:11,12 7:23
70:15 73:11,22	soliciting 19:12	speculation 17:7	62:8 64:23 65:12	9:7 16:22 110:1
78:5 79:6 80:22	21:1	spend 3:8	74:10 76:2,9	stopped 11:16
84:25 85:24	solicitor 92:3	spending 128:25	84:19,20 88:3	107:11
86:12 88:25 89:2	103:4	spoke 4:13 60:10	94:5 95:22	store 136:10
90:7 91:9,12,17	somebody 8:25	67:1,11 105:7	104:19 107:1,3	stories 22:24 23:15
91:24,25 92:2,4	9:11 15:10 16:5	120:4 148:13	107:14,22 108:12	23:18 24:5 29:11
95:3,5,10,15 96:8	37:19,22 44:22	149:1	110:18 116:10	29:14 30:12,19
97:7 99:9,12	74:6 78:8 83:3	spoken 22:19,21	122:6 124:23	32:22 34:11
102:2,5,9,12,15	109:15 117:5	23:24 34:17	133:21 135:9	45:19 46:5,12
103:1,3,4,5	126:21 127:2	70:21,24 79:7	139:10 147:24	47:18,21 63:3,17
106:19 113:1	128:24 131:21,21	132:12 134:9	148:11 149:12	63:25 72:19 73:1
122:18 127:10	135:10,22 136:16	spread 41:18	statements 114:14	114:11 119:15
133:4 147:22	143:6,7 146:6	squad 86:22	114:23 147:13,19	126:1
sister 4:11	somebody's 143:9	St 98:18,20	147:21,25 148:19	story 19:16,24
sit 1:9 12:21 43:14	soon 31:6 64:13	staff 19:11,15	149:3,4,10,17	21:10 37:10,17

38:3 41:13 67:23 77:3,9 124:16 126:12 135:12 straight 106:23 straighter 140:20 street 2:20 5:1,9 7:1,6 10:11 17:19 24:1 111:22,22 116:14 strengthened 96:12 strengths 133:17 strenuously 127:2 Strevens 148:20 148:20 Strevens' 149:6 strike 10:3,4 18:6 struck 121:10 struggling 8:20 stuff 79:21 subject 22:9 35:4 43:4 56:6 96:5 submission 11:11 submissions 11:4,7 148:2 submitted 89:17 89:19 subsequent 43:3 78:1 90:6 subsequently 49:13 112:25 substance 145:23 substantiate 19:8 119:17 substantive 70:18 successor 112:5 115:3 sue 15:20 41:17,23 46:11 47:18,20 72:25 74:13 79:22 sufficient 117:19 117:24 126:22 sufficiently 72:9 116:22 117:23 118:20 129:9 suggest 32:3 42:20 61:21 64:16 100:15	suggested 61:16,20 61:23 68:7 71:19 72:2 74:12 91:3 94:6 suggesting 16:10 47:2 65:8 79:11 79:12 131:5 suggestion 47:19 71:25 72:3,6 79:16 113:18 127:21 suggests 45:25 suitable 112:5 summer 86:23 Sunday 124:6 148:13 superiors 38:14,21 43:11 67:18 71:11 73:13 supervisors 47:8 supplied 51:18 supplies 26:24 support 114:8 119:22 supporting 41:15 41:20 47:24 48:3 48:17 71:1,6,7,8 71:13,18,20 73:10 113:3 suppose 30:16 61:22 63:22 92:21 96:6 supposed 142:17 supposition 10:8,9 sure 6:20 13:15 18:3,4 20:9,22 35:9 45:3 50:8 52:9 58:1,20 60:7 61:4 67:10 79:5 81:8 83:12 94:11 119:3 126:13 127:14 130:14 134:14 140:22 surely 129:2 surface 123:21 surfaced 23:19 surfacing 106:20 surprise 60:12,16	116:3 130:23,24 131:6 surprised 80:20 132:25 134:12 Susan 1:6,7,12,13 150:7 susceptible 28:7 suspect 98:15 100:11,17 149:21 suspected 92:19 99:12 suspicious 17:3 sustain 3:10 sworn 1:7 12:19 54:6 104:11 150:7,13,21,25 sympathy 112:16 118:13 sync 53:22 system 87:24 96:10,11 108:25 128:15 135:6 system' 122:24	93:25 122:1 126:14 129:8 131:6 139:12 149:9 talk 30:22 60:2 64:3 129:18,25 136:24,24 137:7 144:24 145:10 talked 7:20 27:18 83:6,10,15 128:5 137:16 140:5 talking 8:22,25 9:11 16:4 53:10 58:4 82:23 83:4 101:6,7,7 114:17 114:17 118:21,23 127:10 137:5,24 142:25 144:13 tangible 23:3 tear 141:18 teatime 3:21 Tebbit 22:15,18 41:12 46:12 73:1 74:4 83:6,8,11,13 83:13 84:5,8,12 84:14 146:4,12 146:17 teenager 118:14 teenagers 129:19 telephone 9:20 23:13 42:10 69:24 television 83:16 104:23 142:6 tell 9:19 14:12 15:1 58:13,19 100:13 104:23 112:11 118:2 125:23 136:4 146:25 telling 7:13 19:16 21:9 37:22 42:20 48:15 71:4 101:15 119:15 135:16 temper 4:13 template 54:15 104:21 108:14 Temple 54:5,9	150:19 tempted 109:24 ten 106:13 124:14 tendencies 28:14 28:15 tendency 53:11 tends 41:1 tenor 142:16 term 100:21,25 140:21 terminology 41:6 terms 44:13 105:8 116:1,18 133:22 terrible 117:16,17 118:18,19 120:1 132:7,9,11,12 138:4,5 terrorism 14:20 25:3 Thames 17:21 thank 1:10 12:9,12 12:12,14,15 14:11 15:1 20:6 46:21 50:13,24 51:15 52:22 53:2 53:7,25 54:1,1,3 73:18 96:17,20 96:21 97:3 104:2 104:4,5,8,9 140:24 147:2,5,7 147:9 149:24 Thatcher 12:4 71:9,16 111:3,12 113:11 116:5 127:9,25 129:8 130:10 131:4,8 131:14 132:5 134:9 148:21 149:1 Thatcher's 126:19 130:21 they'd 58:16 61:18 136:8 thick 98:25 thing 35:23 38:24 48:20 49:20 84:22 104:22 117:19 119:2 123:1 131:3
--	--	--	--	---

132:1,16,22 135:19 146:14 things 7:19,23 9:7 28:6 33:6 38:16 43:12 69:17 70:25 81:6 83:15 88:10 108:7,8 117:11,12,16,17 118:18,19 120:2 132:8,9,11,12 136:25 138:4,5 138:10 140:25 142:20 think 1:18 3:3,12 4:21 10:6 13:9 14:15 15:15 16:24 17:2,17,20 17:22 19:10 20:17,19 21:22 25:4,20 27:4,19 28:15,22 31:2,22 31:25 32:11,24 33:9,12,23 34:19 40:9,16 41:21 43:16 44:4 45:1 45:2,5 48:12 49:19 50:2 53:16 53:17,21 54:15 55:17 57:15 59:2 59:8,11 61:17 62:7 64:9,18,18 65:4,25 66:11,13 66:18 69:15,20 70:5,9,16,20 72:7 72:8 74:2,19 75:18 76:19 80:12 81:19,22 81:24 82:9,16,19 82:24 83:4,7,23 83:23 84:11 89:8 95:4 96:5 99:3 100:22,24 101:3 101:3,10,19 106:2,5,17,22 107:2,11,18,23 113:2,9 114:3,4,8 114:23 115:1 116:7 117:7,15 117:16,24,25	118:2,25 120:6 120:10 123:2 126:10,10,15,16 126:18,20,24,25 126:25 127:1,3,3 127:4,6,12 128:21 129:16 130:12,13,24 132:16,20,22 133:9 135:9,15 136:21 140:1,13 142:6 147:12 thinking 2:23 83:12 128:10 129:19 136:17 thinks 80:22 third 20:24 21:4 25:25 95:24 97:5 113:5 122:6 thirdly 50:8 Thomas 19:21 95:3,5,15 thorough 129:10 133:1 thoroughgoing 125:12 thought 2:3,6 5:6 8:11 9:10,25 17:17 24:6 26:12 32:24 50:4 56:17 61:11 65:14,18 66:2 74:25 75:25 76:3,21,21 78:7 81:18 83:2 94:11 106:22 107:12,21 114:1,5 117:16 118:3,19 126:7 127:25 130:12 131:7 132:2,5 135:15 141:23 146:14 thousand 116:9 threatened 124:14 three 23:12 55:15 55:19 87:9 88:19 112:23,24 122:20 147:25 throw 143:19 tick 39:24 62:19	72:16 Tim 136:1,18 137:22 time 3:1,9,12 4:2 5:18,25 6:5 7:10 7:13 8:6,10 9:11 10:5,13,16 11:1 14:15 15:2 17:2 17:6 18:18,22,24 19:9,21 24:25 25:2,11 28:1 29:5 31:7 34:19 35:23 36:7 38:15 38:17 42:3 43:23 44:5 45:7,13 47:21 48:2 49:6 49:24 53:10,23 54:17,17 56:18 57:8 58:19 59:20 59:24 62:18 64:14 67:15 68:25 69:19 71:9 71:17,23 72:5,16 74:5 75:3 76:20 76:21 78:16 79:13 81:19,24 82:4 83:9 84:10 85:18 91:8,15,21 94:16 95:16 96:12 98:15,17 98:25 100:6,9 102:18 105:14,22 106:3,20 107:3 107:24 108:9 109:11,13 110:23 111:13,18 112:20 112:23 113:10 114:5,6 115:14 115:19 116:11 117:5,7 119:5 122:4 124:20 125:5,21 126:18 126:20 128:9,25 129:25 130:4,19 133:8 134:13 135:20 138:23 140:5 142:7 145:1 146:15 148:12 149:2	times 16:8 26:15 118:21,21,23 119:22 146:10 tiny 84:22 tipoff 124:8 Tippex 11:5,12 today 31:21 45:9 106:8 147:23 149:20 together' 133:23 toilets 121:9 149:15 told 6:25 10:1 19:3 19:11,14 20:24 21:8 22:2 23:2 29:15 34:12 36:12,25 38:15 38:18 39:9 41:3 41:9,19 42:13 46:12 57:9,14,14 57:15,25 61:3 63:4 65:1 67:23 68:4,12 69:16 70:2,6,20,24 71:6 73:1 74:21 81:6 81:18 82:19 87:15 92:5 96:5 101:21 112:4 116:17 130:11 tolerated 131:16 131:17 Tom 138:8 tomorrow 93:5 149:22 Tony 46:20 87:13 95:4 top 31:10 39:24 40:23 42:8 69:22 74:11 86:13 94:24 99:6 113:4 143:24 topic 84:18 tore 141:20 Tories 121:11,23 torn-out 142:10 Tory 36:21 146:13 total 100:1 totally 112:16 131:9,24	Tothill 2:20 7:1 17:19 touch 61:8 91:25 tour 26:25 trace 124:18 trade 18:1 66:19 66:20 Trades 120:25 trained 31:17 transcript 6:16 transmit 74:24 75:24 transmitted 90:25 Treasury 55:18 133:10 tried 50:11 106:24 trip 27:6 trouble 112:14 136:3,13 145:6 trousers 137:6 true 28:9,12 39:22 43:16 57:22 62:2 62:3 74:18 77:24 80:2,6 93:8 117:12 120:3 126:13 130:11,13 131:23 trust 13:15 75:13 79:24 118:15 129:8 trusted 40:19 truth 37:17 38:3 39:11 40:14 50:7 67:22 79:21 117:20 132:19 135:16 136:4 trying 17:6 33:11 105:4 107:22 116:4 120:22 129:24 140:12 142:14 146:23 Tuesday 1:1 turn 12:24 20:2 23:5 54:11 98:24 101:24 108:16 117:11 133:2,19 137:8 142:23 143:10 144:12,14 turned 7:16 49:13
--	---	--	---	---

98:21 108:25 turns 105:12 129:13 140:15 twice 15:17 16:7 124:9 twice' 124:17 two 3:10 7:19 13:13 21:16 23:8 23:9,11 26:25 28:22 29:16 32:5 33:14 36:6 40:22 41:4 42:5 43:10 43:18 49:10 55:18 63:6 67:17 79:10 87:8,12 92:9 100:5,16 113:6 117:1 140:18,21 142:22 149:2 type 36:8,15 117:18 typed 36:13,14 37:18 40:8 typewritten 36:18 typing 110:6	106:11 understanding 57:23 95:17,21 understands 52:3 114:16 understood 133:13 149:7 undertake 27:6 99:10 undertaken 92:22 100:1 underwear 98:21 unexplained 123:14 unfortunate 121:15 143:11 Unfortunately 23:21 unfortunates 87:12 unfounded 22:11 United 26:23 unnecessary 65:15 65:16,19,22 unpleasant 22:4 23:1 Unprompted 41:3 unspecific 77:4 unspecified 19:14 untoward 127:20 updated 34:8 upset 4:15 upstairs 111:23 use 51:19 54:14 100:20,25 104:21 108:13 129:17 131:15 useful 141:23	67:21 verbatim 37:9 version 142:9 vetting 56:7 78:24 87:25 92:25 93:22 96:10 Video 83:21 view 24:5 39:20 43:15 44:19 45:8 77:3 92:18 93:22 99:11,13 108:7 115:11 125:24 130:22 134:25 144:18 148:15 views 27:10 138:21 138:24 144:22 visit 17:11 26:9,18 27:2 visited 6:13 18:5 visitor 7:16 123:14 visits 2:15 26:21 vodka 3:21 voice 9:17,19 104:17 vote 55:14 voted 140:7 votes 133:24 135:3 135:4 voting 117:3 140:10 vulnerable 27:19 28:13,16 35:4 91:16 140:12	145:4 147:15,20 wanted 16:14 61:17 113:14,15 125:10 126:14 wants 52:7 warned 24:10 27:7 87:17 135:16 washed 127:7 Washington 14:21 wasn't 12:4 16:11 16:13 17:18,23 35:15,23 43:25 45:25 49:11,11 50:8 53:19 56:19 66:8 68:13 79:24 97:24 103:23 109:23 112:16 115:18 116:3 119:21,24 130:7 146:18 Waterhouse 122:18 123:12 wavelength 112:3 way 1:14 14:3 19:2 28:16 30:15 36:11 39:11,17 39:21 45:9 52:6 53:13,19 54:16 56:10 63:22 77:20 80:1 122:9 125:24 126:24 128:1,14 130:2 130:18 133:16 136:24 140:25 141:9 ways 140:6 we're 117:3 Wednesday 150:2 week 36:9 week's 88:23 99:14 weekends 116:25 weeks 41:4 47:1 79:11 welcome 1:3 well-established 44:7 well-meaning 23:23 Welsh 123:11	went 11:3,9 14:21 42:1 51:5 83:14 108:1 109:12 111:20,21,23 126:19 135:13 weren't 5:20 9:3 25:2 40:11 129:4 West 86:9 89:10 Westminster 4:8 7:3 12:5 29:16 63:5 109:13 110:12 124:20 125:5 130:20 133:16 135:25 whatsoever 51:9 111:19 wheel 108:2 whichever 77:20 whilst 52:14 Whip 5:19 6:3 10:14,16,18,20 19:4 22:19,22 31:2 34:18 57:10 57:25 58:17 59:19 64:9 65:5 65:9,15 76:23 79:7 130:19 133:9,10,11 136:1,18 141:21 141:22 142:8 144:24 145:1 Whips 133:22,23 134:11 136:4 138:23 140:18 141:9 144:24 Whips' 105:24,25 106:6 108:15 127:1 128:14 133:2,6,13 134:13,15 135:4 137:11 139:8,11 139:16,18 140:19 141:1,10 142:2 142:25 143:17 144:18,23 whisky 3:22 whisperings' 22:20 wider 53:22
<hr/> U ugly 39:15 UK's 86:6 unavailable 49:23 uncertain 105:8 116:18 uncomfortable 50:8 Under-Secretary 22:14 92:14 underaged 124:10 underline 125:4 understand 7:19 8:20 34:1 38:5 38:25 39:25 58:5 58:14 60:23 65:13,21 71:23 75:20 77:18 82:22 103:7 123:4 129:23 130:5 134:14,23 135:3 141:11 142:19 understandable	<hr/> V validity 49:12 75:16 value 44:24 111:17 valueless 44:20 variety 14:14 142:13 various 2:17 23:13 100:3 114:14 vehement 75:14 vehemently 37:15	<hr/> W waited 48:12 waiting 50:17 wake 41:14 Wakeham 57:9 59:18 Wales 122:13,15 124:1 125:8 walked 121:17 Walker 21:21 25:12 want 1:13 71:21 94:3 96:14 103:10 111:5,14 126:12 129:12,24 131:10 134:2		

widespread 19:18 38:17	working 1:18 2:8 3:3 6:5 7:10 11:16 27:13 35:22	yeah 4:15 120:3 128:21 132:24 145:22	10.00 1:2 150:2 100 20:21 104 150:25 151:2 10th 36:14 11 32:8 36:8 67:20 74:10 75:21 98:22 11.00 3:5 6:24 7:12 11.11 50:25 11.25 50:24 11.34 51:2 11.36 52:19 11.47 52:21 11th 36:13 12 1:1 41:2 76:9 94:17 98:24 108:17 111:1 113:24 122:18,21 129:21 150:11,15 12.00 7:12 12.58 96:22 13 20:5 29:6 42:9 47:15 62:25 73:14 77:2 116:11 119:7,16 129:21 150:2 13th 32:8,10 14 79:15 84:19,21 84:22 88:11 93:19 97:1 100:1 129:21 131:3 134:16 143:14 147 151:4 15 101:24 122:5 129:22 15-year-old 120:23 121:10 16 88:3 120:19 17 28:25 46:18 73:17 94:3,5 123:6 129:20 144:21 18 28:24 45:12 46:4,21 47:6 67:12 72:13 73:18,23 79:8 94:20 95:22 110:19 124:6 129:16,20	19 22:1 125:23 129:20 1940s 138:7 1950s 118:16 138:8 1959 100:3 1959-60 100:8 1960s 118:16 1964 89:10 1966 89:10,11 91:13 136:2 1966-78 99:21 1969 89:11 1970 89:12 1970-74 86:1 1971-74 100:10 1974 14:14 89:12 122:15 136:2 1978 86:23 90:3 1979 54:22 1980 85:18 88:20 94:20 95:13 96:3 99:7 1980s 1:15 121:2 146:8 1981 41:11 94:20 99:3 1982 22:13 1983 2:3,9,23 16:2 19:3,23,24 20:8 22:20 30:13 57:8 58:5 59:17 63:18 76:24 1984 5:6,23 22:22 1985 2:9,23 11:17 16:3 18:2 21:1 22:22 84:7 146:13 1986 18:2 22:24 23:5 24:21 25:6 28:25 29:4 30:3 33:4,14,23 36:19 59:13 84:2 129:3 131:1 1987 17:23 21:23 24:23 30:3 41:7 54:23 84:2,7 121:5 146:13 1988 25:11,13
wife 112:18 113:16 113:25 116:25 wife's 113:20 Willetts 143:6 William 122:12 Wilson 149:22 Wiltshire 12:8 wish 13:19 19:10 52:1 53:16 54:19 65:11 96:19 104:7 108:11 wished 35:6 wishing 17:4 withdrew 12:16 54:4 104:10 147:10 withering 50:3 witness 1:5,6 12:16,17 15:23 54:4,11 60:23 65:12 94:5 104:10 106:25 107:3,14 114:23 135:9 147:10,19 147:24,25 151:4 witnesses 45:7 147:12 woman 139:17 won 115:3 116:8 wonder 16:14 31:1 53:8 64:8 word 4:4 13:19,20 37:18 79:23 116:14 word' 134:3 words 19:17 34:11 65:15 70:6 71:19 75:12 82:3,6,6 101:11,13 105:10 107:24 119:11 128:9 work 3:4 6:21 11:18 14:20 112:1 143:25 146:24 worked 1:16 4:3 6:21,25 10:1	world 109:5 137:2 137:4 worried 80:10 87:21 worry 110:17 144:6 worse 23:6 worst 130:8 wouldn't 32:14 33:23 50:17 78:6 78:7,7 139:13,13 140:22 Wrexham 122:17 Wright 27:12 write 11:10 43:4,4 107:23 117:23 135:12 141:4,18 142:12 writer 105:1 writing 48:13 64:17 79:9 89:24 writings 98:9 written 31:23 32:9 41:2 42:18 59:22 73:15 82:4 85:2 88:17 89:17 95:1 96:3 108:3 119:4 119:6 130:17 wrong 24:2 31:22 47:21 94:10,11 131:25 146:8 wrote 23:8 32:4 40:21 45:19 46:5 67:17 72:19 81:14 89:16 105:20,21 107:15 117:4 119:5 121:1 141:25	years 1:22 15:15 24:23 26:8,22 84:6 104:20 106:12 107:13 123:25 128:16 years 3:10 22:5 33:12 34:23 41:10 45:10 46:9 72:23 86:16 87:9 91:11 103:19 105:15,16 106:11 109:18 110:19 122:4,20 124:14 127:19,24 130:3 132:15 135:21 136:19 137:14 yesterday 13:18 23:22 42:13 44:7 52:12 147:23 148:3 yesteryear 137:13 York 86:7 Youde 92:3 102:2 Youde's 102:17 young 8:11 53:21 111:6 113:1,3,4 129:17,18 138:9 140:6 youngest 118:14	<hr/> Z <hr/> 0 <hr/> 001 94:23 005 81:12 006 85:9 015 102:1 024 94:22 <hr/> 1 <hr/> 1 1:24 54:13 60:25 150:7,9 1.00 96:13 10 10:21 20:2 25:11,20,23 73:16 138:11 141:2	
<hr/> X <hr/> X 150:5 <hr/> Y <hr/>				

1989 78:4	55:22 74:10 90:1	80 87:19		
1990 105:2,4	97:6 142:13	80s 14:19,21 15:5		
106:11 121:5	3.15 147:11	16:12 50:2		
122:16 128:8	3.19 149:25	87 14:19		
1990s 106:4,8	3.30 147:16			
137:13	30 130:3			
1991 110:14	325 141:3	9		
1992 105:6 121:8	388 142:21,24	9 18:15 20:14 33:5		
138:14	3rd 32:9	64:22 65:12 99:3		
1995 11:25 49:21		90s 14:22		
135:24	4	95 86:22		
1996 122:9	4 13:22 25:5 28:20			
1997 14:23 105:2,7	29:4 31:14 32:12			
106:11 115:3	42:12 45:19 46:5			
121:20 138:14	56:12 62:13,15			
	62:18 65:7 66:22			
2	68:8 69:21 70:1			
2 1:23 22:6 25:13	70:10 72:19 79:5			
55:4 59:6 88:12	80:22 123:14			
94:25 95:2	131:1			
2.00 3:6 96:16,17	40 130:3 136:19			
96:24	46 87:18			
20 129:20 141:5	47 110:18			
200 123:2	4th 32:2 45:24			
2002 14:24				
2007 14:25 106:13	5			
106:14	5 3:14 13:3 36:5			
2012 120:19 123:7	5.00 6:22			
2013 123:24	523 144:21			
2014 83:14 106:9	53 150:17			
107:16,16 124:5	54 150:19,23			
146:5				
2015 81:16	6			
2018 13:3	6 4:5 18:21 20:6,13			
2019 1:1 13:5	29:9 40:22 59:13			
150:2	62:18 63:1 67:16			
20s 3:12	85:8 135:23			
21 81:9	142:23 146:5			
22 98:25 133:5,21				
235 123:25	7			
24 81:16 85:18	7 1:3 4:25 45:12			
94:20 95:13	60:23 69:19,22			
27 85:3 88:20 96:3	95:5			
99:7	70s 136:19			
28 94:20	77 1:20			
3	8			
3 13:16 25:25	8 13:13 46:17			
31:12,15 35:2	72:12 98:22			
36:19 38:10	8.00 3:5,7			